

References

- Adams, R. (1979). Primitive thisness and primitive identity. *Journal of Philosophy* 76: 5–26.
- Addleson, M. (1997). *Equilibrium versus Understanding*. London: Routledge.
- Adler, S. (2003). Why decoherence has not solved the measurement problem: A response to P. W. Anderson. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 135–42.
- Aharonov Y., Bergmann, P. G. and Lebowitz, J. L. (1964). Time symmetry in the quantum process of measurement. *Physical Review* 134B: 1410–16.
- Ainslie, G. (2001). *Breakdown of Will*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Albert, D. (2000). *Time and Chance*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- and Loewer, B. (1988). Interpreting the many worlds interpretation. *Synthese* 77: 195–213.
- Almeder, R. (1998). *Harmless Naturalism*. La Salle: Open Court.
- Alspector-Kelley, M. (2001). Should the empiricist be a constructive empiricist? *Philosophy of Science* 68: 413–31.
- Ambjorn, J, Jurkiewicz, J., and Loll, R. (2004). Emergence of a 4D world from causal quantum gravity. *Physical Review Letters* 98: 131–301.
- Annas, J. and Barnes, J. (eds.) (1985). *The Modes of Scepticism: Ancient Texts and Modern Interpretations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Armstrong, D. (1983). *What is a Law of Nature?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2004). Going through an open door again: Counterfactual versus singularist theories of causation. In J. Collins, N. Hall and L. A. Paul (eds.), *Causation and Counterfactuals*, pp. 445–58. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Auyang, S. (1995). *How Is Quantum Field Theory Possible?* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baez, J. (2001). Higher-dimensional algebra and Planck scale physics. In C. Callender and N. Huggett (eds.), *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale: Contemporary Theories in Quantum Gravity*, pp. 177–95. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Baillargeon, R., Kotovsky, L., and Needham, A. (1995). The acquisition of physical knowledge in infancy. In D. Sperber, D. Premack, and A. James Premack (eds.), *Causal Cognition*, pp. 79–116. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bain, J. (2003). Towards structural realism. <http://ls.poly.edu/~jbain/papers/SR.pdf>
- (2004). Theories of Newtonian gravity and empirical indistinguishability. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 345–76.
- and Norton, J. (2001). What should philosophers of science learn from the history of the electron. In J. Z. Buchwald and A. Warwick (eds.), *Histories of the Electron*, pp. 451–65. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Baldwin, J. M. (ed.) (1901). *Dictionary of Philosophy and Psychology*. London: Macmillan.
- Ball, P. (2004). *Critical Mass*. London: Heinemann.
- Barrett, J. (1999). *The Quantum Mechanics of Minds and Worlds*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Barrow, J., Davies, P., and Harper, C. (eds.) (2004). *Science and Ultimate Reality: Quantum Theory, Cosmology and Computation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Barwise, J. and Seligman, J. (1997). *Information Flow*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Batterman, R. W. (2002). *The Devil in the Details*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2005). Response to Belot's 'Whose devil? Which details?'. *Philosophy of Science* 72: 154–63.
- Bealer, G. (1987). The philosophical limits of scientific essentialism. *Philosophical Perspectives*, vol. 1, Metaphysics: 289–365.
- Beckermann, A. (1992). Supervenience, emergence, and reduction. In A. Beckermann (ed.), *Emergence or Reduction?* pp. 94–118. Berlin & New York: De Gruyter.
- Bell, J. (1976). How to teach special relativity. *Progress in Scientific Culture* 1. Reprinted in his (1987).
- (1987). *Speakable and Unspeakable in Quantum Mechanics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Belot, G. (2005a). Dust, time and symmetry. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 56: 255–91.
- (2005b). Whose devil? Which details? *Philosophy of Science* 72: 128–53.
- and Earman, J. (2000). From metaphysics to physics. In J. Butterfield and C. Pagonis (eds.), *From Physics to Philosophy*, pp. 166–86. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2001). Pre-Socratic quantum gravity. In C. Callender and N. Huggett (eds.), *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale: Contemporary Theories in Quantum Gravity*, pp. 213–55. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Benacerraf, P. (1965 [1983]). What numbers could not be. *Philosophical Review* 74: 47–73. Reprinted in P. Benacerraf and H. Putnam (eds.), *Philosophy of Mathematics: Selected Readings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 1983.
- Bennett, C. (1990). How to define complexity in physics, and why. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 137–48. Boulder: Westview.
- Berry, M. (1989). *Principles of Cosmology and Gravitation*. Bristol: Adam Hilger.
- Beth, E. (1949). Towards an up-to-date philosophy of the natural sciences. *Methodos* 1: 178–85.
- Bickerton, D. (1990). *Language and Species*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bickle, J. (1992). Mental anomaly and the new mind–brain reductionism. *Philosophy of Science* 59/2: 217–30.
- (1996). New wave reductionism and the methodological caveats. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 56/1: 57–78.
- (1998). *Psychoneural Reduction: The New Wave*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bird, A. (2007). *Nature's Metaphysics: Dispositions, Laws, and Properties*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Birkhoff, G. and Bennett, M. (1988). Felix Klein and his 'Erlanger Programme'. *History and Philosophy of Modern Mathematics, Minnesota Studies in Philosophy of Science, Volume XI*, pp. 145–76. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Bishop, M. (2003). The pessimistic induction, the flight to reference and the metaphysical zoo. *International Studies in Philosophy of Science* 17: 161–78.

- Bitbol, M. (forthcoming). Structuralism and the a priori (2): Kant's ungrounded relations and quantum non-supervenient relations.
- Black, M. (1952). The identity of indiscernibles. *Mind* 61: 153–64.
- Blackburn, S. (2002). Realism: Deconstructing the debate. *Ratio* 15: 111–33.
- Block, N. (1997). Anti-reductionism slaps back. *Mind, Causation, World: Philosophical Perspectives* 11: 107–33.
- Bontly, T. (2001). The supervenience argument generalizes. *Philosophical Studies* 109: 75–96.
- Bookstein, F. (1983). Comment on a 'nonequilibrium' approach to evolution. *Systematic Zoology* 32: 291–300.
- Born, M. (1953). Physical reality. *Philosophical Quarterly* 3: 139–49.
- Bourne, C. (2004). Becoming inflated. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 55: 107–19.
- Boyd, R. N. (1984). The current status of scientific realism. In J. Leplin (ed.), *Scientific Realism*, 41–82. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1985). *Lex orandi est lex credendi*. In P. M. Churchland and C. A. Hooker (eds.), *Images of Science*, pp. 3–34. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Braithwaite, R. B. (1940). Critical notice: *The Philosophy of Physical Science*. *Mind* 49: 455–66.
- (1953). *Scientific Explanation: A Study of the Function of Theory, Probability and Law in Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brighouse, C. (1994). Spacetime and holes. In D. Hull, M. Forbes, and A. Fine (eds.), *PSA 1994, volume 1*, pp. 117–25. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- Brillouin, L. (1956). *Science and Information Theory*. 2nd edn., 1962. New York: Academic Press.
- Brittan, G. G. (1970). Explanation and reduction. *Journal of Philosophy* 67/13: 336–457.
- Broad, C. D. (1925). *The Mind and its Place in Nature*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Brooks, D. R. and Wiley, E. O. (1985). Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and evolution: Responses to Bookstein and Wicken. *Systematic Zoology* 34: 89–97.
- Brooks, D. R. and Wiley, E. O. (1986). *Evolution as Entropy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Brown, H. (1993). Correspondence, invariance and heuristics in the emergence of Special Relativity. In S. French and H. Kaminga (eds.), *Correspondence, Invariance and Heuristics: Essays in Honour of Heinz Post, Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 148*, pp. 227–60. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (2005). *Physical Relativity: Space-Time Structure from a Dynamical Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brown, H. and Pooley, O. (forthcoming). Minkowski space-time: a glorious non-entity. In Petkov (ed.), *The Ontology of Spacetime*.
- Brown, H. and Wallace, D. (forthcoming). Solving the measurement problem: de Broglie-Bohm loses out to Everett. *Foundations of Physics*
- Brown, H., Elby, A., and Weingard, R. (1996). Cause and effect in the pilot-wave interpretation of quantum mechanics. In J. T. Cushing et al. (eds.), *Bohmian Mechanics and Quantum Theory: An Appraisal*, pp. 309–19. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Brown, J. (1999). *Philosophy of Mathematics*. London: Routledge.

