

Detailed Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	xix
<i>List of Tables</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxvii
Introduction: Mutual Poisoning and Three Underrated Antidotes	1
1. Legal Institutionalism as a Conceptual Frame for Understanding and Confronting the Current EU Illiberal Regime Crisis	10
1. Introduction	10
2. Key Insights of Legal Institutionalism: We Ought to Be Aware of Informal Institutional Elements like Practices and Narratives	12
3. Lessons to Be Learned for Institution-Building	15
3.1 The Difficulty of Institution-Building	17
3.2 A Successful Example: Germany after the Second World War	18
3.3 A Relatively Successful Example from the More Recent Past in the EU: Romania	21
4. Reshaping Informal Elements of Institutions in Illiberal EU MSs Is Key	23
5. Conclusion	24
2. The Emergence of Illiberal Regimes in Post-Socialist EU Member States	25
1. Introduction	25
2. Recapitulating the Erosion in Hungary	27
2.1 In What Sense Is Hungary an Illiberal Regime?	28
2.2 A Dishonest Narrative of an Ideologically Agnostic Regime	32
2.3 Keeping (Mostly) the Liberal Mask: The Formal Text of the New Fundamental Law	37
2.4 The Devil Is in the De Facto Practices of Officials That Hollowed out Formal Institutions	39
3. Reasons Explaining the Formation of the Illiberal Regime in Hungary	44
3.1 A Legal-Political Culture with a Weak Immune System	45
3.2 The Pre-democratic Historical Origins of Hungarian Legal Culture Are Still Present	46
3.3 A Concrete Error in Constitutional Law	50

3.4	Political Entrepreneurs Abusing the Weak Immune System	50
3.5	The Paradox of EU Membership: Unintentional Damages to a Fragile Constitutional Democracy	51
4.	Is Hungary a Unique Accident?	52
5.	Conclusion	55
3.	Deficits and Erosion of Democracy and the Rule of Law in EU Institutions	57
1.	Introduction	57
2.	The Rule of Law: Revisiting a Central Pillar of European Integration	59
2.1	The Construction of the Rule of Law	59
2.2	Challenges and Erosion of the Rule of Law at EU Level	63
3.	Democratic Legitimacy in the Wake of European Integration	66
3.1	Democracy and the EU	66
3.2	Democratic Deficit and Erosion of Democracy at EU Level	70
4.	Conclusion	71
4.	On the Mutual Dependence of the Rule of Law and Democracy at Both EU and Member State Levels	74
1.	Introduction	74
2.	Two Interrelated Interdependencies: The Danger of Mutual Poisoning	74
2.1	Mutual Dependence of the Rule of Law and Democracy	75
2.2	Mutual Dependence of the EU and Its MSs	76
3.	Mutual Poisoning of the EU and Its Illiberal MSs	77
4.	Current State of EU Law to Withstand Erosion	81
5.	Guidelines for Future Institution-Building in the Contemporary Hungarian Context and Setting the Stage for a Forceful European Response	83
6.	Conclusion	90
5.	Adjusting an Existing Epistemological Tool: How to Transform the EU Justice Scoreboard into an Actual Rule of Law Index	92
1.	Introduction	92
2.	Why Measure Legal Systems? The Use of Rule of Law Indices	94
3.	Methodological Steps	96
3.1	Conceptualization	97
3.2	Selecting Data	99
3.3	Statistical Analysis	100
3.4	Presenting, Interpreting, and Comparing Results	103
4.	International Indices on the Rule of Law	104
5.	How to Develop the EUJS into an Index that Can Be Applied by the EU to Deal with Its Illiberal Regimes	109
5.1	The Unsuitability of Existing Indices for the Illiberal Regimes of the EU	109

5.2	The EUJS as It Stands	110
5.3	The Steps Necessary to Improve the EUJS	116
6.	Conclusion	120
6.	Completing the European Fundamental Rights Union: Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights to Purely Domestic Cases	124
1.	Introduction	124
2.	The Illiberal Regime Crisis as a Problem and the Complete Fundamental Rights Union as a Response	126
3.	The Scope of Application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights: Article 51 (1) CFR and the Prevailing Doctrine	129
4.	Two Ways of Completing the Fundamental Rights Union	133
4.1	Pursuing a Treaty Revision	133
4.2	A Different Take on Interpreting Article 51 (1) CFR	134
5.	For a Reinterpretation of Article 51 (1) CFR	136
5.1	Articles 2 and 7 TEU (and to Some Extent also Union Citizenship or the Right to an Effective Remedy) Would Support a Generous Understanding of the ‘Certain Degree of Connection’ Formula Understood as an Unrestricted Application of Article 51 (1) CFR	138
5.2	A Reinterpretation of Article 51 (1) CFR Is Inefficient	140
5.3	MS Conflicts against the Background of the CFR	141
5.4	Creeping Assumption of Competence	142
6.	What the ECJ Has Already Done	144
7.	Conclusion	148
7.	Applying the <i>Spitzenkandidaten</i> -System: How to Address the Illiberal Regime Crisis by Improving Democratic Accountability	150
1.	Introduction	150
2.	Why Does the EU Need to Improve Its Democratic Accountability in Order to Address Its Illiberal MSs?	151
2.1	Is Output Legitimacy an Alternative to Democratic Accountability?	155
2.2	How to Ensure Political Freedoms and Access to Information on Government	156
3.	How to Establish a Direct Link between Election and Responsibility and Why This Will Benefit the EU ‘Response’ against Its Illiberal MSs	158
4.	Critique and Reply	161
4.1	Objection 1: There Is Neither a Democracy Deficit Nor an Erosion of Democracy at the EU Level	161
4.2	Objection 2: All MSs Agreed to the Values in Article 2 TEU, However, the EU Has No Democratic Means to Enforce These Values	162

4.3	Objection 3: We Should Rather Make National Parliaments Stronger	163
5.	How to Consolidate the (Re-)Establishment of the <i>Spitzenkandidaten</i> -System	165
5.1	Treaty Change	166
5.2	Reinterpretation of Article 17 (7) TEU	166
5.3	A Constitutional Convention	166
5.4	Suggestions for Reform before the Next EP Elections	169
5.5	(Positive) Consequences of the <i>Spitzenkandidaten</i> -System	171
6.	Conclusion	173
	Conclusion	175
	<i>Bibliography</i>	181
	<i>Index</i>	205