. CONTENTS .

ONE · WHAT IS LAW? I

Interpretation

Why It Matters Disagreement about Law
The Plain-Fact View A Threshold Objection
The Real World Semantic Theories of Law
The Real Argument for Semantic Theories

TWO · INTERPRETIVE CONCEPTS 45 The Semantic Sting An Imaginary Example A First Look at Interpretation Interpretation and Author's Intention Art and the Nature of Intention Intentions and Practices Stages of Interpretation Philosophers of Courtesy A Digression: Justice Skepticism about

A New Picture Concepts and Conceptions of Law Skeptical Conceptions and Wicked Law Grounds and Force of Law

FOUR · CONVENTIONALISM 114 Its Structure Its Appeal Legal Conventions Two Kinds of Conventionalism Does Conventionalism Fit Our Practice? Does Conventionalism Justify Our Practice?

FIVE • PRAGMATISM AND PERSONIFICATION 151

A Skeptical Conception Does Pragmatism Fit? Law without Rights The Claims of Integrity Community Personified

SIX · INTEGRITY 176

Agenda Does Integrity Fit? Is Integrity
Attractive? The Puzzle of Legitimacy
Obligations of Community
Fraternity and Political Community
Untidy Endnotes

SEVEN · INTEGRITY IN LAW 225

A Large View The Chain of Law Law: The Question of Emotional Damages A Provisional Summary Some Familiar Objections Skepticism in Law

EIGHT · THE COMMON LAW 276

The Economic Interpretation Complexities
The Question of Justice The Utilitarian Duty
The Egalitarian Interpretation Equality and
Comparative Cost Private People and Public
Bodies

NINE · STATUTES 313

Legislative Intention Speaker's Meaning Convictions Hercules' Method Legislative History Statutes over Time When Is the Language Clear?

TEN · THE CONSTITUTION 355

Is Constitutional Law Built on a Mistake? Liberals and Conservatives Historicism Passivism Hercules on Olympus Theories of Racial Equality Deciding Brown Deciding Bakke Is Hercules a Tyrant?

ELEVEN · LAW BEYOND LAW 400

Law Works Itself Pure Law's Dreams Epilogue: What Is Law?

Notes 417 Index 455

INDEX

Abortion issue, and political integrity, 178, 185, 186 Accident law. See Law of unintended Acontextual meaning (interpretation), 17, 23, 89, 346-347, 353 Activism in constitutional interpretation: vs. passivism, 369; vs. law as integrity, 378; and Hercules, 398 Adjudication: practical politics of, 12; and inclusive integrity, 410. See also Judges Adjudicative principle of integrity, 167, 176, 218-219, 337. See also

Integrity, political Affirmative action, 393; and

antidiscrimination theories, 386-387; and Bakke case, 393-397

Alpers, Svetlana, 419n35 Ambiguity, and statutory interpretation, 350-353 Apportioning of costs, principle of,

260 Art, and author's intention, 55-65 Artistic interpretation, 50, 51, 53, 54,

55-58, 59-62 As-if rights, in legal pragmatism, 152-153, 154-155, 158, 161, 162 Associative (communal) obligations, 195-202; role obligations as, 195-196; conditions of, 199-201; vs. justice, 202-206; legitimacy through, 206-208; and de facto community, 209, 211-212; and rulebook community, 210, 212-213;

and community of principle, 211, 213-214, 216 (see also Community of principle) Austin, J. L., 448n10 Austin, John, 32, 33-34, 109, 431n2 Authority, law as, 429n3 Automobile manufacturer, in institutional responsibility example, 169-171 Automobiles, as intention example, 100

Bakke case, 393-397 Banned categories, 383-384, 385, 386-387, 388, 394 Banned sources, 384, 385-386, 388, Barrister immunity, as integrity example, 219-220, 401, 402 Bentham, Jeremy: on rights, 374-375; and legal positivism, 432n6 Berger, R., 450n6 Bickel, Alexander M., 435n4 Borderline defense, in positivists' view, 39-43 Bork, R., 450n5, 451n12 Brest, Paul, 447n3 Brown case, 29-30; as social revolution, 2, 391, 393; remedy in, 30, 389-392; and legal positivism, 37; and conventionalism, 119, 131; and integrity vs. pragmatism, 220-221; and popular morality, 250; and Fourteenth Amendment, 355; and historicism, 366; and passivism, 373-374; Hercules' interpretation of, 379-392, 399;

and banned sources theory, 384. See also Fourteenth Amendment
Burger, Warren, 21-22, 23, 38
Busing, school, 221, 392

Calabresi, G., 433n1, 444nn4, 5 Cardozo, Benjamin, 417n7 Cases. See Hard cases; Like cases; Pivotal cases

Causation, example of concept of, 32, 428n27

Cavell, Stanley, 56-57, 60 Certainty, value of, 367-368

Chain of law: and chain novel, 228-232; and character of Scrooge, 232-237; and respecting of text, 238; fit/justification interplay in, 239; statutory interpretation in, 313. See also Rope analogy

Chayes, A., 452n22

Checkerboard laws: as political compromise, 178–184, 186; and personification of state, 187; and integrity, 217–218

Choice: communal obligation from, 197-198, 201; in political communities, 207; and public responsibility, 298-299

Christmas Carol, A, as chain novel,

Civil disobedience, and force of law,

Civil law, and unilateralism, 143 Civil suits, importance of, 1. See also Lawsuits

Clarity of language, and statutory interpretation, 350-353

Coherence of law, and Elmer's case, 19-20. See also Integrity, law as

Coleman, Jules, 431n4

Collective consciousness, 64, 422n15 Collective sympathy, principle of, 269 Command theory of law, 33-34. See

also Positivism, legal

Common law: chain of, 238-239; conflict of rights in, 312. See also Emotional damages; Law of unintended injury; Precedent

Communal obligations. See Associative obligations

Community: and interpretive attitude, 46-47, 49; personification of, 64-65, 167-175, 186-187, 225, 296; and law as integrity, 96; in political integrity, 188-190; "bare" vs. "true," 201-202, 203-204, 207-208; political society as, 208-209; de facto model of, 209, 211-212; rule-book model of, 209-210, 212-213, 345; and love, 215; wealth of, 277 (see also Wealth test); pure interpretation of law directed to, 407; and attitude of law, 413

Community of principle, 211, 213-214; and legitimacy, 214-215, 216; in law as integrity, 243, 244; and integrity, 263, 404, 411; and private responsibility, 300, 309; and legislators' convictions, 328-329, 330, 336; and legislative history, 345-346; and justice, 406

