## CONTENTS

Acknowledgments Note on Methodology Table of Cases Table of Treaties		vii ix xv xxix	
Introduction			
1.1	Framing the scope of the argument	2	
1.2	Framing the measuring stick against which the European Court's		
	case law will be tested	5	
1.3	Outlining the structure of the book and how the argument is	0	
	developed	9	
	Section I		
A II	nterpreting Article 6	15	
A.1	The European Court sometimes seeks guidance from the Vienna		
	Convention in interpreting Article 6	16	
A.2	Object and purpose: the Court's attempts at teleological interpretation	10	
1 2	are marked by incoherence	19	
A.3	The European Court uses several techniques to overcome ordinary literal meanings	23	
A.4	The European Court inconsistently cites the need for 'practical	25	
11.1	and effective' interpretation	26	
A.5	The European Court's use of extrinsic materials is opaque	28	
	Democracy and Article 6	30	
A.7		34	
B The European Court's Role in Article 6 Cases 35			
B.1	The European Court adopts an ostensibly modest and deferential		
	approach in Article 6 cases	36	
B.2	The European Court states that its role is not to enunciate general		
	doctrines	39	
B.3	The European Court makes incoherent claims about avoiding		
D (	abstract challenges	40	
B.4	The European Court describes its role as limited by the 'fourth	40	
B.5	instance' doctrine The Fourth instance doctrine is riddled with exceptions to the	42	
נ.ע	point of incoherence	47	
	P on the or moon of the one	11	

Contents

B.6	The European Court's approach to the law of evidence is marked by incoherence	58			
B.7	Building the foundations for a new approach to how the European Court describes its own role	62			
0.0		(F			
	Out of One, Many? The Internal Structure of Article 6	65			
C.1	The European Court has adopted several approaches to the internal structure of Article 6	67			
C.2	The European Commission's decision in <i>Nielsen</i> provided a	07			
0.2	reasonably clear approach	68			
C.3	The European Court sometimes describes the components of				
	Article 6 as independent minimum requirements	70			
C.4	The European Court has used three approaches based on the	= 0			
05	'specific aspects' maxim	72			
C.5	Building the foundations for a new approach to the internal structure of Article 6	86			
	structure of Article o	00			
D The Implied Rights 8					
D.1	What are the implied rights?	90			
D.2	The early implied rights jurisprudence was poorly explained	92			
D.3	At least eight justifications for implied rights can be identified in the				
	European Court's case law	94			
D.4	The case law indicates uncertainty over the boundaries of the	100			
DE	implied rights Building the foundations for a new approach to the implied rights	106 110			
D.5	Building the foundations for a new approach to the implied rights	110			
Section II					
ΕA	ssessing Infringements and Violations: the Puzzle of Article 6	115			
	Article 6 is different	116			
E.2	The 'proceedings as a whole' test is used inconsistently and				
	incoherently	124			
E.3	Counterbalancing and defect-curing are attempts to provide a				
	modest amount of structure to the European Court's balancing	139			
E.4	The European Court's 'never fair' case law is inconsistent with its				
	other case law, and internally incoherent	160			
E.5	Assessing whether certain evidence was the 'sole or decisive'				
	evidence against a defendant involves a particularly opaque form of semi-structured balancing	170			
E.6	The European Court is inconsistent in approaching the extent to	170			
1.0	which the public interest may justify a restriction on Article 6	176			
E.7	Building the foundations for a new approach to assessing violations of				
	Article 6	201			

xii

Conclusion	205
Bibliography	209
Index	217

Contents

xiii