

KNOWLEDGE CONTENTS

Acknowledgments v

List of Abbreviations vii

- 1** What is RDA? 1
- 2** RDA and the International Context 6
- 3** FRBR and FRAD in RDA 13
- 4** Continuity with AACR2 37
- 5** Where Do We See Changes? 47
- 6** Implementing RDA 73
- 7** Advantages, Present and Future 91

Selected Resources and Readings 105

Index 111

INDEX

A

AACR2 (Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules)
 Anglo-American bias of, 95, 100
 compatibility with legacy data from, 4, 41–42, 83, 86, 97–98
 flaws in, 2–3, 50
 full text of in RDA Toolkit, 74, 76
 history of revision attempts, 38, 42–45
 influence of ISBD framework on, 10
 as international standard, 7, 10
 on primacy of physical carrier (Rule 0.24), 44
 strengths of, 38–39
 upgrade of old records and global change, 41–42, 98
 AACR2 rule numbers, searching RDA by, 76, 81
 AACR3, 44–45
 abbreviations
 avoidance of, 70, 72n10
 and take what you see principle, 62–63
 and transcription of data, 98–99
 access points
 combinations of data elements as, 57–58
 as headings in AACR2, 33
 agency (FRAD entity), 23, 35n9
 alternative instructions. *See* options and alternative instructions

ambiguous information, reduction of, 70, 84
 American Library Association (ALA)
 ALA ALCTS CCS Committee on Cataloging: Description & Access (CC:DA), 44
 ALA Task Force on Consistency across Part I of AACR2, 43–44
 represented on JSC, 38
 Anglo-American bias of AACR2, 95, 100
 Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules. *See* AACR2 (Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules)
 annotating text of RDA Toolkit, 75–76
 appendices to RDA, 68(fig)
 application of RDA rules by local institutions or national libraries. *See* local policies and procedures
 archival resources, 3, 96
 “associated language” element, MARC field for, 85
 attributes for names, differences between FRAD and FRBR, 24–25
 attributes in FRBR, 20–21
 attributes of work and expression, 48–49
 Australian Committee on Cataloguing, 38
 authority data, new elements for, 85
 authorized access points and nature of relationships, 68–69

no limits on, 65–66
 as uniform titles in AACR2, 33
 authors. *See* creators in
 authorized access point
 automated data capture. *See* data capture

B

base material, expanded MARC fixed fields for, 85
 Bible, changes in access points for, 41–42, 95, 98
 See also sacred scriptures
 bibliographic data
 consensus about nature of in FRBR and FRAD, 8
 user tasks for, 15–16
 bibliographic identities, 24
 bibliographic relationships, 23
 Blake, William (example), 68–69
 Bloss, Marjorie, 87
 bookmarks feature of RDA Toolkit, 75–76
 books, content, media, and carrier types for, 55
 braille resources. *See* tactile resources
 British Library, 38, 86

C

calendars, multiple, 4, 95, 100
 Canadian Committee on Cataloguing, 38
 carrier type
 in AACR2, 33, 43
 and description of resources, 99
 influence of ONIX on, 96