Comparative cost, and equality, 301-309

Compartmentalization of law, 251-254

Compromise: Brown remedy as, 30; among political virtues, 176-178; and "checkerboard" laws, 178-184, 435n7; internal vs. external, 179; conventionalist acceptance of, 210; vs. comparative harm principle, 303; in legislators' intents, 323

Concept-conception distinction, 71-72; for justice, 74

Conceptions of law, 94-96; issues for, 99-101; and wicked law, 102-104, 108; on grounds and force of law, 109, 112; and legal practice, 139; and legitimacy, 190-191. See also Conventionalism; Integrity, law as; Pragmatism, legal

Concept of law, 92-94, 108-109; and force of law, 109-110, 190
Concept of Law, The (Hart), 34

Conflict: among political virtues, 176-178, 188; of abstract rights, 293, 296, 301, 306, 310, 312; of convictions, 330-333, 334 Consensus: in preinterpretive stage, 66; vs. convention, 135-139

Consent, legitimacy through, 192-193 Consequentialism. See Economic theory on unintended damage; Policy; Utilitarianism

Conservatism: of justices, 357-359; and Hercules, 398-399

Consistency: and political integrity, 219-224; and law as integrity, 227, 228

Consistency with past: and conventionalism, 130, 131, 132-135, 147; and legal pragmatism, 151, 159-160, 162, 163; and political integrity, 167, 219; and law as integrity, 227

Constitution, U.S.: and legal canon, 91; and conventionalist view, 115, 138; slavery provisions of, 184; and popular morality, 250; constraints in, 355; and role of Supreme Court, 355-356, 357; unrepresentative framers of, 364; as statute, 379; rationality requirement in, 382, 383, 397. See also Supreme Court

Constitutional adjudication. See Interpretation of Constitution

Constructive claims in law, 228 Constructive interpretation, 52-53, 54, 56, 61, 62, 65, 423n15; legal theory as, 90; vs. speaker's meaning view, 315, 336. See also Interpretation

Context sensitivity: of legal language, 104-105; and semantic theories, 108

Contradiction: and skeptical view of integrity, 268-269, 271, 272, 273-274; in liberalism, 274, 441n20

Convention: in Hart's theory, 34-35; vs. consensus, 135-139; coordination through, 144-146. See also Rules

Conventionalism, 94-95, 114-117, 410; and legal rights, 95, 152; popular view behind, 114, 115, 116, 118, 120; and positivist semantic theories, 115-116; as appeal to protected expectations, 117-120,

139-140; and legal conventions, 120-124; strict vs. soft, 124-130; and consistency with past, 130, 131, 132-135, 147; and attention to statutes or precedent, 130-132, 135; and law as integrity, 134-135, 225, 226, 261, 410, 411; and conventionconsensus distinction, 135-139, 145; vs. development of law, 137-138, 157, 409; and democracy, 140, 432n6; fairness of, 140-142; as reducing surprise, 141-144; unilateral, 142-143, 146, 147, 365-366; and coordination, 144-147, 149-150, 156; and legal pragmatism, 147-150, 157, 161, 162, 264; and formal equality, 185; and rulebook model of community, 210, 212-213; and compartmentalization, 251; and easy cases, 265-266; and stability argument, 365; and passivism, 371; and rule of recognition, 431n2; and habit of obedience, 431n2

Conversational interpretation, 50, 51, 52, 53-55, 64-65, 315

Convictions: in legislative intent, 324, 327-337, 361; in constitutional interpretation, 361-362

Coordination: through conventions, 144-146; and pragmatist view, 148, 149; through retrospective rulemaking, 156-157; and legal pragmatism, 158-159

Corporations, in institutional responsibility example, 169-171

Counterfactual mental states,

325-327, 328

Courtesy: interpretive attitude toward, 47-49; constructive interpretation of, 52; intentions in 58, 59; and stages of interpretation, 66; philosophical account of, 68-73; and skepticism, 79, 81-82; as convention, 122; and judge's function, 228; vs. justice, 424n20

Cover, Robert, 438n27 Creative interpretation, 50, 51-52, 53-54, 56, 58, 62, 65, 228

Criminal cases, and importance of law, 1. See also Cases

Criminal law, unilateralism in, 143 Criminal process, consistency and integrity in, 224. See also Procedural due process

Critical legal studies, 271-274; and liberalism, 274-275, 440n19, 441n20

Davidson, Donald, 438n22
Democracy: and protected expectations, 140; and legislators' intent, 364; and constitutional passivism, 370-371, 372; and Hercules' approach, 398, 399; and legal positivism, 432n6

Dilthey, Wilhelm, 419n2

Disagreement: dilemma on, 43-44, 45-46; interpretive conception of, 46-47, 86 (see also Interpretation); subjective vs. objective, 76-77, 79-80, 82-83 (see also Skepticism); on grounds and force of law, 111, 112-113; over legal conventions, 122. See also Empirical disagreement; Theoretical disagreement in law

"Discovering" law, vs. theoretical disagreement, 5-6

Discrimination. See Racial discrimination

Distinctions: external vs. internal perspective on law, 13-14; strict vs. relaxed doctrine of precedent, 24-26; standard vs. borderline uses of "law," 39; borderline cases vs. pivotal cases, 41-42 (see also Easycase problem); interpreting practice vs. acts or thoughts of participants, 63-64; meaning vs. extension, 71; concept vs. conception, 71-72; justification vs. content of rights, 106-107; weak rights vs. no rights (in wicked system), 107-108; grounds vs. force of law, 109-110, 356; explicit vs. implicit extension of convention, 123; strict vs. soft conventionalism, 124; convention vs. consensus (agreement

in conviction), 136, 145-146; arguments about vs. within rules, 137-138; paradigms vs. conventions, 138-139; "bare" vs. "true" community, 201; competition vs. contradiction in principle, 268-269; academic vs. practical elaboration of moral theory, 285-286; rights vs. collective strategies, 293, 381-382; use vs. assignment of property, 300; clear vs. unclear language, 351; inclusive vs. pure integrity, 405-406

Distinguishing of precedents, in McLoughlin case, 26, 27, 28 Dred Scott case, and passivism, 374 Due process. See Procedural due process

Duty: to obey law, 112-113; to be just, 193; to maximize wealth, 286-288. See also Political obligation

Earl (Judge), 18-20, 22, 36, 38, 40, 43, 130

Easy-case problem, 353, 449n14
Economic approach to law, 272
Economic theory on unintended damage, 276–280; and reasonable-person rule, 280–282; and contributory negligence, 282–283; fit of, 283–285; and wealth maximization, 286–288; and utilitarianism, 288–295

Egalitarian principle: and integrity, 222; and government, 296; and racial equality, 381; and constitutional rights, 381-382. See also Equality.

