

Literatura

- Agresi, A. 1984. *Analysis of Ordinal Categorical Data*. New York: Wiley.
- Agresi, A. 1996. *An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis*. New York: Wiley.
- Agresi, A. 2002. *Categorical Data Analysis*. New York: Wiley.
- Alba, R. D. 1987. „Interpreting the Parameters of Log-linear Models.“ *Sociological Methods and Research*, 16, p. 45–77.
- Andersen, E. B. 1980. *Discrete Statistical Models with Social Science Applications*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Ariès, P. 1962. *Centuries of Childhood: A Social History of Family Life*. New York: Vintage Book.
- Atkinson, M. P.; Glass, B. L. 1985. „Marital Age Heterogamy and Homogamy, 1900 to 1980.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 47, p. 685–691.
- Becker, G. S. 1981. *A Treatise on the Family*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Berardo, F.; Appel, J.; Berardo, D. H. 1993. „Age Dissimilarity Marriages: Review and Assessment.“ *Journal of Aging Studies*, 7, p. 93–106.
- Berardo, F.; Vera, H.; Berardo, D. 1983. „Age-Discrepant Marriages.“ *Medical Aspects of Human Sexuality*, 17, p. 57–76.
- Bishop, Y. M. M.; Fienberg, S. E.; Holland, P. W. 1975. *Discrete Multivariate Analysis: Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Blau, P. M.; Duncan, O. D. 1967. *The American Occupational Structure*. New York: Wiley.
- Blau, P. M.; Schwarz, J. E. 1983. *Crosscutting Social Circles: Testing a Macrostructural Theory of Intergroup Relations*. New York: Academic Press.
- Blossfeld, H. P.; Timm, A. (Ed.). 2003. *Who Marries Whom? Educational Systems as Marriage Markets in Modern Societies*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Boguszak, M. 1987. *Srovnávací analýza vývoje vzdělanostních struktur*. Praha: ČSAV.
- Boguszak, M. 1990. „Educational Homogamy and Heterogamy in Czechoslovakia.“ In: Peschar, J. L. (Ed.). *Social Reproduction in Eastern and Western Europe: Comparative Analyses on Czechoslovakia, Hungary, the Netherlands and Poland*. Nijmegen: Institute for Applied Social Sciences, p. 31–66.

- Boguszak, M.; Gabal, I.; Matějů, P. 1990. „Ke koncepcím vývoje sociální struktury v ČSSR.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 26, s. 168–186.
- Boguszak, M.; Bozon, M. 1989. „L'homogamie sociale en Tchécoslovaquie.“ *Population*, 44e, s. 198–209.
- Bossard, J. H. S. 1932. „Residential Propinquity as a Factor in Marriage Selection.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 38, p. 219–224.
- Bossard, J. H. S. 1933. „The Age Factor and Marriage: A Philadelphia Study, 1931.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 38, p. 536–547.
- Bourdieu, P. 1977. *Outline of A Theory of Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bowles, S.; Gintis, H.; Groves, M. O. (Ed.). 2005. *Unequal Chances: Family Background and Economic Success*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Bozon, M. 1991. „Women and the Age Gap Between Spouses: An Accepted Domination?“ *Population: An English Selection*, 3, p. 113–148.
- Bozon, M.; Heran, F. 1989. „Finding a Spouse: A Survey of How French Couples Meet.“ *Population: An English Selection*, 44, p. 91–121.
- Breen, R. (Ed.). 2004. *Social Mobility in Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bumpass, L. L. 1971. „The Trend of Interfaith Marriage in the United States.“ *Social Biology*, 17, p. 253–259.
- Bumpass, L. L.; Sweet, J. A. 1972. „Differentials in Marital Instability: 1970.“ *American Sociological Review*, 37, p. 754–766.
- Burgess, E. W.; Wallin, P. 1943. „Homogamy in Social Characteristics.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 49, p. 109–124.
- Burkitt, B.; Burkitt, B. 1981. „Anelkogamy: A Case of Sexist Prejudice?“ *Women's Studies International Quarterly*, 4, p. 157–162.
