Contents

Preface ix
Acknowledgements xi

I FUNDAMENTALS

1 Communication and the Context 3
1.1 What do Speakers Communicate? 3
1.2 Understanding and Inference 10
1.3 The Context 16
Recommended Reading 22
Notes 22

2 Relevance 24
2.1 Standards in Communication 24
2.2 The Principle of Relevance 32
Recommended Reading 38
Notes 38

3 Pragmatics, Linguistics and Literature 39
3.1 Carving up Meaning: Semantics and Pragmatics 39
3.2 Promises and Poetry 48
Recommended Reading 52
Notes 53

II EXPLICATURE

4 Explicating and Implicating 57
Recommended Reading 63
Note 64

5 The Proposition Expressed 65
5.1 Assigning Reference 65
5.2 Enrichment 77
5.3 Explicatures and Coherence 84
Recommended Reading 88
Notes 89

6 Higher-Level Explicatures: Attitudes and Speech Acts 91
6.1 Speech Acts and Pragmatics 91
6.2 Performatives 95
6.3 Saying, Telling and Asking 100
6.4 Interpretive Use 102
6.5 Non-Declarative Utterances: Imperatives 110
6.6 Non-Declarative Utterances: Interrogatives 114
Recommended Reading 118
Notes 119

III IMPLICATURE

7 Types of Implicature 123
7.1 Introduction 123
7.2 Implicated Premises and Implicated Conclusions 125
7.3 Strong and Weak Implicatures 128
Recommended Reading 133
Note 133

8 Constraints on Implicatures 134
8.1 Connections in Discourse 134
8.2 Discourse Connectives as Constraints on Implicatures 136
8.3 The Classification of Discourse Connectives 137
8.4 Parallel Implications 142
8.5 Non-Truth-Conditional Meaning: Semantics and Pragmatics 146
Recommended Reading 151
Notes 152

9 Implicatures and Style 155
9.1 Poetic Effects 155
9.2 Metaphor 160
9.3 Irony 164
9.4 Style 171
Recommended Reading 178
Notes 178

References 180

Index 186

Index

Note: As this book is grounded in Sperber and Wilson's Relevance Theory I have referred to them (either directly or indirectly) on virtually every page. Accordingly, in this index I have restricted references to Sperber and Wilson to the Recommended Reading lists where the reader is directed to the appropriate sources.

accessibility, 31–2, 35; and reference assignment, 68–9, 71–4, 76–7; *see also* context; salience
after all, 46, 136, 140, 146, 149–50
also, 140, 142–5; see also *too*
ambiguity, 5, 11, 42, 58–60, 79–80
anaphora, 66–7, 72; and cohesion, 84–5; *see also* pronominals
and, 45–6, 78–83; *see also* conjunction
Ariel, M., 67
Aristotle, 52
asking whether, 101–2, 114–17; *see also* interrogatives; questions
attitude, 7, 48–50, 61–3, 91–2; assertive, 101–2; desire, 111–14, 115–16; indicators of, 7–50, 61–2, 95–6; and interpretive use, 104–10, 115–16; and irony, 107, 167–70; propositional, 7, 48–50, 91–2
Austin, J., 62, 90–1, 95–6

Blass, R., 35–6, 62–7, 106–7, 152
bridging, 74–7, 127
Brown, G., 13, 17
but, 142, 145–6, 146–7; see also *however*

