

Table of Contents

Editors	v
Contributors	vii
Preface	li
PART I	
General Principles	1
CHAPTER 1	
Applicable Law under the ICSID Convention: The Tortured History of the Interpretation of Article 42	
<i>W. Michael Reisman & Mahnoush H. Arsanjani</i>	3
I. Introduction	3
II. The Cases	4
III. Implications for International Investment Law	9
IV. Conclusion	11
CHAPTER 2	
Rules of Interpretation and Investment Arbitration	
<i>Laurence Boisson de Chazournes</i>	13
I. Introduction	13
II. The International Law Rules of Interpretation in Light of the <i>Cemex v. Venezuela</i> Case	17
A. The <i>CEMEX v. Venezuela</i> Case	17
B. The International Canons of Interpretation Applied to Unilateral Declarations of States	18
C. <i>Effet Utile</i> and the Interpretation of Unilateral Declarations	21

Table of Contents

III.	The Contribution of the <i>Cemex v. Venezuela</i> Decision to the Development of Investment Law	22
IV.	Conclusion	23

CHAPTER 3

The Use of the ILC's Attribution Rules in Investment Arbitration

James Crawford & Paul Mertenskötter

		27
I.	Introduction	27
II.	The Role of ILC Article 4(2)	28
	A. Is a Classification of an Entity as a State Organ under Domestic Law Dispositive?	28
	B. <i>De Facto</i> Organs of the State	29
III.	Applicability of the ILC Articles to Umbrella Clause Determinations	30
	A. First Position: The ILC Articles Determine the Question	31
	B. Second Position: A Question for International Law, but Not the ILC Articles	32
	C. Third Position: A Question for the Proper Law of the Contract	34
IV.	Corruption and Attribution under ILC Article 7	35
V.	Conclusion	42

CHAPTER 4

On Burden and Standard of Proof

Gary B. Born

		43
I.	Introduction	43
II.	The Burden of Proof in Investment Arbitration	44
	A. Burden of Proof with regard to the Merits	44
	B. The Burden of Proof in Relation to Jurisdiction	48
III.	Standard of Proof in Investment Arbitration	50
IV.	Conclusion	53

CHAPTER 5

Res Judicata

Charles N. Brower & Paula F. Henin

		55
I.	Introduction	55
II.	The Case	60
	A. <i>ConocoPhillips v. Venezuela</i>	60
	B. <i>ConocoPhillips v. Venezuela</i> in Context	65
III.	<i>Conocophillips v. Venezuela</i> 's Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	66
IV.	Conclusion	69

CHAPTER 6

Precedent & *Jurisprudence Constante*

Abdulqawi Ahmed Yusuf & Guled Yusuf

I.	Introduction	71
----	--------------	----

II.	The Case	73
III.	<i>AES v. Argentina's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	77
IV.	Conclusion	80
PART II		
Jurisdiction		83
CHAPTER 7		
The Tipping Point		
<i>Jan Paulsson</i>		
I.	Introduction	85
II.	The Case: <i>SPP v. Egypt</i>	87
III.	The Implications	90
IV.	Prospects	94
CHAPTER 8		
The Long March towards a <i>Jurisprudence Constante</i> on the Notion of Investment		
<i>Emmanuel Gaillard & Yas Banifatemi</i>		
I.	Introduction	97
II.	The Case	99
III.	Impact and Contribution of <i>Salini v. Morocco</i> to the Development of Investment Law	104
A.	Investment as an Objective Requirement under the ICSID Convention: The Dissociation by <i>Salini</i> of the Requirement of Investment under Article 25(1) from Party Consent under the Applicable Investment Treaty	105
B.	Investment Defined: The Introduction of a True Definition by <i>Salini</i>	111
1.	The Competing Methodologies for the Determination of an Investment	111
a.	The Intuitive Approach	112
b.	The Deductive Approach	113
2.	The Factors to Be Taken into Account to Assess an Investment	114
a.	The <i>Salini</i> Test: The Reason for Four Criteria	115
b.	Evolving from Four to Three Criteria	117
c.	Three – Not Four, Five or Six Criteria	119
IV.	Conclusion	124
CHAPTER 9		
Legality of Investment		
<i>Jean Kalicki, Dmitri Evseev & Mallory Silberman</i>		
I.	Introduction	127
II.	The Cases	128

