CONTENTS

Ac	knowledg	gements	V
Lis	t of Abbr	reviations	xix
	apter I		
In	troductio		
1	An Exa	mple of Dialogue: The Hearsay Saga	1
2	Dialogu		3
3	This Re		4 5 7
		elevance	5
		esearch Questions	
	3.3 N		8
		elineation – A Working Definition of 'Convention Dialogue'	9
4	Outline		10
-			
Pa	rt 1		
C	apter II		
	-	erisation of the Convention System	
1		ablishment of the Convention System	15
100		nity and Human Rights	15
		rafting the Convention	17
2		nctioning of the Convention System	18
		he Object and Purpose	18
		nterlocutors	20
		2.1 States Parties	20
		2.2 The Court	20
		2.3 The Committee	21
		2.4 The Assembly	22
		2.5 The Commissioner	23
		he Principle of Subsidiarity	24
		3.1 Background	24
		3.2 Role of the Court and the States Parties	25
		3.3 Manifestations	27

	2.4	The P	rinciple of Effectiveness	28
		2.4.1	Background	29
			Role of the Court and the States Parties	30
		2.4.3	Manifestations	30
3	The	Conver	ntion System in Development	33
	3.1	The A	chievements of the Convention System	34
	3.2		spanded Catalogue of Rights and an Increased Scope	
			otection	35
	3.3	The C	Confirmation of the Right to Individual Petition	36
	3.4		gement: From 13 to 47 States Parties	37
	3.5		ncreased Workload	38
	3.6	The C	hanged Subject Matter of the Court's Judgments	40
			Grave and Widespread Violations	41
			Transitional Violations	43
		3.6.3	Repetitive Violations	44
	3.7		mentation and Execution Problems	45
	3.8	Legiti	macy Questions	48
	3.9		ssence of the Developments	52
4	The		tion System under Reform	52
	4.1	Protoc		53
	4.2	Protoc	col 14	54
	4.3	Quest	ioning the Object and Purpose of the Convention System	55
	4.4	Protoc	cols 15 and 16 and Beyond	58
	4.5	The E	ssence of the Reform	61
5	A Cl	naracter	isation of the Convention System	61
	5.1	The F	unctioning of the Interlocutors	61
		5.1.1	States Parties .	61
		5.1.2	The Court	62
		5.1.3	The Committee	65
	5.2	The C	haracteristics of the Convention System	65
		5.2.1	Internal and External Tension	65
		5.2.2	Sharing Responsibilities	66
		5.2.3	Interconnectedness between National and European level	66
		5.2.4	Diversity	66
		5.2.5	Lack of Final Power	67
	apter			
			tion of Dialogue	
1			a Descriptive and Normative Tool	70
	1.1		ional Constitutional Settings	70
		1.1.1	Background	70
		1.1.2	Dialogue as a Descriptive Tool	71
		1.1.3	Dialogue as a Normative Tool	72

Can	+	4+0
Con	ILUI	115

		1.1.4	Implications of Dialogue	75
			Dialogue and Deference	76
			Dialogue in a Broader Perspective	77
	1.2	In the		78
	1.2		Background	79
			Dialogue as a Descriptive Tool	79
			Dialogue as a Normative Tool	80
	1.3		nsjudicial Communication	81
	110		Background	81
			Dialogue as a Descriptive Tool	82
			Dialogue as a Normative Tool	84
			Dialogue and Dialectical Review	85
	1.4	Comm		86
2			erequisites, Facilitators and Instruments	89
10	2.1	_	uisites	89
			Willingness	89
			Different Viewpoints	90
			Common Ground of Understanding	91
			Time	92
	2.2	Facilit	tators	92
			Dynamic Distribution of Power	92
			Deference	93
			Comparative Methods of Interpretation	94
			Procedural Approach	94
			Remedial Discretion	95
	2.3	Instru		99
			Pro-dialogic Rules	99
			Requests for a Ruling	101
	2.4	Comm		103
Ch	apter	IV		
	-		n System and Dialogue	
1			ty of Cooperation	107
	1.1		of Coercive Means to Compel Convention Implementation	108
	1.2		ng Responsibilities	108
2	Inter	nal Ten		109
	2.1	Source	es	109
		2.1.1	Countermajoritarian Difficulty	110
			Knowledge Gap Difficulty	110
			Unifying Diversity Difficulty	111
	2.2		isk of Conflict and Decreased Effectiveness	111
	2.3		reventability of Conflict	113
	2.4		al Tension as a Positive Good	114

	2.5	The P	otential of the Convention System to Channel Internal Tension	
		away	from Conflict	115
	2.6	The E	ver-present Possibility of Conflict	117
	2.7		haracteristics of Internal Tension	121
3	Exte	rnal Ter	nsion	122
	3.1	Source	es	122
		3.1.1	Need for Long-term Change	122
			Limited Usefulness of Increasing Coercion and Power	123
	3.2		ased Effectiveness	124
	3.3	The E	liminability of External Tension	125
	3.4		nal Tension Reinforcing Internal Tension	125
	3.5		haracteristics of External Tension	126
1			Value of Dialogue in the Convention System	127
	4.1		otion of Dialogue	128
			As Used for Other Systems	128
			As Used for the Convention System	129
			Appropriateness of the Notion	133
	4.2		dded Value	134
			Preliminary Observations	134
			Observations on the Added Value	137
	4.3		ossible Functioning of Dialogue	139
		4.3.1	Cooperation	140
			Internal Tension	140
			External Tension	141
	4.4		uisites for Convention Dialogue	142
			Ability and Willingness	143
		4.4.2	Different Viewpoints and a Common Ground	
			of Understanding	145
		4.4.3	Time and Clarity	147
5	Rese		Dialogue in the Convention System	147
	5.1	Hypot		148
	5.2		esearch Questions of Part 2 and Part 3	149
	5.3		dures of Interest	149
	5.5	5.3.1	Why Procedures?	149
			What are Procedures?	150
		5.3.3	Which Procedures?	151
	5.4		inition of Dialogue	153
	5.5		tors of Dialogue	154
	3.3	5.5.1	Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All	157
		3.3.1	Relevant Interlocutors	155
		5.5.2	Indicator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	157
		5.5.3	Indicator 3: Mutual Understanding	159
		5.5.4	Indicator 4: Balanced Decision-making	
			Indicator 5: Reason-giving	160 161
		3.3.3	Indicator 3. Reason-giving	101

