

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| List of Logical Symbols used in the Text | xv |
|--|----|

INTRODUCTION

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. The Problem of the Justification of Legal Decisions | 1 |
| 2. The Fundamental Ideas of this Enquiry | 14 |
| 3. Topic Theory and its Limits | 20 |
| 4. Towards Assessing whether Contemporary Methodological Discussions Reveal a Need for a Theory of Rational Legal Argumentation | 24 |

A. REFLECTIONS ON SOME THEORIES OF PRACTICAL DISCOURSE

I. Practical Discourse in Analytic Moral Philosophy 33

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Naturalism and Intuitionism | 34 |
| 1.1. Naturalism | 34 |
| 1.2. Intuitionism | 37 |
| 2. Emotivism | 39 |
| 3. Practical Discourse as a Rule-Governed Activity | 47 |
| 3.1. The Foundations in Linguistic Philosophy: Wittgenstein and Austin | 47 |
| 3.2. Hare's Theory | 58 |
| 3.3. Toulmin's Theory | 79 |
| 3.4. Baier's Theory | 93 |
| 4. Some Interim Results | 99 |

II. Habermas's Consensus Theory of Truth 101

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Habermas's Critique of the Correspondence Theory of Truth | 101 |
| 2. Combining Speech Act Theory and a Theory of Truth | 104 |
| 3. Distinguishing between Action and Discourse | 105 |
| 4. The Justification of Normative Statements | 107 |
| 5. The Logic of Discourse | 111 |
| 6. The Ideal Speech Situation | 119 |
| 7. Critical Discussion of Habermas's Theory | 124 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. The Theory of Practical Deliberation of the Erlangen School | 138 |
| 1. The Programme of the Constructive Method | 138 |
| 2. The Presupposed Purpose of Constructivist Ethics | 140 |
| 3. The Principles of Constructivist Ethics | 142 |
| 4. The Critical Genesis of Norms | 149 |
| 5. Points to Remember | 153 |
| IV. Chaim Perelman's Theory of Argumentation | 155 |
| 1. The Theory of Argumentation as a Logical Theory (In the Wider Sense) | 156 |
| 2. Argumentation as a Function of Audience | 157 |
| 3. Demonstration and Argumentation | 158 |
| 4. The Concept of the Universal Audience | 160 |
| 5. Persuading and Convincing | 164 |
| 6. Perelman's Analysis of the Structure of Argumentation | 164 |
| 7. The Rationality of Argumentation | 169 |
| 8. Points to Remember | 173 |
| B. OUTLINE OF A THEORY OF GENERAL RATIONAL PRACTICAL DISCOURSE | |
| 1. The Problem of the Justification of Normative Statements | 177 |
| 2. Possible Theories of Discourse | 180 |
| 3. The Justification of Rules of Discourse | 180 |
| 4. The Rules and Forms of General Practical Discourse | 187 |
| 4.1. The Basic Rules | 188 |
| 4.2. The Rationality Rules | 191 |
| 4.3. Rules for Allocating the Burden of Argument | 195 |
| 4.4. The Argument Forms | 197 |
| 4.5. The Justification Rules | 202 |
| 4.6. The Transition Rules | 206 |
| 5. The Limits of General Practical Discourse | 206 |
| C. A THEORY OF LEGAL ARGUMENTATION | |
| I. Legal Discourse as a Special Case of General Practical Discourse | 211 |
| 1. Types of Legal Discussion | 211 |
| 2. The Special Case Thesis | 212 |
| 3. Transition to a Theory of Legal Argumentation | 220 |

II. The Outline of a Theory of Legal Argumentation 221

1. Internal Justification 221
2. External Justification 230
 - 2.1. The Six Groups of Rules and Forms of External Justification 231
 - 2.2. Empirical Reasoning 232
 - 2.3. The Canons of Interpretation 234
 - 2.4. Dogmatic Reasoning 250
 - 2.5. The Use of Precedent 274
 - 2.6. The Application of Special Legal Argument Forms 279
 - 2.7. The Role of General Practical Arguments in Legal Discourse 284

III. Legal and General Practical Discourse 287

1. The Need for Legal Discourse in View of the Nature of General Practical Discourse 287
2. The Partial Correspondence in the Claim to Correctness 289
3. The Structural Correspondence between the Rules and Forms of Legal Discourse and those of General Practical Discourse 289
4. The Need for General Practical Arguments in the Framework of Legal Reasoning 291
5. The Limits and Necessity of the Theory of Rational Legal Discourse 292

Appendix 297

Bibliography 303

Index 317