- carrier type (*cont.*)
 MARC field for, 84
 overview, 53–56
 separation from content, 28
 terminology for, 51, 55
See also content type/media type/carrier type
- cartographic cataloging,
 workflows for, 79
- catalog cards, limitations of, 38,
 62–63, 98–99
- catalogers
 benefits of RDA for, 101–103
 leeway for use of judgment by,
 49–50, 70, 88, 101
See also library and information
 workers
- Cataloger's Desktop, 82
- categorization of content, media,
 and carrier type. *See* content
 type/media type/carrier
 type
- Chartered Institute of Library and
 Information Professionals,
 38
- "class of materials" concept in
 AACR2, 43–45, 50
- clustering by type of relationship,
 68–69
- collocation
 greater precision in, 28, 41
 support for, 94–95
 supported by FRAD entities, 23
- Committee of Principals (Cop), 37,
 44–45, 87
- compatibility with AACR2, 4,
 41–42, 83, 86, 97–98
- compatibility with other metadata
 communities, 10, 97
- concepts (FRBR Group 3 entity),
 18
- conceptual models of FRBR and
 FRAD, alignment of RDA
 with, 8, 12, 47, 100
- consistency in recording data
 and AACR2, 39
 and flexibility of display of
 RDA data, 55–56
 and navigation through a
 database, 67
- content standard, RDA as
 and adaptability, 2, 3
- independence of encoding
 practices, 7–8, 79, 83, 93, 96
 and use by non-library
 communities, 3–4
vs. display standard, 55–56
- content type
 example in authorized access
 point, 69–70
 of manifestations of
 expressions, 22
 new data elements in RDA, 59
 overview, 51–52
 separation from carrier, 28
See also content type/media
 type/carrier type
- content type/media type/carrier
 type
 ambiguity of in AACR2, 3, 43
 and description of resources,
 99
 influence of ONIX on, 96
 MARC field for, 84
 as term, 51
See also carrier type; content
 type; media type
- "contextualize" as user task in
 FRBR
 and authority data, 16
 called "understand" in RDA,
 32
- continuity with AACR2. *See*
 compatibility with AACR2
- controlled access point (FRAD
 entity)
 definition, 35n9
 governed by agencies, 23
 relationships between, 26,
 35n11
- controlled vocabulary, translation
 of, 11
- co-publishers of AACR2/RDA, 37
- core elements
 as minimum set of elements,
 61–63, 71
 and user tasks, 35n12
 uses of, in RDA Toolkit, 75
See also data elements
- corporate bodies as FRBR Group 2
 entity, 18
- Coyle, Karen, 91–92
- creation of a work and FRBR
 Group 2 entities, 19–20
- creators in authorized access
 point, 65–66
See also "rule of three"
 principle, elimination of
 cultural heritage communities,
 use of RDA by, 3
- customizing mappings, 80–81
- customizing workflows, 79
- Cutter's *Rules for a Dictionary
 Catalog*, 7, 17
- D**
- data capture, 64–65, 71
 and efficiency, 100–101
 and principle of representation,
 64–65
- data elements
 advantages of, 56–58
 as clear and unambiguous, 2, 4,
 57, 61, 71, 84
 definition, 2
 new data elements, 59–61,
 59(fig)
See also core elements
- database technologies, use of RDA
 data in, 4–5, 97, 101
- date of implementation, 90n9
- dates, new data elements for, 59
- DCMI/RDA Task Group, 97
- Delsey, Tom (RDA editor), 43, 51,
 55–57, 96, 103n5
- designations of function in
 AACR2, 34
See also relationship
 designators
- Deutsche Nationalbibliothek, 72n6
- digital environment. *See* web
 environment
- digital repositories, 3, 80, 95, 96, 97
- displays
 advantages of RDA for, 93
 combinations of data elements
 in, 57–58
 and consistency of data, 67
 flexibility of display of RDA
 data, 55–56
 and use of relationship
 designators, 41, 69
 using grid of content type,
 media type, and carrier
 type, 53, 99
See also presentation of data

"Dissertation Note" field in
MARC 21, adjustments to,
84
downloading of data from other
sources. *See* data capture
Dublin Core, link to in RDA
Toolkit, 82

E

efficiency in use of RDA, 100
Element Set View, 77, 82
See also metadata element sets
elements. *See* core elements; data
elements
encoding standards
compatibility with future
schemes, 10
and display of RDA data, 83–86
and mapping documents,
79–80
MARC 21, 7
role in supporting a smooth
transition, 73
use of in other metadata
communities, 96
end users. *See* user tasks
entities
attributes of, 20–21
in FRBR, 17–21
Entity Relationship Diagram
(ERD)
in RDA Toolkit, 74
and relationship to FRBR and
FRAD, 28–29, 28(fig), 29(fig)
use of, 3, 77, 78(fig)
entity-relationship model in
FRBR, 8, 14, 17–23
equity in application of
instructions, 100
events as FRBR Group 3 entity, 18
Ewald, Bob, 43
examples, hiding display of in
RDA Toolkit, 75
expressions (entity)
authorized access points, 69–70
display of, 94–95
as FRBR Group 1 entity, 18
as realization of a work, 21
extensibility in RDA, 50–56, 70–71
"extent" element
avoidance of abbreviations in,
99

and carrier type, 54–55
expanded MARC fixed fields
for, 85
externally imputed attributes, 20