- Bytheway, W. R. 1981. „The Variation with Age of Age Differences in Marriage.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 43, p. 923–927.
- Carter, H.; Glick, P. 1976. *Marriage and Divorce: A Social and Economic Study*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Casterline, J. B.; Williams, L.; McDonald, P. 1986. „The Age Difference Between Spouses: Variations Among Developing Countries.“ *Population Studies*, 50, p. 353–374.
- Clegg, E. J.; Ringrose, T. J.; Cross, J. F. 1998. „Some Factors Affecting Marital Distances in the Outer Hebrides.“ *Journal of Biosocial Science*, 30, p. 43–62.
- Clogg, C. C. 1982. „Using Association Models in Sociological Research: Some Examples.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 88, p. 114–134.
- Clogg, C. C.; Shihadeh, E. S. 1994. *Statistical Models for Ordinal Variables*. London: Sage.

- Coleman, D. A.; Haskey, J. C. 1986. „Marital Distance and its Geographical Orientation in England and Wales, 1979“. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 11, p. 337–355.
- Davie, M. R.; Reeves, R. J. 1939. „Propinquity of Residence before Marriage.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 44, p. 510–517.
- Dean, G.; Gurak, D. 1978. „Marital Homogamy the Second Time Around.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 40, p. 559–570.
- Dressel, P. L. 1980. „Assortative Mating in Later Life.“ *Journal of Family Issues*, 1, p. 379–396.
- Duncan, S.; Smith, S. 2002. „Geographies of Family Formations: Spatial Differences and Gender Cultures in Britain.“ *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 27, p. 471–493.
- Durkheim, E. 1926. *Pravidla sociologické metody*. Praha: Orbis.
- Durkheim, E. 1969. *La suicide*. Paris: PUF.
- Ellsworth, J. S. 1948. „The Relationship of Population Density to Residential Propinquity as a Factor in Marriage Selection.“ *American Sociological Review*, 13, p. 444–448.
- Erikson, R.; Goldthorpe, J. H. 1992. *The Constant Flux. A Study of Class Mobility in Industrial Societies*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Everitt, B. S. 1977. *The Analysis of Contingency Tables*. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Fienberg, S. E. 1980. *The Analysis of Cross-Classified Categorical Data*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Fisher, W. A. 1980. *The Soviet marriage market. Mate selection in Russia and the USSR*. New York: Praeger Scientific.
- Fischer, C. S.; Hout, M.; Jankowski, M. S.; Lucas, R.; Swidler, A.; Voss, K. 1996. *Inequality by Design: Cracking the Bell Curve Myth*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Flandrin, J. L. 1979. *Families in Former Times: Kinship, Household and Sexuality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flandrin, J. L. 1991. *Sex in the Western World: The Development of Attitudes and Behaviour*. Chur: Harwood Academic Publisher.
- Fučík, P. 2006. „Věková homogamie českých sňatků 1920–2000.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 42, s. 719–739.
- Gelissen, J. 2003. „Assortative Mating after Divorce: A Test of Two Competing Hypotheses Using Marginal Models.“ *Social Science Research*, 33, p. 361–384.
- Giddens, A. 1993. *Transformation of Intimacy: Sexuality, Love and Eroticism in Modern Societies*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Giddens, A. 1998. *Důsledky modernity*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).

- Girard, A. 1964. *Le choix du conjoint. Une enquête psycho-sociologique en France*. Paris: INED-PUF.
- Glick, P. C. 1957. *American Families*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Goldthorpe, J. H. 2000. „Outline of a Theory of Social Mobility.“ In: Goldthorpe, J. H. *On Sociology. Numbers, Narratives, and the Integration of Research and Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p. 230–258.
- Goldthorpe, J. H.; Llewellyn, C.; Payne, C. 1980. *Social Mobility and Class Structure in Modern Britain*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Goodman, L. A. 1978. *Analyzing Qualitative/Categorical Data*. London: Addison-Wesley.