Egalitarian theory on unintended damage, 295, 312; and public vs. private responsibility, 295–296, 299–300, 309–310; comparative cost in, 301–309

Eighth Amendment, 355, 357 Eliot, T. S., 421111

Elmer's case, 15-20; and principle that no one should profit from own wrong, 20; and snail darter case, 21; and natural-law interpretation,

36; and legal positivism, 37; and interpretation of law, 87; and post-interpretive stage, 100; and conventionalism, 115, 122, 123, 125, 130; and legal pragmatism, 158. See also Statute of wills

Ely, John Hart, 450n5, 451nn11, 15 Emotional damages: and McLoughlin case, 24, 26-27, 240-250, 258-259 (see also McLoughlin case); and conventionalism, 116-117

Empirical disagreement, about law, 4, 5, 31, 33, 37. See also Truth and fal-

sity

Endangered Species Act, 20; legislative history of, 22, 347; and intentions about dam construction, 23; as interpretation example, 313, 315, 321-323, 325-327, 328, 339, 340, 341, 349, 352-353. See also Snail darter case

Equality: and family relationships, 204-205, 402; and wealth maximization, 291-295; conceptions of, 297-299; of resources, 297-298, 299, 301-309, 312, 403-404, 407-408; and public vs. private responsibility, 299-301; skepticism about, 372-373. See also Egalitarian principle

Equal protection: and segregated education, 29–30, 357, 360, 362–363; and Fourteenth Amendment framers, 30, 362; and political integrity, 185; and Supreme Court role, 357; historical interpretation of, 360–361; as required of states, 381–382, 403; Hercules' approach to, 381–392, 402; and affirmative action, 395–396

Erdlich, G., 431n5
Ewald, William, 433n2
Expectations. See Predictability; Protected expectations

Explicit extension of convention, 123-124, 125, 126, 127, 128-129, 130, 131, 142

Extension, and meaning, 71
External skepticism, 78–85, 266–267, 272, 373, 412, 428n27

Fact, issues of, 3, 11-12 Fairman, Charles, 418n28 Fairness, 164-165; and conventionalism, 140-142; and integrity, 166, 263, 404-405; and justice, 177; in conflict with other virtues, 177-178, 188, 404; and "checkerboard" laws, 178, 179, 180, 182, 183; and equal protection, 185; in pragmatist view, 187; in community of principle, 213, 214; in law as integrity, 225, 243, 256; in Hercules' treatment of McLoughlin, 242, 249-250, 259; and statutory interpretation, 320, 338, 340-341, 342, 347, 349, 350; and legislative history, 342, 364-365; and historicism, 360; and passivism, 374, 376-378; vs. transient majority, 377; and activism, 378; and subject-classification theory of racial justice, 387; and pure integrity, 406

Fair play: as defense of legitimacy, 193-195; and rulebook community,

Family relationships, and equality, 202, 204-205, 402

Fascism, as outside present law, 408
Federal system: political integrity in, 186; and Supreme Court power, 357; certainty vs. substance of allocations in, 368; equality mandate in, 381-382, 403

Feinberg, Joel, 434n10 Fellini, Federico, intention of, 56–57 Fessler, D., 439n9

Fidelity to law: issue of, 3, 5; and plain-fact view, 7-8; and snail darter case, 23; as political obligation, 208; and historicism, 362, 363

Fifth Amendment, 355
"Finger-crossed" defense, 39, 40, 41
Finnis, John, 419n32
Fish, Stanley, 424n16, 425n23
Fiss, Owen, 452n22
Force of law: and grounds of law,

110-111; and conceptions of law, 112; and civil disobedience, 112-113; vs. integrity in adjudication, 218-219. See also Legitimacy Foreseeable injuries: common-law principle on, 26-27; in Hercules' approach, 241, 245-249

Fourteenth Amendment, 29; and segregated education, 30; internal compromise outlawed by, 185; as constraint, 355; and Supreme Court role, 357; and historicism, 360, 361, 395–396; and racially segregated education, 360–361; and changed circumstances, 365; and right against discrimination, 382–392; and affirmative action, 395–397. See also Equal protection Frank, Jerome, 417n6
Frankfurter, Felix, 358

Frankfurter, Felix, 358
Fraternal associations, 200-201. See
also Associative obligations
Fugitive Slave Law, 111, 219

Gadamer, H. G., 55, 62, 420n2
Gaps in law: and plain-fact view, 8-9; and positivism, 37-39; and conventionalism, 115, 116-117, 118, 126, 144. See also Hard cases; "Making" law

Gavison, Ruth, 418n29
Goodman, Nelson, 447n5
Gordon, Robert, 422n15, 44on18
Gray (Judge), 17-18, 20, 21, 36, 38, 40, 43-44, 130
Gray, J. C., 428n2
Grey, Thomas, 421n6, 45on5
Grounds of law, 4, 11; truth and falsity of, 4, 6; plain-fact view of,

sity 61, 4, 6, plain-fact view 61, 6-11; and semantic theories, 31-43; shared criteria for, 43-44; and force of law, 110-111, 218; and conceptions of law, 112; and civil disobedience, 112-113; in law as integrity, 225, 261-262 Group consciousness, 64, 422n15

Habermas, Jürgen, 420n2, 422n14
Hand, Learned, 1
Handicapped persons, and equality of resources, 305, 408
Happiness, in utilitarianism, 288-295
Hard cases: in plain-fact view, 10; in semantic theories, 39, 44; postinter-

pretive questions in, 99-100; in Nazi system, 105, 106; and interpretation, 106; conventionalist approach to, 115, 125, 128-129, 132, 139, 157; pragmatist treatment of, 158-160, 161, 163; and law as integrity, 226, 229, 255-256, 258, 265-266, 411; Hercules vs. real judges in, 264-265; and easy cases, 265-266, 353-354, 449n14; popular view of, 266; and critical legal studies, 275; market-simulating approach to, 300; and law's dreams, 410; "no right way" view of, 412. See also Gaps in law; "Making" law

Harr, C., 439n9 Hart, H. L. A., 34-35, 109, 431n2 Hegel, G. W. F., 14 Hercules, 239-240, 276, 380-381, 411; on McLoughlin case, 240-250, 258-259, 268-271; and local priority, 251, 252-254; and compartmentalization, 252; as applying personal convictions, 259-260; as fraud, 260-263, 266; as arrogant, 263-264; as myth, 264; noncontradictory assumption by, 268; and critical legal studies, 272, 273-274, 275; statutory interpretation by, 313-314, 316-317, 330, 337-341, 342, 343, 347, 348-353, 354, 363, 379-380; and hard vs. easy cases, 354; constitutional interpretation by, 379-391, 392, 393-394,

