

REFERENCES

- Abercrombie, N., Hill, S., & Turner, B. S. (2006). *The Penguin dictionary of sociology* (5th ed.). London: Penguin.
- Abma, T. A., & Stake, R. E. (2014). Science of the particular: An advocacy of naturalistic case study in health research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 24, 1150–1161.
- Agranoff, R., & Radin, B. A. (1991). The comparative case study approach in public administration. *Research in Public Administration*, 1, 203–231.
- Alinsky, S. D. (1946). *Reveille for radicals*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Alinsky, S. D. (1971). *Rules for radicals: A practical primer for realistic radicals*. New York: Random House.
- Alkin, M., Daillak, R., & White, P. (1979). *Using evaluations: Does evaluation make a difference?* Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Allen, M., & Black, M. (2006). Dual level evaluation and complex community initiatives: The local evaluation of Sure Start. *Evaluation*, 12, 237–249.
- Allison, G. T. (1971). *Essence of decision: Explaining the Cuban missile crisis*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Allison, G. T., & Zelikow, P. (1999). *Essence of decision: Explaining the Cuban missile crisis* (2nd ed.). New York: Addison Wesley Longman.
- American Anthropological Association. (2012). *Statement on ethics: Principles of professional responsibilities*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- American Association of University Professors. (2013). *Regulation of research on human subjects: Academic freedom and the institutional review board*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Educational Research Association. (2011). *Code of ethics*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Evaluation Association. (2004). *Guiding principles for evaluators*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Political Science Association Committee on Professional Ethics, Rights, and Freedom. (2012). *A guide to professional ethics in political science* (2nd ed. rev.). Washington, DC: Author.
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. Washington, DC: Author.
- American Sociological Association. (2008). *Code of ethics and policies and procedures of the ASA committee on professional ethics*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Anaf, S., Drummon, C., & Sheppard, L. A. (2007). Combining case study research and systems theory as a heuristic model. *Qualitative Health Research*, 17, 1309–1315.
- Anderson, R., Crabtree, B. F., Steele, D. J., & McDaniel, R. R., Jr. (2005). Case study research: The view from complexity science. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15, 669–685.
- Auerbach, C. F., & Silverstein, L. B. (2003). *Qualitative data: An introduction to coding and analysis*. New York: New York University Press.
- Bachor, D. G. (2002). Increasing the believability of case study reports. *The Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 48, 20–29.
- Barlow, D. H., & Nock, M. (2009). Why can't we be more idiographic in our research? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 4, 19–21.
- Barlow, D. H., Nock, M., & Hersen, M. (2008). *Single-case experimental designs: Strategies for studying behavior* (3rd ed.). New York: Pergamon.
- Barzun, J., & Graff, H. (2003). *The modern researcher* (6th ed.). New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Basu, O. N., Dirsmith, M. W., & Gupta, P. P. (1999). The coupling of the symbolic and the technical in an institutionalized context. *American Sociological Review*, 64, 506–526.

- Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, 13, 544–559.
- Beach, D., & Pedersen, R. B. (2013). *Process-tracing methods: Foundations and guidelines*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Becker, H. S. (1958). Problems of inference and proof in participant observation. *American Sociological Review*, 23, 652–660.
- Becker, H. S. (1967). Whose side are we on? *Social Problems*, 14, 239–247.
- Becker, H. S. (2007). *Writing for social scientists: How to start and finish your thesis, book, or article* (2nd ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Becker, H. S. (1998). *Tricks of the trade: How to think about your research while you're doing it*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Befani, B. (2013). Between complexity and generalization: Addressing evaluation challenges with QCA. *Evaluation*, 19, 269–283.
- Bennett, A. (2010). Process tracing and causal inference. In H. Brady & D. Collier (Eds.), *Rethinking social inquiry: Diverse tools, shared standards* (2nd ed., pp. 207–219). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bennett, A., & Checkel, J. T. (Eds.). (2015). *Process tracing: From metaphor to analytic tool*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Berends, M., & Garet, M. S. (2002). In (re)search of evidence-based school practices: Possibilities for integrating nationally representative surveys and randomized field trials to inform educational policy. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 77(4), 28–58.
- Berman, P., & McLaughlin, M. (1974–1978). *Federal programs supporting educational change* (8 vols.). Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- Beverland, M., & Lindgreen, A. (2010). What makes a good case study? A positivist review of qualitative case research published in *Industrial Marketing Management*, 1971–2006. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(1), 59–63.
- Bickman, L. (1987). The functions of program theory. In L. Bickman (Ed.), *Using program theory in evaluation* (pp. 5–18). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Bickman, L., & Mulvaney, S. (2005). Large scale evaluation of children's mental health services: The Ft. Bragg and Stark County studies. In R. Steele & M. Roberts (Eds.), *Handbook of mental health services for children, adolescents, and families* (pp. 371–386). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.
- Bickman, L., & Rog, D. J. (Eds.). (2009). *The Sage handbook of applied research methods* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Blau, P. M. (1955). *The dynamics of bureaucracy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Boblin, S. L., Ireland, S., Kirkpatrick, H., & Robertson, K. (2013). Using Stake's qualitative case study approach to explore implementation of evidence-based practice. *Qualitative Health Research*, 23, 1267–1275.
- Boruch, R. (2007, October 12). *The flight of error: Scientific questions, evidential answers, and STEM education research*. Paper presented at the workshop on STEM Education Research Designs: Conceptual and Practical Considerations for Planning Experimental Studies, Arlington, VA, sponsored by the University of California, Irvine.
- Boruch, R., & Foley, E. (2000). The honestly experimental society. In L. Bickman (Ed.), *Validity & social experimentation: Donald Campbell's legacy* (pp. 193–238). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Bourgois, P. (2003). *In search of respect: Selling crack in El Barrio* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Bradshaw, T. K. (1999). Communities not fazed: Why military base closures may not be catastrophic. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 65, 193–206.
- Brice, A. E., Wallace, S. E., & Brice, R. G. (2014). Alzheimer's dementia from a bilingual/bicultural perspective: A case study. *Communication Disorders Quarterly*, 36, 55–64.
- Brinton, C. (1938). *The anatomy of a revolution*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Bromley, D. B. (1986). *The case-study method in psychology and related disciplines*. Chichester, England: Wiley.