F

family entity in FRAD, 20, 23
"field of activity" element, new
MARC field for, 85
film adaptations as related works,
22
filtering content of RDA in RDA
Toolkit, 75
"find" as user task
authority data, 16
bibliographic data, 15
finding aids, 97
fixed fields in MARC, values for
expanded, 85
flexibility
and compatibility with other
metadata communities, 71,
97
in description of resources, 99
in display of data, 55–56, 57
"form of work" element, new
MARC field for, 85
format variation problem, 44
FRAD (Functional Requirements
for Authority Data), 23(fig)
alignment of RDA with, 8, 12,
47, 100
attributes and relationships,
24–26, 61–62
classes of users in, 15
flexibility in, 50
importance of, 26–28, 34
link to in RDA Toolkit, 82
origins, 14
framework of RDA, 50–51, 70
FRBR (Functional Requirements
for Bibliographic Records)
adopted for AACR3, 44
alignment of RDA with, 8, 12,
47, 100
attributes and relationships,
20–23, 61–62
flexibility in, 50
importance of, 26–28, 34
link to in RDA Toolkit, 82
origins, 14
user tasks in, 15

FRBR Group 1 entities
attributes, 20–21, 20(fig)
overview, 17–19, 19(fig)
relationship in FRAD, 26
relationships with Group 2
entities, 21
relationships with other Group
1 entities, 22–23, 35n8
FRBR Group 2 entities
attributes of, 21
overview, 18, 19–20
relationship in FRAD, 26
relationships with Group 1
entities, 21
FRBR Group 3 entities
attributes of, 18, 21
relationships to Group 1 and
Group 2 entities, 21
FRBR Review Group, 14
FRSAD (Functional Requirements
for Subject Authority Data), 14
functional objectives and
principles
and multiple access points,
65–66
overview, 48–50, 48(fig)
and user tasks, 31–32
Functional Requirements for
Authority Data. *See* FRAD
(Functional Requirements
for Authority Data)
Functional Requirements for
Bibliographic Data. *See* FRBR
(Functional Requirements
for Bibliographic Records)
Functional Requirements for Subject
Authority Data (FRSAD), 14

G

"gender" element, new MARC
field for, 85
"general guidelines" sections in
RDA, 31–32
general material designations
(GMDs)
in AACR2, 43
MARC field for replaced, 84
replaced with content type,
media type, carrier type,
50–51
global changes and compatibility,
41–42, 98

governance structure for AACR2/
RDA, 37–38
granularity, 59, 94
grid of three elements, 50, 53, 70–71,
99

H

headings (AACR2 term) as access
points, 33
human processing of data, 42, 51,
59, 92

I

identifier (FRAD entity), 23
identifiers, recording of, 10
“identify” as user task
 authority data, 16
 bibliographic data, 15
IFLA (International Federation of
 Library Associations)
 and FRBR study group, 8, 14
 ISBD standard, 6
 Statement of International
 Cataloguing Principles, 8
 Working Group on Functional
 Requirements and Numbering
 of Authority Records
 (FRANAR), 14
implementation by national
 libraries, coordination of, 73,
 86–89, 90n9
inaccuracies, transcription of, 63
inherent attributes, 20
inherent relationships, 35n8
institutions, benefits of RDA for,
 99–101
integrated library systems and
 cataloging services, 82
integrating resources
 inaccuracies in, 63
 as mode of issuance, 56
International Cataloguing Principles
 (ICP) (Paris Principles)
 alignment of RDA with, 12, 47,
 64, 100
 history, 6, 9
 influence on AACR, 38
International Conference on Cata-
 loguing Principles (1961), 6
 See also International Cataloguing
 Principles (ICP) (Paris
 Principles)

International Conference on
 the Principles & Future
 Development of AACR
 (Toronto, 1997), 10–11, 44
international resource description
 standards, 6
international scope of RDA, 4, 6–12
International Standard
 Bibliographic Description. *See*
 ISBD (International Standard
 Bibliographic Description)
internationalization
 of AACR2, 38–39
 and benefits to institutions, 100
 “preferred by the agency creating
 the data,” 11
 and reduction of Anglo-
 American bias in RDA, 95, 100
interoperability as Paris principle, 6
ISBD (International Standard
 Bibliographic Description)
 contrast to RDA presentation, 2,
 5n4
 mappings to RDA data, 86
 as possible structure for
 reorganizing AACR2, 43
 as standard, 6, 7
ISBN (International Standard Book
 Number), 10
ISO (International Organization for
 Standardization), 9
ISSN (International Standard Serial
 Number), 10
items (entity)
 as exemplars of manifestations,
 21
 as FRBR Group 1 entity, 18