Hare, R. M., 445n11

disagreement with, 412 Hermes, statutory interpretation by, 317-337, 361

396-399; as tyrant, 399; and pure

law, 400; constraints on, 401-402;

Historicism in constitutional adjudication, 359-365; and stability, 365-369; and affirmative action, 395-396

History: and legal practice, 12-14; of justice, 73; of development of law, 89-90, 137-138, 157, 409; and law as integrity, 227-228; in critical legal studies, 273; legislative,

314-315, 342-347, 350, 388, 405. See also Consistency with past
Holmes, Oliver Wendell, 14
House of Lords: confidential-records decision by, 2-3; and precedent, 25-26; in McLoughlin case, 27, 38; in conventionalist view, 115; and barrister immunity, 220, 401
Hutchinson, Allan, 442n20, 449n13
Hyek, F., 433n13

Ideals, political. See Virtues, political Inclusive integrity, 405, 406, 407, 410

Institutional identity, 68–70 Institutional responsibility, 168–171 Integrity, law as, 95–96, 216,

225-227, 254-258, 410-412; and precedent, 118, 240, 401-402; on making new law, 119-120; soft conventionalism as, 127-128; and conventionalism, 134-135, 225, 226, 261, 410, 411; and legal rights, 152, 312; as continuing interpretation, 226-227, 228, 239; and history, 227-228, 273; and chain of law, 228-238, 239, 313; and Hercules on McLoughlin case, 239-250, 258-259, 268-271; local priority in, 250-254, 402-403, 405, 406; and people as interpreters, 252; and objections against Hercules, 259-266; and hard vs. easy cases, 265-266; skepticism toward, 266-271; and critical legal studies, 271-275; and accident law, 301, 309; statutory interpretation under, 313-314, 316 (see also Interpretation of statutes); and Hercules' interpretation of statutes, 338-340, 342, 347, 349-350; and Marshall's argument, 356; in liberal-conservative distinction, 358; and historicism, 360; and value of certainty, 367; and passivism, 371; vs. activism, 378; and judicial supervision, 392; and banned categories theory, 394; and law working itself pure, 400; and legislative supremacy, 401-402; and constraints on equality, 403; inclusive vs. pure,

405-407; and purer law, 406-407; and utopian dreams, 407-410. See also Hercules

Integrity, personal, 166

Integrity, political, 165-166; as distinct political virtue, 166, 176-177, 178, 183-184, 188, 262-263, 411; and legal rights, 166-167; efficiency of, 166-167, 188-189; in legislation and in adjudication, 167, 176, 217-219; and consistency, 167, 219-224; and personification of community, 167-175, 186-187; in conflict with other virtues, 176-178, 188; and "checkerboard" laws, 178-184, 186, 217-218; and U.S. Constitution, 184-186; and community, 188-190; and legitimacy, 191-192, 193; in community of principle, 211, 213-214, 216, 263, 404, 406, 411 (see also Community of principle); sovereignty of, 217-219; and legislative convictions, 329, 336-337; stability as, 368; and equality of resources, 404, 407-408 (see also Resources, equality of); and judgment, 410

Intention: in conversational interpretation, 50; and constructive interpretation, 54; and social interpretation, 54, 58, 59, 62-65; and artistic interpretation, 55-58; and interpretation structure, 58-59; and aesthetic value, 59; statement of vs. promise, 345. See also Purpose

Intentions of legislators: and Elmer's case, 18–19; and snail darter case, 21–23; as issue, 100; change in attitude about, 137–138; in pragmatic view, 158; Hercules' view on, 313, 314, 316–317, 348, 350; speaker's meaning view of, 314–316, 317–327, 335–337, 348, 350, 352, 361; as against repeal or amendment, 318–319; and realistic alternatives, 322; and convictions, 324, 327–337, 361; and legislative history, 342–347; and time, 348–350; and Fourteenth Amendment, 360–363, 365, 388, 396; and histor-

icism, 360-366, 367, 368-369; vs. passivism, 369

Internal skepticism, 78-86, 412; about chain novel, 230-231; toward law as integrity, 267-271; in critical legal studies, 272-274; in passivism, 373; about morality, 427n27. See

also Skepticism

Interpretation, 50; as interpretive concept, 49; scientific, 50-51, 53; and purpose, 50-52, 56, 58-59, 62-65, 228; conversational, 51-52, 53-54, 64-65, 419n2; constructive, 52-53, 54, 56, 61, 62, 65, 90, 315, 336, 423n15; and individual acts vs. collective practices, 54-55, 63-65; artist's-intention method of, 54-62; literary, 59, 66; stages of, 65-68; vs. invention, 66, 67; assumptions or convictions in, 67-68; and institutional identity, 68-70; and conceptconception distinction, 71-72 (see also Conceptions of law); and paradigms, 72-73, 91-92; of justice, 73-76, 424n20 (see also Justice); skepticism about, 76-86, 237-238, 426n27; law as, 87-89, 90-92, 101-102, 226-227, 228, 410-411; fit and justification in, 139, 230, 231, 239, 255, 257, 410-411; of justice by citizens, 189-190, 211; of community, 203-204, 207 (see also Community); of political practices, 215; and law as integrity, 225-227, 228, 239 (see also Integrity, law as); vs. dichotomy of finding and inventing law, 228; chain novel as, 229-238; and dichotomy of freedom and constraint, 234-235; formal and substantive opinions in, 236-237; respecting of text in, 238; and Hercules in McLoughlin, 240-250, 258-259, 268-271 (see also Hercules); competitive and contradictory principles in, 241, 268-269; as fitting judicial decisions vs. opinions, 247-248, 284-285; local priority in, 250-254, 402-403, 405, 406; political convictions in, 259-260

Interpretation of Constitution: and Brown case, 29–30; liberalism vs. conservatism in, 357–359; historicism in, 359–369, 395–396; passivism in, 369–378, 396; activism in, 369, 378, 398; and American vs. foreign legal practice, 378–379; Hercules' approach to, 379–392, 393–394, 396–399; and individual rights, 381–382; and racial discrimination, 382–392; and remedies, 390–392; and affirmative action, 393–397. See also Constitution, U.S.

