

References

Journal articles

1. Ahner, D., Feuchtner, N.-M. Territoriale Kohäsion: EU-Politik im Dienste regionaler Potenziale. *Informationen zur Raumentwicklung*, 2010, no. 8 (Europäische Politik des territorialen Zusammenhalts), p. 543–552.
2. Albers, G. Die Ziele der Raumplanung und die Charta von Torremolinos, *disP – The Planning Review*, 1987, vol. 23, no. 87, p. 5–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02513625.1987.12088639>
3. Albrecht, J. Legal framework and criteria for effectively coordinating public participation under the Floods Directive and Water Framework Directive: European requirements and German transposition. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 2014, vol. 55, Part 2, p. 368–375. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.07.019>
4. Alhke, B., Görmär, W., Hartz, A. Territoriale Agenda der Europäischen Union und transnationale Zusammenarbeit. *Informationen zur Raumordnung*, 2007, no. 7–8, p. 449–463.
5. Ansell, C., Gash, A. Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 2008, vol. 18, no. 4, p. 543–571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032>
6. Appel, M. Umweltverbände im Ferrari des deutschen Umweltrechtsschutzes – Anmerkung zur Trianel-Entscheidung des EuGH, Urt. v. 12.5.2011 – C-115/09. *Natur und Recht*, 2011, vol. 33, p. 414–416. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10357-011-2097-8>
7. Arabadjieva, K. 'Better Regulation' in Environmental Impact Assessment: The Amended EIA Directive. *Journal of Environmental Law*, 2016, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 159–168. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/eqw001>
8. Baird, J., Plummer, R., Haug, C., Huitema, D. Learning effects of interactive decision-making processes for climate change adaptation. *Global Environmental Change*, 2014, vol. 27, p. 51–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.019>
9. Baudelle, G. Construire ensemble les territoires: les transformations récentes du modèle français d'aménagement. *Historiens & Géographes*, 2008, vol. 40, p. 145–158.
10. Berčič, J. The state of public participation in spatial planning in the European union: public participation in spatial planning between theory and practice. *Igra ustvarjalnosti – Creativity Game*, 2013, no. 1, p. 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.15292/IU-CG.2015.03.054-061>
11. Beunen, R. European nature conservation legislation and spatial planning: For better or for worse? *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 2006, vol. 49, no. 4, p. 605–619. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640560600747547>

12. Böhme, K., Schön, P. From Leipzig to Leipzig. Territorial Research Delivers Evidence for the New Territorial Agenda of the European Union. *disP – The Planning Review*, 2006, vol. 42, no. 165, p. 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02513625.2006.10556955>
13. Bourblanc, M., Crabbé, A., Liefferink, D., Wiering, M. The marathon of the hare and the tortoise: Implementing the EU Water Framework Directive. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 2012, vol. 56, no. 10, p. 1449–1467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2012.726197>
14. Bouwma, I., Beunen, R., Liefferink, D. Natura 2000 management plans in France and the Netherlands: Carrots, sticks, sermons and different problems. *Journal of Natural Conservation*, 2018, vol. 46, p. 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2018.09.001>
15. Braulio-Gonzalo, M., Bovea, M., D. Relationship between green public procurement criteria and sustainability assessment tools applied to office buildings. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 2020, vol. 81, 106310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2019.106310>
16. Briggs, D. The Ecological Mapping of the European Community. *Ekistics*, 1982, vol. 49, no. 294, p. 236–240.
17. Bulkeley, H., Mol, A. P. J. Participation and environmental governance: Consensus, ambivalence and debate. *Environmental Values*, 2003, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 143–154. <https://doi.org/10.1177/096327190301200201>
18. Bunge, T. Strategic Environmental Assessment: The Term “Plans and Programmes” as Interpreted by the European Court of Justice. *Elni review*, 2019, no. 1, p. 2–9. <https://doi.org/10.46850/elni.2019.001>
19. Carter, J. Spatial planning, water and the Water Framework Directive: Insights from theory and practice. *The Geographical Journal*, 2007, 173. p. 330–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4959.2007.00257.x>
20. Christou, M. D., Amendola, A., Smeder, M. The control of major accident hazards: The land-use planning issue. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 1999, vol. 65, no. 1–2, p. 151–178. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894\(98\)00261-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3894(98)00261-1)
21. Davoudi, S. Polycentricity in European Spatial Planning: From an Analytical Tool to a Normative Agenda. *European Planning Studies*, 2003, vol. 11, no. 8, p. 979–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965431032000146169>
22. De Marchi, B. Public participation and risk governance. *Science and Public Policy*, 2003, vol. 30, no. 3, p. 171–176.
23. Déjeant-Pons, M. The European Conference of Ministers responsible for Regional Planning (CEMAT). *Infomrationen zur Raumentwicklung*. 2003, no. 7, p. 401–410.
24. Dühr, S., Stead, D., Zonneveld, W. The Europeanization of spatial planning through territorial cooperation. *Planning Practice & Research*, 2007, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 291–307. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02697450701688245>
25. Eliantonio, M., Richelle, J. Access to Justice in Environmental Matters in the EU Legal Order: The “Sectoral” Turn in Legislation and Its Pitfalls. *European Papers*, 2024, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 261–274.

26. Eltges, M. Leipzig Charter on Sustainable European Cities – A Work in Progress. *European Spatial Research and Policy*, 2009, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 63–78. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10105-009-0013-5>
27. Fabbro, S., Mesolella, A. Multilevel Spatial Visions and Territorial Cohesion: Italian Regional Planning between the TEN-T corridors, ESDP polycentrism and Governmental 'Strategic Platforms'. *Planning Practice & Research*, 2010, vol. 25, no. 1, p. 25–48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02697451003625364>
28. Faludi, A. The performance of spatial planning, *Planning Practice & Research*, 2000, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 299–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713691907>
29. Faludi, A. From European Spatial Development to Territorial Cohesion Policy. *Regional Studies*, 2006, vol. 40, p. 667–678. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400600868937>
30. Faludi, A. Centenary paper: European spatial planning: past, present and future. *Town Planning Review*, 2010, no. 81, p. 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3828/tpr.2009.21>
31. Farber, D. A. Taking Slippage Seriously: Noncompliance and Creative Compliance in Environmental Law. *Harvard Environmental Law Review*. 1999, vol. 23, p. 297–325. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.163972>
32. Frederiksen, P., Mäenpää, M., Hokka, V. The Water Framework Directive: spatial and institutional integration, *Management of Environmental Quality*, 2008, vol. 19 no. 1, p. 100–117. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14777830810840390>
33. Fung, A. Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public Administration Review*, 2006, vol. 66, Special Issue: Collaborative Public Management, p. 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00667.x>
34. Garcia Ureta, A. Habitats Directive and Environmental Assessment of Plans and Projects. *Journal for European Environmental & Planning Law*, 2007, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 84–96. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187601007X00091>
35. Gemmer, M., Xiao, B. Air quality legislation and standards in the European Union: Background, status and public participation. *Advances in Climate Change Research*, 2013, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 50–59. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1248.2013.00050>
36. Geppert, A. Sorting the wheat from the chaff. An opinion on the draft for a New Leipzig Charter proposed by the German Presidency of the European Union. *disP – The Planning Review*, 2020, vol. 56, no. 3, p. 77–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02513625.2020.1851911>
37. Gibbs, D., While, A., Jonas, A.E.G. Governing nature conservation: The European Union Habitats Directive and conflict around estuary management. *Environment and Planning*, 2007, vol. 39, no. 2, p. 339–358. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a37399>
38. Gloersen, E., Lähteenmäki-Smith, K., Dubois, A. Polycentricity in transnational planning initiatives: ESDP applied or ESDP reinvented? *Planning Practice & Research*, 2007, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 417–437. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02697450701666761>
39. Goldman, K., Wessel, J. TEN-T corridors – Stairway to heaven or highway to hell? *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 2020, vol. 137, no. 7, p. 240–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.04.010>