J

Johnson, Bruce, 43
joint authorship. *See* creators
Joint Steering Committee. *See* JSC
 (Joint Steering Committee)
Joint Steering Committee for
 Revision of AACR
 decision to revise AACR2 in
 2004, 44–45
 history, 42–43
 international commenting
 process for RDA, 11–12
International Conference on
 the Principles & Future

 Development of AACR
 (Toronto, 1997), 10–11
Joint Steering Committee for the
 Development of RDA, 45n1
JSC (Joint Steering Committee)
 dialogue with other metadata
 communities, 95–96
 governance structure, 37–38, 39
 and vocabulary for content,
 media, and carrier types, 51
“justify” as user task, 16

L

languages and scripts, multiple
 Anglo-American bias in AACR2,
 100
 data mapped to another
 language, 72n6
 example in authorized access
 point, 69
 instructions for, 11
 usability of RDA for, 4
learning preferences, 82–83, 100
legacy data from AACR2,
 compatibility with, 4, 83, 86,
 97–98
levels of description, absence of, 61
Library and Archives Canada, 86
library and information workers
 and user tasks, 15, 16–17
 See also catalogers
library catalogs, 4–5
 See also displays
library data outside the catalog, 96–97
Library of Congress, 38, 86, 87
Library of Congress Rule
 Interpretations, 88
limiting a search
 in RDA Toolkit, 76
 and use of content, media, and
 carrier types, 55
local policies and procedures, 79,
 89, 100
long titles, transcription of, 63–64

M

machine processing of data
 ability for, 71, 84, 91
 difficulty with in AACR2, 58
 and sharing of records, 41
 See also data capture; global
 changes and compatibility

manifestations (entity)
 as carriers, 28
 as embodiment of expression, 21
 as FRBR Group 1 entity, 18
 and user tasks, 16–17
 “manuscript” spelled out in
 authorized access points, 70
 mapping document, 79–80
 mappings
 customized, 80–81
 as local policies and procedures,
 100
 onto different encoding schema,
 2, 3
 onto MARC 21, 4, 58, 80, 80(fig),
 83–84
 MARC 21
 adjustments to, 84–85
 in FRBR/FRAD perspective,
 26–28, 27(fig)
 link to in RDA Toolkit, 82
 loss of granularity in mapping of
 RDA into, 58
 mapping of RDA data to, 4, 80,
 80(fig), 83–84
 training in changes, 88–89
 MARC 21 Format for Authority
 Data, 7, 60–61, 83–84
 MARC 21 Format for Bibliographic
 Data, 7
 marking text in RDA Toolkit, 75–76
 “materials, class of” concepts in
 AACR2, 43–45, 50
 measurement units, 4
 media type, 52–53, 55
 See also content type/media type/
 carrier type
 metadata communities, dialogue
 with, 3–4, 66, 95–96, 97
 metadata creators, benefits of RDA
 for, 101–103
 metadata element sets, RDA as, 57,
 82, 97
 See also Element Set View
 mode of issuance, 56
 MODS metadata schema, 80
 multipart monographs as mode of
 issuance, 56
 museums, 3, 35n9, 97
 music CDs, content, media, and
 carrier types for, 55
 music in AACR2, 43

music in RDA
 expanded MARC fixed fields
 for, 85
 spelling out of “arranged,” 70

N

name (FRAD entity), 23–24
 name as separate from person,
 advantages of, 24
 name authority records, 61
 See also MARC 21 Format for
 Authority Data
 national cataloging codes, 4, 11–12
 national libraries and
 implementation, 73, 86–89
 National Library of Agriculture, 86
 National Library of Australia, 87
 National Library of Medicine, 87
 navigation
 advantages of RDA for, 93
 and precise information about
 relationships, 67
 supported by FRAD entities, 23
 and use of relationship
 designators, 68
 new data elements, 71, 84–85
 new types of resources, terminology
 for, 55–56
 notated music, extent of, expanded
 MARC fixed fields for, 85
 numbering of serials, 40
 numbering systems, multiple, 4, 11, 95
 See also Anglo-American bias of
 AACR2

