

Literatura / Bibliography

- AMBOS, Kai. European Criminal Law. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018, 600s.
- ASSELINEAU, Vincent. NJECL 2018 9(2), 184-190.
- DELMAS-MARTY, Mireille, VERVAELE, John a kol., Corpus Juris 2000. 1. vydání. Bratislava: Iura Edition. 2003. 80 stran. ISBN 978-80-89047-67-3.
- ELHOLM Thomas. Does EU Criminal Law Cooperation Always Mean Increased Repression? European Journal of Crime Criminal Law and Criminal Justice, Vol. 17, 2009.
- FENYK, Jaroslav – Ján SVÁK. Europeizace trestního práva. Bratislava: Eurokódex, 2008, 233 s.
- FRANSSEN, Vanessa. EU criminal law and effet utile: a critical examination of the union's use of criminal law to achieve effective enforcement. In: BANACH-GUTIERREZ Joanna Beata and Christopher HARDING. EU Criminal Law and Policy. Values, Principles and Methods. London. 2017.
- GARCIMARTIN-MONTERO Regina. The European Investigation Order and the Respect for Fundamental Rights in Criminal Investigations. Eucrim, 2017, No. 1.
- GRANDI Ciro. The “Qualities” of Criminal Law – Connected to National and European Law-making Procedure'. European Criminal Law Review, 2011, Vol. 1, No. 3.
- GŘIVNA, Tomáš, ŠMERDA, Radek. Odpovědnost poskytovatelů služeb informační společnosti na Internetu – současnost a perspektiva. In: PORADA, Viktor, RAIS, Karel a kol. Právní, kriminalistické a kybernetické aspekty kybernetické kriminality a bezpečnosti. Pocta Vladimíru Smejkalovi. Brno: Akademické nakladatelství CERM, 2021, 468 s. ISBN: 978-80-7623-065-1.
- GŘIVNA, Tomáš. Srovnání trestního postihu korupce ve vybraných státech. Acta Universitatis Carolinae Iuridica, roč. 52, 2006, č. 3, str. 7–9. ISSN 0323-0619.
- CHRISTIE Nils. A Suitable Amount of Crime. London / New York. 2006.
- KHINOVÁ, Gabriela. Test oboustranné trestnosti podle evropského zátýkacího rozkazu v kontextu stíhání katalánských politiků a europoslanecká imunita. Právník, roč. 159, č. 8, str. 601–607. ISSN 0231-6625.

KLEMENT, Petr. OLAF at the Gates of Criminal Law. Euclim, 2017, č. 4, s. 196-200. ISSN: 1862-6947.

KLIMEK, Libor. European Arrest Warrant. Cham – Heidelberg – New York – Dordrecht – London: Springer, 2015, 375 strán.

KLIMEK, Libor. Judikatúra Súdneho dvora Európskej únie v konaní o európskom zatýkacom rozkaze. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2018, 420 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. Judikatúra Súdneho dvora Európskej únie vo veciach trestných. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2018, 390 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. Judikatúra Súdneho dvora Európskej únie vo veciach zneužívania trhu a súvisiacich trestnoprávnych otázok. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2020, 240 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. Mutual Recognition of Judicial Decisions in European Criminal Law. Cham: Springer, 2017, 742 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. New Law on the European Arrest Warrant in the Slovak Republic: Does it Fulfil Standards at the Level of the EU? In European Journal of Crime, Criminal Law and Criminal Justice, Vol. 12 (2012), No. 2, pp. 181-192.

KLIMEK, Libor. Pojem trestné právo Európskej únie, jeho vývoj a parametre. In KLIMEK, Libor. (ed.) Trestné právo Európskej únie v teórii a praxi: Zborník vedeckých príspevkov so zameraním na teoretické a praktické otázky trestného práva Európskej únie, vrátane judikatúry Súdneho dvora Európskej únie. Brno: Tribun EU, 2020 s. 17-83.

KLIMEK, Libor. Vplyv rozhodovacej činnosti Súdneho dvora Európskej únie na aplikáciu zásady ne bis in idem v oblasti schengenskej spolupráce. In Justičná revue, roč. 65 (2013), č. 12, s. 1533-1550.

KLIMEK, Libor. Základy trestného práva Európskej únie. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2017, 256 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. Zákon o európskom zatýkacom rozkaze: Komentár. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2019, 1160 s.

KLIMEK, Libor. Zákon o uznávaní a výkone rozhodnutí, ktorými sa ukladá trestná sankcia spojená s odňatím slobody v Európskej únii: Komentár. Bratislava: Wolters Kluwer, 2022.

KLIP, André. European Criminal Law. 4th edition. Intersentia, 2021, 676 s.

