

Contents

<i>Table of cases</i>	ix
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
PART I	
Intellectual property, law and economics	1
Introduction	3
1 Introduction to law and economics	14
1.1 <i>The historical roots of law and economics</i>	15
1.2 <i>What is economic analysis of law?</i>	17
1.2.1 <i>What is economics?</i>	18
1.2.2 <i>The economic analysis of law</i>	21
1.3 <i>Shortcomings and challenges of the economic analysis of law</i>	27
1.3.1 <i>The overemphasis on normative analysis and the internal fallacy of law and economics</i>	28
1.3.2 <i>The assumptions of rationality and exogenous preferences</i>	31
1.3.3 <i>Law and economics in a changing global environment</i>	33
1.4 <i>Conclusion</i>	36
2 The rise of intellectual property	38
2.1 <i>The rising significance of intellectual property</i>	38
2.2 <i>What is intellectual property?</i>	40
2.3 <i>Is intellectual property a property right?</i>	42
2.4 <i>The normative sources of intellectual property law</i>	46
2.5 <i>The law and economics of intellectual property</i>	50

PART II		
Normative analysis		55
3 The incentives paradigm		57
3.1 <i>Market failure of public goods as the foundation of intellectual property law</i>	58	
3.1.1 <i>Public goods analysis</i>	59	
3.1.2 <i>Are incentives necessary?</i>	62	
3.1.3 <i>Incentives to create – the individual level</i>	65	
3.1.4 <i>The need for incentives – the organization level</i>	67	
3.1.5 <i>The rise of alternative modes of production and monetary incentives</i>	71	
3.1.6 <i>Technological change and the need for incentives</i>	77	
3.1.7 <i>Summary</i>	79	
3.2 <i>Incentives for what?</i>	79	
3.2.1 <i>Incentives to create or incentives to produce?</i>	80	
3.2.2 <i>Incentives to create or incentives to improve?</i>	83	
3.2.3 <i>Incentives to create or incentives to disclose?</i>	85	
3.2.4 <i>Summary</i>	86	
3.3 <i>Central intervention in the form of intellectual property rights</i>	87	
3.3.1 <i>Generating incentives by intellectual property rights</i>	87	
3.3.2 <i>Alternative forms of incentives</i>	103	
3.3.3 <i>Tailoring intellectual property rights</i>	107	
3.4 <i>Conclusion</i>	112	
4 The proprietary model of intellectual property		115
4.1 <i>The rise of the proprietary approach in the law and economics literature of IPR</i>	116	
4.2 <i>The economic foundations of the proprietary approach: the ‘tragedy of the commons’</i>	118	
4.2.1 <i>The theory of Harold Demsetz</i>	118	
4.2.2 <i>From the ‘tragedy of the commons’ in land to intellectual property</i>	120	
4.3 <i>The proprietary paradigm of intellectual property – sources and main arguments</i>	124	
4.3.1 <i>The shift from incentives to proprietary regime as an escape</i>	124	
4.3.2 <i>From incentives <i>ex ante</i> to incentives <i>ex post</i> and managing improvements</i>	126	
4.3.3 <i>The shift to proprietary model by the founding fathers of law and economics</i>	128	

4.3.4 <i>The normative analysis of the proprietary paradigm</i>	131
4.4 <i>A critical view of the proprietary approach</i>	133
4.4.1 <i>Can information be over-consumed?</i>	133
4.4.2 <i>Propertization of the commons and the anti-commons</i>	134
4.4.3 <i>Managing informational commons</i>	136
4.4.4 <i>The proprietary model and large scale collaborations</i>	137
4.4.5 <i>Deconstructing traditional property and the proprietary paradigm of IP</i>	141
4.5 <i>The 'tragedy of the commons' and positive analysis of intellectual property</i>	143
4.6 <i>Conclusion</i>	145

PART III
Central intervention and private ordering 147

5 Intellectual property and the rise of private ordering	149
5.1 <i>The rise of private ordering for governing informational goods</i>	151
5.2 <i>The canonic position of law and economics towards private ordering</i>	155
5.2.1 <i>From social norms to private ordering</i>	155
5.2.2 <i>Private ordering and the limits of central intervention</i>	156
5.2.3 <i>The contract-as-product approach</i>	157
5.2.4 <i>Implications of the law and economics position</i>	158
5.3 <i>Private ordering: a critical view</i>	159
5.3.1 <i>The contract-as-product re-examined</i>	160
5.3.2 <i>Markets cannot be trusted to secure optimal access to creative works</i>	163
5.3.3 <i>The inconsistency of the law and economics approach</i>	164
5.4 <i>Viral contracts and the new property</i>	165
5.4.1 <i>Is it a contract?</i>	166
5.4.2 <i>Blurring distinctions: private/public, contracts/property</i>	168
5.5 <i>Private ordering and the social web</i>	169
5.5.1 <i>The dual nature of users and platforms</i>	170
5.5.2 <i>Governance</i>	172
5.5.3 <i>The social web – between a market and a community</i>	176
5.5.4 <i>Private ordering and social production</i>	178
5.6 <i>Conclusions</i>	180

6	Intellectual property in the digital era: economic analysis and governance by technology	183
6.1	<i>The rise of digital locks</i> 184	
6.1.1	<i>What are DRMs?</i> 184	
6.1.2	<i>Regulation by code and the theory of law</i> 185	
6.2	<i>The economic analysis of information in the digital environment</i> 188	
6.2.1	<i>Is information still a public good?</i> 188	
6.2.2	<i>Digital networks and the economic analysis of information</i> 190	
6.3	<i>The anti-circumvention legal regime</i> 192	
6.3.1	<i>Legal background</i> 193	
6.3.2	<i>The economic rationale of anti-circumvention legislation</i> 197	
6.3.3	<i>The nature of regulation by technology</i> 200	
6.4	<i>Post-purchase control and consumer protection</i> 201	
6.5	<i>DRMs and competition</i> 204	
6.5.1	<i>The virtues of interoperability</i> 205	
6.5.2	<i>Legal impediments to interoperability: the new IPR regime</i> 207	
6.5.3	<i>Interoperability and competition in information markets</i> 210	
6.5.4	<i>Can the market take care of the problems on its own?</i> 213	
6.6	<i>Anti-circumvention legislation and the economics of innovation</i> 216	
6.7	<i>Conclusions: designing regulations for regulation by design</i> 219	
PART IV		
Positive analysis		223
7	A positive analysis of intellectual property law	225
7.1	<i>The pluralist view of legislation</i> 227	
7.2	<i>The republican view of legislation</i> 231	
7.3	<i>The public choice view of legislation</i> 236	
7.4	<i>The intra-national and international dimensions in the positive analysis of IP</i> 244	
7.5	<i>Positive analysis of the role of courts</i> 248	
<i>References</i>		251
<i>Index</i>		275