References

Note: All online sources were last visited on 25 August 2015 unless otherwise indicated below.

Articles/Theses/Papers

Abrams, Jamie R., Reframing the Socratic Method. 64 *Journal of Legal Education* (2014-2015) pp. 562-585.

Adams, Andrew et al., Use of open educational resources in higher education. 44 *British Journal of Educational Technology* (2014) pp. 149-150.

Appleby, Gabrielle et al., Critical Thinking in Legal Education: Our Journey. 23 (345) *Legal Education Review* (2013) pp. 345-377.

Asarta, Carlos. J. & Schimdt, James.R., The Choice of Reduced Seat Time in a Blended Course. 27 *Internet and Higher Education* (2015) pp. 24-31.

Battaglino, Tamara et al., 'The Costs of Online Learning' in *A Working Paper Series from the Thomas B. Fordham Institute* (2012). http://edex.s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/publication/pdfs/20120110-the-costs-of-online-learning_7.pdf.

Beck, Robert J., Teaching International Law as a Partially Online Course: The Hybrid/ Blended Approach to Pedagogy. 11 *International Studies. Perspectives* (2010) pp. 273-290.

Benjamin, Andres H et al., *The Role of Legal Education in Producing Psychological Distress Among Law Students and Lawyers*. 225 B. Found. Res. J. (1986) pp. 246-247.

Berger, Mitchell, Why the U.S. News and World Report Law School Rankings Are Both Useful and Important. 51 *Journal of Legal Education* (2001) pp. 487-502.

Binford, W. Warren H., New Ideas in Law and Legal Education: Envisioning a Twenty-First Century Legal Education. 43 *Washington University Journal of Law and Policy* (2013) pp. 157-186.

Blasi, Gary, What Lawyers Know: Lawyering Expertise, Cognitive Science, and the Functions of Theory. 45 *Journal of Legal Education* (September 1995) pp. 313-397.

Bloxham, Sefton Widening access and the use of ICT in legal education. 39 *The Law Teacher* (2005) pp. 93-105.

Boghossian, Peter, Socratic Pedagogy, Race, and Power: From People to Propositions, 10 *Education Policy Analysis Archives* (2002) pp. 1-9.

Boghossian, Peter, Socratic Pedagogy: Perplexity, Humiliation, Shame and a Broken Egg. 44 *Educational Philosophy and Theory* (2012) pp. 710-720.

The original version of the book backmatter was revised: Appendices have been moved to Chapter 7. The Erratum to the book backmatter is available at 10.1007/978-981-10-0479-7_8

Bone, Alison, The twenty-first century law student. 43 *The Law Teacher* (2009) pp. 222-245.

Bos, Nynke et al., The use of recorded lectures in education and the impact on lecture attendance and exam performance. *British Journal of Educational Technology* (2015) pp. 1-12.

Boyle, Robin A. & Dunn, Rita, Teaching law students through individual learning styles. 62 *Albany Law Review* (1998) pp. 213-255.

Brittain, Sarah et al., Podcasting Lectures. 3 *Educause Quarterly* (2006) pp. 24-31.

Broadbent, Graeme & Sellman, Pamela Great expectation? Law schools, websites and "the student experience". 47 *The Law Teacher* (2013) pp. 44-63.

CADQ -Centre for Academic Development and Quality (CADQ), Nottingham Trent University, *CADQ Guide - The Flipped classroom.* (June 2013). www.ntu.ac.uk/adq.

Caron, Paul L. & Gely, Rafael, Taking Back the Law School Classroom: Using Technology to Foster Active Student Learning. 54 *Journal of Legal Education* (2004) pp. 551-569.

Carrington, Paul D., The Pedagogy of the Old Case Method: A Tribute to 'Bull' Warren. 59 *Journal of Legal Education* (2009) pp. 457-466.

Catley, Paul, One Lecturer's Experience of Blending E-learning with Traditional Teaching. 1 (2) *Brookes eJournal of Learning and Teaching* (Jan 2005). http://bejlt.brookes.ac.uk/paper/one-lecturers-experience-of-blending-e-learning-with-traditional-teaching/.