- Goodman, L. A. 1981. „Three Elementary Views of Log-Linear Models for the Analysis of Cross-Classifications Having Ordered Categories.“ In: Leinhardt, S. (Ed.). *Sociological Methodology*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, p. 193–239.
- Goodman, L. A. 1984. *The Analysis of Cross-Classified Data Having Ordered Categories*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Goodman, L. A.; Hout, M. 1998. „Statistical Methods and Graphical Displays for Analyzing How the Association Between Two Qualitative Variables Differs Among Countries, Among Groups or Over Time: A Modified Regression-Type Approach.“ *Sociological Methodology*, 28, p. 175–230.
- Goodman, L. A.; Hout, M. 2001. „Statistical Methods and Graphical Displays for Analyzing How the Association Between Two Qualitative Variables Differs Among Countries, Among Groups or Over Time. Part II: Some Explanatory Techniques, Simple Models, and Simple Examples.“ *Sociological Methodology*, 31, p. 189–221.
- Goody, J. 1983. *The Development of the Family and Marriage in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Goody, J. 2006. *Proměny rodiny v evropské historii*. Praha: Nakladatelství Lidové noviny.
- Graf, P. M. de; Ultee, W. C. 2000. „United in Employment, United in Unemployment? Employment and Unemployment of Couples in European Union in 1994.“ In: Galie, D.; Paugam, S. (Ed.). *Welfare Regimes and the Experience of Unemployment in Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p. 265–285.
- Gunter, B. G.; Wheeler, R. H. 1986. „More Women are Marrying Younger Men in a Florida County.“ *Sociology and Social Research*, 71, p. 52–54.
- Haandrikman, K.; Hutter, I.; Wissen L. J. G. van; Groote, P. D. 2005. „Spatial Homogamy: Geographical Dimensions of the Partner Market in the Netherlands.“ *Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the PAA, Philadelphia*.
- Haberman, S. J. 1978: *Analysis of Qualitative Data (vol. 1: Introductory Topics)*. New York: Academic Press.

- Haberman, S. J. 1979. *Analysis of Qualitative Data (vol. 2: New Developments)*. New York: Academic Press.
- Hagenaars, J. A. 1990. *Categorical Longitudinal Data: Log-Linear Panel, Trend and Cohort Analysis*. London: Sage.
- Hancock, R.; Stuchburry, R.; Tomassini, C. 2003. „Changes in the Distribution of Marital Age Differences in England and Wales, 1963–1998.“ *Population Trends*, 114, p. 19–25.
- Hanson, L. S.; Oomms, T. 1991. „The Economic Cost and Rewards of Two-Earner, Two-Parent Families.“ *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 53, p. 622–634.
- Hašková, H. 2000. „Postoje české vysokoškolsky vzdělané populace k pozici žen na trhu práce.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 36, s. 441–457.
- Hendl, J. 2004. *Přehled statistických metod zpracování dat*. Praha: Portál.
- Hochstadt, S. 1982. „Demography and Feminism.“ In: Robertson, P. (Ed.). *An Experience of Women. Pattern and Change in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, p. 541–560.
- Hollingshead, A. B. 1950. „Age Relationship and Marriage.“ *American Sociological Review*, 4, p. 492–499.
- Hout, M. 1982. „The Association Between Husbands' and Wives' Occupations in Two-Earner Families.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 88, p. 397–409.
- Hout, M. 1983. *Mobility Tables*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Hunt, T. C. 1940. „Occupational Status and Marriage Selection.“ *American Sociological Review*, 5, p. 495–505.
- Christensen, R. 1997. *Log-linear Models and Logistic Regression*. New York: Springer.
- Jaco, D. E.; Shepard, M. 1975. „Demographic Homogeneity and Spousal Consensus: A Methodological Perspective.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 37, p. 161–169.
- Jacobs, J. A.; Fustenberg, F. F. 1986. „Changing Places: Conjugal Careers and Women's Marital Mobility.“ *Social Forces*, 64, p. 714–732.
