

**TABLE OF CONTENTS /
TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS**

Foreword / Avant-propos / Prólogo	7
Coordinators / Coordinateurs / Coordinadores	11
Contributors / Contributeurs / Contribuyentes	13
Acknowledgments / Remerciements / Agradecimientos	17

INTRODUCTION / INTRODUCTION / INTRODUCCIÓN

INTRODUCTION. – Open Questions concerning the Boundaries of European Private International Law	21
---	----

Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ

INTRODUCTION. – Questions ouvertes autour des frontières du droit international privé européen	27
---	----

Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ

INTRODUCCIÓN. – Preguntas abiertas en torno a las fronteras del derecho internacional privado europeo	31
--	----

Jean-Sylvestre BERGÉ

**FIRST PART / PREMIÈRE PARTIE / PRIMERA PARTE
EUROPEAN PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW
AND NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LAW**

**DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN
ET DROIT NATIONAL ET INTERNATIONAL**

**DERECHO INTERNACIONAL PRIVADO EUROPEO
Y DERECHO NACIONAL E INTERNACIONAL**

INTRODUCTION TO THE FIRST PART – European Private International Law and National and International Law	39
---	----

Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO

INTRODUCTION DE LA PREMIÈRE PARTIE. – Droit international privé européen et droit national et international	45
--	----

Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO

INTRODUCCIÓN A LA PRIMERA PARTE. – Derecho internacional privado europeo y derecho nacional e internacional	51
--	----

Miguel GARDEÑES SANTIAGO

TITRE I

INTRODUCTORY CONTRIBUTIONS

CONTRIBUTIONS LIMINAIRES

CONTRIBUCIONES INTRODUCTORIAS

CHAPITRE 1. – The EU Regulation on Succession Matters and the Territorial Conflicts of Laws within the European Boundaries	59
---	----

Albert FONT I SEGURA

I. – PRESENTATION	60
II. – HOW THE EU SOLVED TERRITORIAL CONFLICTS OF LAWS, UNTIL THE EU'S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION	62
III. – THE SOLUTION ESTABLISHED IN THE EU'S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION	65
IV. – THE POSSIBLE PROBLEMS CAUSED BY ART. 36 OF THE EU'S REGULATION ON SUCCESSION WITHIN THE EU	68
A. – <i>Testate or intestate succession</i>	69
1. – <i>The deceased is a Spanish citizen</i>	69
2. – <i>The deceased is a European citizen</i>	71
B. – <i>Agreements as to succession</i>	74
1. – <i>Agreement as to succession regarding the succession of one person</i>	75
2. – <i>Agreement as to succession regarding the succession of several persons</i>	76
V. – CONCLUSION	77

CHAPITRE 2. – Enforcement of Foreign Mediation Agreements within the European Union	79
--	----

Guillermo PALAO MORENO

INTRODUCTION	80
--------------------	----

I. – THE ENFORCEABILITY OF AGREEMENTS RESULTING FROM MEDIATION AS THE PRINCIPLE OF DEPARTURE	82
--	----

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	703
II. – THE ENFORCEMENT OF MEDIATION SETTLEMENTS	83
III. – THE CROSS-BORDER ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENTS	85
IV. – ASSESSMENT	88
 CHAPITRE 3. – Tribunal Unificado de Patentes: Competencia Judicial y Reconocimiento de Resoluciones	
(Unified Patent Court: International Jurisdiction and Recognition of Judgements) ..	89
Pedro Alberto DE MIGUELASENSIO	
INTRODUCCIÓN	91
I. – TRIBUNAL UNIFICADO DE PATENTES :COMPETENCIA POR RAZÓN DE LA MATERIA, REPARTO INTERNO DE PROCEDIMIENTOS Y COMPETENCIA JUDICIAL INTERNACIONAL.	92
II. – CONTENIDO DEL REGLAMENTO (UE) N° 542/2014 QUE MODIFICA EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	95
III. – LA COMPETENCIA JUDICIAL INTERNACIONAL TRAS EL REGLAMENTO (UE) N° 542/2014	100
A. – <i>Extensión de las reglas de competencia a los demandados no domiciliados en un Estado miembro</i>	100
B. – <i>La regla sobre competencia subsidiaria en la Propuesta de Reglamento</i>	102
C. – <i>El nuevo artículo 71 ter apartado 3 del Reglamento Bruselas I bis .</i>	105
D. – <i>Valoración crítica de la competencia basada en la presencia de bienes del demandado.</i>	106
IV. – RECONOCIMIENTO Y EJECUCIÓN DE RESOLUCIONES	113
A. – <i>Alcance del Reglamento (UE) n° 542/2014.</i>	113
1. – <i>Motivos de denegación del reconocimiento</i>	115
B. – <i>Medidas de ejecución</i>	116
CONCLUSIÓN	118

