

# Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	ix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xx
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxiii
<b>1. Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Research Question and Argument	1
1.2 Impermissibility of Courts Reconstructing Treaty Obligations	6
1.3 Outline of the Positions with Which this Book Takes Issue	9
1.4 Methodological Questions	14
 <b>2. Different Regimes, Different Methods of Interpretation?</b>	 23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 Constitutional Treaties, Human Rights Treaties, ‘Ordinary Treaties’	31
2.3 Systemic Coherence in both Content and Method	48
2.4 Conclusion	54
 <b>3. The Means of Interpretation Admissible for the Establishment     of the Intention of the Parties</b>	 56
3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 Evolutionary Interpretation and Good Faith	63
3.3 Evolutionary Interpretation and the Intention of the Parties	76
3.4 Conclusion	139
 <b>4. The Intertemporal Law</b>	 142
4.1 Introduction	142
4.2 Normative Criticisms of the Principle of Intertemporality	150
4.3 <i>Jus Cogens Superveniens</i> : Peremptory Norms and Time	161
4.4 Conclusion	167
 <b>5. Evolutionary Interpretation, Or Not? Evolutionary Interpretation     and Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Temporis</i></b>	 168
5.1 Introduction	168
5.2 Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Temporis</i> in the European Court of Human Rights	169
5.3 The Traditional Doctrine of Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Temporis</i>	174
5.4 Conclusion	183

<b>6. Conclusion: Evolution Intended</b>	188
6.1 Intention of the Parties and Evolution	188
6.2 One Coherent Method of Treaty Interpretation	189
6.3 Evolving International Law	191
6.4 A Redundant Concept?	191
 <i>Bibliography</i>	195
<i>Index</i>	209