

# CONTENTS

<i>List of figures</i>	page xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xv

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
	A Mapping the prolific juggernaut	1
	B Four theses	6
	C The course of the book	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Setting precedents: Law made in Luxembourg</b>	<b>10</b>
	A The different meanings of precedent	10
	B The attraction of precedent	12
	i Substantive	13
	ii Systemic	14
	C Factors conducive to positive precedent	16
	i Brevity and fecundity of (treaty) language	16
	ii Absence of widespread codification	17
	iii Finality, recognition and effective enforcement	18
	iv Multiplicity of influences and polycentricity of actors	18
	D Models denying ECJ law-making	20
	i The binary model: static sources or the tyranny of the letter	21
	ii The ternary model: dynamic development or having one's cake and eating it	33
	E Models recognising ECJ law-making	40
	i The pragmatic model: the tyranny of the lawyer	40
	ii An alternative model: avoiding the tyranny of letter and lawyer	46
	(a) Limited cognition and legal information	47
	(b) The imperative strikes back	56
	(c) Beyond optionality and finality	59

F	Dimensions of positive precedent	61
i	Not legislation or treaty-making	61
ii	Not <i>stare decisis</i>	66
iii	Individual law-making	66
iv	General law-making	67
3	Determining the essence of ECJ precedents	70
A	The inevitability of interpretation	70
B	Universalisability	72
i	Horizontal	73
ii	Vertical	74
C	Linking cases	80
i	Rules of relevance	80
ii	Scepticism	82
iii	Reasoned reconstruction	83
iv	Institutional memory	84
4	Precedent application by the ECJ	87
A	Methodological preliminaries	87
i	Data set	87
ii	Caveats	89
B	Frequency	92
i	Popularity and density	92
ii	Frequency: the new normativity?	93
C	Precision	94
i	Verbatim reproduction: echoes and LEGO®	95
ii	General mention: forest not trees	97
iii	Specific mention	99
(a)	String citation	100
(b)	Substantive citation	105
D	Use	113
i	Classifying a legal issue or fact	114
ii	Identifying relevant legal provisions	115
iii	Stating the law	116
iv	Interpreting the law	117
v	Interpreting specific provisions	118
vi	Interpreting prior cases	121
vii	Justifying an interpretation	122
viii	Asserting facts	123
ix	Affirming conclusions	124
E	Interim conclusion	125

<b>5</b>	<b>Avoiding ECJ precedents I: Distinguishing</b>	<b>127</b>
	A Technique	127
	B Practice: frequent evasion	130
	i Type 1: disapplication	131
	ii Type 2: manipulation	138
	iii Type 3: <i>obitering</i>	140
	C Coherence: the new normativity?	145
<b>6</b>	<b>Avoiding ECJ precedents II: Departing</b>	<b>155</b>
	A Technique	155
	B Practice: faux infallibility	159
	C Grounds	163
	i Precedent was incorrectly decided	163
	ii Precedent is unworkable in practice	167
	iii Legal anachronism I: incompatibility with subsequent decisions	168
	iv Legal anachronism II: incompatibility with other changes in the law	170
	v Imbalance between principles	171
	vi Changed factual or societal premises	175
	D Factors	176
	i Precedent weight: the threshold	176
	ii Equality and non-discrimination: a tentative test	179
	iii Legitimate expectations: another tentative test	180
<b>7</b>	<b>ECJ precedents in context</b>	<b>183</b>
	A Asymmetry	183
	i Effectiveness	184
	ii Lack of appellate review and ready political corrective	184
	B Function of the Court	186
	i Depoliticisation	186
	ii Different roles	186
	C Supranational aspects	189
	i Institutional embedding	189
	ii Permanence	190
	iii (De)centralisation	191
	iv Potential impact	193
	D Internal arrangements	195
	i Special formations	195
	ii Assistance	197

iii Composite judgments	201
iv Language	206
E Mode and style of justification	208
F Case-load	212
i Age and volume of precedents	212
ii Subject matter diversity and abstraction	213
G Oubliettes and guillotines	216
<b>8 The normativity of ECJ precedents</b>	<b>218</b>
A Conceptual approaches	218
B Archetypes	220
i Civil law systems	220
(a) Codes and rational deduction	220
(b) Entrenched negation	224
(c) Express exceptions	228
ii Common law systems	232
(a) <i>Stare (in)decisis</i>	232
(b) Historical exceptionalism	235
iii International law	238
C The ECJ	243
i The view of the academy	243
ii The view from within	245
iii Reconstruction	253
(a) Denial of law-making	254
(b) Entrenched negation	254
(c) Legality and limited mandate	255
(d) Substantive support	259
(e) Normative effect beyond bindingness	262
D Sacramental fiction	272
<b>9 Conclusions and suggestions</b>	<b>276</b>
<i>Appendix A: ECJ Grand Chamber data</i>	283
<i>Appendix B: Investment Tribunal data</i>	290
<i>Bibliography</i>	297
<i>Index</i>	325

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.D.i Binary model	<i>page</i> 22
2.D.ii Ternary model	33
2.E.ii Heterogeneous flow of legal information from precedent to precedent	51
2.F.iv General law-making	68
4.E Comparison of top three precedent uses	126
5.B.i. The (dis)application triangle	137