

Table of contents

Acronyms and abbreviations	9
Executive summary	11
Assessment and recommendations	15
Building a quality architecture to support continuously improving care	18
Strengthening the data infrastructure	22
Better quality in screening and preventive health care.....	27
Improving care for patients with diabetes and metabolic syndrome	32
Chapter 1. Quality of care policies in the Czech Republic	41
1.1. Introduction	42
1.2. Design, costs and outcomes in the Czech health care system	42
1.3. Governance for quality of health care in the Czech Republic	48
1.4. Assuring the quality of inputs to the Czech health care system	53
1.5. Patient safety policies.....	58
1.6. Health system standards and guidelines	58
1.7. Managing health system improvement.....	59
1.8. Strengthening the role and perspective of the patient	60
1.9. Conclusion.....	62
Note	63
Bibliography.....	64
Chapter 2. Health data infrastructure in the Czech Republic	65
2.1. Introduction	66
2.2. Overview of data collection	66
2.3. Assessment of the data infrastructure.....	75
2.4. Recommendations to improve the Czech data infrastructure	80
2.5. Conclusion.....	85
Bibliography.....	87
Annex 2.A1. Health care data in the Czech Republic	89

Chapter 3. Screening and prevention programmes in the Czech Republic	97
3.1. Introduction	98
3.2. Configuration of screening and prevention programmes in the Czech Republic	99
3.3. Current outcomes associated with screening and prevention programmes in the Czech Republic	102
3.4. Governance challenges faced by screening and prevention programmes in the Czech Republic	112
3.5. Securing a greater quality dividend from screening and prevention programmes in the Czech Republic	116
3.6. Conclusion.....	124
Notes	126
Bibliography.....	127
Chapter 4. Diabetes care in the Czech Republic	131
4.1. Introduction	132
4.2. The prevalence of diabetes and metabolic syndrome are a cause for concern	134
4.3. Scaling-up primary and secondary prevention for diabetes	137
4.4. Despite some improvement, there are still shortcomings in the outcomes of diabetes	142
4.5. The Czech Republic should step up efforts to provide good quality care for diabetes, and to manage complications.....	146
4.6. Conclusion.....	154
Bibliography.....	156
Figures	
Figure 1.1. Life expectancy at birth, 1970 and 2011 (or nearest year).....	44
Figure 1.2. Annual average growth rate in per capita health expenditure, real terms, 2000-11 (or nearest year).....	45
Figure 1.3. Cancer five-year relative survival, 2006-11 (or nearest period).....	46
Figure 1.4. Acute myocardial infarction – case fatality in 30 days after admission in adults aged 45 years and over (left) and ischemic stroke (right) in hospital case fatality rates	47
Figure 2.1. Balancing individual data privacy concerns and benefits to patient safety and system performance	77
Figure 3.1. Cervical cancer screening rates.....	103
Figure 3.2. Socioeconomic differences in cervical cancer screening.....	104
Figure 3.3. Breast cancer screening rates	106
Figure 3.4. Socioeconomic differences in breast cancer screening.....	107
Figure 3.5. Socioeconomic differences in colorectal cancer screening.....	108
Figure 3.6. Smoking and drunkenness amongst 15-year-olds.....	110

Figure 3.7. Diabetes hospital admission in adults, 2006 and 2011 (or nearest year).....	112
Figure 3.8. Structure of the Quality Indicators in Community Healthcare (QICH) programme, Israel	120
Figure 3.9. DAMD output allowing GPs to compare the quality of their practice with peers	121
Figure 4.1. The prevalence of diabetes in the Czech Republic is rising.....	135
Figure 4.2. Obesity rates amongst adults in OECD countries, 2011 (or nearest year).....	136
Figure 4.3. Mortality rate from diabetes, 2003-12	142
Figure 4.4. Care pathway for patient with type 2 diabetes	148

Tables

Table 1.1. A typology of health care policies that influence health care quality.....	42
Table 2.A1.1. An overview of Czech health care data	90
Table 4.1. Quality indicators in community health indicators for diabetes in Israel, 2009.....	145
Table 4.2. Managing the progression of diabetes helps reduce treatment needs and control costs	146