- Bub, J. (2004). Why the quantum? *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 241–66.
- Bueno, O. (1997). Empirical adequacy: A partial structures approach. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Science* 28: 585–610.
- Bueno, O., French, S., and Ladyman, J. (2002). On representing the relationship between the mathematical and the empirical. *Philosophy of Science* 69: 452–73.
- Busch, J. (2003). What structures could not be. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science* 17: 211–25.
- Butterfield, J. (1989). The hole truth. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 40: 1–28.
- (1992). Review of B. C. van Fraassen, *Quantum Mechanics: An Empiricist View*. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 24: 443–76.
- (2002). Critical notice: Julian Barbour's *The End of Time*. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 53: 289–330.
- (2006). The Rotating Discs Argument Defeated. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 1–45.
- Byrne, R. W. and Whiten, A. (1988). *Machiavellian Intelligence: Social Expertise and the Evolution of Intellect in Monkeys, Apes and Humans*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1997). Machiavellian intelligence. In A. Whiten and R. W. Byrne, *Machiavellian Intelligence II: Extensions and Evaluations*, pp. 1–23. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Callender, C. and Huggett, N. (eds.) (2001). *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale: Contemporary Theories in Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Camerer, C. (2003). *Behavioral Game Theory*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Cao, T. (1997). *Conceptual Development of 20th Century Field Theories*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2003). Structural realism and the interpretation of quantum field theory. *Synthese* 136: 3–24.
- and Schweber, S. (1993). The conceptual foundations and the philosophical aspects of renormalization theory. *Synthese* 97: 33–108.
- Carnap, R. (1928). *The Logical Structure of the World*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1936–7). Testability and meaning. *Philosophy of Science* 3: 419–71.
- (1939). *Foundations of Logic and Mathematics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- (1952). *The Continuum of Inductive Methods*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Carroll, L. (1895). What the tortoise said to Achilles. *Mind* 4: 278–80.
- Cartwright, N. (1980). Do the laws of physics state the facts? *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 61: 75–84.
- (1983). *How the Laws of Physics Lie*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1989). *Nature's Capacities and their Measurement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1992). Aristotelian natures and the modern experimental method. In J. Earman (ed.), *Inference, Explanation and Other Frustrations in the Philosophy of Science*, pp. 44–71. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- (1999). *The Dappled World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2002). Reply. In Book Symposium on *The Dappled World*. *Philosophical Books* 43: 271–8.
- Cassirer, E. (1936 [1956]). *Determinism and Indeterminism in Modern Physics*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- (1944). Group concept and perception theory. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 5: 1–35.
- Castellani, E. (1998). Galilean particles: An example of constitution of objects. In E. Castellani (ed.), *Interpreting Bodies: Classical and Quantum Objects in Modern Physics*, pp. 181–94. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- (2002). Reductionism, emergence and effective field theories. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 33: 251–67.
- (ed.) (1998). *Interpreting Bodies: Classical and Quantum Objects in Modern Physics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Caves, C. (1990). Entropy and information: How much information is needed to assign a probability? In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 91–115. Boulder: Westview.
- Chaitin, G. (1966). On the length of programs for computing finite binary sequences. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery* 13: 547–69.
- (1987). *Algorithmic Information Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chakravartty A. (1998). Semirealism. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Science* 29: 391–408.
- Chiara, D., Krause, D., and Giuntini, R. (1998). Quasiset theories for microobjects: a comparison. In E. Castellani (ed.), *Interpreting Bodies: Classical and Quantum Objects in Modern Physics*, pp. 142–52. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Clark, A. (1997). *Being There*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (2004). *Natural Born Cyborgs*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cleland, C. (1984). Space: An abstract system of non-supervenient relations. *Philosophical Studies* 46: 19–40.
- Clifton, R. and Halvorson, H. (2002). No place for particles in relativistic quantum theories? *Philosophy of Science* 69: 1–28.
- Clifton, R., Bub, J., and Halvorson, H. (2003). Characterising quantum theory in terms of information-theoretic constraints. *Foundations of Physics* 33: 1561–91.
- Coffa, A. (1993). *The Semantic Tradition from Kant to Carnap: To the Vienna Station*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Collier, J. (1986). Entropy in evolution. *Biology and Philosophy* 1: 5–24.
- (1988). Supervenience and reduction in biological hierarchies. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* Supplementary Volume 14: 209–34.
- (1990). Two faces of Maxwell's demon reveal the nature of irreversibility. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Science* 21: 257–68.
- (1996). Information originates in symmetry breaking. *Science and Culture* 7: 247–56.
- (1999). Causation is the transfer of information. In H. Sankey (ed.), *Causation, Natural Laws and Explanation*, pp. 279–331. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (2000). Autonomy and process closure as the basis for functionality. In J. L. R. Chandler and G. de Vijver (eds.), *Closure: Emergent Organizations and their Dynamics*, pp. 280–91. The Annals of the New York Academy of Science vol. 901.

- Collier, J. (2001). Dealing with the unexpected. *International Journal of Computing Anticipatory Systems* 10: 21–30.
- (2003). Hierarchical dynamical information systems with a focus on biology. *Entropy* 5: 100–24.
- (2004). Self-organization, individuation and identity. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie* 59: 151–72.
- and Burch, M. (1998). Order from rhythmic entrainment and the origin of levels through dissipation. *Symmetry: Culture and Science* 9: 2–4.
- and Hooker, C. (1999). Complexly organized dynamical systems. *Open System and Information Dynamics* 6: 241–302.
- and Muller, S. (1998). The dynamical basis of emergence in natural hierarchies. In G. Farre and T. Oksala (eds.), *Emergence, Complexity, Hierarchy and Organization, Selected and Edited Papers from the ECHO III Conference, Acta Polytechnica Scandinavica, MA91*. Espoo: Finish Academy of Technology.
- Connes, A. (1994). *Non-commutative Geometry*. New York: Academic Press.
- Cosmides, L. (1989). The logic of social exchange: Has natural selection shaped how humans reason? Studies with the Wason selection task. *Cognitive Psychology* 31: 187–276.
- Couvalis, S. G. (1997). *The Philosophy of Science: Science and Objectivity*. London: Sage.
- Crane, T. (1995). Mental causation. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society Supplementary* vol. 69: 211–36.
- Creath, R. (ed.) (1991). *Dear Carnap, Dear Van: The Quine–Carnap Correspondence and Related Work*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Crook, S. and Gillett, C. (2001). Why physics alone cannot define the ‘physical’: materialism, metaphysics, and the formulation of physicalism. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 31: 333–60.
- Cruse, P. (2005). Ramsey sentences, structural realism and trivial realization. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 36: 557–76.
- Curiel, E. (2001). Against the excesses of quantum gravity: A plea for modesty. *Philosophy of Science supplementary* vol. 68: 424–41.
- Cushing, J. and McMullin, E. (eds.) (1987). *Philosophical Consequences of Quantum Theory: Reflections on Bell’s Theorem*. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Cussins, A. (1990). The Connectionist Construction of Concepts. In M. Boden (ed.), *The Philosophy of Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 368–440. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- da Costa, N. C. A. and French, S. (2003). *Science and Partial Truth: A Unitary Approach to Models and Scientific Reasoning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dahlbom, B. (ed.) (1993). *Dennett and his Critics*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Darrigol, O. (1992). *From c-Numbers to q-Numbers: The Classical Analogy in the History of Quantum Theory*, California Studies in the History of Science. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Davidson, D. (1970 [1980]). Mental events. In L. Foster and J. W. Swanson (eds.), *Experience and Theory*, pp. 79–101. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press. Reprinted in N. Block, *Readings in Philosophy of Psychology Volume 1*, pp. 107–19. London: Methuen. 1980.
- Davies, P. (1990). Why is the physical world so comprehensible? In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 61–70. Boulder: Westview.

- Dawid, R. (forthcoming). Scientific realism in the age of string theory. <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00001240>
- Demopoulos, W. (forthcoming a). Carnap's philosophy of science. In R. Creath and M. Friedman (eds.), *The Cambridge Companion to Carnap*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Demopoulos, W. (forthcoming b). Review of Hallvard Lillehammer and Hugh Mellor (eds.), *Ramsey's Legacy*, *Notre Dame Philosophy Reviews*.
- Demopoulos, W. and Friedman, M. (1985 [1989]). Critical notice: Bertrand Russell's *The Analysis of Matter*: Its historical context and contemporary interest. *Philosophy of Science* 52: 621–639. Reprinted in C. W. Savage and C. A. Anderson (eds.) (1989), *Rereading Russell: Essays on Bertrand Russell's Metaphysics and Epistemology*. Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume XII. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Dennett, D. (1971). Intentional systems. *Journal of Philosophy* 68: 87–106.
- (1991a). Real patterns. *Journal of Philosophy* 88: 27–51.
- (1991b). *Consciousness Explained*. Boston: Little Brown.
- (1993). Back from the drawing board. In B. Dahlbom (ed.), *Dennett and his Critics*, pp. 203–35. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (2000). With a little help from my friends. In D. Ross, A. Brook and D. Thompson (eds.), *Dennett's Philosophy: A Comprehensive Assessment*, pp. 327–88. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (2005). *Sweet Dreams*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (2006). *Breaking the Spell*. New York: Viking.
- DePaul, M and Ramsey, W. (1998). *Rethinking Intuition: The Psychology of Intuition and its Role in Philosophical Inquiry*. Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Deutsch, D. (1997). *The Fabric of Reality*. London: Penguin.
- (1999). Quantum theory of probability and decisions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* 455: 3129–37.
- (2004). It from qubit. In J. Barrow, P. Davies, and C. Harper (eds.), *Science and Ultimate Reality*, pp. 90–102. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dipert, R. R. (1997). The mathematical structure of the world: The world as graph. *Journal of Philosophy* 94: 329–58.
- Dirac, P. (1930). *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- DiSalle, R. (1994). On dynamics, indiscernibility, and spacetime ontology. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 45: 265–87.
- Domski, M. (1999). The epistemological foundations of structural realism: Poincaré and the structure of relations. Paper presented to the research workshop at the University of Leeds.
- Dorato, M. (1999). Cao on substantivalism and the development of 20th century field theories. *Epistemologia* 22: 151–66.
- (2000). Substantivalism, relationism and structural spacetime realism. *Foundations of Physics* 30/10: 1605–28.
- Dowe, P. (1992). Wesley Salmon's process theory of causality and the conserved quantity theory. *Philosophy of Science* 59/2: 195–216.
- Dowell, J. (2006). The Physical: Empirical, Not Metaphysical. *Philosophical Studies* 131: 25–60.