Carston, R., 81–2
Chomsky, N., 40–1, 53
Clark, H., 19–20, 75–6, 90
clefting, 46, 143, 146–7
cognitive environment, 28
Cohen, L. J., 80–1
coherence, 84–8, 134–6, 138; and explicatures 84–8, and reference assignment, 84–6; and relevance, 87–8; *see also* cohesion; discourse; text
cohesion, 84–5; *see also* anaphora; coherence; discourse connective; ellipsis; text
Coleridge, S. T., 50
communication: definition, 32–4; explicit vs implicit, 7–8, 60, 123, 125, 130–1, 173, 176; failure of, 34, 37; overt, 34; and social interaction, 3, 48–9; strength of, 10, 50–1, 129–31, 156–7, 163–4, 168–70, 172

communicative norms (standards), 25–7; in identification of explicatures, 57–9; *see also* maxims of conversation
confirmation, 14–16, 29–30, 140–1; *see also* evidence; strengthening (of assumptions)
conjunction, 78–83; see also *and*
constative (vs performative), 96; *see also* performatives
constraints: on interpretation, 99, 128, 131, 136–7, 176–8; on implicatures, 131, 136–45
context, 16–22; accessibility of, 16–17, 31–2, 35, 68–9, 71–4, 76–7; and coherence, 84–8, 134, 136; constraints on, 99, 128, 137, 143–5; definition, 18–22, 87; initial context, 17, 73; in identification of speech acts, 94, 113, 116; in recovery of explicatures, 57–63, 123; in recovery of implicatures, 124–7, 130–1; in reference assignment, 69–71, 73–4, 75–7; role of, 5–9; selection of, 14, 18–21, 42–3, 68, 128–9; in truth-conditional semantics, 42–3, 67–8; *see also* encyclopaedic information; frame; indexical semantics; mutual knowledge; non-linguistic knowledge
contextual effect(s), 28–30, 34–6, 135, 138; *see also* confirmation; contextual implication; contradiction
contextual implication, 28–30, 135, 138–9; generalized vs particularized, 45
contradiction (of assumptions), 29–30, 135, 141–2; *see also* denials; rejection (of assumptions)
conventional implicature, 147–8

Davidson, D., 160
declarative(s), 91, 102–3
definite descriptions, 65–7, 69–71; anaphoric use of, 67, 71
deixis, 42–3, 66–7; *see also* pronominals
denials, 135, 141–2; *see also* contradiction; rejection (of assumptions)
Derrida, J., 51
descriptive use of language, 91
van Dijk, T., 86–7
discourse: connectivity of, 84–8, 134–6, 138; planned, 84; *see also* coherence; cohesion; text
discourse connective, 136; classification of, 138; and cohesion, 84–5; as constraints on relevance, 136–7, 138–42; see also *after all; but; however; moreover; so*
Donnellan, K., 66

echoic utterances, 107; and irony, 107–8, 166–70
ellipsis, 6, 42–3, 59–60, 77–8; and cohesion, 84–5
emotions, 4–5, 7, 10, 50
encyclopaedic information, 69–70; entry, 17; *see also* context; non-linguistic information
enrichment, 60–1, 77–83
entailment: background, 144; grammatically specified, 144
evidence, 14–16, 29–30, 96–7, 135, 140; *see also* confirmation, strengthening
evidentials, 105–6; *see also* hearsay particles
exclamative(s), 91

explicature, 57–63; definition, 59, higher-level, 61–2, 101–2, 110, 148–9; vs implicature, 57–9, 123–4

face-saving, 3,.47
faithfulness, 104–5, 161–2, 166–7; indicators of, 105; *see also* interpretive representation
figurative utterances, 9, 50–2, 63, 103, 107–10, 157–64; *see also* irony; metaphor; poetic effects; style
Fish, S., 172
focus, 46, 143–5, 146; *see also* clefting; stress
Fodor, Jerry, 14
frame, 17–18; *see also* schema

Gazdar, G., 43–4
genitives, 83
German, 152
grammaticality (vs acceptability), 40–1
Grice, H. P., 25–7, 57–9, 79–80, 120, 129–30, 132, 147–50

