Contents

| Ta | ble of Cases | xi xvii |
|----|--|--------------------------|
| 10 | ble of Legislation | X V II |
| 1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 2. | Defending Dialogue | 7 |
| | I. Legislative Supremacy and its CriticsII. Judicial Supremacy and its CriticsIII. Dialogic Judicial Review and its CriticsIV. Conclusion | 8 16 22 27 |
| 3. | Overview of the Constitutional Systems | 31 |
| | I. Hong Kong II. Malaysia III. Singapore | 31 40 48 |
| 4. | Judicial Crises | 57 |
| | I. Judicial Crisis in Hong Kong II. Judicial Crisis in Malaysia III. Judicial Crisis in Singapore IV. Conclusion | 58 66 73 77 |
| 5. | Dialogue and Sub-Constitutional Doctrines | 79 |
| | I. Sub-Constitutionalism and its DiscontentsII. Sub-Constitutional Doctrines in Common Law AsiaIII. Conclusion | 80 86 103 |
| 6. | Freedom of Expression | 107 |
| | I. DefamationII. Contempt by Scandalizing the JudiciaryIII. Regulatory Restrictions on Public AssembliesIV. Conclusion | 110 122 128 135 |
| 7. | Freedom of Religion | 139 |
| | I. Overview of the Three Models of Religious LibertyII. Evaluating the Three Models | 141 144 |

| х | Contents | |
|---|--|------------|
| | III. Dialogic Tools to Implementing the Balancing Model of Religious Freedom | 152 |
| | IV. Conclusion | 158 161 |
| 8 | . Right to Equality | 163 |
| | I Overview of the Four Models of Equality | 169 |
| | II Evaluating the Four Models | 180 |
| | III. Justifying Equality Infringements | 192 |
| | IV. Conclusion | 105 |
| 0 |). Right to Due Process | 195 |
| 2 | I. Overview of the Four Models of Due Process | 197 |
| | The Indian the Four Models | 204 |
| | II. Evaluating the Four Would's III. Dialogic Tools to Implementing Substantive Due Process | 213 220 |
| | IV. Conclusion | 220 |
| | | 223 |
| | 10. Conclusion | |
| | | 229 |
| | Bibliography | 241 |
| | Index | |