

Bibliografie

Primární literatura

- Apollónios z Pergy, *Treatise on Conic Sections*, přel. T. L. Heath, Cambridge 1897.
- Archimédés, *The Works of Archimedes: On Conoids and Spheroids*, přel. T. L. Heath, Cambridge 1897.
- Brahe, T., *De disciplinis mathematicis oratio*, in T. Brahe, *Tychonis Brahe Dani Opera Omnia*, ed. J. L. Dreyer, 15 sv., Copenhagen 1913–1929, sv. I., s. 143–173.
- Brahe, T., *Přístroje obnovené astronomie*, přel. A. Hadravová, P. Hadrava, Praha 1996.
- Clavius, C., *In Sphaeram Sacrobosci Commentarius*, Romae 1610.
- Commandino, F., *Archimedis opera non nulla. Nuper in Latinum conversa et commentariis illustrata. Eiusdem commentarius in Librum de conoidibus et sphaeroidibus*, Venetiis 1558.
- Du Hamel, J. B., *Astronomia physica seu de luce, natura et motibus corporum caelestium libri duo*, Parisiis 1660.
- Eukleidés, *The Thirteen Books of the Elements*, přel. T. L. Heath, 3 sv., Cambridge 1908.
- Galilei, G. – Kepler, J., *Hvězdný posel. Rozprava s Hvězdným poslem*, přel. A. Hadravová, P. Hadrava, Příbram 2015.
- Galilei, G., *Dialóg o dvoch systémech sveta*, přel. M. Pažitka, Bratislava 1962.
- Gassendi, P., *Institutio astronomica* (1647), in Gassendi, P., *Opera omnia*, 6 sv., Lyon 1658, repr. Stuttgart – Bad Cannstatt 1964, sv. IV., s. 1–65.
- Gaudroys, C., *Le système du monde selon les trois hypothèses*, Paris 1675.
- Kepler, J. *Astronomia nova αίτιολόγητος seu Physica coelestis, tradita commentariis de motibus stellae Martis*, Heidelberg 1609.
- Kepler, J., *Ad Vitellionem paralipomena, quibus astronomiae pars optica traditur appellari*, Francofurti 1604.
- Kepler, J., *Astronomia nova*, přel. M. Caspar, ed. F. Krafft, Wiesbaden 2005.
- Kepler, J., *Dioptrika*, přel. M. Petráň, Olomouc 2011.
- Kepler, J., *Gesammelte Werke*, ed. M. Caspar, W. von Dyck, 25 sv., München 1937–2009.
- Kepler, J., *Le secret du monde*, přel. A.-Ph. Segonds, Paris 1984.

Bibliografie

- Kepler, J., *New astronomy*, přel. W. H. Donahue, Cambridge 1992.
- Mästlin, M., *Epitome astronomiae*, Tubingae 1588.
- Melanchthon, P., *Initia doctrinae physicae*, in Melanchthon, P., *Corpus reformatorum*, ed. C. G. Bretschneider, Halle 1846, sv. XIII, s. 179–412.
- Pagano, S. M. – Luciani, A. G. (eds.), *I documenti del processo di Galileo Galilei*, Città di Vaticano 1984.
- Peucer, C., *Elementa doctrinae de circulis coelestibus*, Vitebergae 1558.
- Ptolemaios, *Hypotheses Planetarum*, in Goldstein, R., „The Arabic Version of Ptolemy's Planetary Hypotheses“, *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* 57 (1967), s. 3–55.
- Ptolemaios, *Ptolemy's Almagest*, přel. G. J. Toomer, Princeton 1998.
- Riccioli, G. B., *Almagestum novum*, 2 sv., Bononiae 1651.
- Rohault, J., *Traité de physique*, Paris 1671.
- Scaliger, J. C., *Exotericae exercitationes*, Lugduni 1605.
- Vergilius, *Zpěvy pastýřské*, přel. H. Kurzová, Praha – Litomyšl 2004.