- Johnson, R. A. 1980. *Religious Assortative Mating in the United States*. New York: Academic Press.
- Jones, F. L. 1987. „Marriage Patterns and the Stratification System: Trends in Educational Homogamy since 1930s.“ *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Sociology*, 23, p. 185–198.
- Kalmijn, M. 1991a. „Status Homogamy in the United States.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 97, No. 2, p. 496–523.
- Kalmijn, M. 1991b. „Shifting Boundaries: Trends in Religious and Educational Homogamy.“ *American Sociological Review*, 56, p. 786–800.
- Kalmijn, M. 1993. „Trends in Black/ White Intermarriage.“ *Social Forces*, 72, No. 1, p. 119–146.

- Kalmijn, M. 1994. „Assortative Mating by Cultural and Economic Occupational Status.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 100, p. 422–452.
- Katrňák, T. 2004. *Odsouzení k manuální práci: Vzdělanostní reprodukce v dělnické rodině*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Katrňák, T. 2004. „Determinanty poklesu sňatečnosti v 90. letech 20. století v České republice.“ *Demografie*, 46, p. 256–263.
- Katrňák, T. 2005. *Třídní analýza a sociální mobilita*. Brno: CDK.
- Katrňák, T.; Fučík, P. 2007. „Existuje souvislost mezi sociální mobilitou a vzdělanostní homogamií?“ In: Mareš, P.; Hofírek, O. *Sociální reprodukce a integrace: ideály a meze*. Brno: MPÚ, s. 43–62.
- Katrňák, T.; Kreidl, M.; Fónadová, L. 2006. „Trends in Educational Assortative Mating in Central Europe: the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Poland, and Hungary, 1988–2000.“ *European Sociological Review*, 22, p. 309–322.
- Kaufman, R. L.; Schervish, P. G. 1986. „Using Adjusted Crosstabulations to Interpret Log-linear Relationships.“ *American Sociological Review*, 51, p. 717–733.
- Kaufman, R. L.; Schervish, P. G. 1987. „Variation on a Theme: More Uses of Odds Ratios to Interpret Log-linear Parameters.“ *Sociological Methods and Research*, 16, p. 218–255.
- Knoke, D.; Burke, P. J. 1980. *Log-Linear Models*. London: Sage.
- Kobrin, F. E.; Goldscheider, C. 1978. *The Ethnic Factor in Family Structure and Mobility*. Cambridge: Ballinger.
- Koller, M. R. 1948. „Residential Propinquity of White Mates at Marriage in Relation to Age and Occupation of Males, Columbus, Ohio, 1938 and 1946.“ *American Sociological Review*, 13, p. 613–616.
- Kreidl, M. 2000. „Změny v percepci životního úspěchu, bohatství a chudoby.“ In: Matějů, P.; Vlachová, K. (Ed.). *Nerovnosti, spravedlnost, politika. Česká republika 1991–1998*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON), s. 191–224.
- Laslett, P. 1977. *Family Life and Illicit Love in Earlier Generations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Laslett, P. 1984. *The World We Have Lost*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Lepenies, W. 1988. *Between Literature and Science: The Rise of Sociology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Long, J. S. 1984. „Estimable Functions in Log-linear Models.“ *Sociological Methods and Research*, 12, p. 399–432.
- Long, J. S. 1997. *Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables*. London: Sage.
- Luhmann, N. 1986. *Love and Passion: The Codification of Intimacy*. Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Machonin, P. a kol. 1969. *Československá společnost*. Bratislava: Epocha.
- Machonin, P.; Tuček, M. a kol. 1996. *Česká společnost v transformaci. K proměně sociální struktury*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Mare, R. 1991. „Five Decades of Educational Assortative Mating.“ *American Sociological Review*, 56, p. 15–32.
- Mareš, P. 1994. *Nezaměstnanost jako sociální problém*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Mareš, P.; Sirovátka, T. 1998. „Trh práce a lidské zdroje.“ In: Večerník, J. (Ed.). *Zpráva o vývoji české společnosti*. Praha: Academia, s. 15–43.