- Dowker, F. (2003). Real time. *New Scientist* 180: 36–9.
- Dretske, F. (1981). *Knowledge and the Flow of Information*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dunbar, R. I. M. (1992). Neocortex size as a constraint on group size in primates. *Journal of Human Evolution* 20: 469–93.
- Dupré, J. (1993). *The Disorder of Things*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- (1999). On the impossibility of a monistic account of species. In R. Wilson (ed.), *Species*, pp. 3–22. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (2001). *Human Nature and the Limits of Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2004). Review of Laporte, *Natural Kinds and Conceptual Change*. *Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews*. <http://ndpr.nd.edu/review.cfm?id=1439>
- Durlauf, S. (1997). Statistical mechanics approaches to socioeconomic behavior. In W. Arthur, S. Durlauf and D. Lane (eds.), *The Economy as an Evolving Complex System II*, pp. 81–104. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Duwell, A. (2003). Quantum information does not exist. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 479–99.
- Earman, J. and Norton, J. (1987). What price space-time substantivalism? The hole story. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 38: 515–25.
- Earman, J. and Roberts, J. (1999). *Ceteris paribus*, there is no problem of provisos. *Synthese* 118: 439–78.
- Eddington, A. (1928). *The Nature of the Physical World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1939). *The Philosophy of Physical Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Elder, C. (2004). *Real Natures and Familiar Objects*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ellis, B. (1985). What science aims to do. In P. M. Churchland and C. A. Hooker (eds.), *Images of Science*, pp. 48–74. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Elster, J. (1989). *Nuts and Bolts for the Social Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- English, J. (1973). Underdetermination: Craig and Ramsey. *The Journal of Philosophy* 70: 453–62.
- Esfeld, M. (2004). Quantum entanglement and a metaphysics of relations. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 601–17.
- Esfeld, M. and Lam, V. (forthcoming). Moderate structural realism about space-time. *Synthese*
- Feigl, H. (1950). Existential hypotheses: Realistic versus phenomenalist interpretations. *Philosophy of Science* 17: 35–62.
- Feyerabend, P. (1962). Explanation, reduction and empiricism. In H. Feigl and G. Maxwell (eds.), *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 3*, pp. 29–97. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Field, H. (forthcoming). Causation in a physical world. In M. Loux and D. Zimmerman (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Metaphysics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fine, A. (1984a). The natural ontological attitude. In J. Leplin (ed.), *Scientific Realism*, pp. 83–107. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1984b). And not anti-realism either. *Noûs* 18: 51–65.
- (1986). *The Shaky Game*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- (1987). Do correlations need to be explained? In J. Cushing and E. McMullin (eds.), *Philosophical Consequences of Quantum Theory: Reflections on Bell's Theorem*, pp. 175–94. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Floridi, L. (2003). Informational realism. *Computers and Philosophy* 37: 7–12.
- Fodor, J. (1974). Special sciences, or the disunity of science as a working hypothesis. *Synthese* 28: 77–115.
- (1991). You can fool some of the people all the time, everything else being equal: Hedged laws and psychological explanation. *Mind* 100: 19–34.
- (2004). Water's water everywhere. *London Review of Books* 26/20, 21 October.
- Forrest, P. (1994). Why most of us should be scientific realists: A reply to van Fraassen. *Monist* 77: 47–70.
- Forrest, S. (ed.) (1991). *Emergent Computation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- French, S. (1989). Identity and individuality in classical and quantum physics. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 67: 432–46.
- (1995). The esperable uberty of quantum chromodynamics. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 26: 87–105.
- (1997). Partiality, pursuit and practice. In M. L. Dalla Chiara, K. Doets, D. Mundici and J. van Benthem (eds.), *Structures and Norms in Science: Proceedings of the 10th International Congress on Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, pp. 35–52. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- (1998). On the withering away of physical objects. In E. Castellani (ed.), *Interpreting Bodies: Classical and Quantum Objects in Modern Physics*, pp. 93–113. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- (1999). Models and mathematics in physics: The role of group theory. In J. Butterfield and C. Pagonis (eds.), *From Physics to Philosophy*, pp. 187–207. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2000). The reasonable effectiveness of mathematics: Partial structures and the application of group theory to physics. *Synthese* 125: 103–20.
- and Kaminga, H. (eds.) (1993). *Correspondence, Invariance and Heuristics: Essays in Honour of Heinz Post, Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 148*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- and Krause, D. (2006). *Identity in Physics: A Historical, Philosophical and Formal Analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- and Ladyman, J. (1997). Superconductivity and structures: Revisiting the London account. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 28: 363–93.
- (1998). A semantic perspective on idealism in quantum mechanics. In N. Shanks (ed.), *Idealization IX: Idealization in Contemporary Physics: Poznan Studies in the Philosophy of the Sciences and the Humanities*, pp. 51–73. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- (1999). Reinflating the semantic approach. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science* 13: 103–21.
- (2003a). Remodelling structural realism: Quantum physics and the metaphysics of structure. *Synthese* 136: 31–56.
- (2003b). Between platonism and phenomenism: Reply to Cao. *Synthese* 136: 73–8.
- and Redhead, M. (1988). Quantum physics and the identity of indiscernibles. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 39: 233–46.

- Frieden, B. (1998). *Physics from Fisher Information*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, Milton (1953). *Essays in Positive Economics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Friedman, Michael (1974). Explanation and scientific understanding. *Journal of Philosophy* 71: 5–19.
- (1981). Theoretical explanation. In R. Healey (ed.), *Reduction, Time and Reality: Studies in the Philosophy of Natural Sciences*, pp. 1–16. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1983). *Foundations of Spacetime Theories: Relativistic Physics and Philosophy of Science*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- (1992). *Kant and the Exact Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- (1999a). *Reconsidering Logical Positivism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1999b). *Dynamics of Reason*. Stanford: CSLI.
- (2000). *A Parting of the Ways*. Chicago: Open Court.
- Fuchs, C. and Peres, A. (2000). Quantum theory needs no interpretation. *Physics Today* 3: 70–1.
- Garcia, J. and Koelling, R. A. (1966 [1972]). Relation of cue to consequence in avoidance learning. *Psychosomatic Science* 4: 123–4. Reprinted in Seligman and Hager (1972, 10–14).
- Gasper, P. (1992). Reduction and instrumentalism in genetics. *Philosophy of Science* 59: 655–70.
- Geach, P. (1972). *Logic Matters*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Gell-Mann, M. and Hartle, J. (1990). Quantum mechanics in the light of quantum cosmology. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 425–58. Boulder: Westview.
- Gelman, R., Durgin, F., and Kaufman, L. (1995). Distinguishing between animates and inanimates: Not by motion alone. In D. Sperber, D. Premack, and A. James Premack (eds.), *Causal Cognition*, pp. 150–84. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ghemawat, P. (1997). *Games Businesses Play*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A., and Weber, T. (1986). Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physics Review D* 34: 470–9.
- Giere, R. (1985). Constructive realism. In P. M. Churchland and C. A. Hooker (eds.), *Images of Science*, pp. 75–98. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Giere, R. (1988a). Laws, theories and generalizations. In A. Grünbaum and W. Salmon (eds.), *The Limits of Deductivism*, pp. 37–46. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1988b). *Explaining Science*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Glimcher, P. (2003). *Decisions, Uncertainty and the Brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Glymour, C. (1999). A Mind is a Terrible Thing to Waste. Critical Notice: Jaegwon Kim, *Mind in a Physical World*. *Philosophy of Science* 66: 455–71.
- Gödel, K. (1949). A remark about the relationship between Relativity Theory and idealistic philosophy. In A. Schilpp (ed.), *Albert Einstein, Philosopher-Scientist*, pp. 557–62. La Salle: Open Court.
- Godfrey-Smith, P. (2001). Three kinds of adaptationism. In S. Orzack and E. Sober (eds.), *Adaptationism and Optimality*, pp. 335–57. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Gold, T. (1962). The arrow of time. *American Journal of Physics* 30: 403–10.
- Goodman, N. (1955). *Fact, Fiction and Forecast*. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill.
- (1978). *Ways of Worldmaking*. Indianapolis: Hackett.
- Gopnik, A., Glymour, C., Sobel, D. M., Schulz, L. E., Kushnir, T., and Danks, D. (2004). A theory of causal learning in children: Causal maps and Bayes nets. *Psychological Review* 111/1: 1–31.
- Gould, S. J. (1999). *Rocks of Ages*. New York: Ballantine.
- Gower, B. (2000). Cassirer, Schlick and 'structural' realism: The philosophy of the exact sciences in the background to early logical empiricism. *British Journal for the History of Philosophy* 8: 71–106.
- Greaves, H. (2004). Understanding Deutsch's probability in a deterministic multiverse. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 423–56.
- Greenberg, O. W. and Messiah, A. M. L. (1964). Symmetrization postulate and its experimental foundation. *Physical Review* 136B: 248–67.
- Greene, B. (1999). *The Elegant Universe*. London: Jonathan Cape.
- (2004). *The Fabric of the Cosmos: Space, Time and the Texture of Reality*. London: Allen Lane.
- Guhl, A. M. (1956). The social order of chickens. *Scientific American* 194: 42–6.
- Hackermüller, L., Hornberger, K., Brezger, B., Zeilinger, A., and Arndt, M. (2004). Decoherence of matter waves by thermal emission of radiation. *Nature* 427: 711–14.
- Hacking, I. (1985). Do we see through a microscope? In P. M. Churchland and C. A. Hooker (eds.), *Images of Science*, pp. 132–52. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Hagar, A. (2005). A philosopher looks at quantum information theory. *Philosophy of Science* 70: 752–75.
- Hale, S. C. (1990). Elementarity and anti-matter in contemporary physics: Comments on Michael Resnik's 'Between mathematics and physics'. *PSA 1990, Vol. 2*, pp. 379–83. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- Halvorson, H. (2004). On information-theoretic characterizations of physical theories. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 277–93.
- Hanna, J. (2004). Contra Ladyman: What really is right with constructive empiricism. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 55: 767–77.
- Hardin, C. L. and Rosenberg, A. (1982). In defence of convergent realism. *Philosophy of Science* 49: 604–15.
- Hardy, L. (2003). Probability theories in general and quantum theory in particular. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 381–93.
- (2005). Why is nature described by quantum theory. In J. Barrow, P. Davies, and C. Harper (eds.), *Science and Ultimate Reality*, pp. 45–71. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Harris, R. (2005). *The Semantics of Science*. London: Continuum.
- Harte, V. (2002). *Plato on Parts and Wholes: The Metaphysics of Structure*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hartle, J. (2004). General Relativity and quantum cosmology. <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0403001>
- Hartmann, S. (2001). Effective field theories, reductionism and scientific explanation. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 32: 267–304.