TITRE 2

YOUNG RESEARCHERS CONTRIBUTIONS

CONTRIBUTIONS DES JEUNES CHERCHEURS

CONTRIBUCIONES DE LOS JÓVENES INVESTIGADORES

CHAPITRE 1. – Agency and Distribution Contracts: National Rules	
v. European Private International Law	123
María Asunción CEBRIÁN SALVAT	

I. – DISPARITY BETWEEN EUROPEAN AND NATIONAL PERCEPTIONS OF WEAKER PARTIES TO A CONTRACT	124
II. – THE GREY AREA OF COLLABORATION CONTRACTS	125
A. – <i>Spanish protective private international law rules</i>	127
B. – <i>Belgian protective private international law rules</i>	127
III. – PREVALENCE OF THESE RULES OVER EPIL.	128
A. – <i>International Jurisdiction provisions</i>	128
1. – <i>The facts of the case and the preliminary question</i>	129
2. – <i>The decision of the ECJ and its implications</i>	130
B. – <i>Conflict-of-law provisions</i>	131
1. – <i>Facts of the case and preliminary question</i>	131
2. – <i>How to detect an overriding mandatory provision: the question of public interest</i>	132
3. – <i>The decision of the Court</i>	135
4. – <i>Implications of the decision</i>	136
IV. – CONCLUSIONS	137
 CHAPITRE 2. – Reconciling Mandatory Norms and European Union Private International Law in Contractual Obligations.	 139
Michaël DA LOZZO	
I. – ABSENCE OF CONTROL OVER THE OVERRIDING NATURE OF A NATIONAL NORM.	142
A. – <i>A missed opportunity to clarify the overriding qualification criteria</i>	143
B. – <i>Incoherencies created by an absence of strict control</i>	146
II. – NEED OF CONTROL OVER THE OVERRIDING MANDATORY RULES	150
A. – <i>Influence of the mandatory rules mechanism</i>	150
B. – <i>Influence of the system of recognition and enforcement of decisions</i>	155
CONCLUSION	158
 CHAPITRE 3. – The European Legal Framework on Consumer Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)	 161
Josep SUQUET CAPDEVILA	
I. – TOWARDS A CONTEXTUAL FRAMEWORK OF CONSUMER ODR.	162
A. – <i>The lack of appropriate means of consumer redress</i>	162
B. – <i>Technologies for an informal justice</i>	164
C. – <i>Consumers' access to justice in the EU</i>	166

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	705
II. – A EU LAW APPROACH TOWARDS CONSUMER ADR/ODR	168
A. – <i>The EU secondary legislation on consumer ADR/ODR</i>	168
B. – <i>Mediation in civil and commercial aspects versus consumer mediation</i>	170
III. – THE DIRECTIVE 2013/11/EU ON CONSUMER ADR	173
A. – <i>Its aim and scope of application</i>	173
B. – <i>ADR entities and Competent authorities</i>	176
C. – <i>Regulatory principles</i>	177
D. – <i>Information, assistance and cooperation</i>	180
IV. – AN ODR PLATFORM FOR CONSUMER DISPUTES	181
A. – <i>Its aim and scope of application</i>	181
B. – <i>Functions of the ODR platform</i>	181
C. – <i>Network of ODR contact points</i>	184
D. – <i>Submission and resolution of the complaints</i>	185
V. – CONCLUSIONS	186
 CHAPITRE 4. – European Account Preservation Order: What does the Common Law Tradition have to say?	 189
Nicolas KYRIAKIDES	
I. – PLACE OF THE REGULATION IN THE NATIONAL, INTERNATIONAL, AND EUROPEAN LEGAL ORDER	191
II. – AVAILABLE OPTIONS FOR THE EAPO	193
III. – COMMON LAW CHARACTERISTICS MISSING FROM THE EAPO REGULATION	195
 CHAPITRE 5. – Cross Border Creditor's Protection: the Impact of the European Account Preservation Order	 199
Maria Teresa SOLÍS SANTOS	
INTRODUCTION	200
I. – TRANSPARENCY OF DEBTOR'S BANK ACCOUNTS	201
II. – THE DEBTOR'S DOMICILE	206
III. – ABOLITION OF EXEQUATUR	208
IV. – IMPACT ON THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OF THE DEBTOR	209
CONCLUSION	210