- Matějů, P. 1986. „Demokratizace vzdělání a reprodukce vzdělanostní struktury v ČSSR ve světle mobility dat.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 22, s. 131–152.
- Matějů, P. 1990. „Family Effect on Educational Attainment in Czechoslovakia, the Netherlands and Hungary.“ In: Peschar, J. L. (Ed.). *Social Reproduction in Eastern and Western Europe: Comparative Analyses on Czechoslovakia, Hungary, the Netherlands and Poland*. Nijmegen: Institute for Applied Social Sciences, p. 187–210.
- Matějů, P. 1993. „Who Won and Who Lost in a Socialist Redistribution in Czechoslovakia?“ In: Shavit, Y., Blossfeld, H. P. (Ed.). *Persistent Inequality: Changing Educational Attainment in Thirteen Countries*. San Francisco: Westview Press, p. 251–271.
- Matějů, P.; Kreidl, M. 1999. „Vývoj statusové konzistence v České republice 1991–1999.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 35, s. 269–292.
- Matějů, P.; Straková, J. a kol. 2006. *Nerovné šance na vzdělání*. Praha: Academia.
- Matějů, P.; Vlachová, K. a kol. 2000. *Nerovnost, spravedlnost, politika*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Mensch, B. 1986. „Age Differences Between Spouses in First Marriages.“ *Social Biology*, 33, p. 229–240.
- Michielutte, R. 1972. „Trends in Educational Homogamy.“ *Sociology of Education*, 45, p. 288–302.
- Momeni, D. A. 1976. „Husband-Wife Age Differentials in Shiraz, Iran.“ *Social Biology*, 23, p. 341–348.
- Monahan, T. P. 1953. „Does Age at Marriage Matter in Divorce?“ *Social Forces*, 32, p. 81–87.
- Monahan, T. P. 1973. „Marriage across racial lines in Indiana.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, p. 632–639.
- Morgan, S. L.; Grusky, D. B.; Fields, G. S. (Ed.). 2006. *Mobility and Inequality*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Možný, I.; Rabušic, L. 1998. „Česká rodina, sňatkový trh a reprodukční klima.“ In: Večerník, J. (Ed.). *Zpráva o vývoji české společnosti*. Praha: Academia, s. 92–108.

- Ní Brolcháin. 1988. „Changing Partners: A Longitudinal Study of Remarriage.“ *Population Trends*, 53, p. 27–34.
- Oppenheimer, V. K. 1988. „A Theory of Marriage Timing.“ *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, p. 563–591.
- Patterson, C.; Pettijohn, T. 1982. „Age and Human Mate Selection.“ *Psychological Reports*, 51, p. 65–78.
- Pavlík, Z.; Rychtaříková, J.; Šubrtová, A. 1986. *Základy demografie*. Praha: Academia.
- Poppel, F. van; Liefbroer, A. C.; Vermut, J. K.; Smeenk, V. 2001. „Love, Necessity and opportunity: Changing Patterns of Marital Age Homogamy in the Netherlands, 1850–1993.“ *Population Studies*, 55, p. 1–13.
- Powers, D. A.; Xie, Y. 2000. *Statistical Methods for Categorical Data Analysis*. London: Academic Press.
- Presser, H. B. 1975. „Age Differences Between Spouses: Trends, Patterns, and Social Implications.“ *American Behavioral Scientist*, 19, p. 190–205.
- Putte, B. van de. 2003. „Homogamy by Geographical Origin. Segregation in 19th Century Flemish Cities (Gent, Leuven and Aalst).“ *Journal of Family History*, 28, p. 364–390.
- Qian, Z. 1998. „Changes in Assortative Mating: The Impact of Age and Education, 1970–1990.“ *Demography*, 35, p. 279–294.
- Qian, Z.; Preston, S. H. 1993. „Changes in American Marriage, 1972 to 1987: Availability and Forces of Attraction by Age and Education.“ *American Sociological Review*, 58, p. 482–496.