40. Grimeaud, D. The EC Water Framework Directive – An instrument for integrating water policy. *Review of European community and International Environmental Law*, 2004, no. 1, p. 33–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9388.2004.00381.x>
41. Hartlik, J. Bleibt alles anders?: die UVP-Richtlinien-Novellierung. *UVP-REPORT*, 2014, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 2–5.
42. Healey, P., Civic Engagement, Spatial Planning and Democracy as a Way of Life. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 2008, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 379–382. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350802277092>
43. Hein, C. European Spatial Development, the Polycentric EU Capital, and Eastern Enlargement. *Comparative European Politics*, 2006, no. 4, p. 253–271. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.cep.6110074>
44. Heuser, I., L. Milestones of Soil Protection in EU Environmental Law. *Journal for European Environmental and Planning Law*, 2006, no. 3, p. 190–203. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187601006X00227>
45. Hiedanpää, J. European-wide conservation versus local well-being: the reception of the Natura 2000. Reserve Network in Kaarvia, SW-Finland. *Landscape & Urban Planning*, 2002, vol. 61, p. 113–123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046\(02\)00106-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00106-8)
46. Holzinger, K. Limits of Co-Operation: A German Case of Environmental Mediation. *European Environment*, 2000, vol. 10, no. 6, p. 293–305. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0976\(200011/12\)10:6<293::AID-EET240>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0976(200011/12)10:6<293::AID-EET240>3.0.CO;2-Q)
47. Hossu, C.-A., Oliveira, E., Niță, A. Streamline democratic values in planning systems: A study of participatory practices in European strategic spatial planning. *Habitat International*, 2022, vol. 129, no. 102675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2022.102675>
48. Huhta, K. The scope of state sovereignty under Article 194(2) TFEU and the evolution of EU competences in the energy sector. *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, 2021, vol. 70, no. 4, p. 991–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589321000269>
49. Irvin, R., A., Stansbury, J. Citizen Participation in Decision Making. Is It Worth the Effort? *Public Administration Review*, 2004, vol. 64, no. 1, p. 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2004.00346.x>
50. Irvine, K., O'Brien, S. Progress on Stakeholder Participation in the Implementation of the Water Framework Directive in the Republic of Ireland. *Biology and Environment: Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy*, 2009, vol. 109B, no. 3, p. 365–376. <https://doi.org/10.1353/bae.2009.0004>
51. Jager, N. W., Challies, E., Kochskämper, E., Newig, J., Benson, D., Blackstock, K., Collins, K., Ernst, A., Evers, M., Feichtinger, J., et al. Transforming European water governance? Participation and river basin management under the EU water framework directive in 13 member states. *Water*, 2016, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 156–178. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w8040156>
52. Jendrośka, J. Aarhus Convention and Community Law: the Interplay. *Journal for European Environmental and Planning Law*, 2005, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187601005X00570>

53. Jendrośka, J., Anapyanova, A. Towards a Green Energy Transition: REPowerEU Directive vs Environmental Acquis. *elni Review*, 2023, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.46850/elni.2023.001>
54. Jensen, O., B., Richardson, T. Nested Visions: New Rationalities of Space in European Spatial Planning. *Regional Studies*, 2001, vol. 35, no. 8, p. 703–717. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400120084696>
55. Jiricka-Pürner, A., Wachter, T., F., Margelik, E., Leitner, M., Völler, S., Czachs, C., Formayer, H. „UVPklimafit-Infoportal“: ein Wegweiser zur Bearbeitung der Klimafolgenanpassung in der Umweltverträglichkeitsprüfung. *UVP-report*, 2018, vol. 32, no. 4, p. 189–197.
56. Johnston, E., W., Hicks, D., Nan, N., Auer, J. C. Managing the Inclusion Process in Collaborative Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 2011, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 699–721. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muq045>
57. Jovanović, T., Aristovnik, A., Rogić Lugarić, T. A Comparative Analysis of Building Permits Procedures in Slovenia and Croatia: Development of a Simplification Model. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, 2016, no. 2, p. 5–23.
58. Kameda, T. et al. Asbestos: use, bans and disease burden in Europe. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 2014, vol. 92, no. 11, p. 790–797. <https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.13.132118>
59. Ker Rault, P. A., Jeffrey, P. J. Deconstructing public participation in the Water Framework Directive: Implementation and compliance with the letter or with the spirit of the law? *Water and Environment journal*, 2008, vol. 22, no. 4, p. 241–249. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-6593.2008.00125.x>
60. Keessen, A. M., van Kempen, J. J. H., van Rijswijk, M., Robbe, J., Backes, C. W. European River basin districts: Are they swimming in the same implementation pool? *Journal of Environmental Law*, 2010, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 197–221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/eqq003>
61. Laurent, A., Pey, A., Gurtel, P., & Fabiano, B. A critical perspective on the implementation of the EU Council Seveso Directives in France, Germany, Italy and Spain. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2021, vol. 148, p. 47–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.09.064>
62. Lee, N., Kirkpatrick, C. Evidence-based policy-making in Europe: an evaluation of European Commission integrated impact assessments. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 2006, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.3152/147154606781765327>
63. Louvagie, G., Gay, S., H., Sammeth, F., Ratering, T. The Potential of European Union Policies to Address Soil Degradation in Agriculture. *Land Degradation & Development*, 2011, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.1028>
64. Karageorgou, V., Pouikli, K. Access to Justice for Challenging the Decisions of the Competent Authorities for Alleged Violations of the EU Water Legislation before National Courts. Relevant Developments and Trends Through the Lens of the CJEU Judgments in Cases C-197/18 and C-535/18. *European Energy and Environmental Law Review*, 2021, vol. 30, no. 4, p. 128–138. <https://doi.org/10.54648/EELR2021015>
65. Korthals Altes, W., K. The Single European Market and land development. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 2006, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350600841412>