CHAPITRE 6. – Judicial Powers over Penalty Clauses and Proceedings**Followed before an English Court. 211**

Verona TIÓ

I. – INTRODUCTION: REMEDIES AND THE <i>LEX FORI</i>	212
A. – <i>English PIL and RIR</i>	214
B. – <i>Lex fori regit processum and RIR</i>	217
II. – A WORKABLE HYPOTHETICAL CASE.	220
III. – THE CAVEAT OF THE LIMITS OF THE POWERS CONFERRED ON THE COURT BY ITS PROCEDURAL LAW	223
A. – <i>The deliberations on the caveat</i>	224
B. – <i>From the general to the specific: lack of consensus</i>	226
C. – <i>Limits identified: rationale and consequence?</i>	228
D. – <i>French judicial powers alien to forum's traditions and practices as a "limit"?</i>	229

CHAPITRE 7. – Discrimination against Third State Respondents**in Regulation (EC) No. 2201/2003 233**

Céline CAMARA

INTRODUCTION	234
I. – BRUSSELS IIa RULES: GOING BEYOND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE FSJA	236
A. – <i>The schizophrenic scope of Brussels IIa</i>	237
B. – <i>The preservation of national sovereignty and exorbitant grounds of jurisdiction</i>	238
II. – THE CATEGORIZATION OF RESPONDENTS: A EUROCENTRIC TERNARY DISTINCTION	240
A. – <i>EU respondents: the insular approach to exorbitant grounds of jurisdiction</i>	241
B. – <i>Third-State respondents: the category fully exposed to exorbitant jurisdiction</i>	242
III. – RECONCILING EUPIL WITH PIL APPLICABLE TO THIRD-STATE RESPONDENTS	243
A. – <i>The reconciliation: extension of jurisdiction rules to third-State respondents</i>	244
B. – <i>EUPIL in family matters: a uniform regulation of jurisdiction in relation to Third States</i>	246
CONCLUSION	247

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	707
CHAPITRE 8. – Moving Back and Forth: On the Relationship between the Brussels I Regulation and International and National Law	249
Libor HAVELKA	
INTRODUCTION	250
I. – ARTICLE 71 OF THE REGULATION AND THE COURT'S INTERPRETATION.	251
II. – INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 71 OF THE REGULATION BY NATIONAL COURTS	253
A. – <i>Subsidiary Application of the Regulation</i>	253
B. – <i>Clash of Specialised Conventions and Principles Underlying the Regulation</i>	256
III. – SHORTCOMINGS OF THE PRESENT SYSTEM	258
IV. – POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS.	260
A. – <i>Systematic Changes</i>	260
B. – <i>Role of the National Courts - Possible Options Available Within the Current Framework</i>	261
CONCLUSION	264
CHAPITRE 9. – Reform to the Regime of <i>lis Pendens</i> in Regulation Brussels I bis and Harmonization with Chinese Jurisdiction	265
Huang ZHANG	
INTRODUCTION	266
I. – THE REGIME OF <i>LIS PENDENS</i> IN RBI AND RBI <i>BIS</i>	266
II. – THE REGIME OF <i>LIS PENDENS</i> IN CHINESE LAW AND CHALLENGE MET BY CHINESE JURISDICTION AFTER PROMULGATION OF RBI <i>BIS</i>	272
III. – A POSSIBLE BILATERAL INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION REGARDING <i>LIS PENDENS</i> BETWEEN CHINA AND THE EU	276
CONCLUSION	279
CHAPITRE 10. – (Lack of) International Jurisdiction over Third-Country Polluters: A Trojan Horse to the EU's Environmental Policy?	283
Eduardo ÁLVAREZ ARMAS	
INTRODUCTION	284

