

LITERATURA

- AARONOVITCH, David: *Voodoo Histories: The Role of the Conspiracy Theory in Shaping Modern History*; New York: Riverhead Books, 2009.
- ALLINGTON, Daniel, DUFFY, Bobby, WESSELY, Simon, DHAVAN, Nayana, RUBIN, James: „Health-protective Behaviour, Social Media Usage and Conspiracy Belief during the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency“; *Psychological Medicine* 1–7 (2020); online: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329172000224X>.
- ANDERSON, Craig A.: „Abstract and Concrete Data in the Perseverance of Social Theories: When Weak Data Lead to Unshakeable Beliefs“; *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 19/2 (1983) 93–108; online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(83\)90031-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(83)90031-8).
- BAIL, Christopher A., ARGYLE, Lisa P., BROWN, Taylor W., BUMPUS, John P., CHEN, Haohan, HUNZAKER, M. B. Fallin, LEE, Jaemin, MANN, Marcus, MERHOUT, Friedolin, VOLFOVSKY, Alexander: „Exposure to Opposing Views on Social Media Can Increase Political Polarization“; *PNAS* 115/37 (2018), 9216–21; online: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1804840115>.

- BAUMBERG, Jeremy J.: *The Secret Life of Science: How It Really Works and Why It Matters*; Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 2018.
- BAUMEISTER, Roy F., VOHS, Kathleen D. (eds.): *Encyclopedia of Social Psychology*; Thousand Oaks CA: SAGE Publications, 2007.
- BERGSTROM, Carl T., WEST, Jevin D.: *Calling Bullshit: The Art of Scepticism in a Data-Driven World*; Londýn: Penguin, 2021.
- BORING, Edwin G.: „Cognitive Dissonance: Its Use in Science“; *Science* 145 /3633 (1964) 680–685; online: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.145.3633.680>.
- BOXELL, Levi, GENTZKOW, Matthew, SHAPIRO, Jesse M.: „Cross-country Trends in Affective Polarization“; *NBER Working Paper* no. w26669 (2020); dostupné v archivu SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3522318>.
- BOXELL, Levi, GENTZKOW, Matthew, SHAPIRO, Jesse M.: „Greater Internet Use is not Associated with Faster Growth in Political Polarization among US Demographic Groups“; *PNAS* 114/40 (2017) 10612–17; online: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706588114>.
- BROUGHTON, Janet: *Descartes's Method of Doubt*; Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 2002; online: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7t43f>.
- COHEN, Morris R., NAGEL, Ernest: *An Introduction to Logic and Scientific Method*; Londýn: Routledge & Sons, 1934.
- COHEN, Stanley: *States of Denial: Knowing About Atrocities and Suffering*; Cambridge UK: Polity Press, 2000.
- COOPER, Joel: *Cognitive Dissonance: 50 Years of a Classic Theory*; Thousand Oaks CA: SAGE Publications, 2007.

- D'ANCONA, Matthew: *Post-Truth: The New War on Truth and How to Fight back*; Londýn: Ebury Publishing, 2017.
- DOMINGOS, Pedro: „The Role of Occam's Razor in Knowledge Discovery“; *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 3 (1999) 409–25; online: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009868929893>.
- DONNELLY, Jack, WHELAN, Daniel J.: *International Human Rights*; 6. vydání, New York: Routledge, 2020.
- DOUGLAS, Heather E.: *Science, Policy, and the Value-Free Ideal*; Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2009.
- DUNBAR, Robin: *The Trouble with Science*; reprint, Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press, 1996.
- DUNNING, David: *Self-Insight: Roadblocks and Detours on the Path to Knowing Thyself. Essays in Social Psychology*; New York: Psychology Press, 2005.
- FESTINGER, Leon: „Cognitive Dissonance“; *Scientific American* 207/4 (1962) 93–106; online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24936719>.
- FESTINGER, Leon: *A Theory of Cognitive Dissonance*; reprint, Redwood City CA: Stanford University Press, 1962 (první vydání New York: Row, Peterson & Co., 1957).
- GOERTZEL, Ted: „Belief in Conspiracy Theories“; *Political Psychology* 15/4 (1994) 731–742; online: www.jstor.org/stable/3791630.
- GOLDACRE, Ben: *I Think You'll Find It's a Bit More Complicated Than That*; Londýn: Fourth Estate, 2015.
- HARRIS, Sam: *The Moral Landscape: How Science Can Determine Human Values*; Londýn: Bantam Press, 2011.
- HEAD, Megan L., HOLMAN, Luke, LANFEAR, Rob, KAHN, Andrew T., JENNIONS, Michael D.: „The Extent and Consequences of P-hacking in Science“; *PLoS*

