

Contents

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xi
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xiii
 1. Introduction	 1
<i>Marko Milanović and Michael Wood</i>	
I. THE ADVISORY PROCEEDINGS IN CONTEXT	
2. Explaining Serbia's Decision to Go to the ICJ	9
<i>James Ker-Lindsay</i>	
1. Introduction	9
2. The Declaration of Independence and Serbia's Response	9
3. The Decision to Question Recognition or Secession	13
4. International Opposition to the Case	14
5. The UN General Assembly Resolution	16
6. Conclusion	18
3. Arguing the Kosovo Case	21
<i>Marko Milanović</i>	
1. Introduction	21
2. The Pleadings in Brief	22
3. Outline of Arguments	24
4. Jurisdiction, Propriety, and the 'Question Question'	27
5. Arguments under General International Law	32
6. Arguments under Resolution 1244	44
7. Conclusion	58
4. The Practicalities of Representing a Client in Complex Multiparty Proceedings: The Example of Kosovo	60
<i>Qudsi Rasheed and Michael Wood</i>	
1. The Lead-Up to the Request	60
2. Practical Arrangements for Handling the Case	65
3. Kosovo's Participation in the Proceedings	67
4. Exchanges Among Like-Minded States	68
5. Conclusion	72
5. The Settling of a Self-Determination Conflict? Kosovo's Status Process and the 2010 Advisory Opinion of the ICJ	73
<i>Bernhard Knoll-Tudor</i>	
1. Introduction	73
2. Statehood or Stasis? UNOSEK and the Contact Group	74
3. Miscalculations and Flawed Premises	78

4. Challenges to Serbia's Position	81
5. Spoiling the Party	83
6. UNOSEK's Settlement Proposal	86
7. A Declaration of Independence, a New Constitution	87
8. The ICJ's Advisory Opinion	90
9. Conclusion	92

II. THE OPINION

6. Questions of Jurisdiction and the Discretion to Decline a Request for an Advisory Opinion	99
<i>Vladimir Djerić</i>	99
1. Introduction	100
2. Jurisdiction	104
3. Discretion	116
4. Conclusion	118
7. The Question Question	
<i>Daniel Müller</i>	118
1. Introduction	119
2. The Court's Refusal to Broaden the Scope of the Question	124
3. The Court's 'Corrections' to the Formulation of the Question	128
4. The Court's 'Interpretation' of the Meaning of the Question	132
5. Concluding Remarks	
8. Reflections on the ICJ Advisory Opinion on Kosovo: Interpreting Security Council Resolution 1244 (1999)	134
<i>Sean Murphy</i>	134
1. Introduction	
2. Core Legal Arguments Concerning the Consistency of the Declaration of Independence with Resolution 1244	136
3. Broader Ramifications of the Advisory Opinion for Interpretation and Application of Security Council Resolutions	156
4. Conclusion	165
9. The UN Secretary-General and the Advisory Opinion	167
<i>Mathias Forteau</i>	167
1. Introduction	169
2. Not a Mere Secretariat	178
3. Neutrality as a Solution	
10. The Sounds of Silence: Making Sense of the Supposed Gaps in the Kosovo Opinion	187
<i>Marc Weller</i>	187
1. Introduction	187
2. Is there a Prohibition of Unilateral Secession in International Law?	190

3. Is it Necessary to Rely on a Positive Right to Self-determination?	196
4. Is there a Right to Self-determination in the Sense of Secession Outside the Colonial Context?	199
5. The Definition of the 'People'	205
6. Recognition of the People as Actors	207
7. Was there a Particular Prohibition of Secession Imposed by the Security Council?	209
8. The Negotiating Process as a Source of Legitimacy?	211
9. What are the Implications of the Use of Force by NATO that Preceded the Eventual Declaration of Independence?	212
10. Conclusion	214

III. REACTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

11. The Court and its Multiple Constituencies: Three Perspectives on the <i>Kosovo</i> Advisory Opinion	219
<i>André Nollkaemper</i>	219
1. Introduction	222
2. An Institutional Perspective	229
3. A Dispute Settlement Perspective	232
4. A Guardian Perspective	238
5. Assessment	240
12. The Political Aftermath of the ICJ's <i>Kosovo</i> Opinion	240
<i>Tatjana Papić</i>	240
1. Introduction	241
2. Reactions to the <i>Kosovo</i> Advisory Opinion	247
3. UNGA Resolution on the <i>Kosovo</i> AO	254
4. Relations between Belgrade and Pristina—EU Sponsored Dialogue	265
5. Conclusion	268
13. <i>Kosovo</i> —The Questions Not Asked: Self-Determination, Secession, and Recognition	268
<i>Alain Pellet</i>	268
1. Pointless Question, Semi-Answer	271
2. The Right to Self-Determination of Non-Colonial Peoples	273
3. The Right to Secession <i>v.</i> Territorial Integrity	277
4. Recognition by Other States	280
14. <i>Kosovo</i> and the Criteria for Statehood in International Law	280
<i>James Crawford</i>	280
1. The Questions Avoided	281
2. Application of the Criteria for Statehood to <i>Kosovo</i>	290
3. Conclusion	

15. Has the Advisory Opinion's Finding that Kosovo's Declaration of Independence was not Contrary to International Law Set an Unfortunate Precedent?	291
<i>Anne Peters</i>	291
1. Introduction	
2. Conceptual Issues: 'Uniqueness', 'Sui Generis', 'Precedent', and Distinguishing	293
3. The Advisory Opinion as a Precedent?	295
4. The DoI as a Precedent?	300
5. Possible Effects of 'No Precedent' Talk on the Evolution of International Customary Law	305
6. Normative Drawbacks of the 'No Precedent' Language	309
7. Conclusions	311
 IV. THE ROAD AHEAD	
16. Some Implications of the Advisory Opinion for Resolution of the Serbia-Kosovo Conflict	317
<i>Richard Caplan and Stefan Wolff</i>	317
1. Introduction	318
2. Advisory Opinions and Conflict Resolution	320
3. Further Recognition of Kosovo and its Effects	322
4. Expanded International Engagement	327
5. Shifts in Domestic Opinion, Politics, and Policy	328
6. Growing Participation of Kosovo in the Society of States	330
7. Conclusion	
 17. Old Problems, Fresh Frameworks: Kosovo, Serbia and the EU—the Virtue of a 'Free Territory'	332
<i>James Gow</i>	332
1. Introduction	333
2. The ICJ	335
3. The Options for Kosovo	342
4. An EU 'Free Territory'	348
5. Conclusion	
 18. Reflections on the Law and Politics of the Kosovo Case	350
<i>Harold Hongju Koh</i>	351
1. The Kosovo Case	356
2. The Kosovo Precedent	
 <i>Bibliography</i>	363
<i>Index</i>	367