

Literatura

- ADAMS, W. M. (1990). *Green Development: Environment and Sustainability in the Third World*. London and New York: Routledge.
- AGHION, P., HOWITT, P. (1998). *Endogenous Growth Theory*. Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
- ALDRED, J. (2012). Climate change uncertainty, irreversibility and the precautionary principle. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1051–72.
- ALTVATER, E. (2007). A Marxist ecological economics. *Monthly Review* 58(8): 55–64.
- ANDERSON, B., M'GONIGLE, M. (2012). Does ecological economics have a future? Contradiction and reinvention in the age of climate change. *Ecological Economics* 84: 37–48.
- ANDERSON, D. A. (2010). *Environmental Economics and Natural Resource Management*. Oxon: Routledge.
- AYERS, C. E. (1936). 'Fifty years' development in ideas of human nature and motivation. *American Economic Review* 26(1): 224–236.
- AYRES, R. U. (1993). Cowboys, cornucopians and long-run sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 8(3): 189–207.
- AYRES, R. U., KNEESE, A. V. (1969). Production, consumption, and externalities. *The American Economic Review* 59, 282–297.
- BACKHOUSE, R. E. (2004). A suggestion for clarifying the study of dissent in economics. *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 26(2): 261–271.
- BACKHOUSE, R. E. (2000). Progress in Heterodox Economics. *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 22(2): 149–157.
- BARBIER, E. B. (2000). Links between economic liberalization and rural resource degradation. *Agricultural Economics* 23(3): 299–310.
- BARBIER, E. B., MARKANDYA, A. (1989). The conditions for achieving environmentally sustainable economic development. *LEEC Paper 89-01*. London: London Environmental Economics Centre.
- BARBIER, E. B., MARKANDYA, A., PEARCE, D. W. (1990). Environmental Sustainability and Cost-Benefit Analysis. *Environment and Planning A* 22(9): 1259–1266.
- BARRO, R. J., SALA-I-MARTIN, X. (2004). *Economic Growth*. 2nd Edition. Massachusetts: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press.

- BARTELMUS, P. (2010). Use and usefulness of sustainability economics. *Ecological Economics* 69(11): 2053–2055.
- BATOR, F. M. (1958). The anatomy of market failure. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 72(3): 351–379.
- BAUMGÄRTNER, S., BECKER, C. (ed.) (2005). *Wissenschafts Philosophie Interdisziplinärer Umweltforschung*. Marburg: Metropolis Verlag.
- BAUMGÄRTNER, S., BECKER, C., FRANK, K., MULLER, B., QUAAS, M. (2008). Relating the philosophy and practice of ecological economics: The role of concepts, models, and case studies in inter- and transdisciplinary sustainability research. *Ecological Economics* 67(3): 384–393.
- BAUMGÄRTNER, S., FABER, M., PROOPS, J., SCHILLER, J. (2001). The concept of joint production and ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 36(3): 365–372.
- BAUMGÄRTNER, S., QUAAS, M. (2010). What is sustainability economics? *Ecological Economics* 69(3): 445–450.
- BELTRATTI, A., CHICHILNISKY, G., HEAL, G. (1994). The environment and the long run: A comparison of different criteria. *Ricerche Economiche* 48: 319–340.
- BERGER, P., LUCKMANN, T. (1966). *The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*. New York: Doubleday.
- BERKES, F., FOLKE, C. (1992). A systems perspective on the interrelations between nature, human-made and cultural capital. *Ecological Economics* 5: 1–8.
- BERNAL, J. D. (1939). *The Social Function of Science*. London: Routledge.
- BERR, E. (2009). Keynes and sustainable development. *International Journal of Political Economy* 38(3): 22–38.
- BIGO, V., NEGRU, I. (2011). Theorising care: a possible defence of interdisciplinarity in economics, *International Journal of Green Economics* 5(2): 109–25.
- BIGO, V., NEGRU, I. (2008). From fragmentation to ontologically reflexive pluralism. *Journal of Philosophical Economics* 1, 2: 127–150.
- BISHOP, R. C. (1978). Economics of endangered species. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 60(1): 10–18.
- BOISVERT, V., VIVIEN, F. - D. (2012). Towards a political economy approach to the Convention on Biological Diversity. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1163–79.
- BOULDING, K. E. (1966). The economics of the coming spaceship Earth. In: Jarrett, H. (ed.): *Environmental quality in a growing economy*. Baltimore, MD: Resources for the Future/Johns Hopkins University Press, 3–14.
- BROCK, W. A. (1977). A Polluted Golden Age. In: V. Smith (ed.): *Economics of Natural and Environmental Resources*. Gordon and Breach.
- BROMLEY, D., W. (1991). *Environment and Economy: Property Rights and Public Policy*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

- BURKETT, P. (2004). Marx's reproduction schemes and the environment. *Ecological Economics* 49(4): 457–67.
- BURKETT, P., FOSTER, J. B. (2008). Classical marxism and the second law of thermodynamics: Marx/Engels, the heat death of the universe hypothesis and the origins of ecological economics. *Organization & Environment* 21(1): 3–37.
- BURKETT, P., FOSTER, J. B. (2004). Ecological economics and classical Marxism: the 'Podolinsky business' reconsidered. *Organization & Environment* 17(1): 32–60.
- CARSON, R. (1962). *Silent Spring*. New York: Houghton-Mifflin.
- CASS, D. (1965). Optimum growth in an aggregative model of capital accumulation. *Review of Economic Studies* 32(3): 233–240.
- CATO, S. M. (2012). Green economics: putting the planet and politics back into economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1033–49.
- CIHELKOVÁ, E., FRAIT, J. a kol. (2008). *Mezinárodní ekonomie II*. Praha: C.H. Beck.
- CLARK, C. W. (1990). *Mathematical Bioeconomics: The Optimal Management of Renewable Resources*. 2nd edition, Wiley, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore.
- CLEVELAND, C., J. (1987). Biophysical economics: historical perspective and current research trends. *Ecological Modelling* 38, 47–73.
- COASE, R. (1960). The problem of social cost. *Journal of Law and Economics* 3: 1–44.
- COLANDER, D. (2009). Moving beyond the rhetoric of pluralism: Suggestions for an inside-the-mainstream heterodoxy. *Middlebury College Economics Discussion Paper*, No. 09-15.
- COLANDER, D. (2005). What economists teach and what economists do. *Journal of Economic Education* 36(3): 249–260.
- COLANDER, D. (2003). Post Walrasian macroeconomics and heterodoxy: thinking outside the heterodox box. *International Journal of Political Economy* 33(2): 68–81.
- COLANDER, D. (2002). The death of neoclassical economics. *Middlebury College Economics Discussion Paper*, No. 02-37.
- COLANDER, D. (2000a). New millennium economics: how did it get this way, and what way is it? *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 14(1): 121–32.
- COLANDER, D. (2000b). The death of neoclassical economics. *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 22(2): 127–143.
- COLANDER, D., HOLT, R., ROSSER, J. B., Jr. (2010). How to win friends and (possibly) influence mainstream economists. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 32(3): 397–408.
- COLANDER, D., HOLT, R. P. F., ROSSER, J. B., Jr. (2007). Live and dead issues in the methodology of economics. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 30(2): 303–312.