KRAJEWSKI Krzysztof. Punitive Attitudes in Poland - The Development in the Last Years. European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, Vol. 15, 2009, No. 1-2.

KRAJEWSKI Krzysztof. Sentencing in Poland: Failed Attempts to Reduce Punitiveness. Crime and Justice: A Review of Research, Vol. 45, 2006.

LAPPI-SEPPALA Tapio. Penal Policy in Scandinavia. Crime and Justice: A Review of Research, Vol. 36, 2007.

ŁĘTOWSKA Ewa. Czego uczą odpowiedzi na pytania prejudycjalne dotyczące polskiego wymiaru sprawiedliwości. Palestra, 2020, No. 5.

LEVÁ, Katerina. Evropský zatýkací rozkaz a vzájemná důvěra ve světle případu katalánských politiků – jak by měl Soudní dvůr nyní zareagovat? Praha. Univerzita Karlova, 2022. 32 stran. Práce SVOČ.

LUCHTMAN, Michiel a John VERVAELE, European Agencies for Criminal Justice and Shared Enforcement (Eurojust and the European Public Prosecutor's Office). Utrecht Law Review, 2014, č. 10(5), s.132-150. E-ISSN: 1871-515X. Dostupné z: <http://doi.org/10.18352/ulr.305>.

MASCHL-CLAUSEN, Ingrid. The Permanent Chambers at the Heart of the EPPO's Decision-Making. Euclim, 2021, s. 55-56. ISSN: 1862-6947.

MATT, Holger. Editorial In euclim 2017/1.

MATT, Holger. Editorial in: NJECL November 2022.

MATUSIAK-FRĄCCZAK Magdalena. Legal opinion of behalf of Polish Supreme Bar Association on the draft act amending the Constitution of the Republic of Poland (in Polish). Warsaw. 7. 4. 2022.

MITSILEGAS Valsamis. EU Criminal Law after Lisbon: Rights, Trust and the Transformation of Justice in Europe. Oxford, 2016.

MITSILEGAS Valsamis. From Overcriminalisation to Decriminalisation. The Many Faces of Effectiveness in European Criminal Law. New Journal of European Criminal Law, 2014, Vol. 5, No. 3.

MITSILEGAS, Valsamis. EU Criminal Law after Lisbon. Hart Publishing, 2016, 336 s.

OUWERKERK Jannemieke. Evidence-Based Criminalisation in EU Law: Evidence of What Exactly. In: OUWERKERK Jannemieke, Ju-dit ALTENA, Jacob ÖBERG, Samuli MIETTINEN. The future of EU Criminal Policy and Practice. Legal and Criminological Perspectives. Leiden/Boston. 2019.

SATZGER Helmut. The Harmonisation of Criminal Sanctions in the European Union - A New Approach. Euclim, 2019, No. 2.

SATZGER, Helmut. International and European Criminal Law. Second edition. München: C. H. Beck, 2018, 376 s.

SLIWA, Rostislav. Poskytovatelé služeb sdílení obsahu online dle Směrnice (EU) 790/2019. Revue pro právo a technologie. Ročník 11, 2020, č. 21, s. 91 až 128.

TOMÁŠEK, Michal et. al. Europeizace trestního práva. 1. vydání. Praha: Linde, 2009. 464 stran, ISBN 978-80-7201-737-9.

TOMÁŠEK, Michal, TÝČ, Vladimír a PETRLÍK, David a kol. Právo Evropské unie. 3. vydání. Praha: Leges, 2021. 519 stran, ISBN 978-80-7502-491-6.

TOMÁŠEK, Michal. et al. Europeizace trestního práva. Praha: Linde, 2009, 464 s.

TOMÁŠEK, Michal. Existuje „evropské trestní právo“? Trestněprávní revue, roč. 3, 2004, č. 5, str. 143–146. ISSN 1213-5313.

VOGEL, Joachim, Holger, MATT. Strafverteidiger 2007, 206 (207, 210, 212 ff.).

WILLEMS Auke. The Principle of Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law. Oxford, 2020.

Zdroje / Sources

A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace. Wikipedia.org. Dostupné online na: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A_Declaration_of_the_Independence_of_Cyberspace.

Agenda 2020: A new Roadmap on minimum standards of certain procedural safeguards (Roadmap 2020)

Arnhem-Leeuwarden Court of Appeal of 27 June 2021, ECLI:NL:GHARL:2021:6245; and Amsterdam Court of Appeal of 8 October 2021, ECLI:NL:GHAMS:2021:2863

Council Decision (EU) 2022/2332 of 28 November 2022 on identifying the violation of Union restrictive measures as an area of crime that meets the criteria specified in Article 83(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, ST/10287/2022/REV/1, OJ L 308, 29.11.2022.