- Haugeland, J. (1993). Pattern and being. In B. Dahlbom (ed.), *Dennett and his Critics*, pp. 53–69. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hausman, D. (1998). *Causal Asymmetries*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hayes, P. (1979). The naïve physics manifesto. In D. Michie (ed.), *Expert Systems in the Micro-electronic Age*, pp. 242–70. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Heil, J. (2003). *From an Ontological Point of View*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heisenberg, W. (1962). *Physics and Philosophy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Hellman, G. (2001). Three varieties of mathematical structuralism. *Philosophia Mathematica* 3: 184–211.
- (2005). Structuralism. In S. Shapiro (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Mathematics and Logic*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hellman, G. P. and Thompson, F. W. (1975). Physicalism: Ontology, determination, and reduction. *Journal of Philosophy* 72: 551–64.
- Hempel, C. (1950). Problems and changes in the empiricist criterion of meaning. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie* 11: 41–63.
- (1963). Implications of Carnap's work for philosophy of science. In P. Schilpp (ed.), *The Philosophy of Rudolf Carnap*. LaSalle: Open Court.
- (1965). *Aspects of Scientific Explanation and Other Essays in the Philosophy of Science*. New York: Free Press.
- (1988). Provisos: A problem concerning the inferential function of scientific laws. In A. Grünbaum and W. Salmon (eds.), *The Limits of Deductivism*, pp. 19–36. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Hempel, C. and Oppenheim, P. (1953). The logic of explanation. In H. Feigl and M. Brodbeck (eds.), *Readings in the Philosophy of Science*, pp. 319–52. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Henderson, L. (2003). The Von Neumann entropy: A reply to Shenker. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 54: 291–6.
- Hesse, M. (1966). *Models and Analogies in Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hochberg, H. (1994). Causal connections, universals and Russell's hypothetico-scientific realism. *Monist* 77: 71–92.
- Hoefer, C. (1996). The metaphysics of space-time substantivalism. *Journal of Philosophy* 93: 5–27.
- Hogarth, M. L. (1992). Does General Relativity allow an observer to view an eternity in a finite time? *Foundations of Physics Letters* 5: 173–81.
- (1994). Non-Turing computers and non-Turing computability. In D. Hull, M. Forbans and R. M. Burian (eds.), *PSA 1994*, pp. 126–38. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- (2001). *Predictability, computability, and spacetime*. PhD Dissertation, University of Cambridge.
- (2004). Deciding arithmetic using SAD computers. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 55/4: 681–91.
- Hoover, K. (2001). *Causality in Macroeconomics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hopf, F. (1988). Entropy and evolution: Sorting through the confusion. In B. Weber, D. Depew, and J. Smith (eds.), *Entropy, Information and Evolution*, pp. 263–74. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Horgan, T. (1982) Supervenience and Microphysics, *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly* 63: 29–43.
- Horgan, T. and Potrc, M. (2000). Blobjectivism and indirect correspondence. *Facta Philosophica* 2: 249–70.
- (2002). Addressing questions for blobjectivism. *Facta Philosophica* 4: 311–22.
- Howson, C. (2000). *Hume's Problem: Induction and the Justification of Belief*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Huggett, N. (1997). Identity, quantum mechanics and common sense. *The Monist* 80: 118–30.
- (1999). Atomic metaphysics. *Journal of Philosophy* 96: 5–24.
- Hull, D. (1976). Are species really individuals? *Systematic Zoology* 25: 174–91.
- Hume, D. (1975 [1777]). *Enquiries Concerning Human Understanding and Concerning the Principles of Morals*, (3rd edn.), ed. L. A. Selby-Bigge, rev. P. H. Nidditch. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1978 [1740]) *A Treatise of Human Nature*, ed. L. A. Selby-Bigge. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Humphreys, P. (2004). *Extending Ourselves*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hüttemann, A. (2004). *What's Wrong with Microphysicalism?* London: Routledge.
- and Papineau, D. (2005) Physicalism decomposed. *Analysis*, 65: 33–9.
- Jackson, F. (1986) What Mary didn't know. *Journal of Philosophy* 83: 291–5.
- (1998). *From Metaphysics to Ethics: A Defence of Conceptual Analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jauch, J. M. (1968). *Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Jaynes, E. (1957a). Information theory in statistical mechanics I. *Physical Review* 106: 620–30.
- (1957b). Information theory in statistical mechanics II. *Physical Review* 108: 171–90.
- Johnson, S. (2001). *Emergence*. London: Penguin.
- Jones, R. (1991). Realism about what? *Philosophy of Science* 58: 185–202.
- Jozsa, R. (1998). Quantum information and its properties. In H.-K. Lo, S. Popescu, and T. Spiller (eds.), *Introduction to Quantum Computation and Information*, pp. 49–75. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Kant, I. (1933) *Critique of Pure Reason*. Trans. N. Kemp Smith. London: Macmillan.
- Kantorovich, A. (2003). The priority of internal symmetries in particle physics. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 651–75.
- Kauffman, S. (1995). *At Home in the Universe*. London: Penguin.
- Kelso, S. (1995). *Dynamic Patterns*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kemeny, J. C. and Oppenheim, P. (1956). On reduction. *Philosophical Studies* 7: 6–19.
- Keränen, J. (2001). The identity problem for realist structuralism. *Philosophia Mathematica* 3: 308–30.
- Ketland, J. (2004). Empirical adequacy and ramsification. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 55: 409–24.
- Kim, J. (1993). *Mind and Supervenience*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1996). *Philosophy of Mind*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- (1998). *Mind in a Physical World*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1999). Making sense of emergence. *Philosophical Studies* 95: 3–36.

- Kim, J. (2005). *Physicalism or Something near Enough*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kincaid, H. (1990). Defending laws in the social sciences. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* 20: 56–83.
- (1996). *Philosophical Foundations of the Social Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1997). *Individualism and the Unity of Science*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- (2004). There are laws in the social sciences. In C. Hitchcock (ed.), *Contemporary Debates in Philosophy of Science*, pp. 168–85. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Kitcher, P. (1976). Explanation, conjunction and unification. *Journal of Philosophy* 73: 207–12.
- (1981). Explanatory unification. *Philosophy of Science* 48: 507–31.
- (1982). *Abusing Science*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1984). 1953 and all that: A tale of two sciences. *Philosophical Review* 93: 335–73.
- (1989). Explanatory unification and the causal structure of the world. In P. Kitcher and W. Salmon (eds.), *Scientific Explanation*, pp. 410–505. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- (1993). *The Advancement of Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Krause, D. (1992). On a quasi-set theory. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 33: 402–11.
- Kripke, S. (1973). *Naming and Necessity*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Kuhn, T. (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Kukla, A. (1993). Laudan, Leplin, empirical equivalence, and underdetermination. *Analysis* 53: 1–7.
- (1994). Non-empirical theoretical virtues and the argument from underdetermination. *Erkenntnis* 41: 157–70.
- (1995). Scientific realism and theoretical unification. *Analysis* 55: 230–8.
- (1996a). Does every theory have empirically equivalent rivals? *Erkenntnis* 44: 137–66.
- (1996b). Scientific realism, scientific practice and the natural ontological attitude. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 45: 955–75.
- (1998). *Studies in Scientific Realism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kydland, F and Prescott, E. (1982). Time to build and aggregate fluctuations. *Econometrica* 50: 345–70.
- Ladyman, J. (1998). What is structural realism? *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 29: 409–24.
- (1999). Review of *A Novel Defense of Scientific Realism* by J. Leplin. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 50: 181–8.
- (2000). What's really wrong with constructive empiricism? Van Fraassen and the metaphysics of modality. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 51: 837–56.
- (2002a). Science, metaphysics and structural realism. *Philosophica* 67: 57–76.
- (2002b). *Understanding Philosophy of Science*. London: Routledge.