- Bruns, W. J., Jr. (1989). A review of Robert K. Yin's "Case study research: Design and methods." *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 1, 157–163.
- Bryk, A. S., Bebring, P. B., Kerbow, D., Rollow, S., & Easton, J. Q. (1998). *Charting Chicago school reform: Democratic localism as a lever for change*. Boulder, CO: Westview.
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social research methods* (4th ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Burawoy, M. (1991). The extended case method. In M. Burawoy, A. Burton, A. A. Ferguson, K. J. Fox, J. Gamson, N. Gartrell, et al. (Eds.), *Ethnography unbound: Power and resistance in the modern metropolis* (pp. 271–287). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Burawoy, M. (2009). *The extended case method: Four countries, four decades, four great transformations, and one theoretical tradition*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Burke, W. W. (2014). *Organizational change: Theory and practice* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Busigny, T., Graf, M., Mayer, E., & Rossion, B. (2010). Acquired prosopagnosia as a face-specific disorder: Ruling out the general visual similarity account. *Neuropsychologia*, 48, 2051–2067.
- Busigny, T., & Rossion, B. (2011). Holistic processing impairment can be restricted to faces in acquired prosopagnosia: Evidence from the global/local Navon effect. *Journal of Neuropsychology*, 5, 1–14.
- Byrne, D. (2009). Case-based methods: Why we need them; what they are; how to do them. In D. Byrne & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 1–10). London: Sage.
- Camic, P., Rhodes, J. E., & Yardley, L. (Eds.). (2003). *Qualitative research in psychology: Expanding perspectives in methodology and design*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Campbell, D. T. (1969). Reforms as experiments. *American Psychologist*, 24, 409–429.
- Campbell, D. T. (1975). Degrees of freedom and the case study. *Comparative Political Studies*, 8, 178–193.
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. (1966). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Campbell, J. P., Daft, R. L., & Hulin, C. L. (1982). *What to study: Generating and developing research questions*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Carolan, C. M., Forbat, L., & Smith, A. (2015). Developing the DESCARTE model: The design of case study research in health care. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25, 1–14.
- Carroll, J., & Johnson, E. (1992). Decision research: A field guide. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 43, 71–72.
- Caulley, D. N. (2008). Making qualitative research reports less boring: The techniques of writing creative nonfiction. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 14, 424–449.
- Caulley, D. N., & Dowdy, I. (1987). Evaluation case histories as a parallel to legal case histories. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 10, 359–372.
- Charmaz, K. (2015). Teaching theory construction with initial grounded theory tools: A reflection on lessons and learning. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25, 1610–1622.
- Chaskin, R. J. (2001). Building community capacity: A definitional framework and case studies from a comprehensive community initiative. *Urban Affairs Review*, 36, 291–323.
- Chaskin, R. J. (2003). The challenge of two-tiered evaluation in community initiatives. *Journal of Community Practices*, 11, 61–83.
- Chassan, J. B. (1960). Statistical inference and the single case in clinical design. *Psychiatry*, 23, 173–184.
- Chung, E. Y. (2017). The outcomes and impact of a post-earthquake rehabilitation program in China: A qualitative study. *Qualitative Health Research*, 27, 170–181.
- Cochran, W. G., & Cox, G. M. (1992). *Experimental designs* (2nd ed.). New York: Wiley Classic Library Edition.
- Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (1979). *Quasi-experimentation: Design and analysis issues for field settings*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Cook, T. D., & Foray, D. (2007). Building the capacity to experiment in schools: A case study of the Institute of Educational Sciences in the US Department of Education. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 16(5), 385–402.
- Cook, T. D., & Payne, M. R. (2002). Objecting to the objections to using random assignment in educational research. In F. Mosteller & R. Boruch (Eds.), *Evidence matters: Randomized trials in education research* (pp. 150–178). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Cooper, C. A., McCord, D. M., & Socha, A. (2011). Evaluating the college sophomore problem: The case of personality and politics. *Journal of Psychology*, 145, 23–37.
- Cooper, H. M. (1984). *The integrative research review*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Cooper, H. M., Hedges, L. V., & Valentine, J. C. (Eds.). (2009). *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis* (2nd ed.). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2015). *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Corkin, S. (2013). *Permanent present tense: The unforgettable life of the amnesic patient, H.M.* New York: Basic Books.
- COSMOS Corporation. (1983). *Case studies and organizational innovation: Strengthening the connection.* Bethesda, MD: Author.
- Crabtree, B. F., & Miller, W. L. (Eds.). (1999). *Doing qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crane, J. (Ed.). (1998). *Social programs that work.* New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C.N. (2017). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crewe, K. (2001). The quality of participatory design: The effects of citizen input on the design of the Boston Southwest Corridor. *APA Journal*, 67, 437–455.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1975). Beyond the two disciplines of scientific psychology. *American Psychologist*, 30, 116–127.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Associates. (1980). *Toward reform of program evaluation: Aims, methods, and institutional arrangements.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Dabbs, J. M., Jr. (1982). Making things visible. In J. Van Maanen, J. M. Dabbs Jr., & R. R. Faulkner (Eds.), *Varieties of qualitative research* (pp. 31–63). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Dasgupta, M. (2015). Exploring the relevance of case study research. *Vision*, 19, 147–160.
- Datta, L. (1997). Multimethod evaluations. In E. Chelimsky & W. R. Shadish (Eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st century* (pp. 344–359). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- David, M. (Ed.). (2006a). *Case study research* (4 vols.). London: Sage.
- David, M. (2006b). Editor's introduction. In M. David (Ed.), *Case study research* (pp. xxiii–xlii). London: Sage.
- De Chesnay, M. (2017). *Nursing research using case studies: Qualitative methods and designs in nursing.* New York: Springer.
- Denenberg, V. H. (1982). Comparative psychology and single-subject research. In A. E. Kazdin & A. H. Tuma (Eds.), *Single-case research designs* (No. 13, pp. 19–31). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Denzin, N. K. (1978). The logic of naturalistic inquiry. In N. K. Denzin (Ed.), *Sociological methods: A sourcebook.* New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. (1994). *Handbook of qualitative research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Derthick, M. (1972). *New towns in-town: Why a federal program failed.* Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- DeWalt, K. M., & DeWalt, B. (2011). *Participant observation: A guide for fieldworkers* (2nd ed.). Lanham, MD: Altamira Press.