Sekundární literatura

- Aaboe, A., *Episodes From the Early History of Astronomy*, New York 2001, s. 145.
- Aiton, E. J., „How Kepler discovered the elliptical orbit“, *The Mathematical Gazette* 59 (1975), s. 250–260.
- Aiton, E. J., „Kepler's Second Law of Planetary Motion“, *Isis* 60 (1969), s. 75–90.
- Aiton, E. J., „The Elliptical Orbit and the Area Law“, *Vistas in Astronomy* 18 (1975), s. 573–583.
- Aiton, E. J., *The Vortex Theory of Planetary Motion*, New York 1972.
- Applebaum, W., „Keplerian Astronomy after Kepler: Researches and Problems“, *History of Science* 34 (1996), s. 451–504.
- Augros, M., „Introductory astronomy: Ptolemy – Copernicus – Kepler“ [online], dostupné z: <https://artsofliberty.udallas.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/Introductory-Astronomy.pdf>, s. 139 (12. 12. 2019).
- Baigrie, B. S., „Kepler's laws of planetary motion, before and after Newton's *Principia*: An Essay on the transformation of scientific problems“, *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 18 (1987), s. 177–208.
- Barbour, J. B., *The Discovery of Dynamics*, Oxford – New York 2001.

- Barker, P. – Goldstein, B. G., „Realism and Instrumentalism in Sixteenth Century Astronomy: A Reappraisal“, *Perspectives on Science* 6 (1998), s. 232–258.
- Barker, P. – Goldstein, B. R., „Distance and velocity in Kepler’s Astronomy“, *Annals of Science* 51 (1994), s. 59–73.
- Barker, P. – Goldstein, B. R., „Theological Foundations of Kepler’s Astronomy“, *Osiris* 16 (2001), s. 88–113.
- Barker, P., „Kepler and Melanchthon on the biblical arguments against Copernicanism“, in van der Meer, J. M. – Mandelbrote, S. (eds.), *Nature and Scripture*, s. 595–613.
- Barker, P., „The Role of Religion in the Lutheran Response to Copernicus“, in Osler, M. J. (ed.), *Rethinking the Scientific Revolution*, Cambridge 2000, s. 59–88.
- Bennett, B. H., *The Keplerian revolution: astronomy, physics, and the argument for heliocentrism*, dizertační práce, London (Ontario) 1999.
- Beretta, F., „Galileo Galilei und die römische Inquisition (1616–1633)“, in Wolf, H. (ed.), *Inquisition, Index, Zensur. Wissenskulturen der Neuzeit im Widerstreit*, Paderborn 2001, s. 141–158.
- Beretta, F., „Melchior Inchofer et l’hérésie de Galilée: censure doctrinale et hiérarchie intellectuelle“, *Journal of Modern European History* 3 (2005), s. 23–49.
- Bieri, H., *Der Streit um das kopernikanische Weltsystem im 17. Jahrhundert. Galileo Galileis Akkommodationstheorie und ihre historischen Hintergründe*, Bern 2008, s. 85–98.
- Blackwell, R. J., *Behind the Scenes at Galileo’s Trial*, Notre Dame 2006.
- Blackwell, R. J., *Galileo, Bellarmine, and the Bible*, London 1991.
- Blair, A., „Tycho Brahe’s Critique of Copernicus and the Copernican System“, *Journal of the History of Ideas* 51 (1990), s. 355–377.
- Blumenberg, H., *Die Genesis der kopernikanischen Welt*, Frankfurt a. M. 1996.
- Boner, P. J., „Soul-Searching with Kepler: An Analysis of *Anima* in his Astrology“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 36 (2005), s. 7–19.
- Boner, P. J., *Kepler’s Cosmological Synthesis: Astrology, Mechanism and the Soul*, Leiden – Boston 2013.
- Brackenridge, J. B., „Kepler, Elliptical Orbits, and Celestial Circularity: A Study in the Persistence of Metaphysical Commitment Part II.“, *Annals of Science* 39 (1982), s. 265–295.
- Bucciantini, M., *Galilée et Kepler. Philosophie, cosmologie et théologie à l’époque de la Contre-Réforme*, Paris 2008.