Chickering, Arthur W. & Gamson, Zelda F., Seven Principles for Good Practice in Undergraduate Education. *Washington Center News* (1987). http://wwwtemp.lonestar.edu/multimedia/SevenPrinciples.pdf.

Cohen, Anat & Nachmias, Rafi, A quantitative cost effectiveness model for Web-supported academic instruction. 9 *Internet and Higher Education* (2006) pp. 81-90.

Cohen, Anat & Nachmias, Rafi, Implementing a cost effectiveness analyzer for Web-supported academic instruction: a campus wide analysis. 2 *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-learning* (2009) pp. 1-8.

Dahlstrom, Eden, *ECAR Study Undergraduate Students and Information Technology 2012 (Research Paper)*, Educause Center For Applied Research (September 2012). http://www.educause.edu.ecar.

Davis, Laurel E et al., Teaching Advanced Legal Research in a Flipped Classroom. 22 *Perspectives: Teaching Legal Research and Writing* (2013) pp. 13-19.

Deakin Learning Futures Teaching Development Team, *Using audio and video for educational purposes: Modules 1-4* (2014). http://www.deakin.edu.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/179013/Modules_1-4_Using_audio_and_video_for_educational_purposes-2014-02-28.pdf.

Dewey, Michelle Hook, The Upside-Down Classroom: How the flipped lecture model can be used in legal education. *Carolinas Legal Research and Writing Colloquium* (May 2013). http://lawteaching.org/conferences/2013/handouts/1c-Upside-DownClassroom.pdf.

Dey, Eric L. et al., Bringing the Classroom to the Web: Effects of Using New Technologies to Capture and Deliver Lectures. 50 *Research in Higher Education* (2009) pp. 377-393.

Diekema, Anne R. et al., Re-framing Information Literacy: Problem-Based Learning as Informed Learning. 33 *Library and Information Science Research* (2011), pp. 261-268.

Donahoe, Diana R., An Autobiography of a Digital Idea: From Waging War against Laptops to Engaging Students with Laptops. 54 *Journal of Legal Education* (2009) pp. 485-514.

Dunham, Catherine & Friedland, Steven I., Portable Learning for the 21st Century Law School: Designing a New Pedagogy for the Modern Global Context. 26 *The John Marshall Journal of Computer and Information Law* (2009) pp. 371-393.

Dziuban, Charles & Moskal, Patsy, A Course is a Course is a Course: Factor Invariance in Student Evaluation of Online, Blended, and Face-to-face Learning Environments. 14 *Internet and Higher Education* (2011) pp. 236-241.

Educause I- Educause Learning Initiative, *7 Things You should Know about Flipped Classrooms.* (2012). http://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/eli7081.pdf.

Field, Sarah & Jones, Lucy, Innovations in Assessment: An investigation into the role of blended learning as a support mechanism for assessment. 44 (3) *The Law Teacher* (2010) pp. 378-390.

Fitzgerald Maureen F., Rite of Passage: The impact of teaching methods on first year law students. 42 *The Law Teacher* (2008) pp. 60-84.

Frank, Jerome, Why not a Clinical Lawyer School?. 8 *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* (1993) pp. 907-923.

Freeland, Steven et al., Crossing the Language and Cultural Divide – The Challenges of Educating Asian Law Students in a Globalising World. 14 *Legal Education Review* (2003) pp. 219-238.

Friedman, Peggy and Alley, Robert, Learning/Teaching Styles: Applying the Principles. 23 *Theory into Practice* (2003) pp. 77-81.

Friel, Terri et al., Using pedagogical dialogue as a vehicle to encourage faculty technology use. 53 *Computers & Education* (2009) pp. 300-307.

Galves, Fred, Will Video Kill the Radio Star? Visual Learning and the Use of Display Technology in the Law School Classroom. *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy* (2004) pp. 195-251.