- Dimartino, C., & Jessen, S. B. (2016). School brand management: The policies, practices, and perceptions of branding and marketing in New York City's public high schools. *Urban Education*, 51, 447–475.
- Dion, D. (1998). Evidence and inference in the comparative case study. *Comparative Politics*, 30, 127–145.
- Donmoyer, R. (1990). Generalizability and the single-case study. In E. W. Eisner & A. Peshkin (Eds.), *Qualitative inquiry in education: The continuing debate* (pp. 175–200). New York: Teachers College Press.
- Dopson, S., Ferlie, E., Fitzgerald, L., & Locock, L. (2009). Team-based aggregation of qualitative case study data in health care contexts: Challenges and learning. In D. Byrne & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 454–466). London: Sage.
- Downey, D. C. (2016). Disaster recovery in black and white: A comparison of New Orleans and Gulfport. *American Review of Public Administration*, 46, 51–74.
- Drucker, P. F. (1986). The changed world economy. In P. F. Drucker (Ed.), *The frontiers of management* (pp. 21–49). New York: Dutton.
- Dubois, A., & Gadde, L.-E. (2002). Systematic combining: An abductive approach to case research. *Journal of Business Research*, 55, 553–560.
- Duff, P. A. (2008). *Case study research in applied linguistics.* New York: Routledge.
- Dul, J., & Hak, T. (2008). *Case study methodology in business research.* Oxford, England: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Dunbar, G. (2005). *Evaluating research methods in psychology: A case study approach.* Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Duneier, M. (1999). *Sidewalk.* New York: Farrar, Straus, & Giroux.
- Dyehouse, M., Bennett, D., Harbor, J., Childress, A., & Dark, M. (2009). A comparison of linear and systems

- thinking approaches for program evaluation illustrated using the Indiana Interdisciplinary GK-12. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 32, 187–196.
- Eckstein, H. (1975). Case study and theory in political science. In F. I. Greenstein & N. W. Polsby (Eds.), *Strategies of inquiry* (pp. 79–137). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Eilbert, K. W., & Lafronza, V. (2005). Working together for community health: A model and case studies. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 28, 185–199.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 532–550.
- Ellet, W. (2007). *The case study handbook: How to read, discuss, and write persuasively about cases*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Elman, C., Gerring, J., & Mahoney, J. (2016). Case study research: Putting the quant into the qual. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 45, 375–391.
- Elmore, R. F., Abelman, C. H., & Fuhrman, S. H. (1997). The new accountability in state education reform: From process to performance. In H. F. Ladd (Ed.), *Holding schools accountable* (pp. 65–98). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Ericksen, J., & Dyer, L. (2004). Right from the start: Exploring the effects of early team events on subsequent project team development and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 49, 438–471.
- Eriksson, P., & Kovalainen, A. (2008). *Qualitative methods in business research*. London: Sage.
- Farquhar, J. D. (2012). *Case study research for business*. London: Sage.
- Feagin, J. R., Orum, A. M., & Sjoberg, G. (Eds.). (1991). *A case for the case study*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Fielding, N., & Warnes, R. (2009). Computer-based qualitative methods in case study research. In D. Byrne & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 270–288). London: Sage.
- Fielding, N. G., & Lee, R. M. (1998). *Computer analysis and qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fisher, R. A. (1990). *Statistical methods, experimental design, and scientific inference*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12, 219–245.
- Forrester, M. (2010). Introduction. In M. Forrester (Ed.), *Doing qualitative research in psychology: A practical guide* (pp. 1–12). London: Sage.
- Fowler, F. J., Jr. (2014). *Survey research methods* (5th ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Frieling, M. A., Lindenberg, S. M., & Stokman, F. N. (2014). Collaborative communities through coproduction: Two case studies. *American Review of Public Administration*, 44, 35–58.
- Friese, S. (2012). *Qualitative data analysis with ATLAS.ti*. London: Sage.
- Funnell, S. C., & Rogers, P. J. (2011). *Purposeful program theory: Effective use of theories of change and logic models*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Galster, G., Tatian, P., & Accordino, J. (2006). Targeting investments for neighborhood revitalization. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 72, 457–474.
- Gans, H. J. (1962). *The urban villagers: Group and class in the life of Italian-Americans*. New York: Free Press.
- Garmezy, N. (1982). The case for the single case in research. In A. E. Kazdin & A. H. Tuma (Eds.), *Single-case research designs* (No. 13, pp. 5–17). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Garson, G. D. (2016). *Case study analysis and QCA*. Kindle Book: Statistical Associates "Blue Book" Series Book 1.
- Garvin, D. A. (2003, September–October). Making the case: Professional education for the world of practice. *Harvard Magazine*, 106(1), 56–107.
- Gavaravarapu, S. M., & Pavarala, V. (2014). Communicating nutrition in community settings: Case studies in critical examination of institutional approaches in India. *Journal of Creative Communications*, 9, 23–48.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. New York: Basic Books.
- George, A. L., & Bennett, A. (2005). *Case studies and theory development in the social sciences*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Gerring, J. (2004). What is a case study and what is it good for? *American Political Science Review*, 98, 341–354.

- Gibbert, M., Ruigrok, W., & Wicki, B. (2008). What passes as a rigorous case study? *Strategic Management Journal*, 29, 1465–1474.
- Gilgun, J. F. (1994). A case for case studies in social work research. *Social Work*, 39, 371–380.
- Glaser, B., & Strauss, A. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Chicago: Aldine.
- Glavey, S., & Haas, O. (2015). *How to scale up rural sanitation service delivery in Indonesia*. Washington, DC: The World Bank Group.
- Golden, L. B. (2004). *Case studies in marriage and family therapy* (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Gomm, R., Hammersley, M., & Foster, P. (2000). Case study and generalization. In R. Gomm, M. Hammersley, & P. Foster (Eds.), *Case study method* (pp. 98–115). London: Sage.
- Gordon, M. E., Slade, L. A., & Schmitt, N. (1986). The “science of the sophomore” revisited: From conjecture to empiricism. *Academy of Management Review*, 11, 191–207.