Bibliografie

- Claessens, G., „Imagination as Self-knowledge: Kepler on Proclus' *Commentary on the First Book of Euclid's Elements*“, *Early Science and Medicine* 16 (2011), s. 179–199.
- Copenhaver, B. P., „Jak a proč provozovat magii: Filosofické recepty“, in Hankins, J. (ed.), *Renesanční filosofie*, přel. M. Pokorný, Praha 2011, s. 186–230.
- Danneberg, L., „Von der *accomodatio ad captum vulgi* über die *accomodatio secundum apparentiam nostri visus* zur *aesthetica als scientia cognitionis sensitivae*“, in Johansson, T. – Kolb, R. – Steiger, J. A. (eds.), *Hermeneutica Sacra. Studien zur Auslegung der Heiligen Schrift im 16. und 17. Jahrhundert*, 2010, s. 313–380.
- Davis, A. E. L., „Kepler's *Astronomia nova*: a Geometrical Success Story“, in Hadravová, A. – Mahoney, T. J. – Hadrava, P. (eds.), *Kepler's Heritage in the Space Age*, Praha 2010, s. 17–23.
- Davis, A. E. L., „Kepler's Road to Damascus“, *Centaurus* 35 (1992), s. 143–164.
- Davis, A. E. L., „The Geometrical Root of the Area-Measure of Time (From Kepler's *Astronomia Nova*)“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 46 (2015), s. 297–324.
- Davis, A. E. L., *A Mathematical Elucidation of the Bases of Kepler's laws*, dizertační práce, London 1981.
- Dear, P., „What Is the History of Science the History of?“, *Isis* 96 (2005), s. 390–406.
- Delambre, J. B. J., *Historie de l'Astronomie moderne*, Paris 1821.
- Donahue, W. H., „Glossary“, in Kepler, J., *New astronomy*, přel. W. H. Donahue, Cambridge 1992, s. 20–25.
- Donahue, W. H., *Selections from Kepler's Astronomia Nova*, Santa Fe 2008.
- Dreyer, J. L. E., *History of the Planetary Systems from Thales to Kepler*, Cambridge 1906, repr. New York 1953.
- Fergusonová, K., *Tycho a Kepler. Nesourodá dvojice, jež jednou provždy změnila náš pohled na vesmír*, Praha 2009.
- Finocchiaro, M. A., *Defending Copernicus and Galileo. Critical Reasoning in the Two Affairs*, Dordrecht – New York 2009.
- Folta, J. (ed.), *Mysterium Cosmographicum (1596–1996)*, Praha 1998.
- Garber, D., „Philosophia, Historia, Mathematica: Shifting Sands in the Disciplinary Geography of the Seventeenth Century“, in Sorell, T. – Rogers, G. A. J. – Kraye, J. (eds.), *Scientia in Early Modern Philosophy. Seventeenth-Century Thinkers on Demonstrative Knowledge from First Principles*, Dordrecht 2010, s. 1–17.
- Gingerich, O. – Voelkel, J. R., „Tycho Brahe's Copernican Campaign“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 29 (1998), s. 1–34.
- Gingerich, O., „Kepler's place in astronomy“, *Vistas in Astronomy* 18 (1975), s. 261–278.