708 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

I. – THE CRYSTALLIZATION OF UN-EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN THE FRAME OF ENVIRONMENTAL TORTS CAUSED BY THIRD-COUNTRY POLLUTERS.	287
II. – THE SPECIAL CHARACTER OF ENVIRONMENTAL TORTS	289
CONCLUSION	294
 CHAPITRE 11. – Reconciling the European Union Succession Regulation with the Private International Law of the UK	 297
Jayne HOLLIDAY	
 INTRODUCTION	 298
I. – HABITUAL RESIDENCE.	299
II. – CLAWBACK.	303
CONCLUSION	308
 CHAPITRE 12. – The European Insolvency Regulation and the External World: The Boundaries of European Jurisdiction.	 311
Nicolò NISI	
 INTRODUCTORY REMARKS	 312
I. – THE TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF APPLICATION OF THE INSOLVENCY REGULATION RELATING TO JURISDICTION	314
A. – <i>The role of internal market considerations in defining the scope of the EIR.</i>	314
B. – <i>The need for a substantive internal market approach.</i>	317
II. – THE (MODIFIED) UNIVERSALISM MODEL IN CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCIES AS A TOOL FOR DEFINING EUROPEAN JURISDICTION IN INSOLVENCY MATTERS.	318
III. – THE BOUNDARIES OF THE INSOLVENCY REGULATION AND THE APPLICATION OF THE <i>EFFET RÉFLEXE</i> DOCTRINE: TOWARD UNIVERSALITY IN INSOLVENCY MATTERS?	322
A. – <i>The reflexive effect of Art. 3 EIR</i>	324
B. – <i>The EIR should apply to EU establishment of non-EU debtors</i>	327
C. – <i>Some reflections from the perspective of local (EU) creditors.</i>	329
 CONCLUDING REMARKS: A PLEA FOR A TRULY “INTERNATIONAL” UNIVERSALISM OF EUROPEAN CONFLICTS OF JURISDICTION	 331

**CHAPITRE 13. – The Boundaries of Private International Law:
State of European and American Exorbitant Jurisdiction Rules..... 335**

Cécile PELLEGRINI

I. – EXORBITANT JUDICIAL AREA UNDER THE US AND EU MODELS.	338
A. – <i>The European two-stage system</i>	339
B. – <i>The American broad jurisdiction and its forum non conveniens corrective.</i>	342
II. – FRAMING THE JUDICIAL AREA IN THE US AND THE EU MODELS ...	346
A. – <i>Toward an international dialogue: answers to American exorbitance</i>	347
B. – <i>Towards more flexibility: answers of the Brussels I Regulation recast</i>	351
CONCLUSION	358

**CHAPITRE 14. – La Contratacion entre Consumidores de la UE y Empresas
de Terceros Estados: Evolucion del DIPR Europeo
(Contracts between EU Consumers and Third Country Businesses:
The Evolution of EU Private International Law) 361**

Clara Isabel CORDERO ÁLVAREZ

INTRODUCCIÓN	362
I. – LA PROTECCIÓN DEL CONSUMIDOR EN EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I	363
II. – LA AMPLIACIÓN DEL ALCANCE DE LA PROTECCIÓN EN EL REGLAMENTO BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	366
III. – LA JURISPRUDENCIA DEL TJUE : DE BRUSELAS I A BRUSELAS I <i>BIS</i>	368
A. – <i>Determinación de la actividad dirigida</i>	368
B. – <i>Vinculación del contrato a la actividad dirigida</i>	370
IV. – CUESTIONES PENDIENTES.	374
V. – LA EFICACIA EXTRATERRITORIAL DE LAS DECISIONES JUDICIALES	376
CONCLUSIONES	378

SECOND PART /
DEUXIÈME PARTIE /
SEGUNDA PARTE

EUROPEAN PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW AND EUROPEAN LAW
DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN ET DROIT EUROPÉEN
DERECHO INTERNACIONAL PRIVADO EUROPEO Y DERECHO EUROPEO

INTRODUCTION TO THE SECOND PART. – The “Internal” Borders within European Private International Law	383
Stéphanie FRANCO	
INTRODUCTION DE LA SECONDE PARTIE. – Les frontières « internes » au droit international privé européen	387
Stéphanie FRANCO	
INTRODUCCIÓN A LA SEGUNDA PARTE. – Las fronteras « internas » en el derecho internacional privado europeo	391
Stéphanie FRANCO	