- Rabušic, L. 1996. „O současném vývoji manželského a rodinného chování v České republice.“ *Demografie*, 38, s. 173–180.
- Rabušic, L. 2001. *Kde ty všechny děti jsou?* Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Raftery, A. E. 1986. „Choosing Models for Cross-Classification.“ *American Sociological Review*, 51, p. 145–146.
- Raftery, A. E. 1995. „Bayesian Model Selection in Social Research.“ *Sociological Methodology*, 25, p. 111–163.
- Rockwell, R. R. 1976. „Historical Trends and Variations in Educational Heterogamy.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 38, p. 83–95.
- Roussel, L. 1992. „Sociální status milostného citu.“ *Sociologický obzor*, 1, s. 12–18.
- Rudas, T. 1998. *Odds Ratios in the Analysis of Contingency Tables*. London: Sage.
- Řeháková, B. 2000. „Nebojte se logistické regrese.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 36, s. 475–492. Řeháková, B. 2000. „Nebojte se logistické regrese.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 36, s. 475–492.

- Sčítání lidu, domů a bytů 1991. 1992. Praha: ČSÚ.
- Sčítání lidu, domů a bytů 2001. 2002. Praha: ČSÚ.
- Shehan, C.; Berardo, F.; Vera, H.; Carley, S. M. 1991. „Women in Age Discrepant Marriages.“ *Journal of Family Issues*, 12, p. 291–305.
- Shorter, E. 1975. *The Making of Modern Family*. New York: Basic Books.
- Shorter, E. 1977. *The Making of Modern Family*. Glasgow: Fontana.
- Schumpeter, J. A. 1951. *Imperialism and Social Classes*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Simonová, N. 2006. „Vzdělanostní nerovnosti a vzdělanostní mobilita v období socialismu.“ In: Matějů, P.; Straková, J. a kol. *Nerovné šance na vzdělání*. Praha: Academia, s. 62–91.
- Singly, F. de 1977. „Mobilité féminine per le mariage et dot scolaire.“ *Economie et Statistique*, 91, p. 33–44.
- Singly, F. de 1987. „Théorie critique de l'homogamie.“ *L'Année sociologique*, 37, p. 181–205.
- Sixma, H.; Ultee, W. 1984. „Marriage Patterns and the Openness of Society: Educational Homogamy in the Netherlands in 1959, 1971, and 1977.“ In: Bakker, B. F. M.; Dronkers, J.; Ganzeboom, H. B. G. (Ed.). *Social Stratification and Mobility in the Netherlands*. Amsterdam: SISWO, p. 91–108.
- Smeenk, W. 1998. *Opportunity and Marriage: The Impact of Individual Resources and Marriage Market Structure on First Marriage Timing and Partner Choice in the Netherlands*. Amsterdam: Thela Thesis.
- Smits, J.; Lammers, J.; Ultee, W. 2000. „More or Less Educational Homogamy? A test of Different Versions of Modernization Theory Using Cross-Temporal Evidence for 60 Countries.“ *American Sociological Review*, 65, p. 781–788.
- Smits, J.; Ultee, W.; Lammers, J. 1998a. „Educational Homogamy in 65 Countries: An Explanation of Differences in Openness Using Country-Level Explanatory Variables.“ *American Sociological Review*, 63, p. 264–285.
- Smits, J.; Ultee, W.; Lammers, J. 1998b. „Occupational Homogamy in Eight Countries of the European Union, 1975–89.“ *Acta Sociologica*, 42, p. 55–68.
- Sobotka, T.; Zeman, K.; Kantorová, V. 2003. „Demographic shifts in the Czech Republic after 1989: A second demographic transition view.“ *European Journal of Population*, 19, p. 249–277.
- Spitze, G. 1988. „Women's Employment and Family Relations: A Review.“ *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 50, p. 595–618.
- Stockman, N. 1991. „Společenské třídy a manželství: Příspěvek k diskusi o umístění žen v sociální struktuře.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 27, s. 364–376.
- Šanderová, J. 1995. „Nesnáze s pojmem společenská třída. (Na okraj jedné diskuse).“ *Sociologický časopis*, 31, s. 61–75.