- Gingerich, O., *The Eye of Heaven. Ptolemy, Copernicus, Kepler*, New York 1993.
- Goldstein, B. R. – Hon, G., „Kepler's Move from Orbs to Orbits“, *Perspectives on Science* 13 (2005), s. 74–111.
- Goldstein, B., „Saving the Phenomena: The Background to Ptolemy's Planetary Theory“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 28 (1997), s. 1–12.
- Granada, M. A., „A quo moventur planetae? L'agent du mouvement planétaire après la disparition des orbés solides“, in Mehl, É. (ed.), *Kepler: La physique céleste. Autour de l'Astronomia Nova (1609)*, Paris 2011, s. 133–164.
- Granada, M. A., „The Defence of the Movement of the Earth in Rothmann, Maestlin and Kepler: From heavenly Geometry to Celestial Physics“, in Bucciantini, M. – Camerota, M. – Roux, S. (eds.), *Mechanics and Cosmology in the Medieval and Early Modern Period*, Florence 2007, s. 95–119.
- Granada, M. A., „Tycho Brahe's Anti-Copernican Campaign: his criticism of Maestlin and Thomas Digges in the *Astronomiae Instauratae Progymnasmata*“, in Tessicini, D. – Boner, P. (eds.), *Celestial Novelties on the Eve of the Scientific Revolution 1540–1630*, Firenze 2013, s. 185–208.
- Graney, C. M., „Science Rather Than God: Riccioli's Review of the Case for and Against the Copernican Hypothesis“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 43 (2012), s. 215 až 225.
- Graney, C. M., „The Telescope against Copernicus: Star Observations by Riccioli Supporting A Geocentric Universe“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 41 (2010), s. 453–467.
- Grant, E., „In Defense of the Earth's Centrality and Immobility: Scholastic Reaction to Copernicanism in Seventeenth Century“, *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* 74 (1984), s. 1–69.
- Grant, E., *Planets, Stars, and Orbs. The Medieval Cosmos 1200–1687*, Cambridge 1994, s. 514–568; Lerner, *Le monde des sphères*, sv. I, s. 165–194.
- Groh, D., *Schöpfung im Widerspruch. Deutungen von der Natur des Menschen von der Genesis bis zur Reformation*, Frankfurt a. M. 2003.
- Hadravová, A. – Hadrava, P., „Cosmological theories at the time of Tycho Brahe“, in Folta, J. (ed.), *Mysterium cosmographicum 1596–1996*, Praha 1998, s. 225–233.
- Harrison, P., *The Bible, Protestantism, and the Rise of Natural Science*, Cambridge 1998.
- Hatfield, G., „Was the Scientific Revolution Really a Revolution in Science?“, in Ragep, F. J. – Ragep, S. P. (eds.), *Tradition, Transmission, Transformation*, Leiden – New York – Köln 1996, s. 399–425.