Interpretations of law. See Conceptions of law; Conventionalism; Integrity, law as; Pragmatism,

egal

Interpretation of statutes, 16-17, 313-314; literal, 17-18, 99-100, 130; legislators' intentions in, 18-19, 21-23, 313, 314-327 (see also Intentions of legislators); in conventionalist view, 114-115, 122, 130-131; disagreement over, 122; and consistency with past, 132, 133-134; in legal pragmatist view, 148, 154-155, 162; Hercules' method for, 313-314, 316-317, 330, 337-341, 342, 343, 347, 348-353, 354, 363, 379-380; legislative history in, 314-315, 342-347; legislators' convictions in, 324, 327-337; and time, 348-350; and "unclarity" of language, 350-353. See also Stat-

Interpretive attitude: and disagreement, 46–47; toward courtesy, 47–49 (see also Courtesy); inside view of, 49, 76; and stages of interpretation, 65–68; as objective, 76–78, 79–80, 81–82; and internal skepticism, 78–79 (see also Internal skepticism); and foreign legal systems, 102–104, 107; and wicked law, 105–108; of conventionalism, 116; toward conventional practices, 122–123; as needing paradigms not conventions, 138–139; toward associative obligations, 197, 198, 203–204

Interpretive stage, 66; and Nazi "law," 104

"Inventing" law, vs. theoretical disagreement, 5-6. See also "Making" law

Invention vs. interpretation, 66, 67
Issues: of law, 3; of fidelity, 3, 5, 7; of morality, 3, 7; of fact, 3, 11-12 (see also Empirical disagreement; Truth and falsity); of repair, 9; in postinterpretive stage, 99-101

Johnson, J. W., 432n5

Judges: mechanical, 8, 18; discretion of, 9; as intuitive decision makers, 10-11; popular opinion about, 11; in exploration of legal practice, 14-15; and doctrines of precedent, 24-26; legal realism view of, 36; interpretation by, 87, 410; and jurisprudence, 90; and force of law, 112, 218-219; and conventionalism, 115, 117, 119, 125-126, 128, 148, 157-158, 226; and protected expectations, 129-130; and consistency with past, 132-134; and legal pragmatism, 148-149, 151-157, 158-163, 226; and political integrity, 167; and integrity in adjudication, 217, 218, 225; and law as integrity, 226-227, 228, 238-239, 244, 245-246, 255-258; as authors and critics, 228-229; and Hercules, 239, 264-265 (see also Hercules); and explicit statement of principle, 247; statutory interpretation by, 314, 324, 333-334, 342 (see also Interpretation of statutes); liberal vs. conservative, 357-359; and minority rights, 375; in school desegregation cases, 391-392; constraints on, 401-403, 410; interpretive questions for, 412

Jurisprudence (philosophy of law): and theoretical disagreement in law, 6; skepticism toward, 85; in legal arguments, 90; and grounds vs. force of law, 111, 112; and lawyers, 380; of racial integration, 391-392; law's dreams by, 407-410 Justice, 164, 165; and law, 7, 97-98; and natural law theory, 35-36; as interpretive concept, 73-76, 424n20; in legal pragmatism, 151, 187; and integrity, 166, 189-190, 263, 404-405; in personal behavior, 174; fairness as, 177; in conflict with other virtues, 177-178, 188, 404; and "checkerboard" laws, 180-183; and equal protection, 185; duty to support, 193; vs. communal obligations, 202-206; in community of principle, 213, 214; in law as integrity, 225, 243, 256, 262; in Hercules' treatment of McLoughlin, 242, 249-250, 259; academic vs. practical elaboration of, 285-286, 287, 290-291; and duty to maximize wealth, 286-288; utilitarian, 288-295 (see also Utilitarianism); in Hercules' interpretation of statutes, 338; skepticism about, 372-373; and passivism, 374-376; in pure integrity, 405-406

Kant, Immanuel, and self-legislation, 189
Kennedy, Duncan, 438n26, 440nn16, 18
Klare, K., 440nn16, 18
Korematsu case, 376
Kuhn, Thomas, 421n4

Langen, P., 431115 Law: empirical disagreement about, 4, 5, 31, 33, 37; grounds of, 4, 11, 112 (see also Grounds of law); theoretical disagreements about, 4-6, 11 (see also Theoretical disagreement in law); plain-fact view of, 6-11, 15, 20, 31 (see also Plain-fact view of law); and justice, 7, 97-98; vague guidelines in, 8, 9; as social phenomenon, 12-14, 418n29; external and internal perspectives on, 13-14; as coherent whole, 19-20; and skepticism, 79, 85-86, 268; centrifugal and convergent forces in, 87-89; paradigms of, 88, 89, 91-92;

development of, 89–90, 137–138, 157, 409; concept of, 92–94, 108–110, 190; basic questions on, 94; conceptions of, 94–96, 99–101 (see also Conceptions of law); and morality, 96–98, 100, 430n5; in wicked places, 101–108, 206; chain of, 228–238, 239, 313; compartmentalization of, 250–254; economic approach to, 272; as worked pure, 400, 406–410; constraints on, 401–403, 410; empire of, 407, 413; utopian dreams of, 407–410; cunning of, 409; as authority, 429n3. See also Statutes

Law, theories of. See Conventionalism; Integrity, law as; Legal realism; Plain-fact view of law; Positivism, legal; Pragmatism, legal; Semantic theories of law

Law as integrity. See Integrity, law as

Law as interpretive concept, 87–89, 90–92, 410–411; and analysis of interpretation, 50; and law in wicked places, 101–102; and force of law, 111; and law as integrity, 226–227, 228; and chain of law, 228–238, 239, 313; and liberal-conservative distinction, 357–358; and interpretivist-noninterpretivist distinction, 360

Lawsuits: significance of, 1-3; issues raised in, 3; under unilateralism, 143. See also Cases; Hard cases

Law of unintended injury: economic theory of, 276–285, 310 (see also Economic theory on unintended damage); and utilitarianism, 288–295; egalitarian interpretation of, 295–309, 312; and resource egalitarianism, 297–298, 299, 301–309, 312, 403–404; antiliberalism argument from, 441–444n20

Leader, Sheldon, 448n11

Legal conventions, 114, 120-124. See also Conventionalism

Legal language, flexibility of, 104-

Legal philosophy. See Jurisprudence

Legal positivism. See Positivism, legal Legal practice: as argumentative, 13; identifying of, 90–91; conventions in, 120–124; and legal pragmatism, 158–160; and law as integrity, 225; and rise of Supreme Court, 356; constraints on, 401–403, 410; non-computability of, 412

Legal realism, 36-37, 153, 161-162; vs. law as integrity, 228; and critical legal studies, 272

Legal rights. See Rights, legal

Legal theory: aspects of, 11-12; "external," 14; grounds and force of law in, 110. See also Theoretical disagreement in law; Theory of legislation