Council Regulation (EU) No 269/2014 of 17 March 2014 concerning restrictive measures in respect of actions undermining or threatening the territorial integrity, sovereignty and independence of Ukraine, O.J. L 78, 17.3.2014, 6.

Council Regulation (EU) No 833/2014 of 31 July 2014 concerning restrictive measures in view of Russia's actions destabilising the situation in Ukraine, O.J. L 229, 31.7.2014, 1.

Decision of Polish Supreme Court of 30.11.2011, ref. no. I KZP 15/11.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 12 November 2019, case no. 1 StR 415/19.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 18 December 2018, case no. 1 StR 508/18.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 23 April 2020, case no. 1 StR 15/20, BGHSt 65, 5.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 26 January 2022, case no. 3 StR 461/21.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 28 January 2020, case no. 4 StR 599/19.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 29 June 2022, case no. 1 StR 130/22, NStZ-RR 2022, 320.

Decision of the Federal Court of Justice of 3 July 2019, case no. 4 StR 256/19.

Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law, OJ L 198, 28.7.2017.

Directives 2010/64/EU, 2012/13/EU, 2013/48/EU, (EU) 2016/343, (EU) 2016/800, (EU) 2016/1919.

ECBA Initiative 2017/2018 "Agenda 2020: A new Roadmap on minimum standards of certain procedural safeguards, <http://www.ecba.org/extdocserv/20180424_ECBA_Agenda2020_NewRoadMap.pdf>

EPPO 2021 Annual Report, available at <www.eppo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2022-03/EPPO_Annual_Report_2021.pdf> (accessed 16 September 2022).

European Commission, "Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law", COM(2021) 536 final, 6.9.2021.

European Commission, "Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council, Second report on the implementation of Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the

Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law", COM(2022) 466 final, 16.09.2022.

European Commission, Proposal for a Council Decision on adding the violation of Union restrictive measures to the areas of crime laid down in Article 83(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, COM (2022) 247 of 25 May 2022.

European Commission, Sanctions adopted following Russia's military aggression against Ukraine.

Explanatory Memorandum to the Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on asset recovery and confiscation, Brussels 25. 5. 2022 COM(2022) 245 final 2022/0167 (COD).

Genocide Network, Prosecution of sanctions (restrictive measures) violations in national jurisdictions: a comparative analysis, 2021, Annex, available at:<https://www.eurojust.europa.eu/sites/default/files/assets/genocide_network_report_on_prosecution_of_sanctions_restrictive_measures_violations_23_11_2021.pdf>.

Grounds for draft amendment, Sejm printout No. 2263, 9th term of the Sejm.

House of Lords In VOGEL, Joachim, Holger, MATT. Strafverteidiger 2007, 206 (212 Fn. 66).

Judgement of CJEU of 25 July 2018 in case C-216/18.

Judgement of CJEU of 26 March 2020 in joined cases: C-542/18 RX-II and C-543/18 RX-II.

Judgement of CJEU of 26 March 2020 in joined cases: C-558/18 and C-563/18.

Judgement of CJEU of 5 April 2016 in joined cases C-404/15 and C-659/15.

Judgement of ECHR of 9 February 1995 in Welch vs the United Kingdom, Application no. 17440/90.

Judgement of the European Court of Justice, 2 March 2021, ECLI:EU:C:2021:152, Prokuratuur, § 52.

Judgement of the European Court of Justice, 2 March 2021, ECLI:EU:C:2021:152, Prokuratuur, § 55.

Judgement of the European Court of Justice, 2 March 2021, ECLI:EU:C:2021:152, Prokuratuur, § 56.

Judgement of the European Court of Justice, 2 March 2021, ECLI:EU:C:2021:152, Prokuratuur.

Judgment of the CJEU of 15 April 2021, C-221/19, EU:C:2021:278.

Judgment of the CJEU of 21 September 2017, Beshkov, C-171/16, EU:C:2017:710.

Judgment of the CJEU of 25 July 2018, Lada, C-390/16, EU:C:2018:532.

Judgment of the ECHR of 24 October 2013 in Navone and Others v. Monaco, Application No. 62880/11, 62892/11 and 62899/11.

Judgment of the ECHR of 27 November 2008 in Salduz v. Turkey, Application No. 36391/02, par. 55.

Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice of 24 August 2016, case no. 2 StR 504/15.

Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice of 28 February 2013, case no. 4 StR 537/12.

Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice of 28 March 2012, case no. 2 StR 16/12.

Judgment of the Federal Court of Justice of 8 September 1999, case no. 3 StR 285/99.