66. Krott, M., Julien, B., Lammertz, M., Barbier, J.-M., Jen, S., Ballestreros, M. & De Bovis, C. Voicing Interests and Concerns: Natura 2000: An ecological network in conflict with people. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 2000, no. 1, p. 357–366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-9341\(00\)00031-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-9341(00)00031-9)
67. Lai, S. Hindrances to Effective Implementation of the Habitats Directive in Italy: Regional Differences in Designating Special Areas of Conservation. *Sustainability*, 2020, vol. 12, no. 6, p. 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12062335>
68. Laurent, A., Pey, A., Gurtel, P., Fabiano, B. A critical perspective on the implementation of the EU Council Seveso Directives in France, Germany, Italy and Spain. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 2021, vol. 148, p. 47–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2020.09.064>
69. Ledoux, L., Crooks, S., Jordan, A. & Kerry Turner, R. Implementing EU biodiversity policy: UK experiences. *Land Use Policy*, 2000, vol. 17, p. 257–268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8377\(00\)00031-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-8377(00)00031-4)
70. Lee, N. Environmental assessment in the European Union: a tenth anniversary. *Project Appraisal*, 1995, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 77–90. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02688867.1995.9726979>
71. Lezaun, J., Groenleer, M. Food control emergencies and the territorialization of the European Union. *European Integration*, 2006, vol. 28, no. 5, p. 437–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07036330600979623>
72. Liefferink D., Jordan A. An 'Ever Closer Union' of National Policy? The Convergence of National Environmental Policy in the European Union. *Queens papers*, 2002, no. 10.
73. Majchrowska A. What do we not know to implement the European Landscape Convention? *The Problems of Landscape Ecology*, 2010, vol. 28, p. 209–216.
74. Mayer, C. Directive 2014/52/EU: Big Step Forward or Merely Minimum Consensus? – An Attempt to Evaluate the New EU – Regulations on the Assessment of the Effects of Certain Public and Private Projects on the Environment. *Law Review*, 2016, no. 1, p. 97–108.
75. Meyer, J., H. Greening Europe? Environmental Interest Groups and the Europeanization of a New Policy Field. *Comparativ*, 2010, vol. 20, no. 3, p. 83–104.
76. Möckel, S. The terms “project” and “plan” in the Natura 2000 appropriate assessment. *Nature Conservation*, 2017, no. 23, p. 31–56. <https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.23.13601>
77. Morgan, R., K. Environmental impact assessment: the state of the art. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 2012, vol. 30, no. 1, p. 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.2012.661557>
78. Mostert, E., Pahl-Wostl, C., Rees, Y., Searle, B., Tabara, D., Tippet, J. Social learning in European river-basin management: barriers and fostering mechanisms from 10 river basins. *Ecology and Society*, 2007, vol. 12, no. 19. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-01960-120119>
79. Nadin, V., Stead, D., Dąbrowski, M., & Fernandez-Maldonado, A. M. Integrated, adaptive and participatory spatial planning: trends across Europe. *Regional Studies*, 2020, vol. 55, no. 5, p. 791–803. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2020.1817363>
80. Newig, J., Challies, E., Jager, N.W., Kochskämper, E., Adzersen, A. The Environmental Performance of Participatory and Collaborative Governance: A Framework of Causal

- Mechanisms. *Policy Studies Journal*, 2017, vol. 46, no. 2, p. 269–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12209>
81. Newig, J., Fritsch, O. Environmental Governance: Participatory, Multi-level—And Effective? *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 2009, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 197–214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.509>
 82. Newig, J., Koontz, T.M. Multi-level governance, policy implementation and participation: The EU's mandated participatory planning approach to implementing environmental policy. *Journal of European Public Policy*. 2014, vol. 21, p. 248–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2013.834070>
 83. Öberg, M., Nilsson, K. L., Johansson, C., M. Complementary governance for sustainable development in transport: The European TEN-T Core network corridors. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 2018, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 674–682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2018.08.006>
 84. Opoku, A., Deng, J., Elmualim, A., Ekung, S., Hussein, A., A., Buhashima Abdalla, S. Sustainable procurement in construction and the realisation of the sustainable development goal (SDG) 12. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2022, vol. 376, 134294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134294>
 85. Palerm, J., R. Public Participation in Environmental Decision Making: Examining the Aarhus Convention. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 1999, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 229–244. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S146433329900017X>
 86. Palerm, J. The Habitats Directive as an instrument to achieve sustainability? An analysis through the case of the Rotterdam Mainport Development Project. *European Environment*, 2006, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.413>
 87. Pánovics, A. The Missing Link: Access to Justice in Environmental Matters. *EU and Comparative Law Issues and Challenges Series (ECLIC)*, 2020, vol. 4, p. 106–127. <https://doi.org/10.25234/eclic/11898>
 88. Pedrazzini, L. Applying the ESDP through Interreg IIIB: a southern perspective. *European Planning Studies*, 2005, vol. 13, p. 297–317. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965431042000321848>
 89. Pernice-Warnke, S. Der Zugang zu Gericht in Umweltangelegenheiten für Individualkläger und Verbände gemäß Art. 9 Abs. 3 Aarhus-Konvention und seine Umsetzung durch die europäische Gemeinschaft – Beseitigung eines Doppelstandards? *Europarecht (EuR)*, 2008, vol. 43, no. 3, p. 410–435. <https://doi.org/10.5771/0531-2485-2008-3-410>
 90. Persson, Å., Nilsson, M. Towards a Framework for SEA Follow-Up: Theoretical Issues and Lessons from Policy Evaluation. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management*, 2007, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 473–496. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1464333207002901>
 91. Peterlin, M., Kreitmeyer McKenzie, J. The Europeanization of spatial planning in Slovenia. *Planning Practice and Research*, 2007, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 455–461. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02697450701666811>
 92. Petersen, M. European Soil Protection Law after the Setback of December 2007 – Existing Law and Outlook. *European Energy and Environmental Law Review*, 2008, vol. 17, no. 3, p. 146–155. <https://doi.org/10.54648/EELR2008013>