Bibliografie

- Holder, M., „Kepler's Differential Equations“, in *arXiv.org* [online], 2011, dostupné z: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3964v1> (22. 11. 2019).
- Holder, M., *Die Kepler-Ellipse. Eine alte Geschichte neu erzählt*, Siegen 2015.
- Horský, Z., „Mikuláš Koperník. Profil významné osobnosti renesanční doby“, in *Koperník, M., O oběžích nebeských sfér. První kniha*, přel. Z. Horský, ed. V. Hladký, Praha – Červený Kostelec 2016, s. 15–77.
- Horský, Z., *Kepler v Praze*, Praha 1980.
- Howell, K. J., *God's Two Books. Copernican Cosmology and Biblical Interpretation in Early Modern Science*, Notre Dame 2002.
- Hübner, J., *Die Theologie Johannes Keplers zwischen Orthodoxie und Naturwissenschaft*, Tübingen 1975.
- Jardine, N. – Segonds, A.-Ph., „A Challenge to the Reader: Ramus on Astrologia without Hypotheses“, in Feingold, M. et al. (eds.), *The Influence of Petrus Ramus. Studies in Sixteenth and Seventeenth Century Philosophy and Science*, Basel 2001, s. 248–266.
- Kleczek, J., *Velká encyklopedie vesmíru*, Praha 2002.
- Koot, W., *Kepler's battle with the Mars orbit: A modern approach to the steps taken by Kepler*, bakalářská práce, Utrecht 2014, dostupné z: <http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/302355> [online] (10. 11. 2019).
- Koyré, A., *The Astronomical Revolution*, přel. R. E. W. Maddison, Ithaca – New York 1973.
- Krafft, F., „Johannes Keplers Beitrag zur Himmelsphysik“, in Krafft, F. – Meyer, K. – Sticker, B. (eds.), *Internationales Kepler-Symposium Weil der Stadt 1971*, Hildesheim 1973, s. 55–139.
- Krafft, F., „Der Mathematikos und der Physikos“, in *Alte Probleme – Neue Ansätze. Drei Vorträge von F. Krafft, K. Goldammer, A. Wettley*, Wiesbaden 1965, s. 5–24.
- Krafft, F., „Die Physikalisation der Astronomie durch Nicolaus Copernicus und Johannes Kepler“, in Salatowsky, S. – Lotze, K.-H. (eds.), *Himmelspektakel. Astronomie im Protestantismus der frühen Neuzeit*, Gotha 2014, s. 24–37.
- Krafft, F., „*orbis (sphaera), circulus, via, iter, orbita* – zur terminologischen Kennzeichnung des wesentlichen Paradigmawechsels in der Astronomie durch Johannes Kepler“, *Beiträge zur Astronomiegeschichte* 11 (2011), s. 25–99.
- Krafft, F., „Physikalische Realität oder mathematische Hypothese? Andreas Osiander und die physikalische Erneuerung der antiken Astronomie durch Nicolaus Copernicus“, *Philosophia naturalis* 14 (1973), s. 243–275.
- Krafft, F., „Progressus retrogradis. Die ‚Copernicanische Wende‘ als Ergebnis absoluter Paradigmatreue“, in Diemer, A. (ed.), *Die Struktur wissenschaftlicher Revolutionen*

- und die Geschichte der Wissenschaften: Studien zur Wissenschaftstheorie, Meisenheim am Glan 1977, s. 20–48.
- Krafft, F., „Sphaera activitatis – orbis virtutis. Das Entstehen der Vorstellung von Zentralkräften“, *Sudhoffs Archiv* 54 (1970), s. 113–140.
- Krafft, F., „Vom Segen und Fluch einer Analogie: Johannes Keplers kosmischer Magnetismus“, in Hentschel, K. (ed.), *Analogien in Naturwissenschaften, Medizin und Technik*, Halle an der Salle – Stuttgart 2010, s. 171–193.
- Kusukawa, S., *The Transformation of Natural Philosophy. The Case of Philip Melanchthon*, Cambridge 1995.
- Lerner, M.-P., „L'Achille des coperniciens“, *Bibliothèque d'Humanisme et Renaissance* 42 (1980), s. 313–327.
- Lerner, M.-P. – Segonds, A.-Ph., „Introduction“, in Copernic, N., *De revolutionibus orbium coelestium/Des révolutions des orbes célestes*, 3 sv., Paris 2015, sv. I.
- Lerner, M.-P., „Aux origines de la polémique anticopernicienne (II): Martin Luther, Andreas Osiander et Philipp Melanchthon“, *Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques* 90 (2006), s. 409–452.
- Lerner, M.-P., „Aux origines de la polémique anticopernicienne (I): L'Opusculum quartum de Giovanmaria Tolosani [1547–1548]“, *Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques* 86 (2002), s. 681–721.
- Lerner, M.-P., „Copernic suspendu et corrigé: sur les deux décrets de la Congrégation romaine de l'Index (1616–1620)“, *Galilaeana* 1 (2004), s. 21–89.
- Lerner, M.-P., „L'Hérésie héliocentrique: Du soupçon à la condamnation“, *Sciences et Religions. De Copernic à Galilée (1540–1610)*, Roma 1999, s. 69–91.
- Lerner, M.-P., *Le monde des sphères*, 2 sv., 2. vyd., Paris 2008.
- Lindberg, D. C., *Theories of Vision from Al-Kindi to Kepler*, Chicago 1976.
- Lloyd, G. E. R., „Saving the Appearances“, *The Classical Quarterly* 28 (1978), s. 202–222.
- Martens, R., „Kepler's Solution to the Problem of a Realist Celestial Mechanics“, *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 30 (1999), s. 377–394.
- Martens, R., *Kepler's archetypes in discovery and justification*, dizertační práce, London (Ontario) 1997.
- Martens, R., *Kepler's Philosophy and the New Astronomy*, Princeton – Oxford 2000.
- Mayaud, P.-N., *Le conflit entre l'Astronomie Nouvelle et l'Écriture Sainte aux XVIe et XVIIe siècles. Un moment de l'histoire des idées. Autour de l'affaire Galilée*, 5 sv., Paris 2005.
- Mazer, A., *Shifting the Earth*, Hoboken 2011.
- Methuen, C., *Kepler's Tübingen. Stimulus to a Theological Mathematics*, Aldershot 1998.