Halliday, M. A. K., 84–6
Hasan, R., 84–6
hearsay particles, 105–7
Hobbs, J. R., 85–6
Horn, L., 44, 78
however, 46, 136, 141–2, 149–50; see also *but*
Huntley, M., 120

illocutionary force, 92–4; *see also* speech acts
imperative(s), 91, 110–14
implicature, 81, 124–31; constraints on, 131, 136–45; vs explicature, 123–4; generalized conversational, 78; generalized vs particularized, 44–5; implicated assumptions, 76, 125–7; implicated conclusions, 125–7; implicated premises, 125–7, strength of, 128–31, 156–7, 163–4, 168, 172
implicit content, 7–8, 60, 123, 130–1, 173, 176; *see also* indirect answers
indexical semantics, 42–3, 67–8
indirect answers, 6–8, 11, 13, 125–6, 128–9, 176
inference, 12–16; deductive (demonstrative) vs inductive (non-demonstrative), 12–14; non-demonstrative, 14–16, 130
information, 5; communication of, 4–5
intentions, communicative, 33
interpretive representation, 63, 104–10, 161–3, 166–7; and hearsay particles, 106–7; and interrogatives, 115–17; and irony, 107–8; and metaphor, 108–10; *see also* faithfulness
interrogative(s), 91, 114–18
irony, 63, 103, 107–8, 118, 156, 164–70, 176
Itani-Kaufman, R., 106

Japanese, 106

Karttunen, L., 148
Kempson, R., 69, 153–4

Lakoff, R., 152
Leech, G., 8, 26, 47
Levinson, S., 13–14, 44, 53, 59, 78, 80

Lewis, D., 53, 67–8
linguistic knowledge (vs non-linguistic knowledge), 39–41; *see also* linguistic meaning
linguistic meaning, 5–6, 39–41; and identification of proposition expressed, 58–9, 77–8, 123; and identification of propositional attitude, 61–2; procedural vs representational, 149–51; *see also* procedural meaning
literalness, as a standard, 103, 108–9, 118; principle of, 103
loose use (of language), 109, 161–3

Marshall, C., 19–20
maxims of conversation, 26, 80; socio-cultural maxims, 8, 47; *see also* communicative norms
meaning, 5–6; linguistic, 5–6, 39–41; representational vs procedural, 149–51; truth-conditional vs non-truth-conditional, 41–3, 46; utterance vs sentence, 5–6, 39; *see also* figurative utterances; linguistic meaning; non-truth-conditional meaning; procedural meaning; truth-conditional meaning; utterance meaning
metaphor, 9, 50–2, 63, 103, 108–10, 118, 160–4
modularity, 40–1
mood, 62, 90, 118; *see also* declarative(s); exclamative(s); imperative(s); interrogative(s); non-declarative utterances
moreover, 136, 140, 146, 149–50
mutual knowledge (hypothesis), 18–22

nominal content, 69–71
non-declarative utterances, 90, 101, 110–18
non-linguistic knowledge, 39–41; *see also* context; encyclopaedic information
non-truth-conditional meaning, 46, 95–6, 146–51

orders, 110, 113; *see also* interrogative(s); speech acts, directive

Palmer, F. R., 105
parallel processing, 142–5, 155
parenthetical verbs, 98–9
performatives, 61–2, 95–100, 148–50; vs constatives, 96; explicit, 95; and parentheticals, 98–9; relevance of, 97, 99–100, 150; truth-conditions of, 97; *see also* constative
Peters, S., 148
Pilkington, A., 172
poetic effect(s), 9, 50–2, 63, 155–8, 160–4, 165–70; *see also* figurative utterances; irony; metaphor; style; vagueness
politeness, 8, 47–8
Posner, R., 79–80
possible worlds, 112
potential worlds, 112
pragmatic acceptability, 40–1
pragmatics, domain of, 39–48
principle of relevance, 34–6; and bridging, 75–7; and coherence, 88; and

principle of relevance (*cont'd*)
enrichment, 81–2; and figurative utterances, 107–10, 162–4; and non-declarative utterances, 113–14; and recovery of implicatures, 124–5; and reference assignment, 73–4; *see also* relevance
procedural meaning, 149–51
processing costs, 31–2, 35–6
processing effort, 31–2, 35–6
pronominals, 29–71, 65–9; *see also* anaphora; deixis
proper names, 65, 69–71; anaphoric use of, 67, 71
proposition, 6–7; expressed, 65–83

quantifiers, 44–5; see also *some*
questions, 114–17; *see also* asking whether; interrogative(s)

