

References

- Allport, G. W. (1985). The historical background of social psychology. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (3rd ed., vol. 1, pp. 1–46). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Ansems, L. F. M., Van den Bos, K., & Mak, E. (in press). Speaking of justice: A qualitative interview study on perceived procedural justice among defendants in criminal cases. *Law and Society Review*.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 1173–82.
- Barrett, L. F. (2019). Presidential column, the publications arms race. *Observer*. Retrieved on April 26, 2020 from www.psychologicalscience.org/observer/the-publications-arms-race.
- Bartholomew, K., Henderson, A. J. Z., & Marcia, J. E. (2000). Coding semistructured interviews in social psychological research. In H. T. Reis & C. M. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in social and personality psychology* (pp. 286–312). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., & Funder, D. C. (2007). Psychology as the science of self-reports and finger movements: Whatever happened to actual behavior? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 16, 396–403.
- Bem, D. (1987). Writing the empirical journal article. In M. P. Zanna & J. M. Darley (Eds.), *The compleat academic: A practical guide for the beginning social scientist* (pp. 171–201). New York: Random House.
- Boeije, H. R. (2010). *Analysis in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77–101.
- Cane, P., & Kritzer, H. M. (Eds.). (2010). *The Oxford handbook of empirical legal research*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory: A practical guide through qualitative analysis* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- Cho, K., Barnes, C. M., & Guanara, C. L. (2017). Sleepy punishers are harsh punishers: Daylight saving time and legal sentences. *Psychological Science*, 28, 242–7.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 155–9.
- Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round ($p < .05$). *American Psychologist*, 49, 997–1003.
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

- Cook, R. D. (1977). Detection of influential observations in linear regression. *Technometrics*, 19, 15–18.
- Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (1979). *Quasi-experimentation: Design and analysis for field settings*. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2015). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (4th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16, 297–334.
- Danziger, S., Levav, J., & Avnaim-Pesso, L. (2011). Extraneous factors in judicial decisions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117, 6889–92.
- Diamond, S. S., Vidmar, N., Rose, M., Ellis, L., & Murphy, B. (2003). Juror discussions during civil trials: Studying an Arizona innovation. *Arizona Law Review*, 45, 1–81.
- Ellsworth, P. C., & Mauro, R. (1998). Psychology and law. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., vol. 2, pp. 684–732). Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.
- Fienberg, S. E. (1980). *The analysis of cross-classified categorical data* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., Dunson, D. B., Vehtari, A., & Rubin, D. B. (2014). *Bayesian data analysis* (3rd. ed.). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Giner-Sorolla, R., Aberson, C. L., Bostyn, D. H., Carpenter, T., Conrique, B. G., Lewis, N. A., Jr., Montoya, A. K., Ng, B. W., Reifman, A., Schoemann, A. M., & Soderberg, C. (2019). *Report from the SPSP Power Analysis Working Group*. Retrieved on April 26, 2020 from <https://osf.io/9bt5s/>.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (2008 [1967]). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. New Brunswick, NJ: Aldine Transaction.
- Goldstone, R. L., & Chin, C. (1993). Dishonesty in self-report of copies made: Moral relativity and the copy machine. *Basic and Applied Psychology*, 14, 19–32.
- Grootelaar, H. A. M. (2018). *Interacting with procedural justice in courts*. Doctoral dissertation, Utrecht University.
- Grootelaar, H. A. M., & Van den Bos, K. (2018). How litigants in Dutch courtrooms come to trust judges: The role of perceived procedural justice, outcome favorability, and other socio-legal moderators. *Law and Society Review*, 52, 234–68.
- Haney, C. (1980). Psychology and legal change: On the limits of factual jurisprudence. *Law and Human Behavior*, 4, 147–99.
- Hayden, R. M., & Anderson, J. K. (1979). On the evaluation of procedural systems in laboratory experiments: A critique of Thibaut and Walker. *Law and Human Behavior*, 3, 21–38.
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. New York: Guilford.
- Hays, W. L. (2008). *Statistics* (5th ed.). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Hulst, L. (2017). *Experimental legal studies on perceived procedural justice and trust in law and society*. Doctoral dissertation, Vrije University, Amsterdam.
- Hulst, L., Van den Bos, K., Akkermans, A. J., & Lind, E. A. (2017). On why procedural justice matters in court hearings: Experimental evidence that behavioral