TITRE I
INTRODUCTORY CONTRIBUTIONS
CONTRIBUTIONS LIMINAIRES
CONTRIBUCIONES INTRODUCTORIAS

CHAPITRE I. – The Instrumentalisation of Private International Law: <i>Quo Vadis?</i> Rethinking the “Neutrality” of Private International Law in an Era of Globalisation and Europeanisation of Private International Law ...	399
Prof. Dr. Veerle VAN DEN ECKHOUT	
I. – PIL: ESOTERIC IVORY-TOWER SCIENCE AND/OR KEY ROLE IN SEVERAL HEATED AND TOPICAL SOCIO-LEGAL DEBATES	400
II. – RECENT DEVELOPMENTS APPEALING TO PIL	404
A. – <i>PIL: coming from a dogma of “neutrality”</i>	404
B. – ... <i>on implicit and explicit instrumental use of PIL</i>	404
1. – <i>The EU as a new actor in PIL: an explicit form of instrumentalisation of PIL</i>	404
2. – <i>Manifestations of instrumentalisation of PIL also before and outside the process of Europeanisation of PIL</i>	406
3. – <i>Questions on the governance role of PIL both in a context of Europeanisation of PIL or in a “European context”, and in a general context of globalisation</i>	407

C. – ... resulting in questions of the “neutrality” of PIL in a dual sense: neutral PIL versus non-neutral PIL and neutral-conducting, neutralised PIL that serves as a “path of least resistance” versus PIL that offers internal resistance to pressure from outside	410
III. – PRESENT STATE OF AFFAIRS: NEED FOR CONTEMPLATION AND REFLECTION, FOR FURTHER AND SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS OF NEUTRALITY AND INSTRUMENTALISATION – A NEED FOR AN ANALYSIS OF THE OPPORTUNITY, LEGITIMACY, EFFECTIVENESS AND SIDE EFFECTS OF ASSIGNING AN INSTRUMENTAL FUNCTION TO PIL	412
IV. – A POSSIBLE METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	414
A. – <i>An approach by carrying out, from the aforementioned research perspective, a number of case-studies.</i>	414
B. – <i>Exploratory study on the basis of case studies which show all kinds of similarities as well as differences</i>	414
V. – IN CONCLUSION: A ROLE FOR PIL IN ACHIEVING SOCIAL JUSTICE? ...	416
CHAPITRE 2. – L’adaptation du droit international privé européen aux exigences du marché intérieur	419
Marion HO-DAC	
INTRODUCTION	420
I. – LA MISE EN PLACE DE CLAUSES D’ARTICULATION ENTRE LE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ ET LE DROIT DU MARCHÉ INTÉRIEUR.	423
A. – <i>Clauses d’articulation et droit primaire des libertés de circulation</i>	424
B. – <i>Clauses d’articulation et droit dérivé relatif au marché intérieur.</i> ...	426
1. – <i>L’articulation de techniques du marché intérieur avec le droit international privé</i>	426
a. – <i>L’articulation entre les « clauses de police » et le droit international privé</i>	427
b. – <i>L’articulation entre les clauses « marché intérieur » et le droit international privé</i>	428
2. – <i>L’articulation des textes de droit privé uniforme européen avec le droit international privé</i>	431
II. – LA CRÉATION DE NOUVELLES RÈGLES DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ EUROPÉEN AU SERVICE DU MARCHÉ INTÉRIEUR.	433
A. – <i>La création de règles européennes de conflit de lois</i>	434
1. – <i>Les règles européennes de conflit de lois suggérées par le droit primaire.</i>	434

2. – <i>Les règles européennes de conflit de lois suggérées par le droit dérivé</i>	436
B. – <i>La mise en place de procédés européens de reconnaissance</i>	437

TITRE 2

YOUNG RESEARCHERS CONTRIBUTIONS

CONTRIBUTIONS DES JEUNES CHERCHEURS

CONTRIBUCIONES DE LOS JÓVENES INVESTIGADORES

CHAPITRE 1. – The Principle of Effectiveness in European Law and European Private International Law: The Case of Transnational Employment in the European Court of Justice and English Courts	443
--	-----