- COLANDER, D., HOLT, R. P. F., ROSSER, J. B., Jr. (2004a). *The Changing Face of Economics: Conversations with Cutting Edge Economists*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- COLANDER, D., HOLT, R. P. F., ROSSER, J. B., Jr. (2004b). The changing face of mainstream economics. *Review of Political Economy* 16(4): 485–499.
- COMMON, M., STAGL, S. (2005). *Ecological Economics: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- COMMON, M., PERRINGS, C. (1992). Towards and ecological economics of sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 6(1): 7–34.
- CONDORCET, J. A. N. (1776). *Réflexions sur le Commerce des Bleds*. A Londres. Avril.
- COSTANZA, R. (1991). Ecological economics: the science and management of sustainability. In: Allen, T. F. H., Roberts, D. W. (ed.): *Complexity in Ecological Systems Series*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- COSTANZA, R. (1996). The importance of envisioning in motivating change towards sustainability. *The Ecological Economics Bulletin* 1, 11–13.
- COSTANZA, R. (1996). Ecological economics: reintegrating the study of humans and nature. *Ecological Applications* 6(4): 978–990.
- COSTANZA, R. (1996). The impact of ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 19(1): 1–2.
- COSTANZA, R. (ed.) (1991). *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- COSTANZA, R. (1989). What is ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 1: 1–7.
- COSTANZA, R., KING, J. (1999). The first decade of ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 28(1): 1–9.
- CREATH, R., (2011). Logical empiricism. In: Zalta, E. N. (ed.): *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University.
- DALY H. E. (1996). *Beyond growth: The economics of sustainable development*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- DALY, H. E. (1992). *Steady-state economics: Second edition with new essays*. London: Earthscan.
- DALY, H. E. (1991a). *Steady-State Economics*. Second edition with new essays. Washington, D. C., Covelo, CA: Island Press.
- DALY, H. E. (1991b). Elements of environmental macroeconomics. In: Costanza, R. (ed.): *Ecological Economics: The Science and Management of Sustainability*. New York: Columbia University Press, 32–46.
- DALY, H. E. (1991c). Towards and Environmental Macroeconomics. *Land Economics* 67(2): 255–59.
- DALY, H. E. (1974). The economics of the steady state. *American Economic Review* 64(2): 15–21.

- DALY, H. E. (1977). *Steady-State Economics*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- DALY, H. E. (1972). In defense of a steady-state economy. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 54(5): 945–954.
- DALY, H. E., COBB, J. B. (1994). *For the Common good. Redirecting the Economy Towards Community, the Environment and a Sustainable Future*. London: Green Print.
- DALY, H. E., COBB, J. B. (1989). *For the Common Good: Redirecting the Economy Towards Community, the Environment and a Sustainable Future*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- DALY, H. E., FARLEY, J. (2004). *Ecological Economics: Principles and Applications*. Washington: Island Press.
- DASGUPTA, P., HEAL, G. (1979). *Economic Theory and Exhaustible Resources*. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.
- DASGUPTA, P., HEAL, G. (1974). The optimal depletion of exhaustible resources. *The Review of Economic Studies* 41(5): 3–28.
- DAVIDSON, P. (2005a). Responses to Lavoie, King, and Dow on what post Keynesianism is and who is a post Keynesian. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 27(3): 393–409.
- DAVIDSON, P. (2003–2004). Setting the record straight on a history of post keynesian economics. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 25(2): 245–272.
- DAVIDSON, P. (1991). Is probability theory relevant for uncertainty? *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 5(1): 129–43.
- DAVIS, J. B. (2009). The nature of heterodox economics. In: Fullbrook, E. (ed.): *Ontology and Economics, Tony Lawson and his Critics*. London: Routledge, 20–39.
- DAVIS, J. B. (2008). The turn in recent economics and return of orthodoxy. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 32(3): 349–66.
- DAVIS, J. (2006). Heterodox economics, the fragmentation of the mainstream, and embedded individual analysis. In: R. Garnett and J. Harvey (ed.): *Future Directions in Heterodox Economics*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.
- DAVIS, J. B. (2005). Heterodox economics, the fragmentation of the mainstream and embedded individual analysis. In: R. Garnett and J. Harvey, (eds.): *The Future of Heterodox Economics*, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- DAVIS, J. (1999). Common sense: A middle way between formalism and poststructuralism, *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 23(4): 503–515.
- DEQUECH, D. (2007–2008). Neoclassical, mainstream, orthodox, and heterodox economics. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 30(2): 279–302.
- DEQUECH, D. (2007). Neoclassical, mainstream, orthodox, and heterodox economics. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 30(2): 279–302.
- DEQUECH, D. (2000). Fundamental uncertainty and ambiguity. *Eastern Economic Journal* 26: 41–60.

- DOBUSCH, L., KAPELLER, J. (2012). Heterodox united vs. mainstream city: sketching a framework for interested pluralism in economics. *Journal of Economic Issues* 46(4): 1035–1057.
- DOBUSCH, L., KAPELLER, J. (2009a). ‘Why is economics not an evolutionary science?’ – New answers to Veblen’s old question. *Journal of Economic Issues* 43(4): 867–898.
- DOBUSCH, L., KAPELLER, J. (2009b). A guide to paradigmatic Self-marginalization – Lessons for post-Keynesian economists. Paper presented at the conference of the research network macroeconomics and macroeconomic policy “The World Economy in Crisis – The Return of Keynesianism?” in Berlin, October 2009.
- DOMAR, E. D. (1946). Capital expansion, rate of growth, and employment. *Econometrica* 14(2): 137–147.
- DOUAI, A. (2010). Why would we need green economics when we have ecological economics? A proposal for pulling people together at the crossroad of environmental and social sustainability. *International Journal of Green Economics* 4(1): 43–62.
- DOUAI, A. (2009). Value theory in ecological economics: the contribution of a political economy of wealth. *Environmental Values* 18(3): 257–84.
- DOUAI, A., MEARMAN, A., NEGRU, I. (forthcoming). Introduction to the Special Issue on Ecological Heterodox Economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*.
- DOUAI, A., MEARMAN, A., NEGRU, I. (2012). Prospects for a heterodox economics of the environment and sustainability. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1019–1032.
- DOUAI, A., MONTALBAN, M. (2012). Institutions and the environment: the case for a political socio-economy of environmental conflicts. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1199–1220.
- DOW, S. C. (2011). Heterodox economics: history and prospects. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 35(6): 1151–1165.
- DOW, S. C. (2007). Variety of methodological approach in economics. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 21(3): 447–465.
- DOW, S. C. (2004a). Reorienting economics: Some epistemological issues. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 11(3): 7–12.
- DOW, S. C. (2004b). Structured pluralism. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 11(3): 275–290.
- DOW, S. C. (2000). Prospects for progress in heterodox economics. *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 22(2): 157–170.
- DOW, S. C. (1999). Post Keynesianism and critical realism: what is the connection? *Journal Post Keynesian Economics* 22(1): 15–33.
- DOW, S. C. (1990). Beyond Dualism. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 14(2): 143–157.