O

objectives and principles, 70, 92
 objects as FRBR Group 3 entity, 18
 “obtain” as user task, 15
 omission of data, options for, 87–88,
 98–99
 “one long string” in AACR2 records,
 57, 84
 ONIX schema, 51, 96
 options and alternative instructions
 implementation by national
 libraries, 87–88
 optional additions in RDA,
 49–50, 103
 “other distinguishing characteristics
 of work or expression”
 element, 85

“other physical details” in AACR2,
 57, 58(fig), 94

P

Panizzi, Antonio. “Rules for
 the Compilation of the
 Catalogue,” 7
 paper-based documents
 AACR2 designed for, 2–3
 and Paris Principles, 9
 See also catalog cards, limitations
 of
 Paris Principles. *See* International
 Cataloguing Principles (ICP)
 (Paris Principles)
 permanence of RDA data, 101–102
 persons
 as defined in FRAD, 24
 as FRBR Group 2 entity, 18
 new data elements for, 60–61
 relationships among, 26
 physical carrier as criterion for
 AACR2 description, 42–43, 44
 “physical description area” in
 AACR2, 33
 placeholders in RDA, 31, 35n12,
 36n15, 65
 places as FRBR Group 3 entity, 18
 “Polyglot,” elimination of term, 70
 precision in RDA
 advantages of, 94
 and avoidance of abbreviations, 70
 and collocation of resources,
 94–95
 elimination of “Polyglot,” 70
 in recording of data, 84–85
 and relationship designators, 34,
 67, 68, 85
 in searching, 54, 59–60
 and separation of group 1
 entities, 22, 28
 predominant part and limits on
 content, media, and carrier
 types, 55
 preferred form of name, 35n11
 See also name authority records
 presentation of data
 ISBD standards, 7
 in RDA, 83
 See also displays; ISBD
 (International Standard
 Bibliographic Description)

principles and objectives, 47–48, 48(fig)
 pseudonyms, 24, 35n10
 publishers
 capturing data from, 64
 recording of data about, 63, 72n10
 use of RDA by, 3, 10

Q

quality of cataloging, 93, 101

R

Ranganathan, S. R., 17
 rare materials cataloging,
 workflows for, 79
 RDA (Resources Description and Access)
 decision to abandon AACR2's structure, 45
 full text of in RDA Toolkit, 74
 future of, 90–103
 influence of FRBR and FRAD on, 28–34
 as metadata element set, 57, 97
 undeveloped areas in, 31, 35n12, 36n15, 65
 RDA Editor. *See* Delsey, Tom (RDA editor)
 RDA instructions
 content of, 32–34
 derived from AACR2, 39–40
 RDA testing by national libraries, 87
 RDA Toolkit
 benefits to institutions, 100
 bookmarks, 75–76
 entity relationship diagrams, 28–29, 28(fig), 29(fig), 30(fig)
 filtering, 75
 RDA Tab in, 74–77, 74(fig)
 Resources Tab in, 81–83, 81(fig)
 role in supporting a smooth transition, 73
 searching, 76
 Tools tab in, 77–83, 77(fig)
 use of, 3
 RDA/MARC Working Group, 84
 RDA/ONIX Framework for Resource Categorization, 7
 related works, 22, 94

relationship designators
 and collocation, 94–95
 new fields in MARC for, 85
 and precise information about relationships, 34, 36n15, 67–69
 relationships
 and display of results, 41
 emphasis on, 65–70, 65(fig), 68(fig)
 in FRBR, 21–23
 in functional objectives and principles, 49
 in MARC 21, 85
 and navigation and resource discovery, 71
 relator terms. *See* relationship designators
 representation principle (“take what you see” principle)
 and abbreviations, 63, 98
 overview, 65–66, 71
 and process of transcription, 100
 resource discovery
 and focus on user, 1, 91, 93, 97
 increased precision in, 69
 recording of data, 4, 41, 99
 role of relationships in, 2, 71
 resource sharing as Paris principle, 6
 resources, relationships among, 26
Resources Description and Access.
 See RDA (Resources Description and Access)
 Resources Tab in RDA Toolkit, 81–83
 re-use of metadata, 100
 revised editions as expressions, 22
Robinson Crusoe (example)
 collocation of, 94
 expressions of, 22, 28
 relationships, 18–19, 19(fig)
 as user task, 16–17
 work as subject of another work, 20
 robust or “well-formed” data, 2, 5n3
 rule interpretations (policy statements), 88
 “rule of three” principle, elimination of