Uglješa GRUŠIĆ

INTRODUCTION	444
I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF EFFECTIVENESS IN EU LAW	446
II. – FUNDAMENTAL ECONOMIC FREEDOMS <i>VERSUS</i> THE RIGHT TO STRIKE...	449
III. – TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF THE COMMERCIAL AGENTS DIRECTIVE AND IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION	452
IV. – TERRITORIAL SCOPE OF EU LAW-DERIVED RIGHTS IN ENGLISH EMPLOYMENT LAW	455
CONCLUSIONS	461

CHAPITRE 2. – The Habitual Workplace and the Freedom of Establishment in International Transport	463
---	-----

Fieke VAN OVERBEEKE

INTRODUCTION	465
A. – <i>Origin of European private international law</i>	465
B. – <i>European dimension – Failure of reconciliation</i>	465
C. – <i>Focus – social aspects in the international transport sector</i>	467
I. – SITUATION EUROPEAN TRANSPORT SECTOR	468
II. – LEGAL FRAMEWORK – FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOM OF ESTABLISHMENT AND EPIL	469
A. – <i>Freedom of establishment</i>	469
1. – <i>From non-discrimination to market access approach</i>	469
2. – <i>Viking case</i>	471
a. – <i>Facts</i>	471
b. – <i>Findings of the Court</i>	471

B. – <i>EPIL – Article 8 Rome I in the Koelzsch case</i>	472
1. – <i>Article 8 Rome I</i>	472
2. – <i>The Koelzsch case</i>	473
a. – <i>Facts</i>	473
b. – <i>Article 8 Section 3 par excellence written for international transport situations...?</i>	473
c. – <i>Findings of the Court</i>	473
C. – <i>Implications</i>	474
1. – <i>Influence of EU law on (E)PIL</i>	475
2. – <i>Still a non-discrimination approach in the Viking case?</i>	475
3. – <i>Evaluation</i>	476
III. – <i>PLACE AND BOUNDARIES OF EPIL</i>	476
A. – <i>EPIL as a chameleon</i>	476
B. – <i>Possible shift towards more labour protection in Europe</i>	477
1. – <i>Treaty of Lisbon and the interpretation by Advocate General Villalón</i>	477
2. – <i>Flipping trend by the EU legislator?</i>	478
a. – <i>Enforcing Directive on the Posting of Workers Directive...</i>	478
b. – <i>Requirement of 'effective and stable' establishment for international transport companies ex Regulation (EC) No. 1071/2009</i>	479
CONCLUSIONS	480

CHAPITRE 3. – Les rapports entre la directive détachement et le Règlement Rome I : on efface tout et on recommence ?..... 483

Dr.Alexandre DEFOSSEZ

INTRODUCTION	484
I. – <i>LE REcul DE LA RECHERCHE DU LIEU HABITUEL DE TRAVAIL DANS LA DIRECTIVE DÉTACHEMENT</i>	485
A. – <i>Le Règlement Rome I : l'articulation entre le lieu de travail habituel et les lois de police</i>	486
B. – <i>La directive détachement : un noyau dur de lois de police</i>	490
II. – <i>VERS UNE RÉCONCILIATION DE LA DIRECTIVE DÉTACHEMENT AVEC LA NOTION DE LIEU DE TRAVAIL HABITUEL</i>	494
A. – <i>L'arrêt Commission c/ Luxembourg : révélateur des manques de la directive</i>	494
B. – <i>La directive relative à l'exécution de la directive détachement : la recherche d'un nouvel équilibre entre loi du pays d'exécution du travail et loi du lieu habituel de travail</i>	498
CONCLUSION	502