- EARL, P. E. (2005). Economics and psychology in the twenty-first century. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 29(6): 909–926.
- EHRlich, P. R. (1968). *The Population Bomb*. New York: Ballantine Books.
- EHRlich, P. R., EHRlich, A. G. (1992). The value of biodiversity. *Ambio* 21: 219–226.
- EISNER, R. (1988). Extended accounts for national income and product. *Journal of Economic Literature* 26(4): 1611–84.
- FAUCHEAUX, S., NICOLAI, I. (1998). Environmental technological change and governance in sustainable development policy. *Ecological Economics* 27(3): 243–256.
- FEYERABEND, P. (1977). *Wider den Methodenzwang*. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- FORSTATER, M. (2003). Cumulative Causation à la Lowe: Radical Endogeneity, Methodology, and Human Intervention. In: Argyrous, G., Forstater, M., Forstater, G.: Visions and scenarios: Heilbroner's worldly philosophy, Lowe's political economics, and the methodology of ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 51(1–2): 17–30.
- FORSTATER, M. (1999). Working backwards: instrumental analysis as a policy discovery procedure. *Review of Political Economy* 11 (1): 5–18.
- FOXON, T. J. (2013). Responding to the financial crisis: Need for a new Economics. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 6: 126–128.
- FREEMAN, A. (2010). The economists of tomorrow: the case for assertive pluralism in economics education. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 69(5): 1591–1613.
- FULLBROOK, E. (ed.) (2003). *The Crisis in Economics. The Post-Autistic Economics Movement: The First 600 Days*. London: Routledge.
- FULLBROOK, E. (2001). Real science is pluralist. *Post-Autistic Economics Newsletter* 5 (March): article 5.
- FUNTOWICZ, S. O., RAVETZ, J. R. (1994). The worth of a songbird: ecological economics as a post-normal science. *Ecological Economics* 10(3): 197–207.
- FUNTOWICZ, S. O., RAVETZ, J. R. (1993). Science for the post-normal age. *Futures* 25(7): 739–755.
- GARNETT, R. F. (2011). Pluralism, academic freedom, and heterodox economics. *Review of Radical Political Economics* 43(4): 562–572.
- GARNETT, R. F. (2009). Rethinking the pluralist debate in economics education. *International Review of Economics Education* 8(2): 58–71.
- GARNETT, R. F. (2006). Paradigms and pluralism in heterodox economics. *Review of Political Economy* 18(4): 521–46.
- GARNETT, R. F. Jr. (2005a). Whither heterodoxy? *Post-Autistic Economics Review* 34: article 1.
- GARNETT, R. F., Jr. (2005b). Sen, McCloskey, and the future of heterodox economics. *Post-Autistic Economics Review* 35, article 3.

- GEORGESCU-ROEGEN, N. (1971). *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.
- GERBER, J. - F., STEPPACHER, R. (ed.) (2012). *Towards an Integrated Paradigm in Heterodox Economics: Alternative Approaches to the Current Eco-Social Crises*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- GORDON, H. S. (1954). Economic theory of a common property resource – the fishery. *Journal of Political Economy* 62(2):124–142.
- GOULDNER, A. W. (1970). *The Coming Crisis of Western Sociology*. New York: Basic Books.
- GOWDY, J. (2005). Toward a new welfare economics for sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 53(2): 211–222.
- GOWDY, J., ERICKSON, J., (2005). The approach of ecological economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 29(2): 207–222.
- GRAY L. (1914). Rent under the assumption of exhaustibility. *Q. J. Econ* 28(3): 466–89.
- GROSSMAN, G., KRUEGER, A. (1991). Environmental impacts if a north american free trade agreement. *Working paper*, No. 3914. Cambridge, MA: NBER.
- GRUCHY, A. G. (1987). *The Reconstruction of Economics: an Analysis of the Fundamentals of Institutional economics*. New York: Greenwood Press.
- HABERMAS, J. (1981). *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns*. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- HALKOS, G. (2011). The evolution of environmental thinking in economics. *MPRA Paper* No. 35580, 20: 42.
- HAMILTON, K. (1994). Green adjustments to GDP. *Resources Policy* 20(3): 155–168.
- HAMOUDA, O., HARCOURT, G. C. (1988). Post-Keynesianism: from criticism to coherence? *Bulletin of Economic Research* 40(1): 1–33, reprinted in Pheby, J. (ed.) (1989). *New Directions in Post Keynesian Economics*, Aldershot, Elgar.
- HARDIN, G. (1968). The tragedy of the commons. *Science* 162(3859): 1243–1248.
- HARROD, R. F. (1948). *Towards a Dynamic Economy*. London: Macmillan.
- HARROD, R. F. (1939). An essay in dynamic theory. *Economic Journal* 49(193): 14–33.
- HARTWICK, J. M. (2000). *National Accounting and Capital*. Cheltenham: Elgar J. M.
- HARTWICK, J. M. (1990). Natural Resources, National Accounting and Economic Depreciation. *Journal of Public Economics* 43(3): 291–304.
- HARTWICK, J. M. (1978a). Substitution among exhaustible resources and intergenerational equity. *Review of Economic Studies* 45(2): 347–354.