- Gottschalk, L. (1968). *Understanding history: A primer of historical method*. New York: Knopf.
- Green, E. (2016). What are the most-cited publications in the social sciences (according to Google Scholar)? London School of Economics. Retrieved from <http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2016/05/12/what-are-the-most-cited-publications-in-the-social-sciences-according-to-google-scholar/>
- Grinnell, R. M., & Unrau, Y. A. (Eds.). (2008). *Social work research and evaluation: Foundations of evidence-based practice*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gross, N., Bernstein, M., & Giacuinta, J. B. (1971). *Implementing organizational innovations: A sociological analysis of planned educational change*. New York: Basic Books.
- Hahn, C. (2008). *Doing qualitative research using your computer: A practical guide*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hamel, J. (Ed.). (1992). The case study method in sociology [Whole issue]. *Current Sociology*, 40.
- Hamilton, L., & Corbett-Whittier, C. (2013). *Using case study in education research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hammond, P. E. (1968). *Sociologists at work: Essays on the craft of social research*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- Hanna, K. S. (2000). The paradox of participation and the hidden role of information. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 66, 398–410.
- Hanna, K. S. (2005). Planning for sustainability. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 71, 27–40.
- Hedrick, T., Bickman, L., & Rog, D. J. (1993). *Applied research design*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33, 61–83.
- Herriott, R. E., & Firestone, W. A. (1983). Multisite qualitative policy research: Optimizing description and generalizability. *Educational Researcher*, 12, 14–19.
- Hesse-Biber, S. N., & Johnson, R. B. (Eds.). (2015). *The Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hipp, J. R. (2007). Block, tract, and levels of aggregation: Neighborhood structure and crime and disorder as a case in point. *American Sociological Review*, 72, 659–680.
- Hoaglin, D. C., Light, R. J., McPeck, B., Mosteller, F., & Stoto, M. A. (1982). *Data for decisions: Information strategies for policymakers*. Cambridge, MA: Abt Books.
- Hooks, G. (1990). The rise of the Pentagon and U.S. state building: The defense program as industrial policy. *American Journal of Sociology*, 96, 358–404.
- Jacobs, J. (1961). *The death and life of great American cities*. New York: Random House.
- Jacobs, R. N. (1996). Civil society and crisis: Culture, discourse, and the Rodney King beating. *American Journal of Sociology*, 101, 1238–1272.
- Jadad, A., & Enkin, M. W. (2007). *Randomised controlled trials: Questions, answers, and musings* (2nd ed.). London: BMJ Books.
- Janesick, V. J. (2010). *Oral history for the qualitative researcher: Choreographing the story*. New York: Guilford.
- Jett, C. C., Curry, K. M., & Vernon-Jackson, S. (2016). Let our students be our guides: McNair scholars “guide” three urban teacher educators on meeting the needs of culturally diverse learners. *Urban Education*, 51, 514–533.
- Johansen, A. B., Tavakoli, S., Bjelland, I., & Lumley, M. (2017). Constructivist simultaneous treatment of

- borderline personality disorder, trauma, and addition comorbidity: A qualitative case study. *Qualitative Health Research*, 27, 236–248.
- Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33, 14–26.
- Johnston, W. J., Leach, M. P., & Liu, A. H. (2000). Using case studies for theory testing in business-to-business research: The development of a more rigorous case study methodology. *Advances in Business Marketing and Purchasing*, 9, 215–241.
- Jorgensen, D. (1989). *Participant observation: A methodology for human studies*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Julnes, G., & Rog, D. (2015). Actionable evidence in context: Contextual influences on adequacy and appropriateness of method choice in evaluation. In S. I. Donaldson, C. A. Christie, & M. M. Mark (Eds.), *Credible evidence and actionable evidence: The foundation for rigorous and influential evaluations* (pp. 221–258). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kates, N. (2008). Integrating mental health services into primary care: The Hamilton FHT mental health program. In R. Kessler & D. Stafford (Eds.), *Collaborative medicine case studies: Evidence in practice* (pp. 71–82). New York: Springer.
- Kaufman, H. (1981). *The administrative behavior of federal bureau chiefs*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Kazdin, A. E. (2003). Drawing valid inferences from case studies. In A. E. Kazdin (Ed.), *Methodological issues and strategies in clinical research* (3rd ed., pp. 655–669). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kazdin, A. E. (2010). *Single-case research designs: Methods for clinical and applied settings* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Keating, W. D., & Krumholz, N. (Eds.). (1999). *Rebuilding urban neighborhoods: Achievements, opportunities, and limits*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kelling, G. L., & Coles, C. M. (1997). *Fixing broken windows: Restoring order and reducing crime in our communities*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Kellogg Foundation. (2006). *W. K. Kellogg Foundation logic model development guide*. Battle Creek, MI: Author.
- Kelly, A. E., & Yin, R. K. (2007). Strengthening structured abstracts for education research: The need for claim-based structured abstracts. *Educational Researcher*, 36, 133–138.
- Kennedy, M. M. (1979). Generalizing from single case studies. *Evaluation Quarterly*, 3, 661–678.
- Kessler, R., & Stafford, D. (Eds.). (2008). *Collaborative medicine case studies: Evidence in practice*. New York: Springer.
- Kidder, L., & Judd, C. M. (1986). *Research methods in social relations* (5th ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Kindell, J., Sage, K., Wilkinson, R., & Keady, J. (2014). Living with semantic dementia: A case study of one family's experience. *Qualitative Health Research*, 24, 401–411.
- Knowlton, L. W., & Phillips, C. C. (2013). *The logic model guidebook: Better strategies for great results* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kohl-Arenas, E. (2016). *The self-help myth: How philanthropy fails to alleviate poverty*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Kratochwill, T. R. (Ed.). (1978). *Single subject research: Strategies for evaluating change*. New York: Academic Press.
- Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2015). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Labin, S. N. (2008). Research syntheses: Toward broad-based evidence. In N. L. Smith & P. R. Brandon (Eds.), *Fundamental issues in evaluation* (pp. 89–110). New York: Guilford.