Garlikov, R., *Having Understanding Versus Knowing Correct Explanations* (2000). http://www.garlikov.com/Interpretation.html.

Garner, David, Socratic Misogyny? – Analyzing Feminist Criticisms of Socratic Teaching in Legal Education. *Brigham Young University Law Review* (2000) pp. 1597-1650.

Gerdy, Kristin B. et al., Expanding Our Classroom Walls: Enhancing Teaching and learning through Technology. 11 *Journal of the Legal Writing Institute* (2005) pp. 263-294.

Giannakos, Michail et al., Exploring the relationship between video lecture usage patterns and students' attitudes. *British Journal of Educational Technology* (2015).

Ginns, Paul & Ellis, Robert, Quality in blended learning: Exploring the relationships between on-line and face-to-face teaching and learning. 10 *Internet and Higher Education* (2007) pp. 53–64.

Gottfredson, Nisha C., Identifying Predictors of Law Student Life Satisfaction. 58 *Journal of Legal Education* (2008) pp. 520-530.

Graham, Charles R. et al., A framework for institutional adoption and implementation of blended learning in higher education. 18 *Internet and Higher Education* (2013) pp. 4-14.

Hamdan, Noora et al., Flipped Learning Network, *The Flipped Learning Model: A White Paper based on the Literature Review titled a review of Flipped Learning.* (2013). http://www.flippedlearning.org/Page/64.

Harris, Debra & Susman, Susan D., Toward a More Perfect Union: Using Lawyering Pedagogy to Enhance Legal Writing Courses. 49 *Journal of Legal Education* (1999) pp. 185-202.

Harris, Phil & Beinart, Sarah, A Survey of law schools in the United Kingdom (2004). 39 *The Law Teacher* (2005) pp. 299-366.

Hasche, Annette, Teaching Writing in Law: A Model to Improve Student Learning. 3 *Legal Education Review* (1992) pp. 267-294.

Henriss-Anderssen, Diana, Using Interactive Teaching Strategies in Large Lectures: Some Personal Reflections. 14 *Legal Education Review* (2003-2004) pp. 181-201.

Henderson, William D., A Blueprint for Change. 40 *Pepperdine Law Review* (2012) pp. 461-508.

Hess, Gerald F., Symposium: The State and Future of Legal Education: Blended Courses in Law School: The Best of Online and Face-to-Face Learning?. 45 *McGeorge Law Review* (2013) pp. 51-84.

Hewitt, Anne, Can you learn to lawyer online? A blended learning environment case study. 49 (1) *The Law Teacher* (2015) pp. 92-121.

Hrastinski, Stefan, Asynchronous & Synchronous E-learning. 4 Educause Quarterly (2008). https://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/eqm0848.pdf.

Illig, Robert C., The Oregon Method: An Alternative Model for Teaching Transactional Law. 59 *Journal of Legal Education* (2009) pp. 221-239.

Ireland, Jennifer, Blended Learning in Intellectual Property: The Best of Both Worlds. 18 *Legal Education Review* (2008) pp. 139-161.

Jones, Ida M., Can You See Me Now? Defining Teaching Presence in the Online Classroom Through Building a Learning Community. 28 *Journal of Legal Studies Education* (Winter/Spring 2011) pp. 67–116.

Kaminskaya, Elvira, International Research and Exchanges Board, University Administration Support Program, University of Central Florida *Teaching with Technology: A Case Study of Online Faculty Development at the University of Central Florida* (2006), www.irex.org/ system/files/kaminskaya.pdf.

Kazlauska, Alanah & Robinson, Kathy, Podcasts are not for everyone. 43 (2) *British Journal of Educational Technology* (2012) pp. 321-330.

Kerr, Orin S., The Decline of the Socratic Method at Harvard. 78 *Nebraska Law Review* (1999) pp. 113-134.

Kift, Sally, Lawyering Skills: Finding their Place in Legal Education. 8 *Legal Education Review* (1997) pp. 43-73.