- HARTWICK, J. M. (1978b). Investing returns from depleting renewable resource stocks and intergenerational equity. *Economics Letters* 1(1): 85–88.
- HARTWICK, J. M. (1977). Intergenerational equity and the investing of rents from exhaustible resources. *The American Economic Review* 67(5): 972–974.
- HARTWICK, J. M., VAN LONG, N., TIAN, H. (2001). Deforestation and Development in a Small Open Economy. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 41(3): 235–251.
- HARTWICK, J. M., VAN LONG, N. (1999). Constant consumption and the economic depreciation of natural capital: the nonautonomous case. *International Economic Review* 40(1): 53–62.
- HEAL, G. M. (1993). The optimal use of exhaustible resources. Volume III of Kneese, A., Sweeney J. (eds): *Handbook of Natural Resource and Energy Economics*. Amsterdam, New York and Oxford: North Holland.
- HEDIGER, W. (2004). *Weak and Strong Sustainability, Environmental Conservation and Economic Growth. Agricultural and Food Economics*. Zurich: Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich.
- HEDIGER, W. (1999). Reconciling weak and strong sustainability. *International Journal of Social Economics* 26(7–9): 1120–1143.
- HEILBRONER, R. (1994). Vision in economic thought. *Journal of Economic Issues* 28(2): 325–329.
- HEILBRONER, R. (1990). Analysis and vision in the history of modern economic thought. *Journal of Economic Literature* 28(3): 1097–1114.
- HEILBRONER, R. L. (1953). *The Worldly Philosophers*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- HEILBRONER, R., MILBERG, W. (1995). *The Crisis of Vision in Modern Economic Thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- HERRERA, A., SCOLNIK, H., CHICHILNISKY, G. a kol. (1976). *Catastrophe or New Society: A Latin American World Model (The Bariloche Model)*. Ottawa: International Development Research Centre.
- HICKS, J. R. (1975). *Value and Capital*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. An overview of economic theory by a Nobel Laureate.
- HICKS, J. R. (1946). *Value and Capital*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- HICKS, J. R. (1943). The four consumer's surpluses. *Rev. Econ. Stud* 11(1): 31–41.
- HICKS, J. R. (1939a). *Value and Capital*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- HICKS, J. R. (1939b). Foundations of Welfare Economics. *Economic Journal* 49(196): 696–712.
- HIRSCH H. G. (2002). *Unity of Knowledge in Transdisciplinary Research for Sustainability. Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems*. Oxford: EOLSS Publishers.

- HIRSCH HADORN, G., BRADLEY, D., POHL, C., RIST, S., WIESMANN, U. (2006). Implications of transdisciplinarity for sustainability research. *Ecological Economics* 60(1): 119–128.
- HIRSCH, F. (1976). *Social Limits to Growth*. London: Routledge.
- HODGSON, G., (1999). *Evolution and Institutions*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- HODGSON, G. (1989). Post-Keynesian and institutionalism: The missing link. In: Pheby, J. (editor): *New directions in post-Keynesian economics*. Aldershot, Edward Elgar, 94–123.
- HODGSON, G. M., MÄKI, U., McCLOSKEY, D. N. (1992). Plea for a pluralistic and rigorous economics. *American Economic Review* 82: 2.
- HOLCOMBE, R. G. (2008). Pluralism versus heterodoxy in economics and the social sciences. *The Journal of Philosophical Economics* 1(2): 51–72.
- HOLLING, C., S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecological Systems* 4, 1 – 24.
- HOLMAN, R. a kol. (2001). *Dějiny ekonomického myšlení*. 2. vydání. Praha: C. H. Beck.
- HOLMBERG, J., SANDBROOK, R. (1992). Sustainable development. What is to be done? In: Holmberg, J. (ed.) *Making development sustainable: redefining institutions, policy, and economics*. Washington, D. C., Island Press.
- HOLT, R. P. F. (2005). Post-Keynesian economics and sustainable development. *International Journal of Environment, Workplace and Employment*, forthcoming, 1–13.
- HOLT, R., ROSSER, B. (2004). The changing face of mainstream economics. *Review of Political Economy* 16(4): 485–499.
- HOLZBACHOVÁ, I. (1992). Proč filozofie vědy? *Sborník prací filozofické fakulty Brněnské univerzity*, B 39.
- HÖRZ, H. (1988). *Wissenschaft als Prozeß*. Berlin.
- HOTELLING, H. (1931). The economics of exhaustible resources. *Journal of Political Economy* 39(2): 137–75.
- HOWARTH, R. B., NORGAARD, R. B. (1992). Environmental valuation under sustainable development. *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings* 82: 473–477.
- HOWARTH, R. B., NORGAARD, R. B. (1990). Intergenerational resource rights, efficiency and social optimality. *Land Economics* 66(1): 1–11.
- CHICK, V. (1995). 'Order out of Chaos' in economics? In: Dow, S. C. and Hillard, J. (ed.): *Keynes, Knowledge and Uncertainty*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- CHICK, V., DOW, S. C. (2005). The meaning of open systems. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 12(3): 361–81.
- CHICHILNISKY, G. (1997). What is sustainable development? *Land Economics* 73(4): 467–91.

- CHICHILNISKY, G. (1993). *What is Sustainable Development?* Paper presented at the 1993 workshop of the Stanford Institute for Theoretical Economics.
- CHICHILNISKY, G. (1977a). Economic development and efficiency criteria in the satisfaction of basic needs. *Applied Mathematical Modeling* 1(6): 290–97.
- CHICHILNISKY, G. (1977b). Development patterns and the international order. *Journal of International Affairs* 31(2): 275–304.
- CHICHILNISKY, G., BELTRATTI, A., GEOFFREY H. (1994). The environment and the long run: a comparison of different criteria. *Recherche Economique* 48: 19–340.
- INGEBRIGTSEN, S., JAKOBSEN, O. (2012). Utopias and realism in ecological economics – knowledge, understanding and improvisation. *Ecological Economics* 84: 84–90.
- IUCN, UNEP, WWF (1980). *World Conservation Strategy (Living Resource Conservation for Sustainable Development)*.
- JEVONS, W. S. (1871). *The Theory of Political Economy*. London: Macmillan and Co.
- JEVONS, W. S. (1865). *The Coal Question*. London: Macmillan and Co.
- JÍLKOVÁ, J., SLAVÍKOVÁ, L. (2009). Ekonomie životního prostředí na rozcestí. *Politická ekonomie* 57(5): 660–676.
- KALDOR, N. (1939). Welfare propositions of economics and interpersonal comparisons of utility. *The Economic Journal* 49(195): 549–552.
- KALLIS, G., KERSCHNER, CH., MARTINEZ-ALIER, J. (2012). Introduction. The economics of degrowth. *Ecological Economics* 84: 172–180.
- KALLIS, G., NORGAARD, R. B. (2010). Coevolutionary ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 69(4): 690–699.
- KAPP, K. W. (1970). Environmental disruption: general issues and methodological problems. *Social Science Information* 9(4): 15–52.
- KAPP, K. W. (1961). *Toward a Science of Man in Society: A Positive Approach to the Integration of Social Knowledge*. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague.
- KAPP, K. W. (1976). The open system character of the economy and its implications. In: Dopfer, K. (ed.) *Economics in the Future*, London: Macmillan.
- KING, J. E. (2004). A defense of King's argument(s) for pluralism. *Post-Autistic Economics Review* 25: 16–20.
- KING, J. E. (2002). Three arguments for pluralism. *Journal of Australian Political Economy* 50: 82–88.
- KLASSEN, G. K., OPSCHOOR, J. B. (1990). Economics of sustainability or the sustainability of economics: different paradigms. *Ecological Economics* 4(2): 93–116.
- KNIGHT, F. H. (1944). Diminishing returns from investment. *Journal of Political Economy* 52(1): 26–47.
- KOOPMANS, T. C. (1965). On the concept of optimal economic growth. In: *The Econometric Approach to Development Planning*. Amsterdam: North Holland.

