

CONTENTS

Title	i
Acknowledgements	
Contents	i
List of Figures and Tables	v
List of Phonemic Symbols	vii
Glossary	x
Abbreviations	xiv
Conventions	xvi
<u>Introduction</u>	
I. General Background	1
II. Motivation of the project	3
III. Aims of the research	4
IV. Design and format of the research	4
V. Assumptions and Hypotheses	6
<u>1 Phonetics and Phonology in English Language Teacher Education</u>	
1.1 The Environment of Language Teacher Education (ELTE)	9
1.1.1 Government Initiatives and University Education	9
1.1.2 Principles underlying ELTE Programmes	10
1.2 The training of teachers in phonetics and phonology	13
1.2.1 The problem of a 'foreign' (i.e. non-native) accent	14
1.2.2 Linguistics, phonology and the student	14
1.2.2.1 The teacher as Linguist	16
1.2.2.2 The mental filing process for a new language	16
1.3 Synopsis of the Phonetics Courses at the Faculty of Education	17
1.3.1 First Semester Course	18
1.3.2 Second Semester Course	19
<u>2 Theoretical Foundations</u>	
2.1 Introduction – Phonetics, Phonology and the sounds of language	22
2.1.1 Segmental elements	24

2.1.2	Suprasegmental features	26
2.1.3	General Speech Characteristics	27
2.2	Comfortable Intelligibility	28
2.3	Comparison of the phonological systems of English and Czech	30
2.3.1	The Segmental systems of English and Czech	32
2.3.1.1	English and Czech vowels	32
2.3.1.2	English and Czech consonants	37
2.3.2	Suprasegmental features	39
2.3.2.1	Word and Sentence Stress	39
	– 1) <i>Word stress in English; and compared with Czech</i>	39
	– 2) <i>Sentence stress in English</i>	41
2.3.2.2	Other, general, features	41
	– 1) <i>Reduction</i>	41
	– 2) <i>Assimilation</i>	41
	– 3) <i>Linking (liaison)</i>	43
	– 4) <i>Elision (including smoothing)</i>	44
	– 5) <i>Rhythm</i>	45
	– 6) <i>Intonation</i>	46
2.3.3	General Speech Characteristics	49
2.3.3.1	Diction	49
	– 1) <i>Pronunciation</i>	49
	– 2) <i>Enunciation</i>	50
2.3.3.2	Production	50
	– 1) <i>Flow</i>	51
	– 2) <i>Pace</i>	51
	– 3) <i>Volume</i>	51
	– 4) <i>Clarity</i>	52
2.4	The position of English	52
2.4.1	Use of English internationally	53
2.4.2	'International' English (EIL) as a proposed standard	55
2.4.3	Differences between RP and GA	56
2.4.3.1	Phonemic Inventories	57
2.4.3.2	Allophonic Variations	57
2.4.3.3	Different pronunciations of common words	58
2.4.3.4	Word Stress differences	59

2.4.3.5	Intonation differences	61
2.4.3.6	Overall sound and voice quality differences	62
2.5	The age at which English should be taught	63
2.6	Summary and conclusion	65
3	<u>Empirical Research</u>	
3.1	The general context of the practical work	66
3.1.1	What to assess – The Recordings	67
3.1.2	Who to assess – The Students	68
3.1.3	The Assessors	69
3.1.4	The type of data investigated in the recordings	71
3.2	The method used to extract the data from the recordings	73
3.2.1	The Recording Analysis Form (RAF)	73
3.2.2	Extraction of data from the recordings	77
3.2.2.1	The main transcription of the recordings	77
3.2.2.2	Collection and collation of errors from the RAF's	77
3.2.3	Further analysis of a representative sample of recordings	80
3.2.3.1	Consistency Check	80
3.2.3.2	Double Assessments	81
3.2.3.3	Back-to-Back Assessments	81
3.2.3.4	Detailed SG Text Analysis	81
3.2.3.5	Further work, including a sample of German students	84
3.3	Analysis and discussion of assessments	84
3.3.1	The overall grading of the assessments	84
3.3.1.1	The Grading Results	85
	– 1) <i>Conversation: Initial and Final Gradings</i>	85
	– 2) <i>The SG Text: Initial and Final Gradings</i>	92
	– 3) <i>The Exam – 'Chosen' (C) v. 'Given' (G) SG Text</i>	98
	– 4) <i>The Exam – SG Text (S) v. non-SG Text (N)</i>	99
3.3.1.2	General Comments	100
3.3.2	Analysis of Initial SG Text data	101
3.3.2.1	The main errors in descending obtrusiveness	107
3.3.2.2	Devoicing – comparison between the assessors	111
3.3.2.3	Comparison between the native assessors (A) and (B)	113
3.3.2.4	Comparison between the native view (N), and (C)	115
3.3.2.5	Consensus of Assessors on (N) and (E) top 14 errors	116

3.3.3	Comparison of Final with Initial SG Text data	118
3.3.3.1	Improvements in each error by Assessor	120
3.3.4	Comparison of Final SG Text with non-SG Texts	127
3.3.5	The Initial SG Text compared for The 23, The 32 and The 33	131
3.3.6	The Initial and Final SG Text for The 23 and The 32 (The 55)	135
3.3.7	The Final non-SG Text for The 23 and The 32 (The 55)	138
3.3.8	The Conversations	141
3.3.8.1	Initial Conversations	141
3.3.8.2	Final Conversations	143
3.3.9	The levels of errors	146
3.3.10	Consistency tests on The 7	148
3.3.11	General Speech Characteristics	151
3.5	The Questionnaires	156
4	Conclusions	
4.1	The Assumptions and Hypotheses	175
4.2	Review of the project	187
	Note on the Seven German Students	191
	Summary	194
	References	195
	Index	199
	Appendices	
A1	SG Reading text	A1 – 1
A2	Streaming Speech Texts	A2 – 1
A3	Forms and Questionnaires	A3 – 1
A4	The First Year Examinations	A4 – 1
A5	Summary of Czech School System [as at 2005]	A5 – 1
A6	English Vowels and Consonants; + differences from Czech	A6 – 1
A7	Quotations from literary transcriptions of dialects and accents	A7 – 1
	The International Phonetic Alphabet IPA Chart	