

Abstract	9
-----------------------	----------

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTION TO THE REVIEW OF PREDATORY PRICING

1.1.Predation versus non price predation	24
1.2.Are Predatory Pricings common? Evolution and the most cited definitions	25
1.3.Chicago (post-Chicago) School theory summary on Predatory pricing	27
1.4.Predatory pricing as an instrument of abuse	31
1.5.The classical view of predatory pricing and its criticism	31
1.6.A Summary of the Evolution of Predatory Pricing in the EU and USA	32

CHAPTER II.

THEORETICAL COMPETITIVE APPROACHES AND COMPETITION POLICY SOLUTIONS

2.1. Introduction	37
2.2. Theoretical Explanations	39
2.2.1. The McGee's thesis	39
2.2.1.1. The superiority of the merger solution vis a vis the destruction through predatory pricing	40
2.2.1.2. Doubts in the thesis of irrationality of predatory pricing	44
2.2.2. The limit-price theory	50

2.2.3. The game theory approaches	53
2.2.3.1. Selten's Chain Store Paradox	54
2.2.3.2. Approaches that provide proof of the rationality of predatory pricing	57
2.3. Competition policy solutions	59
2.3.1. Introduction	59
2.3.2. The cost-based approaches	61
2.3.2.1. Short-run cost based rule of Areeda/Turner	61
2.3.2.2. Long-run cost based approaches	65
2.3.2.3. Criticism of the cost based approaches	67
2.3.2.3.1. Criticism of the theoretical foundations of the model	67
2.3.2.3.2. Problems in the practical implementation	69
2.3.2.3.3. The negligence of the essential pricing factors	76
2.4. Total analysis	79
2.4.1. Scherer	80
2.4.2. Williamson	82
2.4.3. Baumol	84
2.4.4. Joskow/Klevorick	85
2.4.4.1. First stage: market analysis and the undertaking structure	86
2.4.4.2. Second stage: analysis of price-cost ratio	88
2.4.4.3. Critical assessment	90
2.4.5. Yamey	91
2.4.6. Philips	93
2.4.7. Ordover and Willig	96

2.5. Partial conclusion	97
--------------------------------------	-----------

CHAPTER III.

CLASSIFICATION AND SUBSUMPTION OF TARGETED PREDATORY PRICING STRATEGIES UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU

3.1. Introduction	101
3.2. Subsumption of predatory pricing strategies under Art. 102 TFEU	102
3.2.1. Dominant position	105
3.2.1.1. The term of dominance	105
3.2.1.2. Criteria for the detection of dominance	108
3.2.1.3. The delimitation of the relevant product market by targeted predatory pricing strategies	110
3.2.1.3.1. General provisions	110
3.2.1.3.2. Criteria for the definition of the relevant product market	111
3.2.1.3.3. Divergence between dominate market and the market on which the targeted abuse is applied	113
3.2.2. Abuse	113
3.2.2.1. Concept of Article 102 TFEU – various literature reviews	114
3.2.2.1.1. Categories of abusive behavior	114
3.2.2.1.2. The controversy in the literature	115
3.2.2.2. The general concept of abuse from the view of the EC and ECJ	120
3.2.2.3. Predatory pricing - Art. 102 a) TFEU	122

3.2.2.4. Discriminatory selective prices as part of the predatory strategy Article 102 para. 2, letter c) TFEU	126
3.2.2.5. Article 102 d) TFEU	132
3.2.3. Causality between market dominance and abuse	133
3.2.4. Lack of justifying reasons	135
3.2.4.1. Objective justifying reasons by price discrimination .	135
3.2.4.2. Objective justifying reasons by below cost sales	136

CHAPTER IV.

EU/US JUDICIAL APPROACH TO PREDATORY PRICING

4.1. EU Judicial Approach to Predatory Pricing	137
4.1.1. AKZO	137
4.1.1.1. The facts of the case	137
4.1.1.2. Procedure	139
4.1.2. Discussion and Analysis of the decision	141
4.1.2.1. Commission position	141
4.1.2.2. The ECJ position	142
4.1.3. Dominant position	144
4.1.3.1. Commission position	144
4.1.3.2. The ECJ position	146
4.1.4. Abuse of dominant position	146
4.1.4.1. Commission position	146
4.1.4.1.1. A finding of price-reduction	147
4.1.4.2. The ECJ position	157
4.1.5. Opinion	165
4.1.6. Tetra Pak II	168
4.1.7. Compagnie maritime belge	170

4.1.8. Irish Sugar	171
4.1.9. Deutsche Post	172
4.1.10. Wanadoo	174
4.1.11. France Télécom	176
4.2. US Judicial Approach to Predatory Pricing	178
4.2.1. Standard Oil	178
4.2.2. Utah Pie	180
4.2.3. Matsushita	182
4.2.4. Rose Acre	183
4.2.5. Brooke	184
4.2.6. American Airlines	186
4.2.7. Weyerhaeuser	190
4.3. Partial conclusion	191

CHAPTER V.

PROPOSALS FOR THE TREATMENT OF PREDATORY STRATEGIES UNDER ARTICLE 102 TFEU

5.1. Introduction	195
5.2. The Joskow/Klevorick approach as the basis for an overall analysis by the application of Art. 102 TFEU	
5.2.1. Determining of the relevant market and dominance	197
5.2.1.1. The definition of the relevant market	197
5.2.1.2. Dominance	198
5.2.2. Abuse	199
5.3. Conclusion	201
Abbreviations	203
Literature summary	205
Cases summary	211