- KOVANDA, L. (2010). Kritický realismus: Ontologická báze Postkeynesovské ekonomie. *Politická ekonomie* 58(5): 608–22.
- KRAUTKRAMER, J. (1985). Optimal growth, resource amenities and the preservation of natural environments. *Review of Economic Studies* 52(1):153–70.
- KRUTILLA, K., REUVENY, R. (2002). The quality of life in the dynamics of economic development. *Environment and Development Economics* 7(1): 23–45.
- KUHN, T. S. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- LAKATOS, I. (1978). The methodology of scientific research programmes. *Philosophical Papers*, Vol. I. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- LAKATOS, I. (1970). Falsification and the methodology of scientific research programmes. In: Lakatos, I., Musgrave, A. (eds): *Criticism and the Growth of Knowledge*. Cambridge: University Press.
- LAVOIE, M. (2009). After the crisis: perspectives for post-keynesian economics, *mimeo*, University of Ottawa.
- LAVOIE, M. (1992). Towards a new research programme for post-Keynesianism and new-Ricardianism. *Review of Political Economy* 4(1): 37–78.
- LAWSON, T. (2010). Ontology, modern economics, and pluralism. In: *Economic Pluralism*, edited by Garnett, R., Olsen, E., Starr, M.: London: Routledge, 99–113.
- LAWSON, T. (2007). An orientation for a green economics? *International Journal of Green Economics* 1(3–4): 250–67.
- LAWSON, T. (2006). The nature of heterodox economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 30(4): 483–507.
- LAWSON, T. (2005). The nature of heterodox economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 1 of 23.
- LAWSON, T. (2003). *Reorienting Economics*, London: Routledge.
- LAWSON, T. (1997). *Economics and Reality*, London: Routledge.
- LEE, F. S. (2011b). The pluralism debate in heterodox economics. *Review of Radical Political Economics* 43(4): 540–551.
- LEE, F. S. (2009). *A History of Heterodox Economics: Challenging the Mainstream in the Twentieth Century*. London: Routledge.
- LEE, F. S. (2008). Heterodox economics 23–30 In: Lawrence, R. V. (ed.): *How economics is changing. The Long Term View* 7(1). Massachusetts School of Law at Andover.
- LEE, F. S. (2005). Grounded theory and heterodox economics. *The Grounded Theory Review: an International Journal* 4(2): 95–116.
- LEE, F. S. (2002a). Theory creation and the methodological foundation of post keynesian economics. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 26(6): 789–804.
- LEE, F. S. (2002b). The association for heterodox economics: past, present and future. *Journal of Australian Political Economy* 50: 29–43.

- LEONTIEF, W. (1982). Letter. *Science* 217(4555): 104–7.
- LOUDA, J. (2013). Udržitelný rozvoj a jeho aplikace v podmínkách ČR – výzva nebo hrozba pro národní hospodářství? Workshop: Udržitelný rozvoj a jeho aplikace v podmínkách ČR – výzva nebo hrozba pro národní hospodářství?
- LOWE, A. (1988). *Has Freedom a Future?* New York: Praeger.
- LOWE, A. (1971). Is Present Day Higher Learning Relevant? *Social Research* 38: 563–580.
- LUCAS, R. Jr. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 22(1): 3–42.
- MÄLER, K. G. (1991). National accounts and environmental resources. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 1(1): 1–15.
- MALTHUS, T. R. (1798). *An Essay on the Principle of Population*. London: J. Johnson, in St. Paul's Church-yard.
- MARSHALL, A. (1890). *Principles of Economics*. London: Macmillan.
- MARTINEZ-ALIER, J. (1990). *Ecological Economics. Energy, Environment and Society*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- MARTINEZ-ALIER, J. (1988). Ecological economics and eco-socialism. *Capitalism, Nature, Socialism* 1(2): 109–22.
- MARTINEZ-ALIER, J., MUNDA, G., O'NEILL, J. (1998). Weak comparability of values as a foundation for ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 26(3): 277–86.
- MARX, K. (1867). *Capital. A Critique of Political Economy. Volume I. Book One: The Process of Production of Capital*. Moscow: Progress Publishers.
- MASTERMAN, M. (1970). The nature of a paradigm. In: Lakatos I., Musgrave, A. ed.: *Criticizm and the Growth*. London: Cambridge University Press, 59–89.
- MAX-NEEF, M. A. (2005). Foundations of transdisciplinarity. *Ecological Economics* 53(1): 5–16.
- McCLOSKEY, D. N. (1983). The rhetoric of economics. *Journal of Economic Literature* 31: 434–461.
- MEADE, J. E. (1962). The effect of savings on consumption in a state of steady growth. *Review of Economic Studies* 29(3): 227–234.
- MEADOWS, D. H., MEADOWS, D. L., RANDERS, J., BEHRENS, W. (1972). *The limits of growth*. New York: Universe Books.
- MEARMAN, A. (2011). Pluralism, heterodoxy and the rhetoric of distinction. *Review of Radical Political Economics* 43(4): 552–561.
- MEARMAN, A. (2007). Post Keynesian economics and the environment: waking up and smelling the coffee burning? *International Journal of Green Economics* 1(3–4): 374–80.
- MEARMAN, A. (2005). Why have post Keynesians had (relatively) little to say on economics of the environment? *International Journal of Environment, Workplace and Employment* 1(2): 131–54.