Korn, Jane, Teaching Talking: Oral Communication Skills in a Law course. 54 *Journal of Legal Education* (2004) pp. 588-596.

Lambert, Sarah & Brewer, Chris, 1st, 2nd and 3rd Generation Implementations of an eLearning Design: Re-Use from Postgraduate Law to Block/Online Engineering Course. 2 *Journal of Learning Design* (2007) pp. 70-82.

Lane, Andy & McAndrew, Patrick, Are open educational resources systematic or systemic change agents for teaching practice?. 41 *British Journal of Educational Technology* (2010) pp. 952-962.

Larcombe, Wendy et al., Performance in Law School: What Matters in the Beginning?. 18 *Legal Education Review* (2008) pp. 95-122.

Laster, Kathy, *Educating Cultured Lawyers*. Thesis (J.S.D.), Columbia University. (2002).

Lemmer, Catherine, A View from the Flip Side: Using the "Inverted Classroom" to enhance the Legal Information Literacy of the International LLM Student. 105 *Law Library Journal* (2013) pp. 461-491.

Leslie, Douglas L., How Not To Teach Contracts, and Any Other Course: PowerPoint, Laptops and the CaseFile Method. *44 Saint Louis University Law Journal* (2000), pp. 1289-1316.

Lihosit, Judith & Larrington, Jane, Flipping the Legal Research Classroom. 22 *Perspectives Teaching Legal Research and Writing* (2013) pp. 1-12.

Lonn, Steven & Teasley, Stephanie D., Podcasting in higher education: What are the implications for teaching and learning?. 12 *Internet and Higher Education* (2009) pp. 88-92.

López-Pérez, M. Victoria et al., Blended learning in higher education: Students' perceptions and their relation to outcomes. 56 *Computers and Education* (2011) pp. 818–826.

Lustbader, Paula, Teach in Context: Responding to Diverse Student Voices Helps All Students Learn. 48 *Journal of Legal Education* (1998) pp. 402-416.

Maharg, Paul, On the edge: ICT and the transformation of professional legal education. 3 *Web Journal of Current Legal Issues* (2006).

Martin, Peter W., Cornell's experience running online, inter-school law courses-an FAQ. 39 *The Law Teacher* (2005) pp. 70-81.

Matthew, Anne, Managing Distraction and Attention in Diverse Cohorts: 21st Century Challenges to Law Student Engagement. 12 *QUT Law and Justice Journal* (2012) pp. 45-65.

McCormack, Timothy LH & Simpson, Gerry J, Simulating Multilateral Treaty Making in the Teaching of International Law. 10 *Legal Education Review* (1999) pp. 61-82.

McKellar, Patricia & Maharg, Paul, Virtual learning environments: The alternative to the box under the bed. 39 *The Law Teacher* (2005) pp. 43-56.

Means, Barbara et al., Centre for Technology in Learning, U.S. Department of Education, *Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practices in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies.* (September 2010). www2.ed.gov/rschstat/eval/tech/evidence-based-practices/finalreport.doc.

Micolich, Adam, The latent potential of YouTube – Will it become the 21st Century Lecturer's Film Archive?. CAL-laborate (2008) pp. 1-8. http://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/0808/0808.3441. pdf.

Morris-Babb, Meredith & Henderson, Susie, An Experiment in Open-Access Textbook Publishing: Changing the World One Textbook at a Time. 43 *Journal of Scholarly Publishing* (2012) pp. 148-155.

Moskal, Patsy et al., Blended Learning: A Dangerous Idea?. 18 *Internet and Higher Education* (2013) pp. 15–23.

Nehme, Marina, Elearning and Students' Motivation. 20 *Legal Education Review* (2010) pp. 223-239.

Newman, Marie Stefanini, Not the Evil TWEN: How Online Course Management Software Supports Non-Linear Learning in Law Schools. 5 *Journal of High Technology Law* (2005) pp. 183-233.