Recanati, F., 103, 108, 119–20
reference assignment, 6, 42–3, 65–77; and coherence 84–5; *see also* anaphora; bridging; deixis; definite descriptions; pronominals; proper names; referring expressions
referring expressions, 64–7
rejection (of assumptions), 29–30, 135, 141–2; *see also* contradiction; denials
relevance, 27, 30; and accessibility, 71–4; and coherence, 87–8; constraints on, 99, 128, 131, 136–7, 138–45, 176–8; degrees of, 30–2; and literalness, 108–9, 118, 162–3; optimal relevance, 34–8; principle of, 34–6; and style, 173, 178–9; unit of, 174–6
reminders, 37
reported speech, 103–4
representation: descriptive, 104; by resemblance, 104–5; types of, 115

salience, 68, 72–3; *see also* accessibility
saying that, 101–2, 117–18; *see also* declarative(s); speech acts, assertive
schema, 17–18; *see also* frame
Schiffer, S., 19, 33
Searle, J., 62, 103, 119
semantics, vs pragmatics, 39–47; procedural vs representational, 149–51
sentence (meaning) vs utterance (meaning), 5–6, 39
sentence adverbs, 50, 95, 153
Shelley, P. B., 51
Sissala, 36, 106, 152
so, 46, 85, 136, 139–40, 148, 149–50
socio-pragmatics, 47–8; *see also* face-saving; politeness; turn-taking
some, 44–6
Sontag, S., 52
speaker meaning, 5–6, 39; *see also* utterance (meaning)
speech acts, 44, 48–50, 62, 92–4; assertive, 94, 101–2, 103; classification of, 92, 101, 110; communicated vs non-communicated, 93–4; directive, 101, 110–14; institutional, 93; *see also* constative; illocutionary force; orders; questions

speech-act theory, 44, 48–50, 62–3, 92–6, 100–3, 110–11; on imperatives, 110; on interrogatives, 114–15; on performatives, 95–6
Sperber, D., ix–x, xi, 22, 38, 52, 63, 88–9, 118, 133, 151, 178
Stalnaker, R., 137
Strawson, P. F., 33
strength (of assumptions), 14–16, 29–30, 94, 96, 103
strengthening (of assumptions), 29–30, 135, 141–2; *see also* confirmation
stress (focal), 143, 177
Stubbs, M., 4
style, 8–10, 52, 130–1, 171–8; vs content, 9–10; explicit vs implicit, 7–8, 173, 176; poetic, 50–2, 171–3

telling to, 101–2, 110–14, 118; *see also* imperative(s); orders; speech acts, directive
text, 84–8, 134–6, 138; relevance of, 174–6; *see also* coherence; cohesion; discourse
therefore, 139, 147, 153–4
too, 141–2; see also *also*
truth-conditional meaning, 41–3, 46; *see also* non-truth-conditional meaning
truth-conditional semantics, 41–4, 46
turn-taking, 3, 47
Tuyuca, 105

Urmson, J. O., 98–9
utterance (meaning) vs sentence (meaning), 5–6, 39, 175

vagueness, 10, 50–1, 155–7, 160, 163–4, 172; *see also* implicature (strength of), weak communication

weak communication, 10, 50–1, 129–30, 156–7, 163–4, 168; *see also* implicature, strength of; vagueness
Wilson, D., ix–x, xi, 22, 38, 52, 63, 88–9, 118, 133, 151, 153, 178

Yule, G., 13, 17