Table of Contents

A.	<i>Fraport v. Philippines I</i>	128
B.	<i>Fraport v. Philippines II</i>	130
III.	<i>Fraport v. Philippines I and Fraport v. Philippines II</i> in Context	131
A.	Does a Legality Requirement Exist?	132
1.	Express Legality Requirement	132
2.	Implicit Legality Requirement	133
B.	Has There Been a Violation of the Legality Obligation?	134
1.	Illegal or Intentionally Wrongful Investor Conduct	135
a.	Investments That Are Inherently Illegal	135
b.	Illegal or Wrongful Procurement of an Otherwise Valid Investment	135
2.	Materiality	136
C.	Is the Respondent Estopped from Claiming Breach of the Legality Requirement?	138
IV.	Conclusion	139

CHAPTER 10

Criteria to Determine Investor Nationality (Juridical Persons)

	<i>Pierre Tercier & Nhu-Hoang Tran Thang</i>	141
I.	Introduction	141
II.	The Case	142
A.	Overview: General Principles of International Law	142
B.	<i>Tokios Tokelès v. Ukraine</i> and Professor Weil's Dissenting Opinion	143
III.	<i>Tokios Tokelès v. Ukraine's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	147
IV.	Conclusion	152

CHAPTER 11

Criteria to Determine Investor Nationality (Natural Persons)

	<i>Christoph Schreuer</i>	153
I.	Introduction	153
II.	The Case	154
A.	<i>Soufraki v. UAE</i>	154
B.	<i>Soufraki v. UAE</i> in Context	156
III.	<i>Soufraki v. UAE's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	157
A.	Governing Law on Nationality	157
B.	The Tribunal's Power to Decide	158
C.	Probative Value of Documents	160
IV.	Conclusion	161

CHAPTER 12

Continuous Nationality Rule in Investor-State Arbitration

	<i>Piero Bernardini</i>	163
--	-------------------------	-----

I.	The Different Role of Nationality for Diplomatic Protection Claims and Investment Treaty Claims	163
II.	The Case	168
	A. <i>Loewen v. US</i> , ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3	168
	B. <i>Loewen v. US</i> in Context	169
III.	<i>Loewen's</i> Impact on the Development of International Investment Law	170
	A. Through the Prism of Legal Scholars	170
	B. Through the Prism of Other Investment Treaty Cases	170
	C. Through the Prism of the ICSID Convention	172
IV.	Conclusion	173

CHAPTER 13

Restructuring Investments to Achieve Investment Treaty Protection

Stephen Jagusch, Anthony Sinclair & Manthi Wickramasooriya 175

I.	Introduction	175
II.	Nationality Planning in Context	176
	A. Breadth of Jurisdictional Offer	176
	B. Most-Favored Nation Treatment Clauses	177
	C. Denial of Benefits Clauses	178
	D. Temporal Limits on Jurisdiction	179
III.	The Case Study: <i>Mobil v. Venezuela</i>	180
IV.	The Key Emerging Principles	185
	A. The Legitimacy of Planning to Achieve Treaty Coverage Generally	185
	B. Pre-existing or Future Disputes	186
	C. Future Disputes within the Claimant's Reasonable Contemplation	188
V.	Conclusion	190

CHAPTER 14

The Meaning of "Foreign Control" under Article 25(2)(B) of the ICSID Convention

V.V. Veeder & Andrew Legg 191

I.	Introduction	191
II.	The Case	193
III.	The Impact and Contribution of the ICSID Convention's " <i>Travaux</i> "	195
IV.	Conclusion	202

CHAPTER 15

Indirect Shareholder Claims

Gabriel Bottini 203

I.	Introduction	203
II.	Prior Decisions by Investment Tribunals	205
III.	The Case	207
	A. The Decision on Jurisdiction	207