- MILL, J. S. (1848). *Principles of Political Economy with some of their Applications to Social Philosophy*. London; Longmans, Green and Co.
- MULDER, P., VAN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2011). Evolutionary economic theories of sustainable development. *Growth and Change* 32(1): 110–134.
- MUNDA, G. (1997). Environmental economics, ecological economics, and the concept of sustainable development. *Environmental Values* 6(2): 213–233.
- MUSGRAVE, R. A. (1959). *The Theory of Public Finance*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- NAGEL, E. (1982). *The Structure of Science*. London.
- NELSON, J. A. (2003). Confronting the science/value split: notes on feminist economics, institutionalism, pragmatism and process thought. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 27(1): 49–64.
- NEUMAYER, E. (1999). *Weak versus Strong Sustainability: Exploring the Limits of Two Opposing Paradigms*. Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar.
- NORDHAUS, W. (1992). *Is Growth Sustainable? Reflections on the Concept of Sustainable Economic Growth*. Paper presented to International Economic Association Meeting. Varena, Italy.
- NORGAARD, R. B. (1989). The case for methodological pluralism. *Ecological Economics* 1(1): 37–57.
- NORTON, B. G. (1992). Sustainability, human, welfare and ecosystems health. *Environmental Values* 1(2): 97–111.
- NORTON, B. G. (1989). Intergenerational equity and environmental decisions: A model using Rawls' veil of ignorance. *Ecological Economics* 1(2): 137–59.
- NORTON, B. G., NOONAN, D. (2007). Ecology and valuation: Big changes needed. *Ecological Economics* 63(4): 664–675.
- O'HARA, P. (2008). Can the principles of heterodox political economy explain its own re-emergence and development? *On the Horizon* 16(4): 260–278.
- O'HARA, P. (2007). Principles of institutional-evolutionary political economy – converging themes from the schools of heterodoxy. *Journal of Economic Issues* 41(1): 1–42.
- O'NEILL, J. F., SPASH, C. L. (2000). Conceptions of value in environmental decision-making. *Environmental Values* 9(4): 521–536.
- OPSCHOOR, J. B. (1996). Institutional change and development towards sustainability. In: Costanza, R., Segura, O., Martinez-Alier J. (eds.): *Getting Down to Earth: Practical Applications of Ecological Economics*. Washington, DC, and Covelo, CA: Island Press, 237–350.
- O'RIORDAN, T. (1992). The precaution principle in environmental management. *CSERGE GEC Working Paper 92-02*. London: CSERGE, UEA, Norwich and UCL.

- ÖZKAYNAK, B., ADAMAN, F., DEVINE, P. (2012). The identity of ecological economics: retrospects and prospects. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1123–1142.
- PAAVOLA, J. (2005). Interdependence, pluralism and globalization: implications for environmental governance. In: Paavola, J., Lowe, I. (ed.) *Environmental Values in a Globalizing World: Nature, Justice and Governance*. London: Routledge, 143–158.
- PAAVOLA, J., ADGER, N., W. (2005). Institutional ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 53: 353–368.
- PAAVOLA, J. (2007). Institutions and environmental governance: a reconceptualization. *Ecological Economics* 63, 93–103.
- PEARCE, D. W. (2002). An intellectual history of environmental economics. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment* 27: 57–81.
- PEARCE, D., ATKINSON, G. (1995). Measuring sustainable development. In: edited by Bromley D. W.: *Handbook of Environmental Economics*. Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell, 166–181. A useful overview of weak and strong sustainability and international trade issues.
- PEARCE, D. W., ATKINSON G. D. (1993). Capital theory and the measurement of sustainable development: An indicator of weak sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 8(2): 103–108.
- PEARCE, D. W., ATKINSON G. D. (1992). Are national economies sustainable? Measuring Sustainable Development. *CSERGE Working Paper*, GEC 92–11. London: CSERGE, UEA, Norwich and UCL.
- PEARCE, D. W., ATKINSON, G. D., DUBOURG W. R. (1994). The economics of sustainable development. *Annual Review of Energy and the Environment* 19: 457–474.
- PEARCE, D., BARBIER, E. (2010). *Blueprint: For a Sustainable Economy v. 6 (Blueprint Series)*. 3. vyd. London: Earthscan Publications.
- PEARCE, D. W., MARKANDYA, A. BARBIER, E. B. (1990). *Sustainable Development: Economy and Environment in the Third World*. London: Earthscan Publications.
- PEARCE, D. W., MARKANDYA, A., BARBIER, E. B. (1989). *Blueprint for a Green Economy*. London: Earthscan.
- PEARCE, D. W., TURNER, R. K. (1990). *Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment*. Hemel Hempstead and London: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- PENDER, J. L. (1998). Population growth, agricultural intensification, induced innovation and natural resource sustainability: An application of neoclassical growth theory. *Agricultural Economics* 19: 99–112.
- PERMAN, R., MA, Y., COMMON, M. a kol. (2003). *Natural Resource and Environmental Economics*. 3rd edition. Pearson Education Limited.

- PERRINGS, C. (1996). Ecological Resilience in the Sustainability of Economic Development. In: Faucheux, S., Pearce, D., Proops, J. (ed.): *Models of Sustainable Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, Brookfield, US, 231–252.
- PERRINGS, C. (1991). The preservation of natural capital and environmental control. *Paper presented at the Annual Conference of EARE*. Stockholm.
- PERRINGS, C. (ed) (2009). *Ecological Economics*. London: SAGE Publication Ltd.
- PERRINGS, C., FOLKE, C., MÄLER, K. G. (1992). The ecology and economics of biodiversity loss: the research agenda. *Ambio* 21: 201–211.
- PHELPS, E. S. (1961). The golden rule of accumulation: A fable for growthmen. *American Economic Review* 51(4): 638–643.
- PIGOU, A. C. (1928). *A Study in Public Finance*. London: Macmillan and Co.
- PIGOU, A. (1920). *The Economics of Welfare*. London: Macmillan and Co.
- POTTS, J. (2010). Ontology in economics. In: Poli, R., Seibt, J. (ed.): *Theory and Applications of Ontology: Philosophical Perspectives*. Springer Science+Business Media B. V., 277–285.
- PROOPS J. (1989). Ecological economics: rationale and problem areas. *Ecological Economics* 1(1): 59–76.
- QUAAS, M. F., BAUMGÄRTNER, S., BECKER, C., FRANK, K., MÜLLER, B. (2007). Uncertainty and sustainability in the management of rangelands. *Ecological Economics* 62(2): 251–266.
- RAMSEY, F. P. (1928). A mathematical theory of saving. *The Economic Journal* 38(152): 543–559.
- RAVETZ, J. R. (2011). Postnormal science and the maturing of the structural contradictions of modern European science. *Futures* 43(2): 149–57.
- RAVETZ, J. (2006). Post-normal science and the complexity of transitions towards sustainability. *Ecological Complexity* 3(4): 275–284.
- RAWLS, J. (1971). *A Theory of Justice*. Cambridge, MA: Bellknap Press.
- RICARDO (1817). *On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation*. London: John Murray.
- ROBINSON, J. (1962). A neoclassical theorem. *Review of Economic Studies* 29(3): 219–226.
- ROMER, P. M. (1994). The origins of endogenous growth. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 8(1): 3–22.
- ROMER, P. M. (1986). Increasing returns and long-run growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 94(5): 1002–37.
- RØPKE, I. (1998). Sustainability and structural change. In: Faucheux, S., O'Connor, M., van der Straaten, J. (ed.): *Sustainable Development: Concepts, Rationalities and Strategies*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- RØPKE, I. (2005). Trends in the development of ecological economics from the late 1980s. *Ecological Economics* 55(2): 262–290.