93. Peterson, J. The choice for EU theorists: establishing a common framework for analysis, *European Journal of Political Research*, 2001, vol. 39, no. 3, p. 289–318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.00578>
94. Pindaru, L., C., Nita, A., Niculae, I., M., Manolache, S., Rozylowicz, L. More streamlined and targeted. A comparative analysis of the 7th and 8th Environment Action Programmes guiding European environmental policy. *Heliyon*, 2023, vol. 9, no. 9, e19212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19212>
95. Pinton, F. Conservation of biodiversity as a European directive: the challenge for France. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 2001, vol. 41, no. 3, p. 329–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9523.00186>
96. Reed, M. S. Stakeholder participation for environmental management: a literature review. *Biological Conservation*, 2008, vol. 141, no. 10, p. 2417–2431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.07.014>
97. Reinhardt, M. Die „strategische“ Umweltprüfung im Wasserrecht. *Natur und Recht*, 2005, vol. 27, no. 8, p. 499–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10357-005-0713-1>
98. Rodela, R. Social learning and natural resource management: the emergence of three research perspectives. *Ecology and Society*, 2011, vol. 16, no. 1, Article 30. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04554-160430>
99. Rodela, R., Cundill, G., Wals, A. E. J. An analysis of the methodological underpinnings of social learning research in natural resource management. *Ecological Economics*, 2012, vol. 77, p. 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2012.02.032>
100. Shaw, D., Sykes, O. Investigating the application of the European Spatial Development Perspective (ESDP) to regional planning in the United Kingdom. *Town Planning Review*, 2003, vol. 74, no. 1, p. 31–50. <https://doi.org/10.3828/tpr.74.1.3>
101. Sheate, W., R. The environmental impact assessment amendment Directive 97/11/EC – A small step forward? *European Environmental Law Review*, 1997, vol. 6, no. 8/9, p. 235–243. <https://doi.org/10.54648/EELR1997036>
102. Schön, P. Territorial Cohesion in Europe? *Planning Theory and Practice*, 2005, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 387–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649350500209280>
103. Simon, D. Co-Productive Tools for Transcending the Divide: Building Urban–Rural Partnerships in the Spirit of the New Leipzig Charter. *Land*, 2021, vol. 10, no. 9, 894. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land10090894>
104. Sobotta, C. The European Union legal boundaries for semi-natural habitats management in Natura 2000 sites. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 2018, vol. 43, no. 3, p. 261–267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2017.07.003>
105. Squintani, L. Balancing nature and economic interests in the European Union: On the concept of mitigation under the Habitats Directive. *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, 2019, vol. 29, no. 1, p. 129–137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/reel.12292>
106. Sobieraj, K. Implementation of the European Union Requirement to Coordinate Activities of Public Administration Authorities in the Process of the Authorisation, Certification,

- and Licensing of Renewable Energy Sources Investments. *Review of European and Comparative Law*, 2019, no. 4, p. 121–144. <https://doi.org/10.31743/recl.4926>
107. Stoeglehner, G., Brown, A., Kørnøv, L. SEA and planning: 'ownership' of strategic environmental assessment by the planners is the key to its effectiveness. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 2009, vol. 27, no. 2, p. 111–120. <https://doi.org/10.3152/146155109X438742>
 108. Suškevičs, M., Ehrlich, T., Peterson, K., Hiimäe, O., Sepp, K. Public participation in environmental assessments in the EU: A systematic search and qualitative synthesis of empirical scientific literature. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 2023, vol. 98, no. 1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106944>
 109. Tegner Anker, H. Simplifying eu Environmental Legislation – Reviewing the EIA Directive? *Journal for European Environmental & Planning Law*, 2014, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 321–347. <https://doi.org/10.1163/18760104-01104002>
 110. Tissièrè, L., Trouillet, B. What Participation Means in Marine Spatial Planning Systems? Lessons from the French Case. *Planning Practice and Research*, 2022, vol. 37, no. 3, p. 355–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02697459.2022.2027638>
 111. Toth, B. Public Participation and Democracy in Practice – Aarhus Convention Principles as Democratic Institution Building in the Developing World. *Journal of Land Resources and Environmental Law*, 2010, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 295–330.
 112. Terpstra, J., Havinga, T. Implementation between tradition and management: structuration and styles of implementation. *Law and Policy*, 2001, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 95–116. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9930.00104>
 113. Torfing, J., Sørensen, E. Enhancing Effective and Democratic Governance through Empowered Participation: Some Critical Reflections. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 2008, vol. 9, no. 3, p. 394–400.
 114. Turner, M., A., Weninger, Q. Meetings with Costly Participation: An Empirical Analysis. *Review of Economic Studies*, 2005, vol. 72, no. 1, p. 247–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0034-6527.00331>
 115. Unnerstall, H. Legal Framework for Public Participation in Flood Risk Mapping. A comparative study of the responses of different European Member States to some requirements of the Floods Directive. *UFZDiskussionspapiere*, 2010, no. 13. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1282169>
 116. Vanheudsen, B. Recent Developments in European Policy Regarding Brownfield Remediation. *Environmental Practice*, 2009, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 256–262. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466046609990202>
 117. Vomáčka, V. Preliminary reference on the validity of EU acts: a modest guide for the national judge. *ERA Forum*, 2023, vol. 23, No 3, p. 413–438. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12027-022-00722-9>
 118. Vomáčka, V. Interpreting the SEA directive: a focus on plans, programmes, and reasonable alternatives. *ERA Forum*. 2025, vol. 2025, no. 2, p. 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12027-025-00843-x>

119. Wettig, J., Porter, S., Kirchsteiger, C. Major industrial accidents regulation in the European Union. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 1999, vol. 12, p. 19–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-4230\(98\)00034-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0950-4230(98)00034-5)
120. White, I., Howe, J. Planning and the European Union Water Framework Directive. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 2003, vol. 46, p. 621–631. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0964056032000133198>
121. Williams, R., H. Constructing the European Spatial Development Perspective—For Whom? *European Planning Studies*, 2000, vol. 8, no. 3, p. 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/713666410>
122. Ziehm, C. Legal Standing for NGOs in Environmental Matters under the Aarhus Convention and under Community and National Law. *Journal for European Environmental & Planning Law*, 2005, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187601005X00066>