Table of Contents

B.	The Award and the Decision on Annulment	213
IV.	<i>CMS v. Argentina's</i> Impact on the Development of Investment Law	215
V.	Conclusion	218
CHAPTER 16		
Preconditions to Arbitration and Consent of States to ICSID Jurisdiction		
	<i>Pierre-Marie Dupuy</i>	219
I.	Introduction	219
II.	The Case	221
A.	<i>Wintershall v. Argentina</i>	221
B.	<i>Wintershall v. Argentina</i> in Context	222
1.	General Philosophy of the Award with regard to Its Own Jurisdiction	223
2.	The Mandatory and Jurisdictional Feature of the 18-Month Requirement	224
3.	The Relationship between the 18-Month Requirement and Exhaustion of Local Remedies	225
4.	The Way the Award Approaches the Futility Test	226
III.	The <i>Wintershall v. Argentina</i> Case: Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	227
A.	A Brief Review of the ICJ's Case Law with regard to Preconditions as Elements of Consent to Jurisdiction	227
B.	Legal Nature of Preconditions: Mandatory or Not? Jurisdictional or Admissibility Issues?	229
1.	The Distinction between Admissibility and Jurisdictional Issues	229
2.	The Distinction Applied	231
C.	The Futility Test	233
IV.	General Conclusion	234
CHAPTER 17		
Waiver of Local Remedies and Limitation Periods		
	<i>Andrea K. Bjorklund</i>	237
I.	Introduction	237
II.	Waivers of Local Remedies	238
A.	The Case (Waiver of Local Remedies): <i>Waste Management v. Mexico I</i>	238
B.	<i>Waste Management v. Mexico I</i> : Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	241
III.	Limitation Periods	244
A.	The Case (Limitation Periods): <i>Grand River v. US</i>	244
B.	<i>Grand River v. US</i> : Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	247
IV.	Conclusion	250

CHAPTER 18

Maffezini v. Plama: Reflections on the Jurisprudential Schism in the Application of Most-Favored-Nation Clauses to Matters of Dispute Settlement

<i>Stephan W. Schill</i>	251
I. Introduction: Precedent Games in the Tower and the Arena	251
II. The Protagonists of the Jurisprudential Split	253
A. <i>Maffezini v. Spain</i>	253
B. <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i>	255
C. <i>Maffezini v. Spain</i> and <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> : Two Visions of International Investment Law	256
III. The Impact of <i>Maffezini v. Spain</i> and <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> on the Development of International Investment Law	259
A. From Jurisprudential Split to Jurisprudential Schism	259
B. Emerging Consensus on Basic Rules of Interpreting MFN Clauses	261
C. The Challenges of the Jurisprudential Schism for International Investment Law Generally	262
IV. Conclusion: From Precedent Game to Appointment Game	264

PART III

Standards of Protection 267

CHAPTER 19

The Minimum Standard of Treatment, *Glamis Gold*, and *Neer*'s Enduring Influence

<i>Jeremy K. Sharpe</i>	269
I. Introduction	269
II. The Case	270
A. <i>Glamis v. US</i> and <i>Neer</i> 's Resurgence	270
B. <i>Glamis v. US</i> in Context	275
III. <i>Glamis v. US</i> 's Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	276
IV. Conclusion	280

CHAPTER 20

Fair and Equitable Treatment: Legitimate Expectations and Transparency
Lucy Reed & Simon Consedine

<i>Lucy Reed & Simon Consedine</i>	283
I. Introduction	283
II. The Case	284
A. <i>Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed, S.A. v. United Mexican States</i>	284
1. Indirect Expropriation	284
2. FET and Legitimate Expectations	285
3. Damages	286
B. <i>Tecmed v. Mexico</i> in Context	287

Table of Contents

III.	<i>Tecmed's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	289
A.	<i>Tecmed v. Mexico's</i> Progeny	289
B.	Resistance to <i>Tecmed v. Mexico</i> and Legitimate Expectations	290
C.	<i>Tecmed v. Mexico</i> Today	292
D.	Transparency	293
IV.	Conclusion	294
CHAPTER 21		
Fair and Equitable Treatment: Denial of Justice		
	<i>Margrete Stevens & Doak Bishop</i>	295
I.	Introduction	295
II.	The Case	296
A.	<i>Mondev v. US</i>	296
1.	The Dismissal of LPA's Contract Claim against the City	299
2.	The SJC's Failure to Remand the Contract Claim	300
3.	The SJC's Failure to Consider whether It Retrospectively Applied a New Rule	300
4.	The Boston Redevelopments Authority's Statutory Immunity	300
B.	<i>Mondev v. US</i> in Context	301
III.	<i>Mondev v. US's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	303
IV.	Conclusion	305
CHAPTER 22		
Arbitrary and Discriminatory Treatment		
	<i>Vaughan Lowe</i>	307
I.	Introduction	307
II.	The Case	308
A.	<i>Lemire v. Ukraine</i>	308
B.	<i>Lemire v. Ukraine</i> in Context	309
III.	<i>Lemire v. Ukraine's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	310
A.	Relationship with FET	310
B.	Discrimination	310
C.	Arbitrariness	312
D.	Relationship with Other Concepts	313
1.	Legitimate Expectations	313
2.	Transparency	314
3.	Independence	315
4.	Systemic and Transactional Deficiencies	316
5.	Balancing State Rights	317
IV.	Conclusion	317