and access points for collaborative works, 66
 advantages, 93
 option to continue in RDA, 87–88
 and “Polyglot,” 70
 and recording long statements of responsibility, 63
 rules (FRAD entity), 23, 35n9

S

sacred scriptures, 95, 100
 See also Bible, changes in access points for
 Schemas, 81
 screenplays as related works, 22
 scripts (writing system)
 Anglo-American bias in AACR2, 100
 instructions for, 11
 search engines, 4, 93
 searches and searching
 benefits of media type in, 53
 and compatibility with other metadata communities, 97
 and independent, separate data elements, 51, 56–57
 of RDA Toolkit, 76
 “see” and “see-also” reference structure in FRAD, 26
 “select” as user task, 15
 serials
 inaccuracies in, 63
 as mode of issuance, 56
 shared cataloging principles, 12
 single unit as mode of issuance, 56
 sound characteristic, subtypes of, 72n9
 specific material designations (AACR2)
 reincarnated as carrier types, 53–56
 replaced by information about item or manifestation, 51
 spelling errors, transcription of, 63
 standardization of data in Paris Principles, 6
 statements of responsibility
 comparison of AACR2 and RDA, 40
 transcription of long statements, 63–64

still images, extent of, expanded
MARC fixed fields for, 85
streaming video, 55
subjects as FRBR Group 3 entity,
18, 21

T

table of contents in RDA Toolkit,
74–75, 74(fig)
tactile resources
 example of granulation, 94
 new data elements in RDA, 60
 See also visually-impaired users
“take what you see” principle.
 See representation principle
 (“take what you see”
 principle)
terminology of RDA, sources of, 13
training for RDA, 88–89, 100
 See also learning preferences
transcription
 abbreviations, 98–99
 inaccuracies, 63
 and representation principle,
 62–64, 100
translations
 as expressions, 22
 flexibility of display of RDA
 data, 55
type of relationship, clustering
 by, 69
types of information in AACR2, 94

U

“understand” as user task in
RDA, 32
Uniform Resource Locator
(URL), 59

uniform titles (AACR2), 33
unmediated media type, 53
user needs, responsiveness to
 and abbreviations, 72n10
 and cataloger judgment, 49–50,
 70, 88, 101
 in FRBR and FRAD, 14, 48–50,
 93
 as most important objective,
 92, 93
user tasks
 attributes of entity, 20–21
 and core elements, 35n12, 61
 emphasis on, 8
 and flexibility in conceptual
 model, 50
 in FRBR and FRAD, 1, 14–17
 and functional objectives, 93
 and precision of Group 1
 entities, 22
 in RDA, 31–32
 for use of authority data, 16–17
 for use of bibliographic data,
 15–16
 and use of relationship
 designators, 68–69

V

variant title, corrected form of title
 as, 63
visibility of RDA data, 99–101
visually-impaired users
 new data elements in RDA, 60
 use of media types by, 53
 See also tactile resources
vocabulary encoding schemes and
 ISO standards, 9
vocabulary of RDA, sources of, 13

W

web environment
 future of, 91
 interactive library services in,
 91–92
 RDA designed for, 2–3, 57, 82,
 97
 and resource discovery, 71
 and Schemas, 81
 and semantic web, 81, 92
 use of RDA data in, 2, 4–5, 93
 webflow links to, 79
“well-formed” data, 2, 5n3
whole-part relationships, 22
workflows
 as local policies and
 procedures, 100
 by national libraries, 89
 in RDA Toolkit, 74
 use of, 3, 78–79
works (entity)
 authorized access points for, 69
 and content, 28
 as FRBR Group 1 entity, 18
 as realized in expressions, 22
Works, meaning of in AACR2 *vs.*
 RDA, 34

X

XML, use of RDA data in, 81