Oblinger, Diana, What it means to education. 3 *Emerging technologies for learning* (2008) pp. 11-29.

Ocak, Mehmet Akif, Why are faculty members not teaching blended courses? Insights from faculty members. 56 *Computers & Education* (2011) pp. 689-699.

O'Donnell, Anthony, Thinking 'Culture' in Legal Education. 7 *Legal Education Review* (1996) pp. 135-154.

Owston, Ron et al., Lecture capture in large undergraduate classes: Student perceptions and academic performance. 14 *Internet and Higher Education* (2011) pp. 262-268.

Owston, Ron et al., Student perceptions and achievement in a university blended learning strategic initiative. 18 *Internet and Higher Education* (2013) pp. 38-46.

Paechter, Manuela & Maier, Brigitte, Online or face-to-face? Students' experiences and preferences in e-learning. 12 *Internet and Higher Education* (2010) pp. 292-297.

Pettys, Todd E., The Analytic Classroom. 60 *Buffalo Law Review* (2012) pp. 1255-1322.

Polding, Liz, Delivering Blended Legal Learning by Open Source Methods. *Journal of Information, Law and Technology* (January 2007) pp. 1-11.

Prensky, Marc, Digital Natives, Digital Immigrant Part 1. 9 (2) *On the Horizon* (2001) pp. 1-6.

Redmond, Paul & Roper, Christopher, the Steering Committee on the Review of Legal Education and Training in Hong Kong. *Legal Education and Training in Hong Kong Preliminary Review: Summary of Consultation Paper* (2000). http://www.hklawsoc.org.hk.

Roach, Cathaleen, A River Runs through it. Tapping into the Informational Stream to move Students from Isolation to Autonomy. 36 *Arizona Law Review* (1994) pp. 667-699.

Rochette, Annie, *Teaching and Learning in Canadian Legal Education: An empirical exploration.* These (D.C.L.), McGill University (Canada) (2011).

Ryan, Erin et al., When Socrates meet Confucius: Teaching Creative and Critical Thinking Across Cultures Through Multilevel Socratic Method. 92 *Nebraska Law Review* (2013-2014) pp. 289-348.

Saliba, Gina et al., Blended Learning Team, Learning and Teaching Unit, University of Western Sydney *Fundamental of Blended Learning* (2013). http://www.uws.edu.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/467095/Fundamentals_of_Blended_Learning.pdf.

Schneider, Jack, Socrates and the madness of method. 94 *Phi Delta Kappan* (2012) pp. 26-29.

Schwartz, Michael Hunter, Teaching Law by Design: How Learning Theory and Instructional Design Can Inform and Reform Law Teaching. 38 *San Diego Law Review* (2001) pp. 347-462.

Sharpe, Rhona et al., The Higher Education Academy, *The undergraduate experience of blended e-learning: a review of UK literature and practice* (October 2006). https://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/teachingandresearch/Undergraduate_Experience.

Slomanson, William R, Blended Classroom: A Flipped Classroom Experiment at the Lectern. 64 *Journal of Legal Education* (2014-2015) pp. 93-102.

Smith, Craig T., Technology and Legal Education: Negotiating the Shoals of Technocentrism, Technophobia, and Indifference. 1 *Journal of the Association of Legal Writing Directors* (2002) pp. 247-256.

Snowden, Kelly E., *Teacher perceptions of the flipped classroom: Using video lectures online to replace traditional in-class lectures.* Thesis (MA), University of North Texas (2012).

Stevens, Elizabeth et al., Equity, Diversity and Student Engagement in a Law School – A Case Study Approach. 16 *Legal Education Review* (2006) pp. 1-33.

Stropus, Ruta K, Mend it, Bend it and Extend it: The Fate of Traditional Law School Methodology in the 21st Century. 27 *Loyola University of Chicago Law Journal* (1996) pp. 449-489.

Sutton, Susan R., The Preservice Technology Training Experiences of Novice Teachers. 28 *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education* (2011) pp. 39-47.