CHAPTER 23

The Evolution of the Full Protection and Security Standard

<i>Stanimir A. Alexandrov</i>	319
I. Introduction	319
II. Landmark Cases regarding Full Protection and Security: <i>AAPL v. Sri Lanka & Azurix v. Argentina</i>	320
A. <i>AAPL v. Sri Lanka</i>	320
B. From <i>AAPL</i> to <i>Azurix</i> : The Full Protection and Security Standard Evolves	322
1. The Adoption of <i>AAPL</i> 's Due Diligence Standard	322
2. The Standard Expands to Legal Security	323
C. <i>Azurix v. Argentina</i>	324
D. The Post- <i>Azurix v. Argentina</i> Standard	326
III. Conclusion	329

CHAPTER 24

Property Rights as the Object of an Expropriation

<i>Zachary Douglas</i>	331
I. Introduction	331
II. The Distinction between Contract and Property	331
III. The Norwegian Shipowners' Claim	333
IV. Defining the Proper Object of an Expropriation	335
A. Not All Intangible Rights or Interests Are Capable of Expropriation	335
B. The Characterization of the Rights as Property or Contract Has Consequences in Terms of Jurisdiction and Applicable Law	336
C. The Object of an Expropriation Cannot Be the Value of Contracts or Property	341
V. <i>Emmis v. Hungary</i>	344
VI. Conclusion	347

CHAPTER 25

Indirect Expropriation

<i>L. Yves Fortier & Stephen L. Drymer</i>	349
I. Introduction	349
II. First Definitions: IUSCT	351
III. The Cases: Seminal ICSID Definitions in <i>Santa Elena v. Costa Rica</i> , <i>Tecmed v. Mexico</i> and <i>Generation Ukraine v. Ukraine</i>	353
IV. The Cases' Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law: An Indirect Expropriation Checklist – Or, Once More unto the Breach	355
V. Conclusion	357

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 26

Effective Means to Assert Claims and Enforce Rights

<i>Oscar M. Garibaldi</i>	359
I. Introduction	359
II. <i>Duke Energy v. Ecuador</i>	361
III. The Earlier Cases	364
A. <i>Petrobart v. Kyrgyz Republic</i>	364
B. <i>Amto v. Ukraine</i>	365
IV. The Later Cases	368
A. <i>Chevron v. Ecuador</i>	368
B. <i>White Industries v. India</i>	371
V. Conclusion	373

CHAPTER 27

The Umbrella Clause

<i>Andrés Rigo Sureda</i>	375
I. Introduction	375
II. The Cases	376
A. Brief Description of the Pakistan Case and Its Holdings	376
B. Brief Description of the Philippines Case and Its Holdings	377
C. The Two Cases in Context	379
III. The Pakistan and Philippines SGS Cases' Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	380
IV. Conclusions	387

CHAPTER 28

National Treatment

<i>August Reinisch</i>	389
I. Introduction	389
II. The Case	391
III. <i>Bayindir v. Pakistan's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	394
IV. Conclusion	397

CHAPTER 29

Most-Favored-Nation Treatment: Substantive Protection

<i>David D. Caron & Esmé Shirlow</i>	399
I. Introduction	399
II. The Case	401
A. <i>MTD v. Chile</i>	401
1. The Tribunal's Award	402
2. The Annulment Proceeding	403
B. <i>MTD v. Chile</i> in Context	403
III. <i>MTD v. Chile's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	405

A. Patterns of Usage: MFN Clauses as Substantive Protection Standards	405
B. The Role of Express Exceptions in the Treaty in Interpretation of the Scope of MFN Standards as Substantive Protection Obligations	408
C. The Role of the Wording of the MFN Clause in Interpretation of Its Scope as a Substantive Protection Standard	409
D. The Relevance of Constraints in the Comparator Treaty	412
IV. Conclusion	413