Monographs

1. Athanasopoulou, A., Dimova, S., Pinto, A. (eds.) *The implementation of the Eurocodes in the National Regulatory Framework. JRC Technical Reports*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2019, 194 p.
2. Balchin, P., Sýkora, L., Bull, G. *Regional Policy and Planning in Europe*. London: Routledge, 1999, 304 p.
3. Basta, C., Struckl, M., Michalis, C. *Implementing Art.12 of the Seveso II Directive – Overview of Roadmaps for Land-Use Planning in Selected Member States*. Luxembourg: OPOCE, 2008.
4. Battis, U. *Öffentliches Baurecht und Raumordnungsrecht*. 2022, Kohlhammer Verlag, 247 p. <https://doi.org/10.17433/978-3-17-041731-1>
5. Beck, U. *Risikogesellschaft: Auf dem Weg in eine andere Moderne*. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp Verlag Frankfurt, 1986, 391 p.
6. Conrad, C. *Öffentliches Baurecht und die Genehmigungsvoraussetzungen Schnelleinstieg für Architekten und Bauingenieure*. Springer Vieweg, 2020, 62 p. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-30589-5>
7. Davies, H., W., E, Gosling, J. *The Impact of the European Community on Land Use Planning in the United Kingdom*. London: Royal Town Planning Institute, 1994.
8. Drevet, J. *Histoire de la politique régionale de l'Union européenne*. 2008, Paris: Edition Belin, 285 p.
9. Elmqvist, T., Bai, X., Frantzeskaki, N., Griffith, C., Maddox, D., McPhearson, T., Parnell, S., Romero-Lankao, P., Simon, D., Watkins, M. (eds.) *Urban Planet*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2018, 482 p. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316647554>
10. Evers, D., Joost, T. *The Europeanisation of spatial planning in the Netherlands. Policy Report*. Hague: PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, 2016, 106 p.
11. Fairbrass, J. *EU and British biodiversity policy: ambiguity and errors of judgement*. CSERGE Working Paper, 2000, GEC 2000-04.
12. Faludi, A., van der Valk, A. J. *Rule and Order: Dutch Planning Doctrine in Century*, Dordrecht Kluwer, 1994, 318 p. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2927-7>

13. Faludi, A., Waterhout, B.: *The Making of The European Spatial Development Perspective: No Masterplan*, Routledge, 2002, 224 p.
14. Fokdal, J., Bina, O., Chiles, P., Ojamäe, L., Paadam, K. *Enabling City: Inter-And Transdisciplinary Encounters*. Abingdon: Routledge: 2021, 326 p.
15. Habermas, J. *Theorie des kommunikativen Handelns, Band 2: Zur Kritik der funktionalistischen Vernunft*. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp Verlag Frankfurt, 1982, 633 p.
16. Healey, P. *Collaborative Planning: Shaping Places in Fragmented Societies*. 1997, Springer, 338 p.
17. Healey, P. *Urban Complexity and Spatial Strategies: Towards a Relational Planning for Our Times*, 2007, Routledge, 344 p.
18. Hedin, S., Dubois, A., Ikonen, R., Lindblom, P., Nilsson, S., Tynkkynen, V., Viehauser, M., Leisk, Ü., Veidemane, K. *The Water Framework Directive in the Baltic Sea Region Countries: Vertical Implementation, Horizontal Integration and Transnational Cooperation*. Nordregio, Nordic Centre for Spatial Development, Stockholm, 2007.
19. Hjerp, P., Homeyer, I., Pallemmaerts, M., Farmer, A. *The Impact of Better Regulation on EU Environmental Policy under the Sixth Environment Action Programme, Report for the Brussels Institute for Environmental Management*. 2010, London: IEEP, 78 p.
20. Jackson, A., L., R. *Conserving Europe's Wildlife: Law and Policy of the Natura 2000 Network of Protected Areas*. Routledge, 2018, 328 p. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315471211>
21. Krämer, L. *EU Environmental Law*, 7th ed., London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2012, 566 p.
22. Larsson, G. *Spatial Planning Systems in Western Europe. An Overview*. Stockholm: Royal Institute of Technology, 2006, 290 p.
23. Mardsen, S. *Strategic Environmental Assessment in International and European Law: A Practitioner's Guide*. London: Routledge, 2012, 346 p.
24. Miosga, M. *Europäische Regionalpolitik in Grenzregionen: die Umsetzung der INTERREG-Initiative am Beispiel des nordrhein-westfälisch-niederländischen Grenzraums*. Münchner geographische Hefte. 1999, Passau: LIS-Verlag, 194 p.
25. Muckel, S., Ogorek, M. *Öffentliches Baurecht*. C.H. Beck: München, 2014, 304 p.
26. Newig, J., Kvarda, E. Participation in environmental governance: Legitimate and effective? In: Hogl, K., Kvarda, E., Nordbeck, R., Pregernig, M. (eds.) *Environmental Governance: The Challenge of Legitimacy and Effectiveness*. Edward Elgar: Cheltenham, UK, 2012, p. 29–45. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781849806077.00010>
27. Newman, P., Thornley, A. *Urban Planning in Europe. International competition, national systems and planning projects*. 1996, London: Routledge, 304 p.
28. Öhlinger, T., Weimar, R. (eds.) *Die Europäische Raumordnungscharta – The European Regional/Spatial Planning Charta-La Charte Européenne de l'Aménagement du Territoire*, 1991, Peter Lang GmbH, Internationaler Verlag der Wissenschaften, 362 p.
29. Roberts, P. *Partnerships, Programmes and the Promotion of Regional Development: An Evaluation of the Operation of the Structural Funds Regional Programmes*. Pergamon (Progress in Planning), 2003, vol. 59, 69 p. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-9006\(02\)00059-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-9006(02)00059-4)

30. Scheuer, S., Roullard, J. *Letting the Public Have Their Say on Water Management: A Snapshot Analysis of Member States' Consultations on Water Management Issues and Measures within the Water Framework Directive*. WWF, EEB: Brussels, 2008.
31. Simon, D. (ed.) *Rethinking Sustainable Cities*. Bristol: Bristol University Press, 2016, 152 p. <https://doi.org/10.56687/9781447332855>
32. Smith, G. *Power Beyond the Ballot: 57 Democratic Innovations from Around the World*. 2005, London, The Power Enquiry, 138 p.
33. Van Hooydonk, E. *The Impact of EU Environmental Law on Ports and Waterways and Ports: Including a Proposal for the Creation of Portus 2010, a Coherent EU Network of Strategic Port Development Areas*. Antwerp: Maklu, 2006, 317 p.
34. Van Ravesteyn, N. van, Evers, D. *Unseen Europe. A survey of EU politics and its impact on spatial development in the Netherlands*. The Hague/Rotterdam: Ruimtelijk Planbureau/NAI Uitgevers, 2004, 86 p.
35. Waterhout, B. *The institutionalisation of European Spatial Planning*. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 2008, 240 p.
36. Wiechmann, T. *Planung und Adaptation: Strategieentwicklung in Regionen, Organisationen und Netzwerken*, Dortmund: Rohn, 2008, 299 p.
37. Wirth, A., Schneeweiß, A. *Öffentliches Baurecht praxisnah. Basiswissen mit Fallbeispielen*. 2019, Springer Vieweg, 183 p. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-25720-0>