Legislation: in conceptions of law, 99; as communication, 315, 329, 348. See also Statutes

Legislation, interpretation of. See Intentions of legislators; Interpretation of statutes

Legislative history, 314-315; of Endangered Species Act, 22, 347; official statements of purpose in, 342-347; and passage of time, 350; and Fourteenth Amendment rights, 388; and procedural due process, 405

Legislative integrity, 167, 176, 217–218. See also Integrity Legislative responsibility, 319–320,

Legislative supremacy: as constraint, 401; as fairness, 405; and justice, 406

Legitimacy, 190-192; through tacit consent, 192-193; and duty to be just, 193; through fair play, 193-195; through communal obligations, 206-208; and community of principle, 214-215, 216

Lewis, David, 431n3, 433n14 Liberalism: critical legal studies on, 274-275, 440n19, 441n20; of justices, 357-359; and Hercules, 398-399

Libertarianism, 297, 299, 301; and semantic sting, 73, 76

Like cases: like treatment of, 165; and political integrity, 219-224. See also Consistency with past; Precedent

Literal interpretation, 17–18; as issue, 99–100; as strict-conventionalist criterion, 130

Literary criticism, judge's function compared to, 228-229

Literary interpretation: author's intention in, 59; preinterpretive stage in, 66

Local priority, in interpretive judgments, 250-254, 402-403, 405, 406

Lochner case, 374, 375, 398

Lukes, Stephen, 425n21

Lyons, David, 431n4

McLoughlin case, 23–29, 38–39; and natural-law interpretation, 36; and legal positivism, 37; and protected expectations, 118; in law as integrity, 120; and legal convention, 122; and soft conventionalism, 126; and strict conventionalism, 131; and consistency with past, 133; under unilateralism, 142; and surprise, 142, 143; and legal pragmatism, 159, 162–163; and integrity, 177; and integrity vs. pragmatism, 220; and chain of law, 238–239; Hercules' treatment of, 240–250, 258–259, 268–271

Madison, James, 436n9
Majoritarian system: integrity in, 165, 177-178; and utilitarianism, 290-291; vs. constitutional rights, 356; and passivism, 373-377; in Hercules' approach, 398; and fairness, 435n3. See also Democracy

"Making" law: vs. theoretical disagreement, 5-6; and conventionalism, 117, 119, 126, 131-132, 142; and law as integrity, 119-120; consistency with past in, 132-133. See also Gaps in law; Hard cases

Marbury v. Madison, 370
Market-simulating rules, 277; in duty to maximize wealth, 286–288; in utilitarianism, 288–295; and egalitarianism, 295, 300–309

Marshall, John, 356-357

Marxism: and justice, 74, 75, 425n 21;
as outside law, 408

Meaning: in interpretive attitude, 47,
50; of practice vs. individuals,
54-55, 63-65; and extension, 71

Meaning of law, 32. See also Semantic

theories of law
Melamed, A. Douglas, 444nn4, 5
Mental states of legislators, 314, 318, 321-324, 335-336; communication of, 315; and Hercules' method, 316; counterfactual, 325-327, 328; and legislation as communication, 348; and historicism, 361. See also Intentions of legislators

Mill, James, 435n2 Miller, Jonathan, 421n7 Morality: in legal judgment, 1; and plain-fact view of law, 7, 8, 9; and McLoughlin case precedent, 28, 127; vs. policy, 28-29; and natural law theory, 35-36; skepticism toward, 78-86, 427n27; vs. taste, 82-83; and law, 96-98, 100, 430n5; vs. conventionalism, 118-119; in interpretation of conventions, 122; and soft conventionalism, 128; in legal pragmatism, 151-152, 160, 187; and political integrity, 166, 189-190; of personified community, 168-175; and political obligation, 191; associative obligations in, 196-201; in fairness of decisions, 250; and compartmentalization of law, 252; and Hercules' decision, 262; academic vs. practical elaboration of, 285-286, 287, 290-291; and duty to maximize wealth, 286-288; utilitarianism, 288-295 (see also Utilitarianism); promisekeeping, 344-345, 346; and Fourteenth Amendment, 365; and liberalism, 441119. See also Political morality

Nagel, Thomas, 174, 426n24, 434n12 Natural law theories, 35-36; and morality-law connection, 98; justice-

Moral ledger, 306

law connection in, 98, 102; and law as integrity, 263; and Hercules, 397

Nazi Germany: and rule of recognition, 35; "law" in, 102-108; and group responsibility, 172, 173

Negligence: contributory, 282-283; comparative, 283

Negligence law: and nuisance law, 253-254; interpretation of, 276, 292-293, 312 (see also Law of unintended damage)

Nelson, William, 436nng, 10 Neurath, Otto, 111, 139

Nietzsche, Friedrich, and paradigms of justice, 75

Nihilism in law: semantic theorists' fear of, 44; and law as illusion, 101 Novel, chain, 228-232; Scrooge in,

232-237; "real" novel in, 238; and law, 239

Nozick, Robert, 437nn18, 19, 445n14 Nuisance law: and negligence law, 253-254; interpretation of, 276, 292-293, 312 (see also Law of unintended damage)

Oakley, John, 417n13
Objectivity, 82-83; and interpretive attitude, 76-78, 79-80, 81-82; and hard cases, 266; and external skepticism, 267. See also Empirical disagreement; Truth and falsity

Obligation. See Morality; Political morality; Political obligation

Obligations of community. See Associative obligations

Opaqueness of statements and convictions, 331-332, 362

Paradigms, 72-73; of justice, 75-76; of law, 88, 89, 90, 91-92; conventionalist, 121; and interpretive attitude, 138-139; conservation of species as, 341; and hard vs. easy cases, 354. See also Pivotal cases

Parental domination: as integrity example, 202, 203, 204–205; and equal protection, 402
Parfit, Derek, 424n19

Parliament, and statutory interpretation, 344

Passivism in constitutional adjudication, 369-378; issues in, 370; and fairness, 374, 376-378; and justice, 374-376; and affirmative action, 396; and Hercules, 398

Performative acts: promises as, 344-345; legislation as, 346

Perry, M., 450n5

Personification of community or state, and political integrity, 167-175, 186-187, 225, 296

Philosophy of law. See Jurisprudence Pivotal cases: vs. "borderline" defense of positivism, 41-43; and disagreement, 45. See also Paradigms

Plain-fact view of law, 6-11; and sample cases, 15, 20, 31; and semantic/positivist theories, 31, 33, 37, 39, 40; on judges' opinions, 90; and Marshall's dictum, 356

