
BIBLIOGRAPHY

-
- [1] N. BELNAP AND T. STEEL. *The Logic of Questions and Answers*. Yale, 1976.
 - [2] M. BÍLKOVÁ, O. MAJER, M. PELIŠ, AND G. RESTALL. Relevant agents. In L. Beklemishev, V. Goranko, and V. Shehtman, editors, *Advances in Modal Logic*, pages 22–38. College Publications, 2010.
 - [3] M. BORKENT AND R. VAN ROZEN. On logic and questions in dialogue systems. <http://www.pictureofthemoon.net/107borkent/olaqid.pdf> (downloaded by June 2007).
 - [4] L. BĚHOUNEK. Fuzzification of Groenendijk-Stokhof propositional erotetic logic. *Logique & Analyse*, 185–188:167–188, 2004.
 - [5] I. CIARDELLI AND F. ROELOFSEN. Inquisitive Logic. In D. Grossi, L. Kurzen, and F. Velázquez-Quesada, editors, *Logic and Interactive Rationality*, pages 111–146. ILLC, 2010.
 - [6] E. COPPOCK. Toward a dynamic logic for questions, 2005. <http://www.stanford.edu/~coppock/dynamic-questions.pdf> (downloaded by April 2007).
 - [7] K. DE CLERCQ AND L. VERHOEVEN. Sieving out relevant and efficient questions. *Logique & Analyse*, 185–188:189–216, 2004.
 - [8] H. N. DUC. *Resource-Bounded Reasoning about Knowledge*. PhD thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Leipzig, 2001.
 - [9] M. DUŽÍ, B. JESPERSEN, AND P. MATERNA. *Procedural Semantics for Hyperintensional Logic. Foundations and Applications of Transparent Intensional Logic*. Springer, 2010.
 - [10] M. DUŽÍ, M. ČÍHALOVÁ, AND M. MENŠÍK. Communication in a multi-agentsystem; questions and answers. In *13th International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference SGEM 2013*, pages 11–22, 2013.

- [11] R. FAGIN, J. HALPERN, Y. MOSES, AND M. VARDI. *Reasoning about Knowledge*. MIT Press, 2003.
- [12] E. J. GENOT. The game of inquiry: the interrogative approach to inquiry and belief revision theory. *Synthese*, 171:271–289, 2009.
- [13] J. GERBRANDY. Distributed knowledge. In J. Hulstijn and A. Nijholt, editors, *Twendial'98: Formal Semantics and Pragmatics of Dialogue*, pages 111–124. Universiteit Twente, Enschede, 1998.
- [14] J. GROENENDIJK. Questions and answers: Semantics and logic. In R. Bernardi and M. Moortgat, editors, *Proceedings of the 2nd CologNET-ElsET Symposium. Questions and Answers: Theoretical and Applied Perspectives*, pages 16–23. Universiteit Utrecht, 2003.
- [15] J. GROENENDIJK. Inquisitive semantics: Two possibilities for disjunction. In P. Bosch, D. Gabelaia, and J. Lang, editors, *Logic, Language, and Computation: 7th International Tbilisi Symposium on Logic, Language, and Computation*, pages 80–94. Springer, 2009.
- [16] J. GROENENDIJK AND M. STOKHOF. Partitioning logical space. Annotated handout, ILLC, Department of Philosophy, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1990. Second European Summer-school on Logic, Language and Information; Leuven, August 1990; version 1.02.
- [17] J. GROENENDIJK AND M. STOKHOF. Questions. In J. van Benthem and A. ter Meulen, editors, *Handbook of Logic and Language*, pages 1055–1125. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [18] D. HARRAH. On the history of erotetic logic. In A. Wiśniewski and J. Zygmunt, editors, *Erothetic Logic, Deontic Logic, and Other Logical Matters*, volume 17, pages 19–27. Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego, 1997.
- [19] D. HARRAH. The logic of questions. In D. Gabbay and F. Guenther, editors, *Handbook of Philosophical Logic*, volume 8, pages 1–60. Kluwer, 2002.
- [20] J. HINTIKKA. *The Semantics of Questions and the Questions of Semantics*. North-Holland Publishing Company, 1976.
- [21] J. HINTIKKA, I. HALONEN, AND A. MUTANEN. Interrogative logic as a general theory of reasoning. In D. Gabbay, R. Johnson, H. Ohlbach, and J. Woods, editors, *Handbook of the Logic of Argument and Inference*, pages 295–337. Elsevier, 2002.
- [22] K. H. KNUTH. Toward question-asking machines: The logic of questions and the inquiry calculus, 2005. (Tenth International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics (AISTATS 2005), Barbados) <http://www.gatsby.ucl.ac.uk/aistats/fullpapers/246.pdf>.
- [23] P. LEŚNIEWSKI AND A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Reducibility of questions to sets of questions: Some feasibility results. *Logique & Analyse*, 173–175:93–111, 2001.
- [24] D. LESZCZYŃSKA. Socratic proofs for some normal modal propositional logics. *Logique & Analyse*, 185–188:259–285, 2004.
- [25] P. ŁUPKOWSKI AND A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Turing interrogative games. *Minds and Machines*, 21:435–448, 2011.
- [26] O. MAJER AND M. PELIŠ. Epistemic logic with relevant agents. In M. Peliš, editor, *The Logica Yearbook 2008*, pages 123–135. College Publications, 2009.
- [27] J. J. C. MEYER AND W. VAN DER HOEK. *Epistemic Logic for AI and Computer Science*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [28] R. NELKEN AND N. FRANCEZ. A bilattice-based algebraic semantics of questions. In *Proceedings of MOL6, Sixth Meeting on Mathematics of Language*, Orlando, Florida, 1999.