Chapters in monographs

1. Bachtler, J., Polverari, L. Delivering Territorial Cohesion: European Cohesion Policy and the European Model of Society. In: Faludi, A. (ed.) *Territorial Cohesion and the European Model of Society*, 2007, Cambridge (MA): Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, p. 105–128.
2. Blaas, W. Introduction and Summary. In: Blaas, W. (ed.) *A New Perspective for European Spatial Development Policies*. 2019, Routledge, p. 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429464645>
3. Darpö, J. Pulling the Trigger: ENGO Standing Rights and the Enforcement of Environmental Obligations in EU Law. In: Bogojević, S., Rayfuse, R. (eds.) *Environmental Rights in Europe and Beyond. Swedish Studies in European Law*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2018, p. 253–282. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781509911127.ch-011>
4. Evans, B. From town planning to environmental planning in Blowers, A., Evans, B. (eds.) *Town planning into the 21st century*. London: Routledge, 1997, p. 1–14.
5. Heinelt, H. Achieving Sustainable and Innovative Policies through Participatory Governance in a Multi-Level Context: Theoretical Issues. In: Heinelt, H., Getimis, P., Kafkalas, G., Smith, R., Swyngedouw Opladen, E. (eds.) *Participatory Governance in Multi-Level Context. Concepts and Experience*. Germany: Leske + Budrich, 2002, p. 17– 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-663-11005-7_1
6. Hull, R., Donkers, R. Progress Towards Sustainable Development in the European Union. In: Mather, A., Bryden, J. (eds.) *Area Studies – Regional Sustainable Development: Europe – Volume 1*. Oxford: Eolss Publishers, 2009, p. 352–369.

7. Kunzmann, K., R. Euro-Megapolis or Theme Park Europe. In: Blaas, W. (ed.) *A New Perspective for European Spatial Development Policies*. Routledge, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429464645-3>
8. Lopéz, S. Benefits and Disadvantages of the European Standardisation. In: Carvel, R. (ed.) *Fire & Building Safety in the Single European Market*. University of Edinburg, School of Engineering and Electronics, 2008, p. 34–38.
9. Marzotti, M., Fratter, M. Introduction. In: Pozzo, B. (ed.) *The Implementation of the Seveso Directives in an Enlarged Europe: A Look Into the Past and a Challenge for the Future*. Wolters Kluwer, 2009, p. I–XXX.
10. Morgera, E., Kulovesi, K. Environmental law. In: Barnard, C., Peers, S. (eds.) *European Union Law (3rd edn)*, Oxford university Press, 2020, p. 673–673. <https://doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198855750.003.0021>
11. Ortloff, K.-M. Rechtsschutz durch Mediation. In: Dolde, K.-P. et al. (eds.) *Verfassung – Umwelt – Wirtschaft: Festschrift für Dieter Sellner zum 75. Geburtstag*. München: C.H. Beck, 2010, p. 533–540.
12. Schön, K., P. Europäische Raumentwicklungspolitik. In: *Handwörterbuch der Stadt- und Raumentwicklung. Hamburg: ARL – Akademie für Raumforschung und Landesplanung (Hrsg.)*, 2018, p. 577–587.
13. Uttam, K., Balfors, B., Faith-Ell, C. Green public procurement (GPP) of construction and building materials. In: Pacheco-Torgal, F., Cabeza, L., F., Labrincha, J., de Magalhães, A. (eds.) *Eco-efficient Construction and Building Materials Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Eco-Labeling and Case Studies*. Sawston: Woodhead Publishing, 2014, p. 166–195. <https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857097729.1.166>
14. Vergnon, B. Warum Smart City ohne Corporate Social Responsibility scheitert. In: Herzner, A., Schmidpeter, R. (eds.) *CSR in Süddeutschland. Unternehmerischer Erfolg und Nachhaltigkeit im Einklang*. Berlin: Springer Gabler, p. 67–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-61959-9_5
15. Vomáčka, V. Balancing Nature Protection and Other Public Interests: Czech Example. In: Tegner Anker, H., Egelund Olsen, B. (eds.) *Sustainable Management of Natural Resources: Legal Instruments and Approaches*. Cambridge: Intersentia, 2018, p. 177–192. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781780687834.011>
16. Vomáčka, V. Unijní požadavky na ochranu půdy: Co se stalo s rámcovou směrnicí? In: Tkáčiková, J., Vomáčka, V., Židek, D. et al. *Půda v právních vztazích – aktuální otázky*. Brno: Masaryk University, 2020, p. 76–100.
17. Weigel, O., Zimmermann, M. The New Leipzig Charter: From Strategy to Implementation. In: Brears, R., C. (ed.) *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Urban and Regional Futures*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2022, p. 1167–1174. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-87745-3_223

Conference proceedings

1. Meijer, F., Visscher, H. Building regulations from an European perspective. *COBRA 2008: The construction and building research conference of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors*, 2008, p. 1–10.
2. Swords, P. Seveso III – The Public Participation Challeng. In: Institution of Chemical Engineers. *25th Institution of Chemical Engineers Symposium on Hazards 2015 (HAZARDS 25)*. Edinburg: Institution of Chemical Engineers, 2015, p. 429–441.
3. Visscher, H., Meijer, F. Dynamics of building regulations in Europe. In: *Legal Aspect of Housing, Land and Planning. ENHR International Conference on Sustainable Urban Areas*, 2007.

Policy documents and reports

1. European Commission. Completing the Internal Market: White Paper from the Commission to the European Council. 28.–29. 6. 1985, COM (85) 0310 final.
2. European Commission. Europe 2000 – Outlook for the Development of the Community's Territory. 7. 11. 1991, COM (1991) 0452 final.
3. Economic and Social Committee of the European Communities. Europe 2000+: Cooperation for European Territorial Development, 1994.
4. European Commission. Communication from the Commission – Europe's environment: What directions for the future? The global assessment of the European community programme of policy and action in relation to the environment and sustainable development, 'Towards sustainability'. COM (99) 0543 final.
5. European Commission. Green Paper on integrated product policy. 7. 2. 2001, COM (2001) 68 final.
6. European Commission. European Governance: A White Paper, COM (2001) 428 final.
7. European Commission. Implementation of Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment [online]. 2003 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/eia/pdf/030923_sea_guidance.pdf>.
8. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the sixth environment action programme of the European Community 'Environment 2010: Our future, Our choice' – The Sixth Environment Action Programme, 24. 1. 2001, COM (2001) 0031 final.
9. General Principles and Minimum Standards for Consultation of Interested Parties, COM (2002) 704 final.
10. European Commission. Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC); Guidance document no. 8; Public Participation in relation to the Water Framework Directive, 2003.
11. European Commission. Plan D for Democracy, Dialogue and Debate, COM (2005) 494 final.