- RØPKE, I. (2004). The early history of modern ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 50(3–4): 293–314.
- ŘEPA, V. (2006). *Podnikové procesy. Procesní řízení a modelování*. Praha: Grada Publishing.
- SAMUELSON, P. A. (1954). The pure theory of public expenditure. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 36(4): 387–389.
- SATO, R., KIM, Y. (2000). Hartwick's rule and economic conservation laws? *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 26(3): 437–449.
- SCHNEIDER, F., KALLIS, G. AND MARTINEZ-ALIER, J. (2010). Crisis or opportunity? Economic degrowth for social equity and ecological sustainability. Introduction. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 18(6): 511–18.
- SCHUMPETER, J. (1954). *History of Economic Analysis*. New York: Oxford Univ. Press.
- SCHUMPETER, J. (1934). *The Theory of Economic Development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- SLAVÍKOVÁ, L., KLUVÁNKOVÁ-ORAVSKÁ, T., JÍLKOVÁ, J. (2010). Bridging theories on environmental governance. Insights from free-market approaches and institutional ecological economics perspectives. *Ecological Economics* 69(7): 1368–1372.
- SMITH, A. (1776). *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. London: Methuen & Co.
- SÖDERBAUM, P., (2011). Sustainability economics as a contested concept. *Ecological Economics* 70(6): 1019–1020.
- SÖDERBAUM, P. (2007a). Science, ideology and development: Is there a 'sustainability economics'? *Post-autistic economics review* 43: 24–47.
- SÖDERBAUM, P. (2007b). Issues of paradigm, ideology and democracy in sustainability assessment. *Ecological Economics* 60(3): 613–626.
- SÖDERBAUM, P. (2006). Democracy and sustainable development – what is the alternative to cost-benefit analysis? *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 2(2):182–190.
- SÖDERBAUM, P. (2000). *Ecological Economics. A political Economics Approach to Environment and Development*. London: Earthscan Publications.
- SÖDERBAUM, P. (1992). Neoclassical and institutional approaches to development and the environment. *Ecological Economics* 5(2): 127–144.
- SOLOW, R. M. (1992). *An Almost Practical Step Towards Sustainability*. Invited Lecture on the Occasion of the Fortieth Anniversary of Resources for the Future. Washington, D. C.: Resources and Conservation Center.
- SOLOW, R. M. (1991). Sustainability: an Economist's perspective, the eighteenth. J. Seward Johnson lecture to the Marine Policy Center, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. In: Dorfman, R., Dorfman, N. (ed.): *Economics of the Environment: Selected Readings*. New York: Norton, 179–187.

- SOLOW, R. M. (1986). On the intergenerational allocation of natural resources. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 88(1): 141–149.
- SOLOW, R. M. (1974). Intergenerational equity and exhaustible resources. *Review of Economic Studies*, Symposium on the Economics of Exhaustible Resources 14: 29–45.
- SOLOW, R. M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 70(1): 65–94.
- SPANGENBERG, J. H. (2010). Sustainability science: a review, an analysis and some empirical lessons. *Environmental Conservation* 38 (3): 275–287.
- SPASH, C. L. (2009a). Ecological economics: a subjective opinion. In: Spash, C. L. (ed.): *Ecological Economics: Critical Concepts in the Environment* 4. London: Routledge, 1–27.
- SPASH, C. L. (2012a). Towards the integration of social, economic and ecological knowledge. In: Gerber, J. F., Steppacher, R. (ed.): *Towards an Integrated Paradigm in Heterodox Economics*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 26–46.
- SPASH, C. L. (2012b). Methodological and ideological options. New foundations for ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 77: 36–47.
- SPASH, C. L. (2012c). Ecological economics and philosophy of science: ontology, epistemology, methodology and ideology. *SRE-Discussion* 2012/03. Vienna: WU Vienna University of Economics and Business.
- SPASH, C. L. (2012d). Towards the integration of social, economic and ecological knowledge. *SRE-Discussion*, No. 2012/04. Vienna: WU Vienna University of Economics and Business.
- SPASH, C. L. (2012e). New foundations for ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 77: 36–47.
- SPASH, C. L. (2010). Censoring science in research officially. *Environmental Values* 19(2): 141–146.
- SPASH, C. L. (2011). Social ecological economics: understanding the past to see the future. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 70(2): 340–75.
- SPASH, C. L. (2009b). *Ecological Economics: Critical Concepts in the Environment* 4. London: Routledge Major Work. Routledge.
- SPASH, C. L. (2009c). The new environmental pragmatists, pluralism and sustainability. *Environmental Values* 18(3): 253–256.
- SPASH, C. L. (2002a). Loading the dice?: values, opinions and ethics. *Greenhouse Economics: Value and Ethics*. London: Routledge, 184–200.
- SPASH, C. L. (2002b). Strong uncertainty: ignorance and indeterminacy. In: SPASH, C. L. (2009). The new environmental pragmatists, pluralism and sustainability. *Environmental Values* 18(3): 253–256.
- SPASH, C. L. (2000). Ecosystems, contingent valuation and ethics: The case of wetlands recreation. *Ecological Economics* 34(2): 195–215.
- SPASH, C. L. (1999). The development of environmental thinking in economics. *Environmental Values* 8(4): 413–435.