Plessy v. Ferguson, 29-30, 118-119, 376, 379, 387, 389, 399

"Point" (purpose): and interpretation, 58-59; of law, 87-88, 94, 95, 141, 150, 356; of judicial decision, 138; of statute, 343. See also Purpose

Policy arguments: in McLoughlin case, 27-29; vs. principle, 221-224, 243-244, 310-312, 338-339, 381; and legal remedies, 390; in legislation vs. adjudication, 410. See also Economic theory on unintended damage

Polinsky, M., 445n7

Political morality: issues of, 3; and Brown case, 30; and law as integrity, 96, 239, 263; and conceptions of law, 101; and wicked law, 105, 108; vs. protected expectations, 117; and surprises, 141; vs. force of law, 218-219; and explicit announcing of principle, 247-248; and hard cases, 256, 258; and interpretation, 260, 378, 411; and statutory interpretation, 316, 319-320, 343, 345-346; in constitutional interpretation, 366-367, 374; in uto-

pian legal politics, 408-409. See also Morality

Political obligation, 191; and associative obligations, 196, 201, 205-206, 216; and emigration, 207

Politics: law as, 8, 9–10; of adjudication, 12, 380–381; and borderline cases, 41; as debate, 211

Popper, Karl, 421n4

Positivism, legal, 33-35, 37-43; and justice-law connection, 98; and morality-law connection, 98; and wicked law, 102; inflexible use in, 104; and nature of law vs. force of law, 109; and law as authority, 429n3; and democracy, 432n6. See also Semantic theories of law

Posner, Richard, 444n1, 445n7 Postema, G., 433n13

Postinterpretive stage, 66; legal issues in, 99-101; conventionalist claims in, 116, 117; in interpretation of

Constitution, 358 Powell, H. J., 452n22 Powell, Lewis, 22-23

Pragmatism, legal, 95, 151-153, 158-160, 161, 410; and justice-law connection, 98; and conventionalism, 147-150, 157, 161, 162, 264; and morality, 151-152, 160, 187; as-if strategy of, 152-153, 154-155, 158, 161, 162; and legal rights, 152-153, 154-155, 158, 160-164; and prospective rulemaking, 155-157; and development of legal culture, 157; and law as integrity, 220, 225, 226, 244, 261, 410, 411; and compartmentalization, 251; activism as, 378

Precedent: relaxed doctrine of, 24, 25–26; strict doctrine of, 24–26, 401; and convergence of interpretation, 88; in conception of law, 99; and conventionalism, 115, 121–122, 123, 130, 131–132; disagreement over interpretation of, 122; and consistency with past, 132, 133–134; changes in doctrine of, 138; in legal-pragmatist view, 148, 154–155, 158–159, 162; in Hercules'

approach, 240-250, 258-259, 337, 399, 401-402; as constraint, 401; as procedural due process, 405. See also Chain of law

Predictability: vs. flexibility, 146-150, 154; through compartmentalization, 252; value of, 367-368. See also Protected expectations

Predictive hypothesis, judge's opinion as, 36-37

Preinterpretive stage, 65-66; for justice, 75; as contingent and local, 91; for law, 91, 92; and Nazi "law," 103, 104, 105

Principle: and compromise, 179–184, 435n7; community of, 211, 213–214, 404, 406 (see also Community of principle); in political integrity, 221–224; vs. policy, 221–224, 243–244, 310–312, 338–339, 381; contradictory vs. competitive, 241, 268–269, 274; explicit recognition of, 247–248; in utilitarian justification, 290. See also Rights

Procedural due process, 166–167; in conflict with other virtues, 177–178, 404; and integrity in adjudication, 218–219; in law as integrity, 225, 243; in Hercules' interpretation, 338; in political integrity, 404–405; and pure integrity, 406

Promises: and responsibilities of public officials, 174–175; statutes as, 344–345

Property: abstract rights in, 293, 300-301 (see also Rights); in conceptions of equality, 296, 297-301; and equality of resources, 297-298, 299, 407; policy vs. principle on, 310-311. See also Law of unintended injury

Propositions of law, 3-4; grounds of, 4, 6, 11 (see also Grounds of law); truth or falsity of, 4-5, 32, 417n5; semantic theories on, 31, 32-44; and causation analogy, 31-32; and core vs. penumbral uses, 39-43, 419n34; and pivotal cases, 41-43; in law as integrity, 225

Protected expectations: as conventionalist ideal, 117-118, 119, 120, 129-130, 139-140; and soft conventionalism, 128; and democracy, 140; fairness of, 140-142; and predictability vs. flexibility, 143-150

Protestant attitude toward law, 413; and integrity, 190; and compartmentalization, 252

Pure integrity, 405-407

Purpose: and interpretation, 50-52, 56, 58-59, 62-65, 228; in statutory interpretation, 100; in legislative history, 343-347; in Fourteenth Amendment, 365. See also Intention; "Point"

Quine, W. V. O., 421n3, 447n6 Quota system. See Affirmative action

Racial discrimination: and associative obligation, 204; constitutional right

against, 382-387

Racially segregated education: and "discovered" vs. "invented" law, 6; and Brown case, 29-30, 387-389 (see also Brown case); and busing, 221, 392; and Supreme Court role, 357; and historicist interpretation, 360-361, 362-363; and fairness, 377; remedies against, 389-392; and affirmative action, 393-397 Rationality requirement, of Constitu-

tion, 382, 383, 397

Rawls, John, 192, 193, 440n19, 424n17, 435n1, 437nn16, 17, 18 Raz, Joseph, 424n18, 428n1, 429n3 Realism, legal. See Legal realism Reasonable-person rule, 280-282, 284, 306-307

Repair, 9; and positivist view, 38, 40 Resources, equality of, 297-298, 299,

301-309, 312, 403-404, 407-408 Responsibility: institutional, 168-171, 189; collective, 172-173, 175; of political officials, 173-175; principle of, 269-270; public vs. private, 295-296, 299-300, 309-310 (see also Rights, legal); legislative, 319-320, 341

Reverse discrimination. See Affirmative action

Rights: in simulated markets, 277; vs. collective strategies, 292-293, 381-382; and comparative cost, 307-308, 309; and constitutional passivism, 375, 376-378; and remedies, 390; vs. communal good, 408.