12. European Commission. White Paper on a European Communication Policy, COM (2006) 35 final.
13. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the application and effectiveness of the EIA Directive (Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directives 97/11/EC and 2003/35/EC). COM (2009) 0378 final.
14. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. European Union Strategy for Danube Region, 8. 12. 2010, COM (2010) 0715 final.
15. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Improving the delivery of benefits from EU environment measures: building confidence through better knowledge and responsiveness, 7. 3. 2012, COM (2012) 95 final.
16. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. 13. 2. 2012, COM (2012) 46 final.
17. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions concerning the European Union Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region, COM (2014) 0357 final.
18. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions a European Union Strategy for the Alpine Region, COM (2015) 0366 final.
19. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions – Closing the loop – An EU action plan for the Circular Economy. 2. 12. 2015, COM (2015) 614 final.
20. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of Regulation (EU) No 305/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC. 7. 7. 2016, COM (2016) 0445 final.
21. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. A Clean Planet for all A European strategic long-term vision for a prosperous, modern, competitive and climate neutral economy, 28. 11. 2018, COM (2018) 773 final.
22. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the European Council, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. A Green Deal for Europe. COM (2019) 640 final.
23. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the

- Regions. Environmental Implementation Review 2019: A Europe that protects its citizens and enhances their quality of life. 4. 4. 2019, COM (2019) 149 final.
24. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. A new Circular Economy Action Plan. For a cleaner and more competitive Europe. 11. 3. 2020, COM (2020) 98 final.
25. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Powering a climate-neutral economy: An EU Strategy for Energy System Integration, 8. 7. 2020, COM (2020) 299 final.
26. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. A hydrogen strategy for a climate-neutral Europe, 8. 7. 2020, COM (2020) 301 final.
27. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions Biodiversity Strategy for 2030 Bringing nature back into our lives. 20. 5. 2020, COM (2020) 380 final.
28. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of The Regions. Stepping up Europe's 2030 climate ambition Investing in a climate-neutral future for the benefit of our people. 17. 9. 2020, COM (2020) 562 final.
29. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Improving access to justice in environmental matters in the EU and its Member States. 14. 10. 2020, COM (2020) 643 final.
30. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. An EU Strategy to harness the potential of offshore renewable energy for a climate neutral future, 19. 11. 2020, COM (2020) 741 final.
31. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy – putting European transport on track for the future. 9. 12. 2020, COM (2020) 789 final.
32. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions EU Soil Strategy for 2030 Reaping the benefits of healthy soils for people, food, nature and climate. 17. 11. 2021, COM (2021) 699 final.
33. European Commission. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. Pathway to a Healthy Planet for All, EU Action Plan: 'Towards Zero Pollution for Air, Water and Soil', 15. 5. 2021, COM (2021) 400 final.

34. European Commission. Communication from the Commission – Guidelines on State aid for climate, environmental protection and energy 2022. OJ C 80, 18. 2. 2022, p. 1–8.
35. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council outlining the progress made in implementing Directive 2014/89/EU establishing a framework for maritime spatial planning. 3. 5. 2022, COM (2022) 185 final.
36. European Commission. Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the implementation of the Environmental Noise Directive in accordance with Article 11 of Directive 2002/49/EC, 20. 3. 2023, COM (2023) 139 final.
37. UNECE. Implementation of the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (2016–2018). Sixth review, 2021, ECE/MP.EIA/32.

Guidelines

1. CEMAT. Recommendation Rec (2002) 1 of the Committee of Ministers to the Member States of the Council of Europe on the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Spatial Development of the European Continent, 2002.
2. Council Resolution of 16 October 1989 on guidelines to reduce technological and natural hazards. OJ C 273, 26. 10. 1989, p. 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jel/2.1.135>
3. European Commission. The EU compendium of spatial planning systems and policies, 1997.
4. European Commission. Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC); Guidance document no. 8; Public Participation in relation to the Water Framework Directive. European Commission: Brussels, 2003.
5. European Commission. Common Implementation Strategy for the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC). Guidance document no. 11. Planning process [online]. 2003 [accessed on: 1 January 2023]. Available at: <[https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/4de11d70-5ce1-48f7-994d-65017a862218/Guidance%20No%2011%20-%20Planning%20Process%20\(WG%202.9\).pdf](https://circabc.europa.eu/sd/a/4de11d70-5ce1-48f7-994d-65017a862218/Guidance%20No%2011%20-%20Planning%20Process%20(WG%202.9).pdf)>.
6. European Commission. Wind energy developments and Natura 2000. Guidance document [online]. 2010 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <<https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/65364c77-b5b8-4ab6-919d-8f4e3c6eb5c2>>.
7. European Commission. Links between the Water Framework Directive (WFD 2000/60/EC) and Nature Directives (Birds Directive 2009/147/EC and Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC): Frequently Asked Questions [online]. 2011, p. 26 [accessed on: 11 November 2022]. Available at: <<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/FAQ-WFD%20final.pdf>>.
8. European Commission. Interpretation of definitions of project categories of annex I and II of the EIA Directive [online]. 2015 [accessed on: 2 March 2023]. Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/environment/eia/pdf/cover_2015_en.pdf>.
9. European Commission. Commission Notice on access to justice in environmental matters. OJ C 275, 18. 8. 2017, p. 1–39.
10. European Commission. Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects Guidance on the preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report. 2017, Brussels, 130 p.