- disinhibition weakens the association between procedural justice and evaluations of judges. *Utrecht Law Review*, 13, 114–29.
- Jöreskog, K., Sörbom, D., & SPSS Inc. (1989). *LISREL 7: A guide to the program and applications* (2nd ed.). Chicago, IL: SPSS.
- Judd, C. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1981). *Estimating the effects of social interventions*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kerr, N. L. (1998). HARKing: Hypothesizing After the Results are Known. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 2, 196–217.
- Kienstra, N. H. H., & Van der Heijden, P. G. M. (2015). Using correspondence analysis in multiple case studies. *Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 128, 5–22.
- Kim, J.-O., & Mueller, C. W. (2011). *Factor analysis: What is it and how to do it*. London: Sage.
- Kirk, R. E. (2013). *Experimental design: Procedures for the behavioral sciences* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kline, R. (2016). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling* (4th ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Koerner, B. I. (2017). *Can you turn a terrorist back into a citizen?* Retrieved on April 26, 2020 from www.wired.com/2017/01/can-you-turn-terrorist-back-into-citizen/.
- Koper, G., Van Knippenberg, D., Bouhuijs, F., Vermunt, R., & Wilke, H. (1993). Procedural fairness and self-esteem. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 23, 313–25.
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Kruglanski, A. W., Chernikova, M., & Jasko, K. (2017). Social psychology circa 2016: A field on steroids. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 47, 1–10.
- Lelkes, Y., Krosnick, J. A., Marx, D. M., Judd, C. M., & Park, B. (2012). Complete anonymity compromises the accuracy of self-reports. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48, 1291–9.
- Loftus, E. (1975). Leading questions and the eyewitness report. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 560–72.
- Loftus, E. F. (2013). 25 years of eyewitness science finally pays off. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8, 556–7.
- Loftus, E. F., & Palmer, J. C. (1974). Reconstruction of auto-mobile destruction: An example of the interaction between language and memory. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behaviour*, 13, 585–9.
- MacKinnon, D. P. (2008). *Introduction to statistical mediation analysis*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Maxwell, J. A. (2013). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach*. London: Sage.
- McCormick, K., Salcedo, J., Peck, J., & Wheeler, A. (2017). *SPSS statistics for data analysis and visualization*. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley.
- Menkel-Meadow, C. J., & Garth, B. G. (2010). Civil procedure and courts. In P. Cane & H. M. Kritzer (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of empirical legal research* (pp. 679–704). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Orne, M. T. (1962). On the social psychology of the psychological experiment: With particular reference to demand characteristics and their implications. *American Psychologist*, 17, 776–83.
- Ostrom, T. M. (1986). In memoriam: John Thibaut. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 22, 505–6.
- Pedersen, J. S., & Wilkinson, A. (Eds.). (2019). *Big data: Promise, application and pitfalls*. Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Penders, V. (2019). *Pirates, peaches and p-values: Stylish statistics!* Maastricht: Mosae Verbo.
- Sandgren, C. (2000). On empirical legal science. *Scandinavian Studies in Law*, 40, 445–82.
- Smith, C. P. (2000). Content analysis and narrative analysis. In H. T. Reis & C. M. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods in social and personality psychology* (pp. 313–35). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Smith, H. W. (1991). *Strategies of social research: The methodological imagination* (3rd ed.). Orlando, FL: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Stevens, J. P. (2012). *Intermediate statistics: A modern approach* (3rd ed.). Hoboken, NJ: Taylor and Francis.
- Stolker, C. (2014). *Rethinking the law school: Education, research, outreach and governance*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Strunk, W. Jr., & White, E. B. (2005). *The elements of style*. New York: The Penguin Press.
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2019). *Using multivariate statistics* (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Thibaut, J., & Walker, L. (1975). *Procedural justice: A psychological analysis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thibaut, J., & Walker, L. (1978). A theory of procedure. *California Law Review*, 66, 541–66.
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). *Exploratory data analysis*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Turley, S. L. (2010). ‘To see between’: Interviewing as a legal research tool. *Journal of the Association of Legal Writing Directors*, 7, 283–309.
- Twining, W. (2009). *General jurisprudence: Understanding law from a global perspective*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Tyler, T. R. (2006). *Why people obey the law*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Tyler, T. R., & Jost, J. T. (2007). Psychology and the law: Reconciling normative and descriptive accounts of social justice and system legitimacy. In A. W. Kruglanski & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (2nd ed., pp. 807–25). New York: Guilford Press.
- Tyler, T. R., & Lind, E. A. (1992). A relational model of authority in groups. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (vol. 25, pp. 115–91). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Van den Bos, K. (1996). *Procedural justice and conflict*. Doctoral dissertation, Leiden University.
- Van den Bos, K. (2001). Uncertainty management: The influence of uncertainty salience on reactions to perceived procedural fairness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80, 931–41.

- Van den Bos, K. (2003). On the subjective quality of social justice: The role of affect as information in the psychology of justice judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85, 482–98.
- Van den Bos, K. (2014). *Kijken naar het recht [Looking at the law]*. Inaugural lecture, Utrecht University.
- Van den Bos, K. (2018). *Why people radicalize: How unfairness judgments are used to fuel radical beliefs, extremist behaviors, and terrorism*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Van den Bos, K. (in press). Social psychology and law: Basic social psychological principles in legal contexts. In P. A. M. van Lange, E. T. Higgins, & A. W. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (3rd ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- Van den Bos, K., Bruins, J., Wilke, H. A. M., & Dronkert, E. (1999). Sometimes unfair procedures have nice aspects: On the psychology of the fair process effect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77, 324–36.
- Van den Bos, K., & Hulst, L. (2016). On experiments in empirical legal research. *Law and Method*, March 2016, 1–18. DOI: 10.5553/REM/.000014.
- Van den Bos, K., Lind, E. A., Vermunt, R., & Wilke, H. A. M. (1997). How do I judge my outcome when I do not know the outcome of others? The psychology of the fair process effect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, 1034–46.
- Van der Velde, M., Jansen, P., & Anderson, N. (2004). *Guide to management research methods*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Van Hoecke, M. (Ed.). (2011). *Methodologies of legal research: Which kind of method for what kind of discipline?* Oxford, UK: Hart.
- Walker, L., LaTour, S., Lind, E. A., & Thibaut, J. (1974). Reactions of participants and observers to modes of adjudication. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 4, 295–310.
- Weiss, R. S. (1994). *Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Willig, C. (2013). Grounded theory methodology. In C. Willig (Ed.), *Introducing qualitative research in psychology* (pp. 69–81). Maidenhead, UK: McGraw-Hill.
- Wilson, T. D., Aronson, E., & Carlsmith, K. (2010). The art of laboratory experimentation. In S. T. Fiske, D. T. Gilbert, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *Handbook of social psychology* (5th ed., vol. 1, pp. 51–81). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.