- Lavrakas, P. J. (1993). *Telephone survey methods* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Lawrence-Lightfoot, S., & Davis, J. H. (1997). *The art and science of portraiture*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Lee, E., Mishna, F., & Brennenstuhl, S. (2010). How to critically evaluate case studies in social work. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 20, 682–689.
- Lempert, L. B. (2011). Asking questions of the data: Memo writing in the grounded theory tradition. In A. Bryant & K. Charmaz (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of grounded theory* (pp. 245–264). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Leopold, D. A., Bondar, I. V., & Giese, M. A. (2006). Norm-based face encoding by single neurons in the monkey inferotemporal cortex. *Nature*, 442, 572–575.

- Leppäaho, T., Plakoyiannaki, E., & Dimitratos, P. (2015). The case study in family business: An analysis of current research practices and recommendations. *Family Business Review*, 29(2), 1–15.
- Liebow, E. (1967). *Tally's corner*. Boston: Little, Brown.
- Lijphart, A. (1975). The comparable-cases strategy in comparative research. *Comparative Political Studies*, 8, 158–177.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). But is it rigorous? Trustworthiness and authenticity in naturalistic evaluation. In D. D. Williams (Ed.), *Naturalistic evaluation* (pp. 73–84). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Lipset, S. M., Trow, M., & Coleman, J. (1956). *Union democracy: The inside politics of the International Typographical Union*. New York: Free Press.
- Lipsey, M. W. (1990). *Design sensitivity: Statistical power for experimental research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lipsey, M. W. (1992). Meta-analysis in evaluation research: Moving from description to explanation. In H. T. Chen & P. Rossi (Eds.), *Using theory to improve program and policy evaluations* (pp. 229–241). New York: Greenwood.
- Llewellyn, K. N. (1948). Case method. In E. Seligman & A. Johnson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of the social sciences*. New York: Macmillan.
- Lynd, R. S., & Lynd, H. M. (1929). *Middletown: A study in modern American culture*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Lynd, R. S., & Lynd, H. M. (1937). *Middletown in transition: A study in cultural conflicts*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Magaziner, I. C., & Patinkin, M. (1989). *The silent war: Inside the global business battles shaping America's future*. New York: Random House.
- Mark, M. M. (2008). Building a better evidence base for evaluation theory. In N. L. Smith & P. R. Brandon (Eds.), *Fundamental issues in evaluation* (pp. 111–134). New York: Guilford.
- Markus, M. L. (1983). Power, politics, and MIS implementation. *Communications of the ACM*, 26, 430–444.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Marwell, N. P. (2007). *Bargaining for Brooklyn: Community organizations in the entrepreneurial city*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- McAdams, D. R. (2000). *Fighting to save our urban schools... and winning! Lessons from Houston*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- McClintock, C. (1985). Process sampling: A method for case study research on administrative behavior. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 21, 205–222.
- McKone, E., Kanwisher, N., & Duchaine, B. C. (2007). Can generic expertise explain special processing for faces? *Trends in Cognitive Science*, 11, 8–15.
- McLeod, J. (2010). *Case study research in counselling and psychotherapy*. London: Sage.
- McNemar, Q. (1946). Opinion-attitude methodology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 43, 289–374.
- Mertens, D. (2015). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Merton, R. K., Fiske, M., & Kendall, P. L. (1990). *The focused interview: A manual of problems and procedures* (2nd ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Meyer, C. B. (2001). A case in case study methodology. *Field Methods*, 13, 329–352.
- Michel, J.-B., Shen, Y. K., Aiden, A. P., Veres, A., Gray, M. K., The Google Books Team, et al. (2010). Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. *Science*, 331, 176–182.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mills, A. J., Durepos, G., & Wiebe, E. (Eds.). (2010a). *Encyclopedia of case study research* (2 vols.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Mills, A. J., Durepos, G., & Wiebe, E. (2010b). Introduction. In A. J. Mills, G. Durepos, & E. Wiebe (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of case study research* (pp. xxxi–xxxvii). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mitchell, J. C. (1983). Case and situation analysis. *Sociological Review*, 31, 187–211.
- Miyahara, M., & Wafer, A. (2004). Clinical intervention for children with developmental coordination disorder: A multiple case study. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 21, 281–300.

- Mookherji, S., & LaFond, A. (2013). Strategies to maximize generalization from multiple case studies: Lessons from the Africa Routine Immunization System Essentials (ARISE) project. *Evaluation, 19*, 284–303.
- Morgan, D. L., & Morgan, R. K. (2009). *Single-case research methods for the behavioral and health sciences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Morgan, S. J., Pullon, S. R. H., MacDonald, L. M., McKinlay, E. M., & Gray, B. V. (2016). Case study observational research: A framework for conducting case study research where observation data are the focus. *Qualitative Health Research, 26*, 1–9.
- Morris, L. L., Fitz-Gibbon, C. T., & Freeman, M. E. (1987). *How to communicate evaluation findings* (2nd ed.). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Mosteller, F., & Wallace, D. L. (1984). *Applied Bayesian and classical inference: The case of "The Federalist" papers* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer Verlag.
- Mulroy, E. A., & Lauber, H. (2004). A user-friendly approach to program evaluation and effective community interventions for families at risk of homelessness. *Social Work, 49*, 573–586.
- Murray, D. M. (1998). *Design and analysis of group-randomized trials*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Nachmias, C., & Nachmias, D. (2014). *Research methods in the social sciences* (8th ed.). New York: St. Martin's.
- Nathan, I., Lund, J. F., Gausset, Q., & Andersen, S. K. (2007). On the promise of devolution: Overcoming the constraints of natural resource management in a village in Tanzania. *Journal of Transdisciplinary Environmental Studies, 6*, 1–20.
- National Research Council. (2003). *Protecting participants and facilitating social and behavioral sciences research*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2004). *On evaluating curricular effectiveness: Judging the quality of K-12 mathematics evaluations*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Naumes, W., & Naumes, M. J. (2011). *The art & craft of case writing* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nesman, T. M., Batsche, C., & Hernandez, M. (2007). Theory-based evaluation of a comprehensive Latino education initiative: An interactive evaluation approach. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 30*, 267–281.
- Neuman, S. B., & Celano, D. (2001). Access to print in low-income and middle-income communities: An ecological study of four neighborhoods. *Reading Research Quarterly, 36*, 8–26.