11. European Commission (COWI A/S, Milieu Ltd). Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects Guidance on Screening (Directive 2011/92/EU as amended by 2014/52/EU). 2017, 84 p.
12. European Commission (COWI A/S, Milieu Ltd). Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects Guidance on Scoping (Directive 2011/92/EU as amended by 2014/52/EU). 2017, 80 p.
13. European Commission (COWI A/S, Milieu Ltd). Environmental Impact Assessment of Projects Guidance on the preparation of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (Directive 2011/92/EU as amended by 2014/52/EU). 2017, 130 p.
14. European Commission. JRS Technical Report. EU Green Public Procurement (GPP) criteria for the design, construction, renovation, demolition and management of buildings, February 2022.
15. European Commission. Guidelines on the application of Directive (EU) 2021/1187 (the Streamlining Directive) [online]. 28. 7. 2023 [accessed on: 31 July 2023]. Available at: <https://transport.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2023-07/Challenges_and_best_practices_in_the_transposition_of_the_Streamlining_Directive.pdf>.
16. European Environmental Bureau. Making the EU Water Framework Directive work: ten actions for Implementing a better European water policy. 2001, Brussels.
17. OECD. OECD Council Recommendation on Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control, 1991, C (90)1 64.
18. OECD. Guiding Principles of Effective Environmental Permitting Systems, 2007.
19. UNECE. Spatial Planning: Key Instrument for Development (ECE/HBP/146). Economic and Social Council. Geneva: UNECE, 2008.
20. UNECE. Resource to Support Application of the UNECE Protocol on Strategic Environmental Assessment [online]. 2011, p. 50 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <<http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/documents/2011/eia/ece.mp.eia.17.e.pdf>>.
21. UNECE. Maastricht Recommendations on Promoting Effective Public Participation in Decision-making in Environmental Matters prepared under the Aarhus Convention [online]. 2015 [accessed on: 1 January 2023]. Available at: <https://unece.org/DAM/env/pp/Publications/2015/1514364_E_web.pdf>.
22. UNESCO (Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission). MSPglobal International Guide on Maritime Spatial Planning [online]. 2021 [accessed on: 5 June 2023]. Available at: <https://www.mspglobal2030.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/MSPglobal_International_GuideMSP_HighRes_202112.pdf>.
23. World Wildlife Fund. Elements of good practice in integrated river basin management: a practical resource for implementing the EU Water Framework Directive. Brussels, 2001.

Datasets, statistics and analyses

1. Battis, U., Kersten, J. *Europäische Politik des territorialen Zusammenhalts: Europäischer Rechtsrahmen und nationale Umsetzung*, Bonn, Bundesamt für Bauwesen und Raumordnung [online]. 2008 [accessed on: 1 April 2023]. Available at: <https://www.bbsr.bund.de/BBSR/DE/veroeffentlichungen/izr/2010/8/Inhalt/izr-8-2010-komplett-dl.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=1>.
2. Branco, J., Meijer, F., Visscher, H. *Comparison of building permit procedures in European Union Countries*. OTB Research Institute for the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology [online]. 2011 [accessed on: 2 May 2023]. Available at: <http://www.irbnet.de/daten/iconda/CIB_DC24512.pdf>.
3. BSO Database [online]. 2023 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <<https://building-stock-observatory.energy.ec.europa.eu/database/>>.
4. CEMAT. The challenges facing European society with the approach of the year 2000: Public participation in regional/spatial planning in different European countries. Council of Europe Press, European regional planning, 1995, no. 58.
5. COTER. Spatial planning and governance within EU policies and legislation and their relevance to the New Urban Agenda, 2018.
6. EMR. Europe Construction Market Share, Size, Analysis, Trends: By End Use: Residential, Healthcare, Hospitality, Others; By Residential Buildings and Single Units: Apartments/Flats, Detached Houses, Semi-Detached, Terraced Houses, Others; Regional Analysis; Competitive Landscape; Key Trends and Developments in the Market; 2023–2028 [online]. 2023 [accessed on: 10 March 2023]. Available from: <<https://www.expertmarketresearch.com/reports/europe-construction-market/toc>>.
7. ESPON. COMPASS – Comparative Analysis of Territorial Governance and Spatial Planning Systems in Europe. Draft final Report, 2018, p. 40–54.
8. European Commission. Permitting procedures for energy infrastructure projects in the EU: evaluation and legal recommendations [online] 31. 7. 2011 [accessed on: 10 March 2023]. Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/2011_ten_e_permitting_report.pdf>.
9. European Commission. Study on airport noise reduction [online]. 2022 [accessed on: 20 February 2023]. Available at: <<https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/67225cf1-2d8c-11ed-975d-01aa75ed71a1>>.
10. Eurostat. Gross fixed capital formation by AN_F6 asset type [online]. 2022 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available from: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/NAMA_10_AN6__custom_1514561/bookmark/table?lang=en&bookmarkId=e05fdc50-ed14-439a-8623-c5205dc1835a>.
11. Justice & Environment. Case Study Collection EIA and transport infrastructure. Implementation of the EIA Directive and Transport Infrastructure Case Study Summary. 2006, Amsterdam.
12. ResearchandMarkets. EuropeConstructionMarketReportandForecast2023–2028 [online]. 2023 [accessed on: 10 March 2023]. Available at: <<https://www.researchandmarkets.com/reports/5775269/europe-construction-market-report-forecast>>.

13. UNECE. Sustainable Development of Human Settlements in the UNECE Region. Progress and Challenges (ECE/AC.25/2004/4). Economic and Social Council. 2003, Geneva: UNECE, Geneva.
14. UNECE. Spatial Planning: Key Instrument for Development (ECE/HBP/146). Economic and Social Council. UNECE, Geneva, 2008.
15. Van Nierop, P. *Evaluation on EU Legislation – Directive 85/337/EEC (Environmental Impact Assessment)*, 2010, European Institute for Gender Equality [online]. 2022 [accessed on: 11 June 2023]. Available at: <<https://policycommons.net/artifacts/1955100/evaluation-on-eu-legislation/2706868/>>.

Press releases and other documents

1. European Commission. Financing the green transition: The European Green Deal Investment Plan and Just Transition Mechanism. Press release. 14 January 2020, IP/20/17.
2. European Commission. State aid: Commission opens in-depth investigation into Hungarian support for new auto parts plant in Észak Magyarország. Press Release, 27. 10. 2022, IP/22/6371.
3. European Commission. Rural Pact: European momentum to support the EU's rural areas [online]. 17. 6. 2022 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <https://agriculture.ec.europa.eu/news/rural-pact-european-momentum-support-eus-rural-areas-2022-06-17_en>.
4. European Commission. EU Cohesion Policy: 2021–2027 programmes expected to create 1.3 million jobs in the EU. Press release [online]. 2. 5. 2023 [accessed on: 21 June 2023]. Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/api/files/document/print/en/ip_23_2462/IP_23_2462_EN.pdf>.
5. European Investment Bank. More European funds available to support energy efficiency in residential buildings [online]. 2019 [accessed on: 11 February 2023]. Available at: <<https://www.eib.org/en/press/all/2019-054-more-european-funds-available-to-support-energy-efficiency-in-residential-buildings>>.
6. UNECE. Conclusions of the Conference „Environment For Europe“ Dobris Castle. Czech and Slovak Federal Republic June 21–23 [online] 1991 [accessed on: 10 February 2023]. Available at: <https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/env/efe/history%20of%20EfE/Dobris.E.pdf>.