Bibliografie

- Michalík, J., *Robert Fludd a Johannes Kepler. Dvě podoby platónské tradice*, Olomouc 2014.
- Miller, D. M., „O male factum: rectilinearity and Kepler's discovery of the ellipse“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 39 (2008), s. 43–63.
- Müller, K., „Ph. Melanchthon und das kopernikansiche Weltsystem“, *Centaurus* 9 (1963), s. 16–28.
- Nejeschleba, T., *Kapitoly z renesanční filosofie*, Brno 2014.
- Omodeo, P. D., *Copernicus in the Cultural Debates of the Renaissance. Reception, Legacy, Transformation*, Leiden – Boston 2014.
- Palmerino, C. R., „Bodies in Water like Planets in the Skies: Uses and Abuses of Analogical Reasoning in the Study of Planetary Motion“, in Bucciantini, M. – Camerota, M. – Roux, S. (eds.), *Mechanics and Cosmology in the Medieval and Early Modern Period*, Florence 2007, s. 145–168.
- Pantin, I., „L'Astronomia nova: Le point de vue d l'histoire du livre“, in Mehl, É. (ed.), *Kepler: La physique céleste. Autour de l'Astronomia Nova (1609)*, Paris 2011, s. 23–43.
- Pantin, I., „New Philosophy and Old Prejudices: Aspects of the Reception of Copernicanism in a Divided Europe“, *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Science* 30 (1999), s. 237–262.
- Pantin, I., „Science et religion au temps de la ‚révolution scientifique‘: Les coperniciens et les règles de l'exegese“, *Archives des Sciences* 55 (2002), s. 1–17.
- Pisano, R. – Bussotti, P., „On the Conceptualization of Force in Johannes Kepler's *Corpus*: An Interplay Between Physics/Mathematics and Metaphysics“, in Pisano, R. – Agassi, J. – Drozdova, D. (eds.), *Hypotheses and Perspectives in the History and Philosophy of Science*, Cham 2018, s. 295–345.
- Randles, W. G. L., *The Unmaking of the Medieval Christian Cosmos 1500–1760. From Solid Heavens to Boundless Aether*, Aldershot 1999.
- Ross, J., „The Fallacy of the Equant“, *ΔYNAMIS* 1 (2007), č. 3, s. 17–19.
- Sakamoto, K., *Julius Caesar Scaliger, Renaissance Reformer of Aristotelianism. A Study of His Exotericae Exercitationes*, Leiden – Boston, 2016.
- Siebert, H., „The Early Search for Stellar Parallax: Galileo, Castelli, and Ramponi“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 36 (2005), s. 251–271.
- Siebert, H., *Die grosse kosmologische Kontroverse: Rekonstruktionversuche anhand des Itinerarium exstaticum von Athanasius Kircher, SJ (1602–1680)*, Stuttgart 2006.
- Small, R., *An Account of the Astronomical Discoveries of Kepler*, London 1804.