- [29] R. NELKEN AND N. FRANCEZ. A calculus for interrogatives based on their algebraic semantics. In *Proceedings of TWLT16/AMILP2000: Algebraic methods in language processing*, pages 143–160, Iowa, 2000.
- [30] R. NELKEN AND N. FRANCEZ. Querying temporal database using controlled natural language. In *Proceedings of COLING2000, The 18th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, 2000.
- [31] R. NELKEN AND N. FRANCEZ. Bilattices and the semantics of natural language questions, 2002.
- [32] R. NELKEN AND C. SHAN. A logic of interrogation should be internalized in a modal logic for knowledge. In K. Watanabe and R. B. Young, editors, *SALT XIV: Semantics and Linguistic Theory*. Cornell University Press, 2004.
- [33] R. NELKEN AND C. SHAN. A modal interpretation of the logic of interrogation. *Journal of Logic, Language, and Information*, 15:251–271, 2006.
- [34] M. PELIŠ. Questions and logical analysis of natural language: the case of transparent intensional logic. *Logique & Analyse*, 185–188:217–226, 2004.
- [35] M. PELIŠ. Consequence relations in inferential erotetic logic. In M. Bílková, editor, *Consequence, Inference, Structure*, pages 53–88. Faculty of Arts, Charles University, 2008.
- [36] M. PELIŠ. *Logic of Questions*. PhD thesis, Faculty of Arts, Charles University in Prague, 2011.
- [37] M. PELIŠ. Set of answers methodology in erotetic epistemic logic. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae – Philosophica et Historica*, 2/2010:61–74, 2013.
- [38] M. PELIŠ AND O. MAJER. Logic of questions from the viewpoint of dynamic epistemic logic. In M. Peliš, editor, *The Logica Yearbook 2009*, pages 157–172. College Publications, 2010.
- [39] M. PELIŠ AND O. MAJER. Logic of questions and public announcements. In *Eighth International Tbilisi Symposium on Language, Logic and Computation 2009*, pages 145–157. LNCS, Springer, 2011.
- [40] C. SHAN AND B. TEN CATE. The partitioning semantics of questions, syntactically. In M. Nissim, editor, *Proceedings of the Seventh ESSLLI Student Session*, 2002.
- [41] B. TEN CATE AND C. SHAN. Question answering: From partitions to prolog. In U. Egly and C. G. Fermüller, editors, *Proceedings of TABLEAUX 2002: Automated reasoning with analytic tableaux and related methods*, pages 251–265. Springer-Verlag, 2002.
- [42] B. TEN CATE AND C. SHAN. Axiomatizing Groenendijk’s logic of interrogation. In M. Aloni, A. Butler, and P. Dekker, editors, *Questions in Dynamic Semantics*, pages 63–82. Elsevier, 2007.
- [43] P. TICHÝ. Questions, answers, and logic. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 15:275–284, 1978.
- [44] M. URBAŃSKI. Some remarks concerning modal propositional logic of questions. *Logic and Logical Philosophy*, 6:187–196, 1998.
- [45] M. URBAŃSKI. Synthetic tableaux and erotetic search scenarios: Extension and extraction. *Logique & Analyse*, 173–175:69–91, 2001.
- [46] J. VAN BENTHEM AND Ș. MINICĂ. Toward a Dynamic Logic of Questions. In X. He, J. Horty, and E. Pacuit, editors, *Logic, Rationality, and Interaction*, pages 27–41. Springer, 2009.
- [47] J. VAN BENTHEM, J. VAN EIJCK, AND B. KOOL. Logics of communication and change. *Information and Computation*, 204:1620–1662, 2006.

- [48] H. VAN DITMARSCH, W. VAN DER HOEK, AND B. KOOL. *Dynamic Epistemic Logic*. Springer, 2008.
- [49] P. ŠVARNÝ, O. MAJER, AND M. PELIŠ. Erotetic epistemic logic in private communication protocol. In M. Dančák and V. Punčochář, editors, *The Logica Yearbook 2013*, pages 223–238. College Publications, 2014.
- [50] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. On the reducibility of questions. *Erkenntnis*, 40:265–284, 1994.
- [51] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. *The Posing of Questions: Logical Foundations of Erotetic Inferences*. Kluwer, 1995.
- [52] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Erotetic logic and explanation by abnormic hypotheses. *Synthese*, 120:295–309, 1999.
- [53] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Questions and inferences. *Logique & Analyse*, 173–175:5–43, 2001.
- [54] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Erotetic search scenarios. *Synthese*, 134:389–427, 2003.
- [55] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Erotetic search scenarios, problem solving, and deduction. *Logique & Analyse*, 185–188:139–166, 2004.
- [56] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Socratic proofs. *Journal of Philosophical Logic*, 33:299–326, 2004.
- [57] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. Reducibility of safe questions to sets of atomic yes-no questions. In J. Jaddecki and J. Paśniczek, editors, *Lvov-Warsaw School: The New Generation*, pages 215–236. Rodopi, Amsterdam/NY, 2006.
- [58] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. *Essays in Logical Philosophy*. LIT Verlag, 2013.
- [59] A. WIŚNIEWSKI. *Questions, Inferences, and Scenarios*. College Publications, 2013.
- [60] A. WIŚNIEWSKI AND D. LESZCZYŃSKA-JASION. Inferential erotetic logic meets inquisitive semantics. Research report no. 2(2)/2013, Department of Logic and Cognitive Science, Institute of Psychology, Adam Mickiewicz University, 2013.
- [61] A. WIŚNIEWSKI AND J. POGONOWSKI. Interrogatives, recursion, and incompleteness. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 20:1187–1199, 2010.