Taylor Janet A. & Newton, Diane, Beyond blended learning: A case study of institutional change at an Australian regional university. 18 *Internet and Higher Education* (2013) pp. 54-60.

Teich, Paul F., Research on American Law Teaching – Is There a Case Against the Case System?. 36 *Journal of Legal Education* (1986), pp. 167-188.

The Blue Paper - Working Group for Distance Learning in Legal Education, Harvard Law School, *Distance Learning in Legal Education: A Summary of Delivery Models, Regulatory Issues, and Recommended Practices* (2012). http://www2.stetson.edu/atc/wp-content/uploads/2013/11/ Distance_Learning_in_Legal_Ed.pdf.

The Carnegie Report Summary - Sullivan, William M., The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, Educating Lawyers – Summary. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass/Wiley (2007).

The Dearing Report - The National Committee of Inquiry into Higher Education, Her Majesty Stationery Office, *The Dearing Report Higher Education in the learning society.* (1997). http:// www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/dearing1997/dearing1997.html.

Tomei, Lawrence A., The Impact of Online Teaching on Faculty Load: Computing the Ideal Class Size for Online Courses. 14 *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education* (2006) pp. 531-541.

Traphagan, T. et al., Impact of class lecture webcasting on attendance and learning. 58 (1) *Educational Technology Research & Development* (2010) pp. 19–37.

Tweed, Roger G & Lehman, Darrin R., Learning Considered Within a Cultural Context: Confucian and Socratic Approaches. 57 *American Psychologist* (2002) pp. 89-99.

Tyler Melissa Conley & Cukier, Naomi, Nine Lessons for Teaching Negotiation Skills. 15 *Legal Education Review* (2005) pp. 61-86.

Upchurch, Angela, Optimizing the Law School Classroom through the 'Flipped' Classroom Model. *The Law Teacher* (Fall 2013).

Wallace, Albin, Social Learning Platforms and the Flipped Classroom. 4 *International Journal of Information and Education Technology* (August 2014) pp. 293-296.

Wenk, Bruno, Open educational resources (OER) inspire teaching and learning. (April 2010) *Education Engineering* pp. 435-442.

Wilcox, Jamison, Teaching Legal Drafting Effectively and Efficiently – By Dispensing with the Myths. 57 *Journal of Legal Education* (2007) pp. 448-466.

Wolff, Chinese Outbound Investments in the Food Sector: Hungry for Much More!. 69 (3) *Food and Drug Law Journal* (2014) pp. 399-428.

Wolff, Pathological Foreign Investment Projects in China: Patchwork or Trendsetting of the Supreme People's Court?. 44 (3) *The International Lawyer* (Fall 2010) pp. 1001-1018.

Wolff, PRC Company Law: One Country, Many Systems?. 3 *Hong Kong Lawyer* (2001) pp. 37-42.

Wolski, Bobette, Why, How and What to Practice: Integrating Skills Teaching and Learning in the Undergraduate Curriculum. 52 *Journal of Legal Education* (2002) pp. 287-302.

Books

Alias, Nor Aziah, 'Incorporating Cultural Components into the Design of an Affective Support Tool for the Malaysian Online Distance Learners' in Edmundson, Andrea (ed) *Cases on Globalized and Culturally appropriate e-learning*. Pennsylvania, IGI Global 2011.

Atlas, Joel et al., *A Guide to Teaching Lawyering Skills Durham*. North Carolina: Carolina Academia Press, (2012).

Bligh, Donald A., 'The Lecture Method Alone is Rarely Adequate' in *What's the Use of Lectures*. (1st ed) San Francisco, Jossey-Bass (2000) pp. 223-230.

Bonk, Curtis J. et al., 'Future Directions of Blended Learning in Higher Education and Workplace Learning Settings' in Bonk, Curtis J. & Graham, Charles R. (ed) *The Handbook of Blended Learning: global perspectives, local designs*. (1st ed) San Francisco, Pfeiffer (2006) pp. 550-565.