CHAPTER 30

Performance Requirements

<i>Barton Legum & Ioana Petculescu</i>	415
--	-----

I. Introduction	415
II. The Case	418
A. <i>Mobil v. Canada's</i> Approach to Performance Requirements	418
1. Factual Background of the Case	418
2. Unanimous Finding That the Measure Was a Prohibited Performance Requirement	419
3. Majority Finding No Exemption under NAFTA Article 1108	420
B. An Important Contribution to the Evolution of NAFTA Case Law	421
III. <i>Mobil v. Canada's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	424
A. Expansion of Performance Requirement Prohibitions in Recent Treaty Practice	424
1. NAFTA-Like Prohibitions	424
2. Provisions Incorporating the 1994 TRIMs Agreement Prohibition	426
B. Little Discussion of Performance Requirements outside of the NAFTA Context	427
IV. Conclusion	428

PART IV

Exceptions, Defenses and Counterclaims	431
---	------------

CHAPTER 31

The Consequences of Corruption in Investor-State Arbitration

<i>Carolyn B. Lamm & Andrea J. Menaker</i>	433
--	-----

I. Introduction	433
II. The <i>World Duty Free v. Kenya</i> Case	436
A. Factual Background	436
B. The Tribunal's Analysis	437
1. Whether the Admitted Facts Constituted Corruption	437
2. Whether the Contract Was "Obtained by" Corruption	438

Table of Contents

3.	Consequences under Applicable Law and “Transnational Public Policy”	438
4.	The Implications of the President’s Participation	439
5.	Issues Excluded from Consideration: Jurisdiction and Burden and Standard of Proof	440
III.	Investor-State Tribunals’ Subsequent Development of Corruption Jurisprudence	441
	A. <i>Niko Resources v. Bangladesh</i>	441
	B. <i>Metal-Tech v. Uzbekistan</i>	442
IV.	Conclusion	445
CHAPTER 32		
Police Powers or the State’s Right to Regulate		
	<i>Alain Pellet</i>	447
I.	Introduction	447
II.	The Case	450
	A. The Context of the <i>Chemtura v. Canada</i> Case	450
	1. The Origins and Early Challenges to the Police Powers Doctrine	450
	2. The Recognition of the Police Powers Doctrine in Modern Investment Arbitration Law	454
	B. The <i>Chemtura v. Canada</i> Case	455
III.	<i>Chemtura v. Canada</i> ’s Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	457
	A. The Relatively Limited Scope of the Doctrine	457
	B. The Search for a Truly Balanced Conception of the Police Powers Doctrine	458
	C. An Uncertain Test	460
IV.	Conclusion	461
CHAPTER 33		
Denial of Benefits after <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i>		
	<i>Mark Feldman</i>	463
I.	Introduction	463
II.	Denial of Benefits and the ICSID Convention	464
III.	The Case	466
	A. <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> : The Dispute	466
	B. The <i>Plama</i> Tribunal’s Interpretation of ECT Article 17(1)	467
	1. Denial of Benefits as a Merits Issue	467
	2. The “Retrospective” Application of ECT Article 17(1)	468
IV.	<i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> ’s Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law: Denial of Benefits after <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i>	469
	A. The <i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> /ECT Line of Denial of Benefits Jurisprudence	470

B.	The Non-ECT Line of Denial of Benefits Jurisprudence	470
C.	<i>Plama v. Bulgaria</i> 's Flawed "Retrospective" Analysis	471
V.	Conclusion	474
CHAPTER 34		
Defenses Based on Necessity under Customary International Law and on Emergency Clauses in Bilateral Investment Treaties		
	<i>Peter Tomka</i>	477
I.	Introduction	477
II.	The Case	479
	A. <i>LG&E v. Argentina</i>	479
	B. <i>LG&E v. Argentina</i> in Context	480
III.	<i>LG&E v. Argentina</i> 's Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	483
	A. The Interpretation of Article XI	485
	B. Threats to "Essential" Interests	487
	C. "Necessary" Measures	489
	D. Contribution to the State of Necessity	490
	E. Consequences of Necessity	491
IV.	Conclusion	492
CHAPTER 35		
Countermeasures and Investment Arbitration		
	<i>Donald McRae</i>	495
I.	Introduction	495
II.	The <i>Corn Products v. Mexico</i> Case	496
III.	The Countermeasures Problem	498
IV.	The Broader Contribution of <i>Corn Products v. Mexico</i>	500
	A. The Nature of Investors' Rights	500
	B. The Meaning and Limits of <i>Lex Specialis</i>	501
V.	Conclusions	503
CHAPTER 36		
Counterclaims		
	<i>Anne K. Hoffmann</i>	505
I.	Introduction	505
II.	The Case	506
	A. <i>Roussalis v. Romania</i>	506
	B. <i>Roussalis v. Romania</i> in Context	506
	1. The Issue of Consent	508
	2. The Connection between Claim and Counterclaim	511
III.	Impact and Contribution of <i>Roussalis v. Romania</i> to the Development of Investment Law	512
IV.	Conclusion	518