- Snobelen, S. D., „In the language of men: The Hermeneutics of Accommodation in the Scientific Revolution“, in van der Meer – Mandelbrote (eds.), *Nature and Scripture*, s. 691–732.
- Stephenson, B., *Kepler's Physical Astronomy*, Princeton – New Jersey 1994.
- Sverdlow, N. M. – Neugebauer, O., *Mathematical Astronomy in Copernicus's De Revolutionibus. Part 1–2*, New York 1984.
- Sverdlow, N. M., „Kepler's iterative solution to Kepler's equation“, *Journal for the History of Astronomy* 31 (2000), s. 339–341.
- Špelda, D., *Astronomie ve středověku*, Ostrava 2008.
- Špelda, D., *Člověk a hvězdy*, Praha 2018.
- Štefl, V., „Jak Kepler dospěl k prvním dvěma zákonům v *Astronomia nova*“, *Československý časopis pro fyziku* 68 (2018), s. 41–50.
- Štefl, V., „Kdy byla poprvé určena vzdálenost Země – Slunce?“, *Československý časopis pro fyziku* 66 (2016), s. 231–237.
- Štefl, V., „Keplerovy kroky na cestě k elipse“, *Československý časopis pro fyziku* 69 (2019), s. 190–199.
- Thorvaldsen, S., „From Keplerian Orbits to Precise Planetary Predictions: the Transit of the 1630s“, *The Journal of Astronomical Data* 19 (2013), s. 97–108.
- Thurston, H., *Early Astronomy*, New York 1994.
- Tredwell, K. A. – Barker, P., „Copernicus' First Friends: Physical Copernicanism from 1543 to 1610“, *Filozofski vestnik* 25 (2004), s. 143–166.
- Van der Meer, J. M. – Mandelbrote, S. (eds.), *Nature and Scripture in the Abrahamic Religions: Up to 1700*, sv. 1, Leiden – Boston 2008.
- Van Helden, A., *Measuring the Universe. Cosmic Dimensions from Aristarchus to Halley*, Chicago – London 1985.
- Voelkel, J. R., „Publish or Perish: Legal Contingencies and the Publication of Kepler's *Astronomia nova*“, *Science in Context* 12 (1999), s. 33–59.
- Voelkel, J. R., *The Composition of Kepler's Astronomia nova*, Princeton 2001.
- Westman, R. S., „The Copernicans and the Churches“, Lindberg, D. C. – Numbers, R. L. (eds.), *God and Nature: Historical Essays on the Encounter between Christianity and Science*, Berkeley – Los Angeles – London 1986, s. 76–113.
- Westman, R., „The Astronomer's Role in the Sixteenth Century: A Preliminary Study“, *History of Science* 18 (1980), s. 105–147.
- Westman, R., „The Melanchthon Circle, Rheticus, and the Wittenberg Interpretation of the Copernican Theory“, *Isis* 66 (1975), s. 164–193.

Bibliografie

- White, M., *Antikrist Galileo: životopis*, přel. L. Synek, H. Synková, Praha 2011.
- Wilson, C., „How Did Kepler Discovered His First Two Laws?“, *Scientific American* 226 (1972), s. 92-106.
- Wilson, C., „Kepler's derivation of the elliptical orbit“, *Isis* 59 (1968), s. 4-25.
- Wilson, C., „Kepler's Ellipse and Area Rule - their Derivation from Fact and Conjecture“, *Vistas in Astronomy* 18 (1975), s. 587-591.
- Wilson, C., „Part 10: Predictive astronomy in the century after Kepler“, in Taton, R. - Wilson, C. (eds.), *Planetary astronomy from the Renaissance to the rise of Astrophysics Part A: Tycho Brahe to Newton*, Cambridge - New York 1989, s. 161-206.