Judgment of the Polish Constitutional Court from 27.04.2005, ref. no. P 1/05.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 1 April 2014, ECLI:NL:HR:2014:770, NJ 2014/268

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 13 September 2016, ECLI:N-L:HR:2016:2068.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 22 December 2015, ECLI:NL:HR:2015:3608.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 30 June 2009, ECLI:N-L:HR:2009:BH3079, NJ 2009/349.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 5 April 2022, ECLI:NL:HR:2022:475, § 6.11.3.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 5 April 2022, ECLI:NL:HR:2022:475, § 6.12.4.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 5 April 2022, ECLI:NL:HR:2022:475, § 6.12.5.

Judgment of the Supreme Court of 5 April 2022, ECLI:NL:HR:2022:475, § 6.11.2.

Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the definition of criminal offences and penalties for the violation of Union restrictive measures, COM (2022)684 final of 2 December 2022.

Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on asset recovery and confiscation, Brussels, 25. 5. 2022 COM(2022) 245 final.

Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Directive 2012/29/EU, Brussels, 11. 5. 2020 COM(2020) 188 final.

Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council. Asset recovery and confiscation: Ensuring that crime does not pay, Brussels, 2. 6. 2020 COM(2020) 217 final.

Resolution of Polish Supreme Court of 3.3.2019, ref. no. I KZP 30/08.

Resolution of the Council of 30 November 2009 on a Roadmap for strengthening procedural rights of suspected or accused persons in criminal proceedings (2009/C 295/01)

Rozhodnutí Kolegia Úřadu evropského veřejného žalobce ze dne 25. 11. 2020, č. 015/2020. Konsolidované znění. Dostupné z: <https://www.eppo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2022-09/2020.015%20-%202021.085%20Consolidated%20version%20Decision%20on%20the%20Permanent%20Chambers.pdf>.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 1. júna 2016 vo veci C-241/15, Niculaie Aurel Bob-Dogi.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 21. októbra 2010 vo veci C-306/09, I. B.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 26. februára 2013 vo veci C-399/11, Stefano Melloni proti Ministerio Fiscal.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 28. júna 2012 vo veci C-192/12, Melvin West.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 29. januára 2013 vo veci C-396/11, Ciprian Vasile Radu.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie z 30. mája 2013 vo veci C-168/13 PPU, Jeremy F. proti Premier ministre.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskej únie zo dňa 16. novembra 2010 vo veci C-261/09, Gaetano Mantello.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskych spoločenstiev z 18. júla 2007 vo veci C-288/05, Jürgen Kretzinger.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskych spoločenstiev zo 17. júla 2008 vo veci C-66/08, Szymon Kozłowsky.

Rozsudok Súdneho dvora Európskych spoločenstiev zo 6. októbra 2009 vo veci C-123/08, Dominic Wolzenburg.

Shrnutí žiadosti o rozhodnutí o predbežné otázce ze dne 25. 4. 2022 podle čl. 98 odst. 1 jednacího rádu Soudního dvora EU ve věci C-281/22. Dostupné z: <https://curia.europa.eu/juris/showPdf.jsf;jsessionid=E533A7D979CDB49547B417DoAC57514A?text=&docid=261521&pageIndex=0&doLang=CS&mode=lst&dir=&occ=first&part=1&cid=194863>.

The OLAF Report 2021. 1. vydání. Lucemburk. Úřad pro publikace Evropské unie. 2022. 72 stran. ISBN 978-92-76-44413-8.

The Stockholm Programme – An open and secure Europe serving and protecting the citizens, (16484/1/09 REV 1 JAI 866 + ADD 1)

The Supreme Chamber of Control, Information on the audit on recovery of criminal property (in Polish), Warsaw.

Tisková zpráva Úřadu evropského veřejného žalobce, Two Slovak citizens imprisoned, confiscation orders of over €20.5 million – second and third convictions in Germany in cross-border VAT evasion case. Uveřejněna dne 25. 5. 2022. Dostupná z: <https://www.eppo.europa.eu/en/news/two-slovak-citizens-imprisoned-confiscation-orders-over-eu205-million-second-and-third>.

Úřad evropského veřejného žalobce, EPPO One Year in Action: Towards Resolving Complexity and Bringing Added Value. Příspěvek na konferenci. Lucemburk, 1. 6. 2022. Dostupné z: <https://www.eppo.europa.eu/en/news/1-year-eppo-operations-larger-and-faster-eu-fraud-investigations>.

Výroční zpráva Úřadu evropského veřejného žalobce za rok 2021. 1. vydání. Lucemburk. Úřad pro publikace Evropské unie. 2022. 92 stran. ISBN 978-92-95226-47-0.