CONTENTS

Preface xi

1	Introduction 1
1.1	The Ubiquity of 'Ought' 1
1.2	Some Initial Theoretical Cartography 4
1.3	Metanormative Theorizing and the Philosophy of Language 8
1.4	Compositional Semantics: Some Basics 19
1.5	The Word 'Ought' 25
1.6	Plan 28
2	'Ought's, Obligations, Reasons, and Values 31
2.1	Introduction 31
2.2	Formal Framework and Toy Analysis of 'Ought' 34
2.3	An Initial Ambiguity View 38
2.4	Analyzing 'Ought' in Terms of Reasons 43
2.5	Analyzing 'Ought' in Terms of Values 52
2.6	Conclusion 58
3	A Possible Worlds Semantics for 'Ought' 61
	Introduction 61
3.2	From Modal Logic to Deontic Necessity and Possibility 63

3.3 From Deontic Necessity to a Simple Possible Worlds Semantics for 'Ought' 69
3.4 Some Reasons to Complicate the Analysis 76
3.5 Kratzer's Improvements 80
3.6 Application of Kratzer's Framework to 'Ought' 83
3.7 The Weakness of 'Ought' Compared to 'Must' 90
3.8 Conclusion 96
4 Problems with Possible Worlds 97
4.1 Introduction 97
4.2 The Challenge of Dilemmas 99
4.3 The Challenge of 'Ought-to-Do' 108
4.4 Conclusion 122
5 A New Semantic Rule for 'Ought' 123
5.1 Introduction 123
5.2 Is 'Ought' Polysemous between Agentive and Nonagentive Readings? 124
5.3 Imperatival Content 134
5.4 A New Semantic Rule for 'Ought' 146
5.5 Conclusion 156
6 Metanormative Debate Renewed 159
6.1 Introduction 159
6.2 Truth Conditions as Ways Reality Could Be 161
6.3 Truth Conditions as What One Ought to Think
6.4 Truth Conditions as Positions in a Space of Implications 184
6.5 Conclusion 200
7 Conclusion 202
7.1 Introduction 202
7.2 Should the Metalanguage of Metanormative Theory Be Nonnormative? 204
7.3 What about Other Normative and Evaluative Words and Concepts? 209

- 7.4 Moral Psychology 214
- 7.5 The Epistemology of Normativity 223
- 7.6 Conclusion 232

Appendix: Proposed Semantic Rules for 'Ought' 235

Bibliography 239 Index 255