- (2004). Modality and constructive empiricism: A reply to van Fraassen. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 55: 755–65.
- (2005). Mathematical structuralism and the identity of indiscernibles. *Analysis* 65: 218–21.
- (forthcoming a). The Epistemology of Constructive Empiricism. In B. Monton (ed.), *Van Fraassen's Philosophy of Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (forthcoming b). On the Identity and Diversity of Individuals. In *The Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*.
- , Douven, I., Horsten, L., and van Fraassen, B. C. (1997). In defence of van Fraassen's critique of abductive reasoning: A reply to Psillos. *Philosophical Quarterly* 47: 305–21.
- , Presnell, S., Short, A., and Groisman, B. (2007). The relationship between logical and thermodynamic reversibility. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*.
- Lakoff, G. (1987). *Women, Fire and Dangerous Things*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- and Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Landauer, R. (1961). Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development* 5: 183–91.
- Lange, M. (1993). Natural laws and the problem of provisos. *Erkenntnis* 38: 233–48.
- (2002). Baseball, pessimistic inductions and the turnover fallacy. *Analysis* 62: 281–5.
- Langton, R. (1998). *Kantian Humility: Our Ignorance of Things in Themselves*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- and Lewis, D. (1998). Defining 'intrinsic'. In D. Lewis, *Papers in Metaphysics and Epistemology*, pp. 116–32. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Laporte, J. (2004). *Natural Kinds and Conceptual Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Laudan, L. (1981). A confutation of convergent realism. *Philosophy of Science* 48: 19–49.
- (1984). Discussion: Realism without the real. *Philosophy of Science* 51: 156–62.
- and Leplin, J. (1991). Empirical equivalence and underdetermination. *Journal of Philosophy* 88: 269–85.
- (1993). Determination underdetermined. *Analysis* 53: 8–15.
- Laurence, S. and Margolis, E. (2003). Concepts and conceptual analysis. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 67: 253–82.
- Layzer, D. (1982). Quantum mechanics, thermodynamics and the strong cosmological principle. In A. Shimony and H. Freshbach (eds.), *Physics as Natural Philosophy*, pp. 240–62. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1988). Growth of order in the universe. In B. Weber, D. Depew, and J. Smith (eds.), *Entropy, Information and Evolution*, pp. 23–39. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1990). *Cosmogenesis: The Growth of Order in the Universe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leggett, A. (1995). Time's arrow and the quantum measurement problem. In S. Savitt (ed.), *Time's Arrow Today: Recent Physical and Philosophical Work on the Direction of Time*, pp. 97–106. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Leitgeb, H. and Ladyman, J. (forthcoming). Criteria of identity and structuralist ontology. *Philosophica Mathematica*.
- Leplin, J. (1997). *A Novel Defense of Scientific Realism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, D. (1970). How to define theoretical terms. *Journal of Philosophy* 67: 427–46.
- (1983). Extrinsic properties. *Philosophical Studies* 44: 197–200.
- (1986). *On the Plurality of Worlds*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1991). *Parts of Classes*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1999). *Papers in Metaphysics and Epistemology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2004). How many lives has Schrödinger's Cat. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 82: 3–22.
- (forthcoming). Ramseyan humility. In D. Braddon-Mitchell, R. Nola, and D. Lewis (eds.), *The Canberra Programme*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, P. (2001). Why the pessimistic induction is a fallacy. *Synthese* 129: 371–80.
- Lipton, P. (1991). *Inference to the Best Explanation*. London: Routledge.
- (2001). Is explanation a guide to inference? In G. Hon and S. Rackover (eds.), *Explanation: Theoretical Approaches*, pp. 93–120. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Litt, A., Eliasmith, C., Kroon, F., Weinstein, S., and Thagard, P. (forthcoming). Is the brain a quantum computer? *Cognitive Science*.
- Lockwood, M. (1989). *Mind, Brain and the Quantum*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Loewer, B. (2001). From physics to physicalism. In C. Gillett and B. Loewer (eds.), *Physicalism and its Discontents*, pp. 37–56. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Loux, M. and Zimmerman, D. (2003). *The Oxford Handbook of Metaphysics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lovtrup, S. (1983). Victims of ambition: Comments on the Wiley and Brooks approach to evolution. *Systematic Zoology* 32: 90–6.
- Lowe, E. J. (2002). *A Survey of Metaphysics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2003a). In defense of moderate-sized specimens of dry goods. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 67: 704–10.
- (2003b). Individuation. In Loux and Zimmerman (2003, 75–95).
- (2006). *The Four-Category Ontology: A Metaphysical Foundation for Natural Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lucas, J. and Hodgson, P. (1990). *Spacetime and Electromagnetism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lyons, T. (2006). Scientific realism and the *stratagema de divide et impera*. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 537–60.
- Lyre, H. (2004). Holism and structuralism in U(1) gauge theory. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 643–70.
- MacBride, F. (2004). Introduction. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 54: 1–15.
- (2005). Structuralism reconsidered. In S. Shapiro (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Logic and Mathematics*, pp. 563–89. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McDowell, J. (1994). *Mind and World*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- McMullin, E. (1984). The goals of natural science. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Association* 58: 37–64.
- (1985). Galilean idealization. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 16: 247–73.

- (1990). Comment: Duhem's middle way. *Synthese* 83: 421–30.
- McLaughlin, B. and Bennett, K. (2005). Supervenience. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/supervenience>
- McLeish, C. (2005). Scientific realism bit by bit: Part I. Kitcher on reference. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 36: 667–85.
- (2006). Scientific realism bit by bit: Part II. Disjunctive partial reference. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 37: 171–90.
- Maddy, P. (1990). *Realism in Mathematics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Magnus, P. D. and Callender, C. (2004). Realist ennui and the base rate fallacy. *Philosophy of Science* 71: 320–38.
- Mainzer, K. (1996). *Symmetries of Nature: A Handbook for Philosophy of Nature and Science*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Mäki, U. (1992). Friedman and realism. In W. Samuels and J. Biddle (eds.), *Research in the History of Economic Thought and Methodology, Volume 10*, pp. 171–95. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Malament, D. (1996). In defense of dogma: Why there cannot be a relativistic quantum mechanical theory of (localizable) particles. In R. Clifton (ed.), *Perspectives on Quantum Reality*, pp. 1–10. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (2006). Classical General Relativity. In J. Butterfield and J. Earman (eds.), *Handbook of the Philosophy of Physics*. North Holland: Elsevier.
- Margolis, E. and Laurence, S. (2003). Should we trust our intuitions? Deflationary accounts of the analytic data. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 103/3: 299–323.
- Markosian, N. (2000). What are Physical Objects? *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 61: 375–95.
- (2005). Against ontological fundamentalism. *Facta Philosophia* 7: 69–84.
- Marras, A. (2000). Critical notice of Kim's *Mind in a Physical World*. *Canadian Journal of Philosophy* 30: 137–60.
- (2002). Kim on reduction. *Erkenntnis*, 57: 231–57.
- (2005). Consciousness and reduction. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 56: 335–61.
- Maudlin, T. (1990). Substances and spacetimes: What Aristotle would have said to Einstein. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 21: 531–61.
- (1994). *Quantum Non-Locality and Relativity*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1998). Part and whole in quantum mechanics. In E. Castellani (ed.) (1998), 46–60.
- (2002a). *Quantum Non-Locality and Relativity*, 2nd edn. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (2002b). Remarks on the passing of time. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 102: 237–52.
- (2007). Non-local correlations in quantum theory: some ways the trick might be done. In Q. Smith and W. L. Craig (eds.), *Einstein, Relativity, and Absolute Simultaneity*. London: Routledge.
- Maxwell, G. (1962). The ontological status of theoretical entities. In H. Feigl and G. Maxwell (eds.), *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 3*, pp. 3–14. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- (1970a). Structural realism and the meaning of theoretical terms. In S. Winokur and M. Radner (eds.), *Analyses of Theories and Methods of Physics and Psychology*:

- Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 4*, pp. 181–92. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- (1970b). Theories, perception and structural realism. In R. Colodny (ed.), *The Nature and Function of Scientific Theories: University of Pittsburgh Series in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 4*, pp. 3–34. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- (1972). Scientific methodology and the causal theory of perception. In H. Feigl, H. Sellars, and K. Lehrer (eds.), *New Readings in Philosophical Analysis*, pp. 148–77. New York: Appleton-Century Crofts.
- Melia, J. (1999). Holes, haecceitism and two conceptions of determinism. *The British Journal of Philosophy of Science* 50: 639–64.
- and Saatsi, J. (2006). Ramsification and theoretical content. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 561–85.
- Melnyk, A. (2003). *A Physicalist Manifesto*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mermin, N. (1998). What is quantum mechanics trying to tell us? *American Journal of Physics* 66: 753–67.
- Merricks, T. (2001). *Objects and Persons*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2003a). Précis of *Objects and Persons*. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 67: 700–3.
- (2003b). Replies. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 67: 727–44.
- Meyering, T. (2000). Physicalism and downward causation in psychology and the special sciences. *Inquiry* 43: 181–202.
- Mill, J. S. (1974). *A System of Logic Ratiocinative and Deductive, Being a Connected View of the Principles of Evidence and the Methods of Scientific Investigation*, vol. 1, ed. J. M. Robson; vol. VII, J. M. Robson (general ed.), *The Collected Works of John Stuart Mill*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Møller, A. P. (1994). Directional selection on directional asymmetry: Testes size and secondary sexual characters in birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 258: 147–51.
- Montero, B. (2006). Physicalism in an Infinitely Decomposable World, *Erkenntnis* 64: 177–91.
- Monton, B. (forthcoming). Presentism and quantum gravity.
- Monton, B. and van Fraassen, B. C. (2003). Constructive empiricism and modal nominalism. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 54: 405–22.
- Morgan, M., Morrison, M., Skinner, Q., Tulley, J., Daston, L., and Ross, D. (1999). *Models as Mediators*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Morganti, M. (2004). On the preferability of epistemic structural realism. *Synthese* 142: 81–107.
- Morowitz, H. (1986). Entropy and nonsense: A review of Daniel R. Brooks and E. O. Wiley. *Evolution as Entropy, Biology and Philosophy* 1: 473–6.
- Morris, M. W., Nisbett, R. E., and Peng, K. (1995). Causal attribution across domains and cultures. In D. Sperber, D. Premack, and A. James Premack (eds.), *Causal Cognition*, pp. 577–612. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Muller, F. (2005). The deep black sea: Observability and modality afloat. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 56: 61–99.
- Mumford, S. (2004). *Laws in Nature*. *Routledge Studies in Twentieth-Century Philosophy*. London: Routledge.

- Musgrave, A. (1988). The ultimate argument for scientific realism. In R. Nola (ed.), *Relativism and Realism in Sciences*, pp. 229–52. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Myrvold, W. (2002). On peaceful coexistence: Is the collapse postulate incompatible with relativity? *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 33: 435–66.
- Nagel, E. (1949). The meaning of reduction in the natural sciences. In R. C. Stouffer (ed.), *Science and Civilization*, pp. 99–135. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- (1961). *The Structure of Science*. New York: Harcourt, Brace, and World.
- Nagel, J. (2000). The empiricist conception of experience. *Philosophy* 75: 345–76.
- Needham, P. (2002). The discovery that water is H₂O. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science* 16: 205–26.
- Newman, M. H. A. (1928). Mr Russell's causal theory of perception. *Mind* 37: 137–48.
- Ney, A. (forthcoming a). Physicalism as an attitude. *Philosophical Studies*.
- (forthcoming b). Physicalism and our knowledge of intrinsic properties. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*.
- Nickles, T. (1973). Two concepts of intertheoretic reduction. *The Journal of Philosophy* 70: 181–201.
- Nielsen, M. and Chuang, I. (2000). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nolan, D. (2004). Classes, worlds and hypergunk. *The Monist* 87: 3–21.
- Norton, J. (2003). Causation as folk science. PhilSci archive. www.philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00001214
- Nottale, L. (1993). *Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Oppenheim, P. and Putnam, H. (1958). Unity of science as a working hypothesis. In H. Feigl, M. Scriven, and G. Maxwell (eds.), *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume II*, pp. 3–36. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Orzack, S., and Sober, E. (eds.) (2001). *Adaptationism and Optimality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pais, A. (1991). *Neils Bohr's Times, in Physics, Philosophy, and Polity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Papineau, D. (1993). *Philosophical Naturalism*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1996). Theory-dependent terms. *Philosophy of Science* 63: 1–20.
- (2001). The rise of physicalism. In C. Gillett and B. Loewer, *Physicalism and its Discontents*, pp. 3–37. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- and Hüttemann, A. (2005). Physicalism decomposed. *Analysis* 65: 33–9.
- Parsons, C. (1990). The structuralist view of mathematical objects. *Synthese* 84: 303–46.
- Paul, L. A. (2004). The context of essence. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 82: 170–84.
- Peirce, C. S. (1960–6). *Collected Papers*, ed. C. Hartshorne and P. Weiss. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Pennock, R. (2000). *Tower of Babel: The Evidence against the New Creationism*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Penrose, R. (2001). On gravity's role in quantum state reduction. In C. Callender and N. Huggett (eds.), *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale*, pp. 290–304. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2004). *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*. London: Jonathan Cape.
- Peres, A. (1990). Thermodynamic constraints on quantum axioms. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 345–56. Boulder: Westview.

- Perry, J. (1970). The same 'F'. *Philosophical Review* 79: 181–200.
- Pettit, P. (1993). A definition of physicalism. *Analysis* 53: 213–23.
- Pierce, J. (1980). *An Introduction to Information Theory: Symbols, Signals and Noise*. 2nd, rev. edn. New York: Dover.
- Pietroski, P. and Rey, G. (1995). When other things aren't equal: Saving *ceteris paribus* laws from vacuity. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 46: 81–110.
- Poincaré, H. (1898). On the foundations of geometry. *The Monist* 9: 1–43.
- (1905 [1952]). *Science and Hypothesis*. New York: Dover.
- (1906 [1914] [1958]). *The Value of Science*. Trans. G. B. Halsted, 1914. Repr. 1958. New York: Dover.
- Poland, J. (1994). *Physicalism: The Philosophical Foundations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ponce, V. (2003). Rethinking Natural Kinds. Doctoral dissertation, Duke University.
- Pooley, O. (2006). Points, particles and structural realism. In D. Rickles, S. French and J. Saatsi (eds.) pp. 83–120.
- Post, H. R. (1971 [1993]). Correspondence, invariance and heuristics. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 2: 213–55. Reprinted in S. French and H. Kamminga (eds.), *Correspondence, Invariance and Heuristics: Essays in Honour of Heinz Post*, Boston *Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, vol. 148, pp. 1–44. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Press. 1993.
- Poundstone, W. (1985). *The Recursive Universe*. New York: Morrow.
- Prigogine, I. (1980). *From Being to Becoming: Time and Complexity in Physical Science*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Psillos, S. (1994). A philosophical study of the transition from the caloric theory of heat to thermodynamics: Resisting the pessimistic meta-induction. *Studies in the History and Philosophy of Science* 25: 159–90.
- (1995). Is structural realism the best of both worlds? *Dialectica* 49: 15–46.
- (1996). On van Fraassen's critique of abductive reasoning. *Philosophical Quarterly* 46: 31–47.
- (1997). How not to defend constructive empiricism: A rejoinder. *Philosophical Quarterly* 47: 369–72.
- (1999). *Scientific Realism: How Science Tracks Truth*. London: Routledge.
- (2000). Carnap, the Ramsey-sentence and realistic empiricism. *Erkenntnis* 52: 253–79.
- (2001). Is structural realism possible? *Philosophy of Science* 68 (supplementary volume): S13–S24.
- (forthcoming). The structure, the whole structure and nothing but the structure? In *Philosophy of Science: Supplementary Volume*.
- Putnam, H. (1962). What theories are not. In H. Putnam, *Mathematics, Matter and Method: Philosophical Papers Volume 1*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1967). Time and physical geometry. *Journal of Philosophy* 64: 240–7.
- (1975). *Mathematics, Matter and Method*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1975a). Philosophy and our mental life. In H. Putnam *Mind, Language, and Reality*, pp. 291–303. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- (1975b). *Mind, Language and Reality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- (1995). Pragmatism. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society*, 95: 291–306.
- Quine, W. V. (1951). Two dogmas of empiricism. *The Philosophical Review*, 60/1: 20–43.
- (1953 [1966]). Mr. Strawson on logical theory. *Mind*, 62/248: 433–51. Repr. in *The Ways of Paradox and Other Essays*. New York: Random House. 1966.
- (1953). *From a Logical Point of View*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- (1960). *Word and Object*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1969). *Ontological Relativity and Other Essays*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- (1974). *The Roots of Reference*. La Salle: Open Court.
- (1976 [1981]). Grades of discriminability. *Journal of Philosophy* 73: 113–16. Reprinted in *Theories and Things*, 1981, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- (1978). A postscript on metaphor. *Critical Inquiry* 5: 161–2.
- Ramsey, F. P. (1929). Theories. In R. B. Braithwaite (ed.), *The Foundations of Mathematics and Other Logical Essays*, pp. 212–36. Paterson, NJ: Littlefield and Adams.
- Rea, M. (2002). *World without Design: The Ontological Consequences of Naturalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reck, E. and Price, M. (2000). Structures and structuralism in contemporary philosophy of mathematics. *Synthese* 125: 341–87.
- Redhead, M. L. G. (1980). Models in physics. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 31: 145–63.
- (1987). *Incompleteness, Nonlocality and Realism: A Prolegomenon to the Philosophy of Quantum Mechanics*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- (1990). Explanation. In D. Knowles (ed.), *Explanation and its Limits*, pp. 135–54. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1995). *From Physics to Metaphysics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1999). Quantum field theory and the philosopher. In T. Cao (ed.), *Conceptual Foundations of Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2004). Asymptotic reasoning. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 35: 527–30.
- Reichenbach, H. (1956). *The Direction of Time*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1957). *The Philosophy of Space and Time*. New York: Dover.
- Resnik, M. (1997). *Mathematics as a Science of Patterns*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1990) Between mathematics and physics. *PSA 1990, Vol. 2*, pp. 369–78. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- Rickles, D. (2005). A new spin on the hole argument. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 36: 415–34.
- , French, S., and Saatsi, J. (eds.) (2006). *Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rickles, D., French, S., and Saatsi, J. (forthcoming). *The Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rorty, R. (1993). Holism, intrinsicity and the ambition of transcendence. In B. Dahlbom (ed.), *Dennett and His Critics*, pp. 184–202. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Rosen, G. (1984). What is constructive empiricism? *Philosophical Studies* 74: 143–78.
- Rosenberg, A. (1992). *Economics: Mathematical Politics or Science of Diminishing Returns?* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Rosenberg, A. (1994). *Instrumental Biology or the Disunity of Science*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ross, D. (2000). Rainforest realism: A Dennettian theory of existence. In D. Ross, A. Brook, and D. Thompson (eds.), *Dennett's Philosophy: A Comprehensive Assessment*, pp. 147–68. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (2004). Metalinguistic signalling for coordination amongst social animals. *Language Sciences* 26: 621–42.
- (2005). *Economic Theory and Cognitive Science: Microexplanation*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (forthcoming a). The economic and evolutionary basis of selves. *Journal of Cognitive Systems Research*.
- (forthcoming b). *H. sapiens* as ecologically special: what does language contribute? *Language Sciences*.
- and Spurrett, D. (2004). What to say to a skeptical metaphysician: A defense manual for cognitive and behavioral scientists. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 27/5: 603–27.
- Rovelli, C. (1997). Relational quantum mechanics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 35: 1637–78.
- (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rowlands, M. (1999). *The Body in Mind*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Russell, B. (1903). *The Principles of Mathematics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1913 [1917]). On the notion of cause. In B. Russell, *Mysticism and Logic*, pp. 173–99. London: Unwin.
- (1925). *ABC of Relativity*. London: Allen and Unwin.
- (1927). *The Analysis of Matter*. London: Routledge Kegan Paul.
- (1948). *Human Knowledge*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Ruttkamp, E. (2002). *A Model-Theoretic Realist Interpretation of Science*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Ryckman, T. (2005). *The Reign of Relativity: Philosophy in Physics 1915–1925*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Saatsi, J. (2005). Reconsidering the Fresnel-Maxwell theory shift: how the realist can have her cake and EAT it too. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* 36: 509–38.
- Salmon, W. (1984). *Scientific Explanation and the Causal Structure of the World*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- (1989). Four decades of scientific explanation. In P. Kitcher and W. C. Salmon (eds.), *Scientific Explanation: Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Volume 13*, pp. 3–219. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- (1990). Scientific explanation: Causation and unification. *Critica Revista Hispanoamericana de Filosofia* 22: 3–21.
- Saunders, S. (1993a). To what physics corresponds. In S. French and H. Kamminga (eds.), *Correspondence, Invariance and Heuristics: Essays in Honour of Heinz Post, Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, Vol 148*, pp. 295–325. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (1993b). Decoherence, relative states and evolutionary adaptation. *Foundations of Physics* 23: 1553–85.
- (1995). Time, decoherence and quantum mechanics. *Synthese* 102: 235–66.
- (2003a). Indiscernibles, general covariance, and other symmetries. In A. Ashtekar, D. Howard, J. Renn, S. Sarkar and A. Shimony, eds., *Revisiting the Foundations of Relativistic Physics: Festschrift in Honour of John Stachel*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