- Neustadt, R. E., & Fineberg, H. (1983). *The epidemic that never was: Policy-making and the swine flu affair*. New York: Vintage.
- Office for Human Research Protections, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (2015, September 8). *Notice of proposed rulemaking*. Rockville, MD: Author.
- Ohemeng, F. L. K., & Owusu, F. Y. (2015). Implementing a revenue authority model of tax administration in Ghana: An organizational learning perspective. *American Review of Public Administration, 45*, 343–364.
- O'Reilly, K. (2012). *Ethnographic methods* (2nd ed.). Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Parsons, S. A. (2012). Adaptive teaching in literacy instruction: Case studies of two teachers. *Journal of Literacy Research, 44*, 149–170.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Peters, T. J., & Waterman, R. H., Jr. (2004). *In search of excellence*. New York: Harper & Row. (Original work published 1982)
- Peterson, K. A., & Bickman, L. (1992). Using program theory in quality assessments of children's mental health services. In H. T. Chen & P. Rossi (Eds.), *Using theory to improve program and policy evaluations* (pp. 165–176). New York: Greenwood.
- Peterson, R. K. (2001). On the use of college students in social science research: Insights from a second-order meta-analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research, 28*, 450–461.
- Philliber, S. G., Schwab, M. R., & Samsloss, G. (1980). *Social research: Guides to a decision-making process*. Itasca, IL: Peacock.
- Phillips, R., & Pittman, R. H. (2009). A framework for community and economic development. In R. Phillips & R. H. Pittman (Eds.), *An introduction to community development* (pp. 3–19). Abingdon, England: Routledge.
- Piekkari, R., Welch, C., & Paavilainen, E. (2009). The case study as disciplinary convention: Evidence from international business journals. *Organizational Research Methods, 12*, 567–589.

- Platt, J. (1992). "Case study" in American methodological thought. *Current Sociology*, 40, 17-48.
- Pole, C., & Hillyard, S. (2016). *Doing fieldwork*. London: Sage.
- Prescott, H. M. (2002). Using the student body: College and university students as research subjects in the United States during the twentieth century. *Journal of the History of Medicine*, 57, 3-38.
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. (1973). *Implementation: How great expectations in Washington are dashed in Oakland*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (1992). Introduction: Cases of "What is a case?" In C. C. Ragin & H. S. Becker (Eds.), *What is a case? Exploring the foundations of social inquiry* (pp. 1-17). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (2014). *The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. Berkeley: University of California Press. (Original work published 1987)
- Ragin, C. C., & Becker, H. S. (Eds.). (1992). *What is a case? Exploring the foundations of social inquiry*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Raizen, S. A., & Britton, E. D. (Eds.). (1997). *Bold ventures* (3 vols.). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.
- Randolph, J. J., & Eronen, P. J. (2007). Developing the Learner Door: A case study in youth participatory program planning. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 30, 55-65.
- Redman, E. (2001). *The dance of legislation*. Seattle: University of Washington Press. (Original work published 1973)
- Rihoux, B., & Lobe, B. (2009). The case for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Adding leverage for thick cross-case comparison. In D. Byrne & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 222-242). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2009). *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis and related techniques*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Rivera, L. A. (2008). Managing "spoiled" national identity: War, tourism, and memory in Croatia. *American Sociological Review*, 73, 613-634.
- Robben, A. C. G. M., & Sluka, J. A. (Eds.). (2012). *Ethnographic fieldwork: An anthropological reader* (2nd ed.). Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Robertson, L. C., Knight, R. T., Rafal, R., & Shimamura, A. P. (1993). Cognitive psychology is more than single-case studies. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 19, 710-717.
- Rog, D. J., & Huebner, R. B. (1992). Using research and theory in developing innovative programs for homeless individuals. In H. T. Chen & P. Rossi (Eds.), *Using theory to improve program and policy evaluations* (pp. 129-144). New York: Greenwood.
- Rog, D. J., & Randolph, F. I. (2002). A multisite evaluation of supported housing: Lessons from cross-site collaboration. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 94, 61-72.
- Rogers, E. M., & Larsen, J. K. (1986). *Silicon Valley fever: Growth of high-technology culture*. New York: Basic Books.
- Rogers, P. J. (2000). Program theory: Not whether programs work but how they work. In D. L. Stufflebeam, G. F. Madaus, & T. Kelleghan (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (2nd ed., pp. 209-232). Boston: Kluwer.
- Rogowski, R. (2010). How inference in the social (but not the physical) sciences neglects theoretical anomaly. In H. Brady & D. Collier (Eds.), *Rethinking social inquiry: Diverse tools, shared standards* (2nd ed., pp. 89-97). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Rolls, G. (2015). *Classic case studies in psychology* (3rd ed.). London: Hodder Education.
- Rosenbaum, D. P. (Ed.). (1986). *Community crime prevention: Does it work?* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2002). *Observational studies* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer.
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2009). *Design of observational studies*. New York: Springer.
- Rosenthal, R. (1966). *Experimenter effects in behavioral research*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Rossi, P., Lipsey, M. W., & Freeman, H. E. (2004). *Evaluation: A systematic approach* (7th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Rubin, A., & Babbie, E. (2014). *Research methods for social work* (8th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2011). *Qualitative interviewing: The art of hearing data* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ruddin, L. P. (2006). You can generalize stupid! Social scientists, Bent Flyvbjerg, and case study methodology. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12, 797-812.

- Rule, P., & John, V. M. (2015). A necessary dialogue: Theory in case study research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 14, 1–11.
- Runeson, P., Höst, M., Rainer, A., & Regnell, B. (2012). *Case study research in software engineering: Guidelines and examples*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Ryan, K. E., Gandha, T., Culbertson, M., & Carlson, C. (2014). Focus group evidence: Implications for design and analysis. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 35, 328–345.
- Saldaña, J. (2016). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- Schatzman, L., & Strauss, A. (1973). *Field research*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Schein, E. (2003). *DEC is dead, long live DEC: Lessons on innovation, technology, and the business gene*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.