PART V

Valuation and Cost Considerations 521

CHAPTER 37

Assessing Damages: Valuation Standards

John Y. Gotanda 523

I. Introduction 523

II. The Case 524

III. *ADC v. Hungary*: Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law 527

A. Significance of the Award 527

B. Impact of the Award 529

IV. Conclusion 531

CHAPTER 38

The Impact of Contributory Investor Conduct: Only with Difficulty Commensurable

Mark Kantor 533

I. Introduction 533

II. The Cases 535

A. *MTD v. Chile* 535

1. The Rulings 536

2. Background and Context 536

B. *Occidental v. Ecuador* 538

1. The Rulings 538

2. Background and Context 539

C. The *Yukos* Awards 541

1. The Rulings 542

2. Background and Context 542

III. The Cases' Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law 552

IV. Conclusions 553

CHAPTER 39

Allocation of Costs

Donald Francis Donovan 555

I. Introduction 555

II. The Case 556

A. *Libananco v. Turkey* 556

B. *Libananco v. Turkey* in Context 558

III. The Impact and Contribution of *Libananco v. Turkey* on the Development of Investment Law 560

IV. Conclusion 564

CHAPTER 40	
Security for Costs: Authority of the Tribunal and Third-Party Funding	
<i>Eduardo Zuleta</i>	567
I. Introduction	567
II. The Case	569
A. The Facts of the Case and the Parties' Arguments	569
B. The Decision	572
1. Whether the Tribunal Has the Power to Order Security for Costs	572
2. What Are the Rights to Be Preserved by the Provisional Measures	573
3. Whether There Are Exceptional Circumstances	574
a. Necessity of the Measure	574
b. Urgency of the Measure	576
III. The Development of Investment Law on Two Key Issues: Powers of the Tribunal and Third-Party Funding	577
IV. Conclusion	581
PART VI	
Procedural and Other Matters	583
CHAPTER 41	
The Role of Dissenting Opinions	
<i>Albert Jan van den Berg</i>	585
I. Introduction	585
II. The Case	586
III. The Weil Dissent's Impact and Contribution to the Development of International Investment Law	589
IV. A Matter of Treaty Interpretation, Not Abuse of Rights	591
V. Conclusion	592
CHAPTER 42	
ICSID Arbitration Rule 41(5) Objections	
<i>Antonio R. Parra</i>	593
I. Introduction	593
II. The Decision of the Tribunal in the <i>MOL</i> Case	596
III. Conclusion	598
CHAPTER 43	
Consolidation and Parallel Proceedings	
<i>Eduardo Silva Romero</i>	601
I. Introduction	601
II. The Case	603
A. <i>Corn Products International v. Mexico</i>	603
B. <i>Corn Products International v. Mexico</i> in Context	604

Table of Contents

1. The First Known Application of NAFTA Article 1126	604
C. The First Time Consolidation Arises in an ICSID-Administered Case	606
III. <i>Corn Products International v. Mexico's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	607
A. A Different Approach by a Different Tribunal?	607
B. Significance and Impact of <i>Corn Products International v. Mexico: De facto</i> Consolidations	609
IV. Conclusion	611
CHAPTER 44	
And Others: Mass Claims in ICSID Arbitration	
<i>Veijo Heiskanen</i>	613
I. Introduction	613
II. The Case	615
A. <i>Abaclat and Others v. Argentina</i>	615
B. <i>Abaclat v. Argentina</i> in Context	616
III. <i>Abaclat v. Argentina's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	620
IV. Conclusion	624
CHAPTER 45	
Interim/Provisional Measures	
<i>Brigitte Stern</i>	627
I. Introduction	627
A. Overview of Provisional Measures	627
B. The Importance of Provisional Measures in Relation to the ICSID System and Investor-State Arbitration	630
1. The Binding Character	630
2. The Specificity of the Balancing of Interest and the Proportionality Test	631
II. The Case	632
A. <i>Occidental v. Ecuador</i>	632
1. The Facts	632
2. The Parties' Arguments	633
3. The Tribunal's Analysis	633
B. <i>Occidental v. Ecuador</i> in Context	634
1. The Test Followed by the Tribunal	634
2. The Rights Protected by Provisional Measures	634
3. The Two Requests for Provisional Measures	634
a. Request of Provisional Measures on the Basis of a Right to Specific Performance	635
b. Request for Provisional Measures on the Basis of the Right to Non-aggravation of the Dispute	636