Exley, Kate & Dennick, Reg, 'Why Lecture?' in *Giving a Lecture: From Presenting to Teaching*. (2nd ed) NewYork, Routledge (2009) pp. 1-13.

Graham, Charles R., 'Blended learning systems' in Bonk, Curtis J. and Graham, Charles R. (ed) *The Handbook of Blended Learning: global perspectives, local designs*. (1st ed) San Francisco, Pfeiffer (2006) pp. 3-21.

Hativa, Nira, 'Lecturing and Explaining' and 'Active Learning During Lectures' in *Teaching for Effective Learning in Higher Education*. Boston, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2000) 71-110.

Henderson, George & Nash, Susan Smith, 'Lectures' in *Excellence in College Teaching and Learning*. Illinois, Charles C Tomas Publisher, Ltd. (2007) pp. 25-43.

Knowles, Malcolm, 'Andragogy: An emerging technology for adult learning' in Edwards, Richard, Hanson, Ann and Raggatt, Peter (ed) *Boundaries of Adult Learning*. (1st ed) Abingdon England, New York, Routledge (1996) pp. 82-98.

Le Brun, Marlene & Johnstone, Richard, *The Quiet Revolution: Improving Student Learning in Law*. Australia, The Law Book Company Limited (1994).

Light, Grey et al., 'Lecturing: large-group teaching' in *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: The Reflective Professional*. (2nd ed) London, SAGE Publications Ltd. (2009) pp. 105-126.

MacDonald, Janet, 'Blended Learning and Pragmatism' in *Blended Learning and Online Tutoring: planning learner support and activity design*. (2nd ed) Aldershot; Burlington, VT: Gower (2008) pp. 31-44.

McLeish, John, *The Lecture Method* The Great Britain, Heffers of Cambridge (1968).

McNeely, Ben, 'Using Technology as a Learning Tool, Not Just the Cool Next Thing' in Oblinger, Diana G. (Author) & Oblinger, James L. (Contributor) *Educating the Net Generation*. Educause (2005) pp. 4.1-4.10.

The Carnegie Report - Sullivan, William M. et al., The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, *Educating Lawyers: preparation for the profession of law*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass/Wiley (2007).

Thornton, Margaret, 'Jettisoning the Critical' in *Privatising the Public University: The Case of Law*. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, Routledge a GlassHouse book (2012) pp. 59-100.

Webb, Julian et al., *Lawyer's Skills*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (2011).

Wiley, David & Green, Cable, 'Why Openness in Education?' in Oblinger, Diana (ed) *Game Changers*. EDUCAUSE Publications (2012).

Wolff, Lutz-Christian, *Mergers & Acquisitions in China: Law and Practice*. (4th ed./5th ed.) Hong Kong: CCH Hong Kong Limited. (2010/2015).

Wolff, Lutz-Christian, *The Law of Cross-border Business Transactions – Principles, Concepts, Skills*. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer Law International (2013).

Others

Audacity, Audacity 2.1.1 Manual. (11 July 2015), http://manual.audacityteam.org/o/

Forret, Peter, Video filesize calculator (2006-2015), http://toolstud.io/video/filesize.php?

Potts, J.P., A new model for open sharing April 20, MIT Open Courseware, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2005), http://ocw.mit.edu/courses/special-programs/sp-772-internet-technology-in-local-and-global-communities-spring-2005-summer-2005/lecture-notes/l11_opencoursewa.pdf (visited on 30 August 2014, but no longer available online in the original form).

Wood, Mary, FLIPPED: Prof Models New Way of Teaching, UVA LAW (Fall 2012). http://www.law.virginia.edu/html/alumni/uvalawyer/fi2/flipped.htm.Yeh, Benson, 'How to flip the classroom well – BTS Flipping' .Seminar at the Chinese University of Hong Kong on 24 October 2014.

Woodford, Chris, Streaming media (3 November 2014), http://www.explainthatstuff.com/streamingmedia.html