

Table of Contents

	Page
TABLE OF CASES	xiii
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Treaty Regimes	3
A. Overview	3
B. Paris Convention	5
<i>Same Invention</i>	6
C. The Patent Cooperation Treaty	10
<i>Stevens v. Tamai</i>	12
D. The TRIPS Agreement	16
<i>Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights</i>	16
<i>WTO Ministerial Declaration Adopted on 14 November 2001</i>	22
<i>Canada—Patent Protection of Pharmaceutical Products</i>	27
E. Section 55.2(2) (The Stockpiling Exception)	29
F. Section 55.2(1) (The Regulatory Review Exception)	31
Chapter 3. Patentable Subject Matter	39
A. Patentable Subject Matter Under TRIPS	39
B. Chemicals and Biotechnological Subject Matter	40
<i>Novartis AG v. Union of India</i>	43
C. The Conflict Over Gene Patenting	48
D. Software	52
<i>Duns Licensing Associates/Estimating Sales Activity (T154/04)</i>	53
<i>Computer program exclusion/G 3/08</i>	59
E. Patentability Exclusion: Objections on Moral Grounds	67
<i>Stanford/Modified Animal</i>	69
F. Patentability Exclusion: Diagnostic and Surgical Methods	73
Chapter 4. Industrial Applicability	76
A. Definitions of Industry and Applicability	76
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Human Genome Sciences Inc.</i>	78
Chapter 5. Priority, Novelty and Inventive Step	91
A. Priority and Novelty	91
<i>First-To-File v. First-To-Invent</i>	91
<i>Inventorship and Ownership</i>	94

	Page
A. Priority and Novelty—Continued	
<i>Latchways</i>	95
<i>Anticipation and Inherency</i>	97
<i>Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. H.N. Norton & Co. Ltd.</i>	97
<i>Synthon B.V. v. Smithkline Beecham Plc</i>	109
B. Inventive Step	122
<i>Problem Solution Approach</i>	122
<i>BASF/Metal Refining</i>	123
<i>Actavis UK Limited v. Novartis AG</i>	126
<i>Secondary Considerations</i>	144
<i>T 1212/01</i>	144
Chapter 6. Disclosure Requirements	152
A. Enablement	152
<i>In re Bayer Schering Pharma A.G.</i>	153
<i>Generics Limited v. H. Lundbeck A/S</i>	160
B. Written Description Requirement	171
<i>In re Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma</i>	172
Chapter 7. Infringement	182
A. Litigation Procedure	182
B. Extent of Patent Protection	183
<i>Europe</i>	183
<i>Kirin Amgen Inc. v. Hoechst Marion Roussel Ltd.</i>	183
<i>Cutting Blade I (Schneidmesser I)</i>	199
<i>Asia</i>	207
<i>Tsubakimoto Seiko Co. Ltd. v. THK K.K.</i>	208
C. Indirect Infringement	215
<i>Impeller Flow Meter (Flügelradzähler)</i>	215
D. Exceptions to Infringement	227
<i>Corevalve Inc. v. Edwards Lifesciences A.G.</i>	228
E. Repair Versus Reconstruction	231
<i>Canon K.K. v. Recycle Assist K.K.</i>	231
Chapter 8. Remedies	236
A. Injunctions	236
B. Compulsory Licenses	237
C. TRIPS, Doha and Compulsory Licenses	241
<i>Decision of the General Council, Amendment of the TRIPS Agreement, Annex to the Protocol Amending the TRIPS Agreement (Article 31bis)</i>	241
D. Case Study: Doha and Canada's Access to Medicines Regime	246
E. Compulsory Licenses at the National Level	247
<i>Germany: Patents—compulsory Licence: Polyferon</i>	248
F. Preliminary Injunctions	254
<i>F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd. v. CIPLA Ltd.</i>	254

	Page
G. Monetary Relief	259
<i>Ultraframe (UK) Ltd. v. Eurocell Building Plastics Ltd.</i>	260
Chapter 9. Patents & Competition Law and Policy	265
A. United States Antitrust Law	265
1. Misuse and Patent-Antitrust	266
<i>Illinois Tool Works Inc. v. Independent Ink, Inc.</i>	269
2. Limited Licenses and Patent Exhaustion	270
<i>Quanta Computer, Inc. v. L.G. Electronics, Inc.</i>	271
3. Patent Settlements	278
<i>In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation</i> ..	278
B. European Union Competition Law	285
1. Abuse of Dominant Position	286
<i>Judgment of the General Court (Sixth Chamber, Ex-</i> <i>tended Composition)</i>	286
<i>Merck v. Primecrown</i>	297
2. Patents and Industry Standards	303
<i>Orange Book Standard</i>	303
C. Comparative Licensing Practice	307
<i>Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Prop-</i> <i>erty</i>	308
<i>Commission Regulation (EC) No 772/2004 of 27 April 2004</i> <i>on the Application of Article 81(3) of the Treaty to</i> <i>Categories of Technology Transfer Agreements</i>	312
Chapter 10. Patents and Territorial Borders	319
A. Foreign Jurisdiction and Territoriality	319
<i>Roche Nederland BV v. Primus</i>	320
<i>Gesellschaft für Antriebstechnik mbH & Co. KG v. Lamel-</i> <i>len und Kuplungsbau Beteiligungs KG (GAT v. LuK)</i>	324
B. A Look Ahead: A Unified European Patent System?	330
<i>Conclusions on an Enhanced Patent System in Europe</i>	331
C. Patent Enforcement at the Border: Agencies and IP En- forcement	334
<i>Sosecal v. Sisvel</i>	335