- Schlesselman, J. J. (1982). *Case-control studies: Design, conduct, analysis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Schmitt, C., & Goebel, V. (2015). Experiences of high-ability high school students: A case study. *Journal of the Education of the Gifted*, 38, 428–446.
- Schorr, L. B. (1997). *Common purpose: Strengthening families and neighborhoods to rebuild America*. New York: Anchor.
- Schramm, W. (1971, December). *Notes on case studies of instructional media projects*. Working paper for the Academy for Educational Development, Washington, DC.
- Schwandt, T. A. (2015a). *The Sage dictionary of qualitative inquiry* (4th ed.). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Schwandt, T. A. (2015b). Credible evidence of effectiveness necessary but not sufficient for evaluation. In S. I. Donaldson, C. A. Christie, & M. M. Mark (Eds.), *Credible and actionable evidence: The foundation for rigorous and influential evaluations* (pp. 259–274). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Scriven, M. (2015). Demythologizing causation and evidence. In S. I. Donaldson, C. A. Christie, & M. M. Mark (Eds.), *Credible and actionable evidence: The foundation for rigorous and influential evaluations* (pp. 113–132). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sears, D. O. (1986). College sophomores in the laboratory: Influences of a narrow database on social psychology's view of human nature. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 515–530.
- Selznick, P. (1980). *TVA and the grass roots: A study of politics and organization*. Berkeley: University of California Press. (Original work published 1949)
- Shavelson, R., & Towne, L. (Eds.). (2002). *Scientific research in education*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Sidman, M., Soddard, L. T., & Mohr, J. P. (1968). Some additional quantitative observations of immediate memory in a patient with bilateral hippocampal lesions. *Neuropsychologia*, 6, 245–254.
- Sidowski, J. B. (Ed.). (1966). *Experimental methods and instrumentation in psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Silver, C., & Lewins, A. (2014). *Using software in qualitative research: A step-by-step guide* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- Silverman, D. (2010). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Small, M. L. (2004). *Villa Victoria: The transformation of social capital in a Boston barrio*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Small, M. L. (2009). "How many cases do I need?" On science and the logic of case selection in field-based research. *Ethnography*, 10, 5–38.
- Smith, J. (Ed.). (2015). *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research methods* (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- Speiglman, R., & Spear, P. (2009). The role of institutional review boards: Ethics: Now you see them, now you don't. In D. M. Mertens & P. E. Ginsberg (Eds.), *The handbook of social research ethics* (pp. 121–134). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stake, R. E. (2005). Qualitative case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed., pp. 443–466). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stake, R. E. (2006). *Multiple case study analysis*. New York: Guilford.
- Standerfer, N. R., & Rider, J. (1983). The politics of automating a planning office. *Planning*, 49, 18–21.
- Stein, H. (1952). Case method and the analysis of public administration. In H. Stein (Ed.), *Public administration and policy development* (pp. xx–xxx). New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Stoecker, R. (1991). Evaluating and rethinking the case study. *The Sociological Review*, 39, 88–112.

- Stufflebeam, D. L., & Shinkfield, A. J. (2007). *Evaluation: Theory, models, and applications*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sudman, S., & Bradburn, N. M. (1982). *Asking questions: A practical guide to questionnaire design*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Supovitz, J. A., & Taylor, B. S. (2005). Systemic education evaluation: Evaluating the impact of systemwide reform in education. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 26, 204–230.
- Sutton, R. I., & Staw, B. M. (1995). What theory is not. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40, 371–384.
- Szanton, P. (1981). *Not well advised*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation and The Ford Foundation.
- Tawney, J. W., & Gast, D. L. (1984). *Single subject research in special education*. Columbus, OH: Merrill.
- Teske, P., Schneider, M., Roch, C., & Marschall, M. (2000). Public school choice: A status report. In D. Ravitch & J. P. Viteritti (Eds.), *Lessons from New York City schools* (pp. 313–338). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Thacher, D. (2006). The normative case study. *American Journal of Sociology*, 111, 1631–1676.
- Towl, A. R. (1969). *To study administrations by cases*. Boston: Harvard University Business School.
- Trochim, W. (1989). Outcome pattern matching and program theory. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 12, 355–366.
- U.S. Government Accountability Office, Program Evaluation and Methodology Division. (1990). *Case study evaluations*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- U.S. National Commission on Neighborhoods. (1979). *People, building neighborhoods*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Office of Technology Assessment. (1980–1981). *The implications of cost-effectiveness analysis of medical technology: Case studies of medical technologies*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- Van Maanen, J. (2011). *Tales of the field: On writing ethnography* (2nd ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Vaughan, D. (1992). Theory elaboration: The heuristics of case analysis. In C. C. Ragin & H. D. Becker (Eds.), *What is a case? Exploring the foundations of social inquiry* (pp. 173–202). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Vaughan, D. (2016). *The Challenger launch decision: Risky technology, culture, and deviance at NASA* (Enlarged ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Veerman, J. W., & van Yperen, T. A. (2007). Degrees of freedom and degrees of certainty: A developmental model for the establishment of evidence-based youth care. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 30, 212–221.
- Vertue, F. M. (2011). Applying case study methodology to child custody evaluations. *Family Court Review*, 49, 336–347.
- Vissak, T. (2010). Recommendations for using the case study method in international business research. *The Qualitative Report*, 15, 370–388.
- Vos, J., & Wagenaar, H. (2014). The Münchhausen movement: Improving the coordination of social services through the creation of a social movement. *American Review of Public Administration*, 44, 409–439.
- Walshe, C. (2011). The evaluation of complex interventions in palliative care: An exploration of the potential of case study research strategies. *Palliative Medicine*, 25, 774–781.
- Wang, X. H., & Bryer, T. A. (2012). Assessing the costs of public participation: A case study of two online participation mechanisms. *American Review of Public Administration*, 43, 179–199.
- Warner, W. L., & Lunt, P. S. (1941). *The social life of a modern community*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Weiss, R. S. (1994). *Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Wertz, F. J., Charmaz, K., McMullen, L. M., Josselson, R., Anderson, R., & McSpadden, E. (2011). *Five ways of doing qualitative analysis: Phenomenological psychology, grounded theory, discourse analysis, narrative research, and intuitive inquiry*. New York: Guilford.
- Wholey, J. (1979). *Evaluation: Performance and promise*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute.