- (2003b). Physics and Leibniz's principles. In K. Brading and E. Castellani, eds., *Symmetries in Physics: Philosophical Reflections*, pp. 289–307. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2003c). Structural realism again. *Synthese* 136: 127–33.
- (2003d). Critical notice: Cao's 'The Conceptual Development of 20th Century Field Theories'. *Synthese* 136: 79–105.
- (2006). Are quantum particles objects? *Analysis* 66: 52–63.
- Savitt, S. (ed.) (1995). *Time's Arrow Today: Recent Physical and Philosophical Work on the Direction of Time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Scerri, E. R. and McIntyre, L. (1997). The case for the philosophy of chemistry. *Synthese* 111: 213–32.
- Schaffer, J. (2003). Is there a fundamental level? *Notus* 37: 498–517.
- Schrödinger, E. (1935–6). Discussion of probability relations between separated systems. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 31 (1935): 555–63; 32 (1936): 446–51.
- (1944). *What is Life?* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Seife, C. (2006). *Decoding the Universe*. New York: Viking.
- Sellars, W. (1962). Philosophy and the Scientific Image of Man. In R. Colodny (ed.), *Frontiers of Science and Philosophy*, pp. 35–78. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Shalizi, C. (2004). Functionalism, emergence, and collective coordinates: A statistical physics perspective on 'What to say to a sceptical metaphysician'. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 27: 635–6.
- and Moore, C. (2003). What is a macrostate? Subjective observations and objective dynamics. Philsci Archive, University of Pittsburgh. <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00001119/>
- Shannon, C. and Weaver, W. (1949). *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Shapiro, S. (1997). *Philosophy of Mathematics: Structure and Ontology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Shenker, O. (1999). Is $-k\text{Tr}(\{\rho\} \ln \{\rho\})$ the entropy in quantum mechanics? *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 50: 33–48.
- Shoemaker, S. (1980). Causality and properties. In P. van Inwagen (ed.), *Time and Cause*, pp. 109–36. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Sider, T. (1993). Van Inwagen and the possibility of gunk. *Analysis* 53: 285–9.
- (2001). *Four-Dimensionalism: An Ontology of Persistence and Time*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Skinner, B. F. (1971). *Beyond Freedom and Dignity*. New York: Knopf.
- Sklar, L. (1967) Types of inter-theoretic reduction. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 18/2: 109–24.
- (1974). *Space, Time and Spacetime*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- (1993). *Physics and Chance: Philosophical Issues in the Foundations of Statistical Mechanics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Smart, J. (1963). *Philosophy and Scientific Realism*. London: Routledge.
- (1989). *Our Place in the Universe: A Metaphysical Discussion*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