- SPASH, C. L., RYAN, A. M. (2012). Economic schools of thought on the environment: investigating unity and division. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 36(5): 1091–1121.
- SPASH, C. L., RYAN, A. M. (2010). Ecological, heterodox and neoclassical economics: investigating the differences. Munich Personal RePEc Archive *MPRA Paper*, No. 26292. Cambridge: University of Cambridge.
- SPASH, C. L., SCHANDL, H. (2009). Challenges for post Keynesian growth theory: utopia meets environmental and social reality. In: Holt, R., Pressman, S., SPASH, C. L. (ed.): *Post-Keynesian and Ecological Economics: Confronting Environmental Issues*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 47–76.
- SPASH, C. L., VATN, A. (2006). Transferring environmental value estimates: Issues and alternatives. *Ecological Economics* 60(2): 379–388.
- SPASH, C. L., VILLENA, M. G. (1998). Exploring the approach of institutional economics to the environment. *Environment Series*, No. 11. Cambridge: University of Cambridge.
- STIGLER, G. J. (1941). *Production and Distribution Theories. The Formative Period*. New York: Macmillan Company.
- STIGLITZ, J. (1974). Growth with exhaustible natural resources: efficient and optimal growth paths. *Review of Economic Studies* 41(5): 123–38.
- SWAN, T. W. (1956). Economic growth and capital accumulation. *The Economic Record* 32(2): 334–361.
- TACCONI, L. (1998). Scientific methodology for ecological economics. *Ecological Economics* 27(1): 91–105.
- TURNER, R. K. a kol. (1993). *Sustainable Environmental Economics and Management: Principles and Practice*. John Wiley and Sons.
- TURNER, R. K. (1988a). Wetland Conservation: Economics and Ethics. In: TURNER, R. K. (ed) (1988b). *Sustainable Environmental Management: Principles and Practice*. London: Belhaven Press.
- TURNER, R. K., PEARCE, D., BATEMAN, I. (1994). *Environmental Economics*. FT/Prentice Hall, Hemel Hempstead.
- UN (1987). *Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. General Assembly Resolution 42/187. Retrieved: 12. 04. 2007.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2011). Environment versus growth – a criticism of degrowth and a plea for a-growth. *Ecological Economics* 70(5): 881–890.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2010). Externality or sustainability economics? *Ecological Economics* 69(11): 2047–2052.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2001). Ecological economics: themes, approaches, and differences with environmental economics. *Regional Environmental Change* 2(1): 13–23.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M., GOWDY, J. M. (2002). The microfoundations of macroeconomics: an evolutionary perspective. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 27(1):65–84.

- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M., GOWDY, J. M. (2000). Evolutionary theories in environmental and resource economics: approaches and applications. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 17(1): 37–57.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M., KALLIS, G. (2012). Growth, a-growth or degrowth to stay within planetary boundaries? *Journal of Economic Issues* 46(4): 909–919.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M., STAGL, S. (2003). Coevolution of economic behaviour and institutions: towards a theory of institutional change. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* 13(3): 289–317.
- VATN, A. (2005). *Institutions and the Environment*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- VEBLEN, T. (1898). Why is economics not an evolutionary science? *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 12(4): 373–97.
- VENKATACHALAM, L. (2007). Environmental economics and ecological economics: Where they can converge? *Ecological Economics* 61(2–3): 550–558.
- VERNENGO, M. (2010). Conversation or monologue? On advising heterodox economists. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 32(3): 389–396.
- VICTOR, P. A. (1991). Indicators of sustainable development: Some lessons from capital theory. *Ecological Economics* 4(3): 191–213.
- WEITZMAN, M. (1976). On the welfare significance of national product in a dynamic economy. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 90(1):156–62.
- WILLIAMS, J. B., McNEILL J. M. (2005). The current crisis in neoclassical economics and the case for an economic analysis based on sustainable development. (*Universitas 21 Global*) U21GLOBAL, No. 001/2005.
- WITTENBERG, J., STERMAN, J. D. (1999). Path dependence, competition, and succession in the dynamics of scientific revolution. *Organization Science* 10(3): 322–341.
- YOUNG, A. (1928). Increasing Returns and Economic Progress. *Economic Journal* 38(152): 527–542.
- ZOGRAFOS, CH., HOWARTH, R. B. (2010). Deliberative ecological economics for sustainability governance. *Sustainability* 2(11): 3399–3417.

Další zdroje

- BECKER, J., RAZA, W. G. (2000). Theory of regulation and political ecology: An inevitable separation? *SRE – Discussion Papers*, 2000/05. Institut für Wirtschaftsgeographie, Abt. Stadt- und Regionalentwicklung, WU Vienna University of Economics and Business, Vienna. Online at <http://epub.wu.ac.at/1312/>.
- COLANDER, D. (2004). Economics as an ideologically challenged science. Middlebury College Economics Discussion Paper NO. 04-11. Dostupné na [www: <middlebury.edu/services/econ/repec/mdl/ancoec/0411.pdf>](http://www.middlebury.edu/services/econ/repec/mdl/ancoec/0411.pdf).
- DAVIDSON, P. (2004). A Response to King's argument for pluralism. *Post-autistic economics review* 24. Dostupné na [www: paecon.net/PAERreview/pluralism/Davidson24.htm](http://www.paecon.net/PAERreview/pluralism/Davidson24.htm)

- GOWDY, J., ERICKSON, J. (2004). Ecological Economics at a Crossroads. *Rensselaer Working Papers in Economics*, No. 0417. Dostupné na [www: <rpi.edu/dept/economics/www/workingpapers/>](http://www.rpi.edu/dept/economics/www/workingpapers/).
- HEAL, G. M. (1996). *Interpreting Sustainability*. Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1693> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1693>.
- HODGSON, G. (2005). Is it all in Keynes's general theory? *Post-autistic economics review* 25. Available at: <http://www.paecon.net/PAEReview/issue25/Hodgson25.htm>.
- LEE, F. S. (2011a). The making of heterodox microeconomics. *MPRA Paper*, No. 30907. Online at <http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/30907/>.
- MARLETTO, G. (2010). Heterodox environmental economics: theoretical strands in search of a paradigm. *MPRA Paper*, No. 19933. Available at: <http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/19933/>.
- MEARMAN, A. (2010). What is this thing called heterodox economics? Available at: <http://carecon.org.uk/DPs/1006.pdf>.
- SENT, E. - M. (2003). Pleas for pluralism. *Post-Autistic Economics Review* 18. Available at [www: <paecon.net/PAERReview/wholeissues/issue18.htm>](http://www.paecon.net/PAERReview/wholeissues/issue18.htm).
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2007). Evolutionary thinking in environmental economics. *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper*, TI 2007-018/3. Available at: <http://www.tinbergen.nl>.
- VAN DEN BERGH, J. C. J. M. (2000). Themes, approaches, and differences with environmental economics. *Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper* TI 2000-080/3. Available at: <http://www.tinbergen.nl>.