- Thelot, C. 1982. *Tel père, tel fils? Position sociale et origine familiale*. Paris: Dunod.

- Tuček, M. a kol. 2003. *Dynamika české společnosti a osudy lidí na přelomu tisíciletí*. Praha: Sociologické nakladatelství (SLON).
- Ultee, W.; Dessens, J.; Jansen, W. 1988. „Why Does Unemployment Come in Couples?“ *European Sociological Review*, 4, p. 111–122.
- Ultee, W.; Luijkx, R. 1994. „Educational Heterogamy and Father-to-Son Occupational Mobility in 23 Industrial Nations: General Societal Openness or Compensatory Strategies of Reproduction?“ In: Luijkx, R. *Comparative Loglinear Analyses of Social Mobility and Heterogamy*. Tilburg: Tilburg University Press, p. 173–209.
- Uunk, W. J. G. 1997. *Who Marries Whom? The Role of Social Origin, Education and High Culture in Mate Selection of Industrial Societies during the Twentieth Century*. Nijmegen: NWO.
- Večerník, J. 1983. „Mobilitní aspekty reprodukce a rozvoje dělnické třídy.“ *Sociologický časopis*, 19, s. 71–83.
- Večerník, J. 1986. *Diferenciace příjmů a mezd*. Praha: ÚFS ČSAV.
- Večerník, J. 1991. „Earnings Distribution in Czechoslovakia: Intertemporal Changes and International Comparison.“ *European Sociological Review*, No. 7, p. 237–252.
- Večerník, J. 1998. *Občan a tržní ekonomika: Příjmy, nerovnost a politické postoje v české společnosti*. Praha: Nakladatelství Lidové noviny.
- Veevers, J. E. 1984. „Age Discrepant Marriages: Cross-National Comparisons of Canadian-American.“ *Social Biology*, 31, 1–2, p. 18–27.
- Vera, H.; Berardo, D. H.; Berardo, F. M. 1985. „Age Heterogamy in Marriage.“ *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 47, p. 553–566.
- Vera, H.; Berardo, F. M.; Vandiver, J. 1991. „Age Irrelevancy in Society: The Test of Mate Selection.“ *Journal of Aging Studies*, 14, p. 81–96.
- Vermunt, J. K. 1997. *Log-linear Models for Events Histories*. London: Sage.
- Vossen, P. A. 1999. „Preferences of Young Adults Regarding their Partner's Age: Specific Patterns and the Underlying Argumentation: Results from the Dutch Survey.“ *Zeitschrift für Bevölkerungswissenschaft*, 24, p. 65–85.
- Ware, H. 1981. *Women, Demography and Development*. Canberra: Australian National University.
- Weber, M. 1998. „Objektivita' sociálněvědního a sociálněpolitického poznání.“ In: *Metodologie, sociologie a politika*. Praha: Oikoymenh, s. 7–63.
- Wheeler, R. H.; Gunter, B. G. 1987. „Change in Spouse Age Difference at Marriage: A Challenge to Traditional Family and Sex Roles.“ *The Sociological Quarterly*, 28, p. 411–421.
- Wickens, T. D. 1989. *Multiway Contingency Tables Analysis for the Social Sciences*. New Jersey: Lawrens.

- Winch, R. T. 1958. *Mate-Selection. A Study of Complementary Needs*. New York: Harper and Rows.
- Winship, Ch.; Mare, R. D. 1984. „Regression Models with Ordinal Variables.“ *American Sociological Review*, 49, p. 512-525.
- Wright, E. O. 1985. *Classes*. London: Verso.
- Xie, Y. 1992. „The Log-Multiplicative Layer Effect Model for Comparing Mobility Tables.“ *American Sociological Review*, 57, p. 380-395.
- Zeman, K. 2006. „Věk při sňatku a rozdíl mezi věkem ženicha a věkem nevěsty v České republice v letech 1991-2004.“ *Demografie*, 48, s. 1-11.