See also Principle

Rights, legal, 93, 152; and conventionalism, 95, 152; vs. other forms of rights, 117; and legal pragmatism, 152-153, 154-155, 158, 160-164; and law as integrity, 244; abstract (prima facie), 293, 296, 301, 306, 310, 312; judicial protection of, 356; and historicism, 368-369; against racial discrimination, 382-392; enforcement of, 390-392; as protecting fairness vs. justice, 451n11

Rights, political: and personification of community, 173-174; and integrity, 223; and policy, 311-312

Rope analogy: and institution of courtesy, 69-70; and Nazi "law," 103. See also Chain of law

Rousseau, Jean Jacques, and selflegislation, 189

"Rule" of law, 93

Rulemaking, prospective vs. retrospective, 155-157

Rule of recognition, in Hart's theory, 34 - 35

Rules: coordination through, 145-146; in utilitarian justification, 290. See also Convention; Principle

Scarman, Lord, 28, 38 Scrooge, interpretation of, 232-237 Segregation. See Racially segregated schools

Self-government, and political integrity, 189

Semantic sting, 45-46, 68, 70, 73, 87; and legal system, 91; and legal paradigms, 92; and wicked law, 103; and Hercules' decision, 262; and constitutional adjudication, 360

Semantic theories of law, 31-37; legal positivism, 33-35, 37-43 (see also Positivism, legal); natural law theories, 35-36, 98, 102, 263, 397; legal realism, 36-37, 153, 161-162, 228, 272; defenses of, 37-43; and core vs. penumbral uses, 39-43, 419n34; and pivotal cases, 41-43; as escape from legal nihilism, 43-44; and justice, 73, 74; impossible goal of, 90; positivism-natural law contest in, 98; and wicked law, 102, 103, 108; inflexible use in, 104; and nature of law vs. force of law, 109; and conventionalism, 115-116; and consistency in principle, 135 Shavell, S., 445n7

Skepticism: and interpretation, 76-86, 237-238, 426n27; internal and external, 78-85, 266-267 (see also External skepticism; Internal skepticism); about morality, 79, 84-85, 427n27; toward law, 79, 85-86, 268; legal pragmatism as, 95, 160; toward associative institution, 203, 205; toward law as integrity, 228, 261, 266-271; about chain novel, 230-231, 237-238; in critical legal studies, 271-274; interpretive vs. historical argument in, 273; and passivism, 372-373; about hard cases, 412; and liberalism, 441n19

Skinner, B. F., 14
Slavery, and political integrity, 184
Snail darter case, 20-23; and legal
positivism, 37; and strict conventionalism, 125, 131; and soft conventionalism, 125-126; as
interpretation example, 313, 317,
328, 330-333, 337-338, 342, 347
Social contract theory, 192-193
Social interpretation, 50, 51, 54, 58,
59, 62-65
Social science, and interpretation, 55,
64, 68, 422n14. See also History

Society. See Community
Sociology: and legal practice, 12-14;
in Brown case, 30
Soft conventionalism, 124, 125-128

Soper, Philip, 431n4 Sound-truck example, on fair play, 194

Speaker's meaning view of statutory interpretation, 314–316, 317–318; and questions of authorship, 318–320; composite intention in, 320–321, 335–337; hopes and expectations in, 321–324, 325; and legislators' conviction, 324, 327–337; canonical moment in, 348, 350; and unclarity, 352; and historicism on Constitution, 361

Stability, as historicism rationale, 365–369

State, personification of, 167–175, 186–187

State of nature, and legitimacy, 194
Statute: as document vs. law, 16-17;
"checkerboard," 178-184, 186, 187,
214, 217-218; and community of
principle, 214; Constitution as, 379.
See also Interpretation of statutes

Statute of wills, 16, 18, 122, 132, 317, 346-347, 351, 352

Statutory interpretation. See Interpretation of statutes

Stevens, John Paul, 449n3
Subject classification account of right against discrimination, 382-383, 385, 386, 387

Supreme Court, U.S.: power of, 2, 355-357; in snail darter case, 21, 131; precedent from, 25; in Brown case, 29-30; in conventionalist view, 118-119; on vague definition of crimes, 143; abortion ruling by, 185, 186; liberals vs. conservatives on, 357-359; in historicist interpretation, 366; and Marbury v. Madison, 370; and passivism, 375-376. See also Brown case; Snail darter case

Sutherland, A., 431n5 Swift, Jonathan, 433n2

Testing cases. See Pivotal cases
Textual integrity, of statutes,
338-340, 342, 347, 349-350
Theoretical disagreement in law, 4-5,
11; vs. "inventing" law, 5-6; and

plain-fact view, 6-11, 31, 37 (see also Plain-fact view of law); and Elmer's case, 20; and snail darter case, 23; and Brown case, 30; and semantic theories, 31-43 (see also Semantic theories of law); and shared factual criteria, 43-44, 45-46; as interpretive, 87

Theories of law. See Conventionalism; Integrity, law as; Legal realism; Plain-fact view of law; Positivism, legal; Pragmatism, legal; Semantic theories of law

Theory, academic vs. practical, 285 Theory of legislation: and statutory interpretation, 17, 23; change in, 137

Thought and expression, 315
Tort law, skepticism about, 268. See
also Law of unintended injury

Traditional practices: gender interpretation of courtesy as, 72-73; and equality, 202, 204-205, 402; and racial discrimination, 383, 389. See also Courtesy

Transaction costs, 278–280, 287
Transparency of statements and convictions, 331–332, 363
Tribe Laurence 426nno 10

Tribe, Laurence, 436nn9, 10

Truth and falsity: of grounds of law,
4, 6; of propositions of law, 4-5, 32,
417n5. See also Empirical disagreement; Objectivity

Tushnet, Mark, 440n19

Unclarity of language, and statutory interpretation, 350-353

Unilateral conventionalism (unilateralism), 142-143, 146, 147, 365-366 Utilitarianism, 288-295; and semantic sting, 73; and equality, 292-295, 297, 298; and personal responsibility, 309-310; and racial discrimination, 383, 384; in purified law, 408 Utopianism: political philosophy as, 164; in legal politics, 408-409

Values: and interpretive attitude, 47, 48; in constructive interpretation, 52-53; and artistic interpretation, 55, 57; of art, 59-62; of integrity, 188; of certainty, 367-368

Verstehen, 420n2

Virtues, political, 164-165; conflicts among, 117, 188, 404. See also Fairness; Integrity; Justice; Procedural due process

Wakefield, John, 449n13 Waldron, Jeremy, 437n14 Walzer, Michael, 425n20 Warren, Earl, 29-30, 359

Wealth, community: definition of, 277, 286–287; duty to maximize, 286–288; in utilitarianism, 288–295

Wealth test, 276–280; and reasonable-person rule, 280–282; and contributory negligence, 282–283; fit of, 283–285

Welfare, in utilitarianism, 288–295 Williams, Bernard, 426n24

Wittgenstein, Ludwig: and form of life, 63; rope analogy of, 69-70; on communication of thought, 315