- Biology* 13/3 (2015) e1002106; online: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002106>.
- HEINE, Steven J., KITAYAMA, Shinobu, LEHMAN, Darrin R., TAKATA, Toshitake, IDE, Eugene, LEUNG, Cecilia, MATSUMOTO, Hisaya: „Divergent Consequences of Success and Failure in Japan and North America: An Investigation of Self-improving Motivations and Malleable Selves“; *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 81/4 (2001) 599–615; online: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.81.4.599>.
- HIGGINS, Kathleen: „Post-truth: A Guide for the Perplexed“; *Nature* 540 (2016) 9; online: <https://www.nature.com/news/polopolyfs/1.21054!/menu/main/topColumns/topLeftColumn/pdf/540009a.pdf>.
- ISENBERG, Daniel J.: „Group Polarization: A Critical Review and Meta-analysis“; *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 50/6 (1986) 1141–51; online: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.50.6.1141>.
- JARRY, Jonathan: „The Dunning-Kruger Effect Is Probably not Real“; *McGill University Office for Science and Society*, 17. prosince 2020; online: <https://www.mcgill.ca/oss/article/critical-thinking/dunning-kruger-effect-probably-not-real>.
- KAHNEMAN, Daniel: *Thinking, Fast and Slow*; Londýn: Allen Lane, 2011 (reprint Penguin, 2012; česky *Myšlení, rychlé a pomalé*; Praha: Jan Melvil, 2012).
- KLAYMAN, Joshua: „Varieties of Confirmation Bias“; *Psychology of Learning and Motivation* 32 (1995) 385–418; online: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421\(08\)60315-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60315-1).
- KLEIN, Ezra: *Why We're Polarized*; New York: Simon & Schuster, 2020.

- KRUGER, Justin, DUNNING, David: „Unskilled and Unaware of It: How Difficulties in Recognizing One's Own Incompetence Lead to Inflated Self-assessments“; *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 77/6 (1999): 1121–1134; online: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.77.6.1121>.
- KUHN, Thomas S.: *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*; vydání k 50. výročí University of Chicago Press, Chicago 2012 (česky *Struktura vědeckých revolucí*; Praha: Oikoymenh, 1997).
- LEWENS, Tim: *The Meaning of Science: An Introduction to the Philosophy of Science*; Londýn: Penguin Press, 2015.
- LING, Rich: „Confirmation Bias in the Era of Mobile News Consumption: The Social and Psychological Dimensions“; *Digital Journalism* 8/5 (2020) 596–604; online: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1766987>.
- LIPTON, Peter: „Does the Truth Matter in Science?“; *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education* 4/2 (2005) 173–183; online: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022205051965>; Royal Society 2004; Medawar Lecture: „The Truth About Science“; *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 360/1458 (2005) 1259–1269; online: <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rstb.2005.1660>.
- LIPTON, Peter: „Inference to the Best Explanation“; in: *A Companion to the Philosophy of Science* (ed. NEWTON-SMITH, W. H.), 184–193, Malden MA: Blackwell, 2000.
- MacCOUN, Robert, PERLMUTTER, Saul: „Blind Analysis: Hide Results to Seek the Truth“; *Nature* 526 (2015) 187–189; online: <https://doi.org/10.1038/526187a>.
- McGRATH, April: „Dealing with Dissonance: A Review of Cognitive Dissonance Reduction“; *Social and Personality*

- Psychology Compass* 11/12 (2017) 1–17; online: <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12362>.
- McINTYRE, Lee: *Post-Truth*; Cambridge MA: The MIT Press, 2018.
- NICKERSON, Raymond S.: „Confirmation Bias: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon in Many Guises“; *Review of General Psychology* 2/2 (1998) 175–220; online: <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.2.175>.
- NORGAARD, Kari Marie: *Living in Denial: Climate Change, Emotions, and Everyday Life*; Cambridge MA: The MIT Press, 2011; online: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt5hhfvf>.
- ORESQUES, Naomi: *Why Trust Science?*; Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 2019.
- PINKER, Steven: *The Better Angels of Our Nature: Why Violence Has Declined*; New York: Viking Books, 2011 (česky *Lepší andělé: Proč ubývá násilí*, Praha: Academia, 2022).
- POPPER, Karl R.: *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*; Londýn: Hutchinson & Co., 1959; Londýn a New York: Routledge, 1992; originál: *Logik der Forschung: Zur Erkenntnistheorie der modernen Naturwissenschaft*; Vídeň: Julius Springer, 1935 (česky *Logika vědeckého zkoumání*, Praha: Oikoymenh, 1997).
- RADNITZ, Scott, UNDERWOOD, Patrick: „Is Belief in Conspiracy Theories Pathological? A Survey Experiment on the Cognitive Roots of Extreme Suspicion“; *British Journal of Political Science* 47/1 (2017) 113–129; online: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123414000556>.
- RITCHIE, Stuart: *Science Fictions: Exposing Fraud, Bias, Negligence and Hype in Science*; Londýn: The Bodley Head, 2020.

- SAGAN, Carl: *The Demon-Haunted World: Science as a Candle in the Dark*; New York: Random House, 1995; reprint: New York: Paw Prints, 2008.
- SCHEUFELE, Dietram A., KRAUSE, Nicole M.: „Science Audiences, Misinformation, and Fake News“; *PNAS* 116/16 (2019) 7662–7669; online: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1805871115>.
- SCHMIDT, Paul F.: „Some Criticisms of Cultural Relativism“; *The Journal of Philosophy* 52/25 (1955) 780–791; online: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2022285>.
- TRESSOLDI Patrizio E.: „Extraordinary Claims Require Extraordinary Evidence: The Case of Non-local Perception, a Classical and Bayesian Review of Evidences“; *Frontiers in Psychology* 2 (2011) 117; online: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00117/full>.
- VICKERS, John: „The Problem of Induction“; *The Stanford Encyclopaedia of Philosophy*, spring 2018; online: <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/induction-problem/>.
- ZAGURY-ORLY, Ivry, SCHWARTZSTEIN, Richard M.: „Covid-19 – A Reminder to Reason“; *New England Journal of Medicine* 383 (2020) e12; online: <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2009405>.