CHAPITRE 4.— The Personal Scope of Consumer Law in the European Union (Aspects of Substantive Law and Private International Law)	505
Blandine DE CLAVIÈRE et Bianca PASCALE	
I.— CONSISTENT ELEMENTS.	508
II.— HETEROGENEOUS DEFINITIONS IN NATIONAL LEGISLATIONS	510
III.— AN INCOMPLETE EFFORT FROM THE EUROPEAN LEGISLATOR.	517
CHAPITRE 5.— Vers un droit commun européen de la vente : l'ambition affichée de l'Union face à la modération des États membres.	523
Céline MOILLE	
I.— UNE PROPOSITION AUDACIEUSE, MAIS AU CHAMP D'APPLICATION LIMITÉ	525
II.— LA PLACE DE LA PROPOSITION DANS LE MÉCANISME CLASSIQUE DE DROIT INTERNATIONAL PRIVÉ ÉDICTÉ PAR L'UNION EUROPÉENNE	528
III.— LA DÉLICATE ARTICULATION DE LA PROPOSITION AVEC LES CONSTRUCTIONS EXISTANTES DE DROIT NATIONAL	530
CHAPITRE 6.— Reconciling Private International Law with European Consumer Law: Where to Start Consumer Protection in the Context of E-Commerce?	537
Lydia BEIL	
INTRODUCTION	538
I.— GENERAL LEGISLATIVE PURPOSES	539
A.— <i>Promoting European cross-border e-commerce</i>	540
B.— <i>Consumer protection in general</i>	541
II.— THE DIFFERENT CRITERIA FOR THE MATERIAL SCOPE	541
A.— <i>Substantive European private law</i>	541
1.— <i>Distant contract as a general criterion</i>	542
2.— <i>Definition of the distance contract criterion</i>	543
B.— <i>Private International Law</i>	544
1.— <i>Material criterion used to apply consumer protection</i>	544
2.— <i>Development of the material scope</i>	545
3.— <i>Transfer to Rome I?</i>	546
4.— <i>Evaluation of the present state of the criterion</i>	547
5.— <i>Possible limitations and other options</i>	549

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	715
III. – CESL AS A SOLUTION FOR THE FUTURE?	550
A. – <i>Relationship of the CESL with European PIL</i>	551
B. – <i>Scope of application of the CESL</i>	551
CONCLUSION	552
 CHAPITRE 7. – The Impact of Primary EU Law on the Bilateral Conflict of Laws Rules of the Member States	555
Laura LIUBERTAITE	
 I. – INTRODUCTION	556
II. – <i>HOW DOES PRIMARY EU LAW AFFECT BILATERAL CONFLICT OF LAWS RULES OF THE MEMBER STATES?</i>	559
A. – <i>Does primary EU law affect the choice of connecting factor?</i>	559
1. – <i>Natural persons – nationality</i>	560
2. – <i>Companies – real seat</i>	563
B. – <i>Does primary EU law affect the application of bilateral conflict of laws rules of the member states?</i>	565
C. – <i>How does primary EU law influence choice of law method?</i>	571
1. – <i>Primary EU law as a reason for renewal of the bilateral method</i>	572
2. – <i>Primary EU law as a basis for an auxiliary use of the method of coordination of legal orders based on a global reference to the competent legal order</i>	573
3. – <i>Primary EU law as a cause of renaissance/metamorphosis of the method of recognition of situations</i>	575
4. – <i>Primary EU law as “a confirmed return flight ticket” for unilateralism</i>	577
III. – CONCLUSION	579
 CHAPITRE 8. – Articulating the Various PIL Instruments in the Field of Insolvency	581
Farouk BELLIL	
 I. – THE DIFFICULTIES OF ARTICULATION BETWEEN THE CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY AND THE CIVIL AND COMMERCIAL MATTERS IN EUROPEAN INSTRUMENTS	583
A. – <i>The delimitation of scope of application of Regulations (EC) No. 1346/2000 and No. 44/2001</i>	584
B. – <i>The question of the applicable regime to “other decision” within Directives on reorganization and winding-up of credit institutions and insurance companies</i>	586

II. – THE DIFFICULTIES RELATED TO THE DETERMINATION OF THE APPLICABLE LAW	588
A. – <i>The articulation of the European provisions relating to contracts of employment in case of insolvency of the employer . .</i>	588
B. – <i>The difficulties related to actions to set aside</i>	591
CHAPITRE 9. – La protection des débiteurs dans les règlements européens de procédure civile : le réexamen du réexamen	599
Éléonore de DUVE et Katharina RAFFELSIEPER	
I. – PERSPECTIVE HORIZONTALE : LES RÈGLEMENTS EUROPÉENS DE JUSTICE CIVILE ET LE RÉEXAMEN	602
A. – <i>But des règlements de justice civile prévoyant le réexamen</i>	602
B. – <i>Modus operandi des règlements de justice civile prévoyant le réexamen</i>	603
C. – <i>Le réexamen des règlements européens de justice civile</i>	605
1. – <i>Notions et conditions</i>	605
2. – <i>Un mécanisme uniforme ?</i>	605
3. – <i>Conséquences</i>	608
D. – <i>La révision des petits litiges</i>	609
II. – PERSPECTIVE VERTICALE : LA MISE EN ŒUVRE DU RÉEXAMEN AU NIVEAU NATIONAL	610
A. – <i>Le pouvoir réglementaire des États membres</i>	610
B. – <i>Le pouvoir discrétionnaire national</i>	612
1. – <i>La juridiction compétente pour le réexamen</i>	612
2. – <i>La procédure</i>	613
3. – <i>Analyse</i>	615
CONCLUSION	617
CHAPITRE 10. – The Relationship Between the Brussels I Recast and the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court, Specially Focusing on Patent Infringement: When Reality Exceeds Fiction	619
María Aránzazu GANDÍA SELLENS	
INTRODUCTION	620
I. – THE OPTION FOR AN INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION	621
II. – EXCLUSIVE GROUNDS OF JURISDICTION	624
III. – THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM TO WHICH THE UPC WILL BELONG	625

TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS	717
IV. – INTERIM MEASURES.	626
V. – <i>LIS PENDENS</i>	627
VI. – DEFENDANTS DOMICILED OUTSIDE THE EUROPEAN UNION	629
VII. – THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF A STAGGERED RATIFICATION OF THE UPC AGREEMENT.	632
CONCLUSION	633
 CHAPITRE 11. – The Coordination of Jurisdiction and Applicable Law in Related Proceedings: The Interaction Between the Proposal on Matrimonial Property Regimes and the Regulations on Divorce and Succession.	 637
Jacqueline GRAY et Pablo QUINZÁ REDONDO	
INTRODUCTION	638
I. – JURISDICTION.	640
A. – <i>Jurisdiction upon Succession</i>	640
1. – <i>Jurisdiction in the absence of choice upon succession</i>	641
2. – <i>Prorogation of court upon succession</i>	641
B. – <i>Jurisdiction upon divorce</i>	643
1. – <i>Jurisdiction in the absence of choice of court agreement upon divorce</i>	643
2. – <i>Choice of court agreements upon divorce</i>	645
II. – THE APPLICABLE LAW	646
A. – <i>Law applicable in the absence of choice</i>	647
B. – <i>Choice of law</i>	650
1. – <i>Choice of law upon succession</i>	651
2. – <i>Choice of Law upon divorce</i>	652
III. – RECOMMENDATIONS.	653
IV. – FINAL REMARKS.	655
 CHAPITRE 12. – The Method of Recognition in European Private International Law and its Scope of Application	 657
Ioannis SOMARAKIS	
INTRODUCTION: THE NOTION OF RECOGNITION IN EU LAW AND IN PIL	658
I. – FORMS OF RECOGNITION IN EU LAW	659
A. – <i>Recognition in the field of the free movement of goods and services between the EU MSs</i>	659

718 TABLE OF CONTENTS / TABLE DES MATIÈRES / TABLA DE CONTENIDOS

B. – <i>Recognition in the field of the free movement of judgments between the EU MSs</i>	663
II. – THE METHOD OF RECOGNITION IN EUROPEAN PIL	664
A. – <i>Recognition of foreign public acts between the EU MSs</i>	667
1. – <i>The condition of crystallization</i>	669
2. – <i>The recognition of situations created ex lege or with private agreements</i>	670
B. – <i>Recognition of companies established within the EU</i>	672
C. – <i>Recognition of the personal status of EU citizens</i>	674
CONCLUSION	676
 CHAPITRE 13. – La reconnaissance des situations de statut personnel constituées au sein des États tiers	679
Amélie PANET	
I. – LA RECONNAISSANCE DES SITUATIONS CONSTITUÉES DANS DES ÉTATS TIERS : POURQUOI ?	684
A. – <i>Les justifications tirées du droit de l'Union européenne</i>	684
B. – <i>L'assimilation des situations et des décisions</i>	686
C. – <i>La pression des droits fondamentaux et de la Cour eur. D.H.</i>	688
II. – LA RECONNAISSANCE DES SITUATIONS CONSTITUÉES DANS DES ÉTATS TIERS : COMMENT ?	691
A. – <i>Les difficultés qui se posent pour les situations provenant d'État tiers</i>	692
B. – <i>Quelles conditions pour la reconnaissance ?</i>	695
C. – <i>Quelles exceptions à la reconnaissance ?</i>	697
 Table of contents / Table des matières / Tabla de contenidos	701