- Smolin, L. (1997). *The Life of the Cosmos*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2000). *Three Roads to Quantum Gravity*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- Smolin, L. (2006). The case for background independence. In D. Rickles, S. French, and J. Saatsi, *Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity*, pp. 196–239. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Sober, E. (2001). Venetian sea levels, British bread prices, and the principle of the common cause. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 52: 331–46.
- Sorkin, R. (1995). A specimen of theory construction from quantum gravity. In J. Leplin (ed.), *The Creation of Ideas in Physics*, pp. 167–79. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Sorrell, T. (1991). *Scientism*. London: Routledge.
- Spelke, E. S., Phillips, A., and Woodward, A. L. (1995). Infants' knowledge of object motion and human action. In D. Sperber, D. Premack, and A. James Premack (eds.), *Causal Cognition*, pp. 44–78. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Spurrett, D. and Papineau, D. (1999). A note on the completeness of 'physics'. *Analysis* 59/1: 25–9.
- Stachel, J. (2002). 'The relations between things' versus 'the things between relations': The deeper meaning of the hole argument. In D. Malament (ed.), *Reading Natural Philosophy: Essays in the History and Philosophy of Science and Mathematics*, 231–66. Chicago and LaSalle, IL: Open Court.
- (2006). Structure, individuality and quantum gravity. In D. Rickles, S. French, and J. Saatsi (eds.), *Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity*, pp. 53–82. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Stamp, P. (1995). Time, decoherence and 'reversible' measurements. In S. Savitt (ed.), *Time's Arrow Today: Recent Physical and Philosophical Work on the Direction of Time*, pp. 107–54. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Stanford, P. K. (2000). An antirealist explanation of the success of science. *Philosophy of Science* 67: 266–84.
- (2003). Pyrrhic victories for scientific realism. *Journal of Philosophy* 11: 551–72.
- Stapp, H. (1987). Quantum nonlocality and the description of nature. In J. Cushing and E. McMullin (eds.), *Philosophical Consequences of Quantum Theory: Reflections on Bell's Theorem*, pp. 154–74. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- Stein, H. (1968). On Einstein-Minkowski space-time. *Journal of Philosophy* 65: 5–23.
- (1989). Yes, but, ... Some skeptical remarks on realism and antirealism. *Dialectica* 43: 47–65.
- (1991). On relativity and openness of the future. *Philosophy of Science* 58: 147–67.
- Stent, G. (1971). An ode to objectivity: Does God play dice? *Atlantic*, November: 128.
- Strawson, P. (1959). *Individuals*. London: Methuen.
- Suppe, F. (1977). *The Structure of Scientific Theories*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- (1989). *Scientific Realism and Semantic Conceptions of Theories*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- (1961). A comparison of the meaning and use of models in mathematics and the empirical sciences. In P. Suppes, *Studies in the Methodology and Foundations of Science* pp. 10–23. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- (1962). Models of data. In E. Nagel, P. Suppes and A. Tarsky (eds.), *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, pp. 252–61. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- (1969). *Studies in the methodology and Foundations of Science*. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Swoyer, C. (1983). Realism and Explanation. *Philosophical Inquiry* 5: 14–28.
- Teller, P. (1989). Relativity, relational holism, and the Bell inequalities. In J. T. Cushing and E. McMullin (eds.), *Philosophical Consequences of Quantum Theory: Reflections on Bell's Theorem*, pp. 208–21. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press.
- (1990). Prolegomenon to a proper interpretation of quantum field theory. *Philosophy of Science* 57: 594–618.
- Thagard, P. (1992). *Conceptual Revolutions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Thornhill, R. and Gangestad, S. W. (1999). The scent of symmetry: A human sex pheromone that signals fitness? *Evolution and Human Behavior* 20: 175–201.
- Timpson, C. (2004). *Quantum Information Theory and the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Unpublished doctoral thesis.
- (2006). The grammar of teleportation. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 587–621.
- and Brown, H. (forthcoming). Relativity and entanglement. In R. Lupacchini and V. Fano (eds.), *Understanding Physical Knowledge* (Preprint no. 24, Department of Philosophy, University of Bologna, CLUEB, 2002).
- Tooley, M. (1997). *Time, Tense and Causation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1983). Extensional versus intuitive reasoning: The conjunction fallacy in probability judgement. *Psychological Review* 90: 293–315.
- Twardy, C. R. and Bingham, G. P. (2002). Causation, causal perception, and conservation laws. *Perception & Psychophysics* 64/6: 956–68.
- Tymoczko, T. (1991). Mathematics, science and ontology. *Synthese* 88: 201–28.
- Unger, P. (2001). Why there are no people. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy* 4: 177–222.
- Vaidman, L. (1994). On the paradoxical aspects of new quantum experiments. In D. Hull, M. Forbes, and R. Burian (eds.), *PSA 1994, volume 1*, pp. 211–17. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- Valentini, A. (2002). Signal locality and subquantum information in deterministic hidden variable theories. In J. Butterfield and T. Placek (eds.), *Non-locality and Modality*, vol. 64 of *Nato Science Series: II*, pp. 81–103. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- van Brakel, J. (1986). The chemistry of substances and the philosophy of mass terms. *Synthese* 69: 291–324.
- van der Waerden, B. L. (ed.) (1968). *Sources of Quantum Mechanics*. New York: Dover.
- van Dyck, M. (forthcoming). Constructive empiricism and the argument from underdetermination. In B. Monton (ed.), *Van Fraassen's Philosophy of Science, Mind Occasional Series*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- van Fraassen, B. C. (1977). The only necessity is verbal necessity. *Journal of Philosophy* 74: 71–85.
- (1978). Essence and existence. In N. Rescher (ed.), *Studies in Ontology*, pp. 1–25. Oxford: Blackwell.
- (1979). Russell's philosophical account of probability. In G. W. Roberts (ed.), *Bertrand Russell Memorial Volume*. London: George Allen and Unwin.
- (1980). *The Scientific Image*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- van Fraassen, B. C. (1981). Essences and the laws of nature. In R. Healey (ed.), *Reduction, Time and Reality: Studies in the Philosophy of the Natural Sciences*, pp. 189–200. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1985). Empiricism and the philosophy of science. In P. Churchland and C. Hooker (eds.), *Images of Science*, pp. 245–308. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- (1989). *Laws and Symmetry*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1991). *Quantum Mechanics: An Empiricist View*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (1994). Against transcendental empiricism. In T. J. Stapleton (ed.), *The Question of Hermeneutics*, pp. 309–35. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (1995). Against naturalised epistemology. In P. Leonardi and M. Santambrogio (eds.), *On Quine: New Essays*, pp. 68–88. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (1997). Sola experimentia? Feyerabend's refutation of classical empiricism. *Philosophy of Science* 64 Supplementary Volume: 385–95.
- (1997). Structure and perspective: Philosophical perplexity and paradox. In M. L. Dalla Chiara, K. Doets, D. Mundici, and J. van Benthem (eds.), *Logic and Scientific Methods*, pp. 511–30. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- (2002). *The Empirical Stance*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- (2006). Structure: Its shadow and substance. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 275–307.
- (2008). *Scientific Representation: Paradoxes of Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- van Inwagen, P. (1990). *Material Beings*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- von Foerster, H. (1960 [2003]). On self-organising systems and their environments. Reprinted in *Understanding Understanding*, pp. 1–19. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- (1981). *Observing Systems: Selected Papers of Heinz von Foerster*. Seaside, CA: Intersystems.
- Vorbeij, G. (2005). *Nature: An Economic History*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Votsis, I. (forthcoming). The upward path to structural realism. *Philosophy of Science* supplementary volume.
- Wald, R. M. (2004). *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Blackhole Thermodynamics*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Wallace, D. (2000). The quantization of gravity: An introduction. Unpublished manuscript. Available at <http://www.arXiv:gr-qc/0004005 v1>.
- (2001). Implications of quantum theory in the foundations of statistical mechanics. Unpublished manuscript. Available at <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu>
- (2002). Worlds in the Everett interpretation. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 33: 637–61.
- (2003a). Everett and structure. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 87–105.
- (2003b). Everettian rationality: Defending Deutsch's approach to probability in the Everett interpretation. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics* 34: 415–39.
- (2004). Protecting cognitive science from quantum theory. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 27: 636–7.

- (2006). In Defence of Naiveté: The Conceptual Status of Lagrangian Quantum Field Theory. *Synthese* 151: 33–80.
- (2006). Epistemology quantised: Circumstances in which we should come to believe in the Everett interpretation. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 57: 655–89.
- Walter, S. and Heckmann, H.-D. (eds.) (2003). *Physicalism and Mental Causation*. Bowling Green: Academic Imprint.
- Weatherson, B. (2003). What Good are Counterexamples? *Philosophical Studies* 115: 1–31.
- Weyl, H. (1931, 1950). *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (trans. H. P. Robertson). New York: Dover.
- Wheeler, J. A. (1990). Information, physics, quantum: the search for links. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 3–28. Boulder: Westview.
- Wheeler, Q. and Meier, R. (eds.) (2000). *Species Concepts and Phylogenetic Theory*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Whiten, A. and Byrne, R. W. (1997). *Machiavellian Intelligence II: Extensions and Evaluations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wicken, J. (1983). Entropy, information, and nonequilibrium evolution. *Systematic Zoology* 32: 438–43.
- (1988). Thermodynamics, evolution and emergence: ingredients for a new synthesis. In B. Weber, D. Depew, and J. Smith (eds.), *Entropy, Information and Evolution*, pp. 139–69. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wiley, E. O. (1981). *Phylogenetics: The Theory and Practice of Phylogenetic Systematics*. New York: Wiley.
- and Brooks, D. R. (1983). Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and evolution: A response to Lovtrup. *Systematic Zoology* 32: 209–19.
- Wilson, J. (2005). Supervenience-based formulations of physicalism. *Noûs* 39: 426–59.
- (2006). On characterizing the physical. *Philosophical Studies* 131: 61–99.
- Wilson, R. (ed.) (1999). *Species*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Wolpert, L. (1992). *The Unnatural Nature of Science*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Worrall, J. (1984). An unreal image: Review article of van Fraassen. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 35: 65–79.
- (1989). Structural realism: The best of both worlds? *Dialectica* 43: 99–124.
- (1994). How to remain (reasonably) optimistic: Scientific realism and the ‘luminiferous ether’. In D. Hull, M. Forbes, and R. M. Burian (eds.), *PSA 1994, Volume 1*, pp. 334–42. East Lansing: Philosophy of Science Association.
- (1996). Structural realism: The best of both worlds? In D. Papineau (ed.), *The Philosophy of Science*, pp. 139–65. Oxford: Oxford University Press (originally published in *Dialectica* 43 (1989): 99–124).
- Worrall, J. and Zahar, E. (2001). Ramsification and structural realism. Appendix in E. Zahar, *Poincaré’s Philosophy: From Conventionalism to Phenomenology*, pp. 236–51. Chicago, IL: Open Court Publishing Co.
- Yi, S. W. (2003). Reduction of thermodynamics. *Philosophy of Science* 70: 1028–38.
- Zahar, E. (1994). Poincaré’s structural realism and his logic of discovery. In G. Heinzmann et al. (eds.), *Henri Poincaré*, pp. 43–68. Nancy: Akten Des Internationale Kongresses.

- Zeh, H. (1990). Quantum measurements and entropy. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 405–20. Boulder: Westview.
- Zeilinger, A. (2004). Why the quantum? 'It' from 'bit'? A participatory universe? Three far-reaching challenges from John Archibald Wheeler and their relation to experiment. In J. Barrow, P. Davies, and C. Harper (eds.), *Science and Ultimate Reality: Quantum Theory, Cosmology and Computation*, pp. 201–20. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zurek, W. (1990b). Algorithmic information content, Church-Turing thesis, physical entropy, and Maxwell's demon. In W. Zurek (ed.), *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*, pp. 73–89. Boulder: Westview.
- (ed.) (1990a). *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information*. Boulder: Westview.