- Whyte, W. F. (1993). *Street corner society: The social structure of an Italian slum* (4th ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1943)
- Wilford, J. N. (1992). *The mysterious history of Columbus*. New York: Vintage.

- Wilson, R. F. (Ed.). (1982). *Designing academic program reviews* (New Directions for Higher Education, No. 37). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Windsor, D., & Greanias, G. (1983). The public policy and management program for case/course development. *Public Administration Review*, 26, 370-378.
- Wolcott, H. F. (2005). *The art of fieldwork* (2nd ed.). Walnut Creek, CA: Altamira Press.
- Wolcott, H. F. (2009). *Writing up qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Wolf, P. (1997). Why must we reinvent the federal government? Putting historical developmental claims to the test. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 3, 358-388.
- Yarbrough, D. B., Shulha, L. M., Hopson, R. K., & Caruthers, F. A. (2011). *The program evaluation standards: A guide for evaluators and evaluation users* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yardley, L. (2009). Demonstrating validity in qualitative psychology. In J. A. Smith (Ed.), *Qualitative psychology: A practical guide to research method* (pp. 235-251). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (1978). Face perception: A review of experiments with infants, normal adults, and brain-injured persons. In R. Held, H. Liebowitz, & H.-L. Teuber (Eds.), *Handbook of sensory physiology: Vol. 8. Perception* (pp. 593-608). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Yin, R. K. (1980). Creeping federalism: The federal impact on the structure and function of local government. In N. J. Glickman (Ed.), *The urban impacts of federal policies* (pp. 595-618). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Yin, R. K. (1981a). The case study as a serious research strategy. *Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 3, 97-114.
- Yin, R. K. (1981b). The case study crisis: Some answers. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26, 58-65.
- Yin, R. K. (1981c). Life histories of innovations: How new practices become routinized. *Public Administration Review*, 41, 21-28.
- Yin, R. K. (1982a). *Conserving America's neighborhoods*. New York: Plenum.
- Yin, R. K. (1982b). Studying the implementation of public programs. In W. Williams, R. F. Elmore, J. S. Hall, R. Jung, M. Kirst, S. A. MacManus, et al. (Eds.), *Studying implementation: Methodological and administrative issues* (pp. 36-72). Chatham, NJ: Chatham House.
- Yin, R. K. (1986). Community crime prevention: A synthesis of eleven evaluations. In D. P. Rosenbaum (Ed.), *Community crime prevention: Does it work?* (pp. 294-308). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (1994a). Discovering the future of the case study method in evaluation research. *Evaluation Practice*, 15, 283-290.
- Yin, R. K. (1994b). Evaluation: A singular craft. In C. Reichardt & S. Rallis (Eds.), *New directions in program evaluation* (pp. 71-84). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Yin, R. K. (1997). Case study evaluations: A decade of progress? *New Directions for Evaluation*, 76, 69-78.
- Yin, R. K. (1999). Enhancing the quality of case studies in health services research. *Health Services Research*, 34, 1209-1224.
- Yin, R. K. (2000a). Case study evaluations: A decade of progress? In D. L. Stufflebeam, G. F. Madaus, & T. Kelleghan (Eds.), *Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation* (2nd ed., pp. 185-193). Boston: Kluwer.
- Yin, R. K. (2000b). Rival explanations as an alternative to "reforms as experiments." In L. Bickman (Ed.), *Validity & social experimentation: Donald Campbell's legacy* (pp. 239-266). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (Ed.). (2004). *The case study anthology*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (Ed.). (2005). *Introducing the world of education: A case study reader*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (2006a). Case study methods. In J. Green, G. Camilli, & P. Elmore (Eds.), *Handbook of complementary methods in education research* (3rd ed., pp. 111-122). Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.
- Yin, R. K. (2006b). Mixed methods research: Are the methods genuinely integrated or merely parallel? *Research in the Schools*, 13, 41-47.
- Yin, R. K. (2012a). *Applications of case study research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (2012b). Case study methods. In H. Cooper (Ed.), *APA handbook of research methods in psychology* (2nd ed., Vol. 2). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

- Yin, R. K. (2013). Validity and generalization in future case study evaluations. *Evaluation*, 19, 321–332.
- Yin, R. K. (2015a). Case study research: Practicing at a high level. In J. D. Wright (Editor in Chief), *International encyclopedia of the social and behavioral sciences* (2nd ed., Vol. 3, pp. 194–201). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Yin, R. K. (2015b). Causality, generalizability, and the future of mixed methods research. In S. N. Hesse-Biber & R. B. Johnson (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of multimethod and mixed methods research inquiry* (pp. 654–664). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Yin, R. K. (2016). *Qualitative research from start to finish* (2nd ed.). New York: Guilford.
- Yin, R. K., Bateman, P. G., & Moore, G. B. (1985). Case studies and organizational innovation: Strengthening the connection. *Knowledge, Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 6, 249–260.
- Yin, R. K., Bingham, E., & Heald, K. (1976). The difference that quality makes. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 5, 139–156.
- Yin, R. K., & Davis, D. (2006). State-level education reform: Putting all the pieces together. In K. Wong & S. Rutledge (Eds.), *Systemwide efforts to improve student achievement* (pp. 1–33). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.
- Yin, R. K., & Davis, D. (2007). Adding new dimensions to case study evaluations: The case of evaluating comprehensive reforms. In G. Julnes & D. J. Rog (Eds.), *Informing federal policies for evaluation methodology* (New Directions in Program Evaluation, No. 113, pp. 75–93). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Yin, R. K., & Heald, K. (1975). Using the case survey method to analyze policy studies. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 20, 371–381.
- Yin, R. K., & Oldsman, E. (1995). *Logic model for evaluating changes in manufacturing firms*. Unpublished paper prepared for the National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Yin, R. K., Schmidt, R. J., & Besag, F. (2006). Aggregating student achievement trends across states with different tests: Using standardized slopes as effect sizes. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 81(2), 47–61.
- Yin, R. K., & Yates, D. T. (1975). *Street-level governments: Assessing decentralization and urban services*. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Zigler, E., & Muenchow, S. (1992). *Head Start: The inside story of America's most successful educational experiment*. New York: Basic Books.