III.	<i>Occidental v. Ecuador's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	636
A.	The Preservation of "Theoretically Existing Rights" via Provisional Measures	636
B.	The Impossibility to Claim Specific Performance Pursuant to a State's Termination of the Disputed Contract in the Exercise of Its Sovereign Powers	638
IV.	Conclusion	640
CHAPTER 46		
	<i>Quo Vadis</i> Disqualification?	
	<i>Marc Lalonde</i>	641
I.	Introduction	641
II.	The Standard under ICSID to Disqualify Arbitrators	645
III.	The Case: <i>Blue Bank v. Venezuela</i>	648
IV.	<i>Blue Bank v. Venezuela's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	650
V.	Conclusion	652
CHAPTER 47		
	Conflict of Interest for Arbitrators and/or Counsel	
	<i>Philippe Sands</i>	655
I.	Introduction	655
II.	The Case	656
A.	<i>Urbaser v. Argentina</i>	656
B.	The Context	659
III.	Impact and Contribution to International Investment Law	659
A.	<i>Saint-Gobain v. Venezuela</i>	660
B.	<i>Perenco v. Ecuador</i>	661
C.	<i>Hrvatska v. Slovenia</i>	662
D.	<i>Rompetrol v. Romania</i>	663
E.	Assessment	664
F.	The Court of Arbitration for Sport ("CAS")	666
G.	In Defense of the Current System	666
IV.	Conclusion	667
CHAPTER 48		
	A Case for Transparency in Investment Arbitration	
	<i>Toby Landau & Romesh Weeramantry</i>	669
I.	Introduction	669
II.	<i>Aguas del Tunari v. Bolivia</i>	670
A.	Factual Background	670
B.	Procedural History	671
C.	The Petition	672

Table of Contents

D. The Arbitral Tribunal's Response	674
III. <i>Aguas del Tunari v. Bolivia</i> and the Development of Investment Law	675
A. The Demand for Transparency	675
B. Responses	679
1. ICSID	680
2. NAFTA	680
3. Other Treaties	681
4. The UNCITRAL Rules and Mauritius Convention	681
IV. Conclusion	682
CHAPTER 49	
<i>Amicus Curiae</i> in ICSID Arbitration	
<i>J. Christopher Thomas</i>	685
I. Introduction	685
II. The Case	692
III. <i>Aguas Argentinas'</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	696
IV. Conclusion	696
CHAPTER 50	
Annulment	
<i>Hi-Taek Shin</i>	699
I. Introduction	699
II. The Cases	701
A. <i>Klöckner v. Cameroon I</i>	701
B. <i>Wena v. Egypt</i>	702
C. Holdings of <i>Klöckner v. Cameroon I</i> and <i>Wena v. Egypt</i>	
Annulment Decisions	702
1. Limited Nature of Annulment Proceedings	702
2. The Interpretation of Specific Grounds for Annulment	703
a. Manifest Excess of Powers Relating to Jurisdiction	703
b. Manifest Excess of Powers Relating to the Applicable Law	703
c. Serious Departure from a Fundamental Rule of Procedure	704
d. Failure to State the Reasons on Which the Award Is Based	704
e. Discretion of <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committees	706
D. <i>Klöckner v. Cameroon I</i> and <i>Wena v. Egypt</i> Annulment Decisions in Context	706
III. <i>Klöckner v. Cameroon I</i> and <i>Wena v. Egypt's</i> Impact and Contribution to the Development of Investment Law	706
A. Limited Nature of Annulment Proceedings	706
B. The Interpretation of Specific Grounds for Annulment	707
1. Manifest Excess of Powers Relating to Jurisdiction	707
2. Manifest Excess of Powers Relating to the Applicable Law	708

3. Serious Departure from a Fundamental Rule of Procedure	710
4. Failure to State the Reasons on Which the Award Is Based	710
5. Discretion of <i>Ad Hoc</i> Committees	712
IV. Conclusion	712
Index	715