nts
11115

		5.5.6	Indicator 6: Room for a Response	164
			Indicator 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	166
5.	.6		ing the Indicators of Dialogue	167
9.1			Paper: The Dialogic Potential	167
			Practice: The Dialogicness	169
Part :	2			
Chap				
			otential of Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	
			to the (Pre-)Merits Phase and its Procedures	173
1.			nunication	175
			n Measures	175
1.	.3		e 37 Strike-out Procedures Generally	177
			Friendly Settlements	178
			Article 37(1)(b) Strike-out Decisions	178
			Unilateral Declarations	179
			Article 37(1)(c) Strike-out Decisions	180
	.4	Hearin		180
1.			uishment	180
1.			party Interventions	181
			igations	181
	.8	Judgm		182
	.9	Referr		182
			e Indicators of Dialogue	183
2.	.1		tor 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All	100
040	_		ant Interlocutors	183
	.2		tor 2: Sharing Responsibilities	188
			tor 3: Mutual Understanding	191
	.4		tor 4: Balanced Decision-making	193
	.5		tor 5: Reason-giving	195
	.6		tor 6: Room for a Response	198
	.7		tor 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	200
3 C	conc	lusion:	The Dialogic Potential of Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	202
Chap	oter	VI		
The I	Dial	ogic Po	otential of Procedures in the Execution Phase	
1 Ir	ntroc	duction	to the Execution Phase and Its Procedures	207
1.	.1	Article	e 41-awards	211
1.	.2	Action	n Plans/Reports	212
1.	.3		eetings	213
68.1	.4		d Multilateral Meetings	213
72-1	.5		sts for Interpretation	214

~			
C	าท	te	nts

	1.6	Infringement Proceedings	215
	1.7	Assembly Questions and Recommendations	216
	1.8	Commissioner Country Visits and Reports	218
	1.9	Decisions and Interim Resolutions	218
	1.10	Final Resolutions	219
	1.11	Individual Follow-up Cases	219
		General Follow-up Cases	220
2	Appl	ying the Indicators of Dialogue	220
	2.1	Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All	
		Relevant Interlocutors	220
	2.2	Indicator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	224
	2.3	Indicator 3: Mutual Understanding	227
	2.4	Indicator 4: Balanced Decision-making	229
	2.5	Indicator 5: Reason-giving	231
	2.6	Indicator 6: Room for a Response	234
	2.7	Indicator 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	236
3	Conc	clusion: The Dialogic Potential of Procedures in the Execution Phase	239
Ch	apter	VII	
		ogic Potential of the Pilot-judgment Procedure	
1	Intro	duction to the Pilot-judgment Procedure	243
	1.1	Run-up to the Pilot Judgment	244
	1.2	Content of the Pilot Judgment	245
	1.3	After the Pilot Judgment	245
2	Appl	ying the Indicators of Dialogue	246
	2.1	Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All	2.0
		Relevant Interlocutors	246
		2.1.1 The Court	246
		2.1.2 The Respondent State	247
		2.1.3 The Committee	248
	2.2	Indicator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	248
		2.2.1 Court – Respondent State	248
		2.2.2 Court – Committee	250
	2.3	Indicator 3: Mutual Understanding	250
	2.4	Indicator 4: Balanced Decision-making	251
	2.5	Indicator 5: Reason-giving	252
	2.6	Indicator 6: Room for a Response	252
	2.7	Indicator 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	253
3	Conc	lusion: The Dialogic Potential of the Pilot-judgment Procedure	254
Ch	apter	VIII	
	-	ons: The Dialogic Potential of Convention-related Procedures	
1		ator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All Interlocutors	255
2		ator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	257
		O	201

0	L
Con	enis

3 Indic	cator 3:	Mutual Understanding	257
4 Indic	cator 4:	Balanced Decision-making	258
5 India	cator 5:	Reason-giving	259
6 Indi	cator 6:	Room for a Response	260
7 India	cator 7:	Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	261
8 Over	rview of	f the Findings per Procedure	261
Part 3			
Chapter			
		ss of Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	265
		ning of the Procedures in Practice	265
1.1 320		nunication	265
		Methodology	265
		Contacts Prior to and After Communication	266
		The Court's Questions	267
		The Respondent State's Observations	271
1.2		lly Settlements	275
		Frequency and Areas of Use	275
		Reasons (not) to Settle	276
		The Court Placing Itself at the Disposal of the Parties	278
		Terms of Friendly Settlements	281
		The Human Rights Condition	281
	1.2.6	Restoral of Application	282
1.3		e 37(1)(b) Strike-out Decisions	283
	1.3.1	Frequency and Areas of Use	283
	1.3.2	Initiative	284
		The Human Rights Condition and other Criteria	284
	1.3.4	Decision or Judgment; Award of Costs;	
		Restoral of Application	286
1.4	Unilat	teral Declarations	287
	1.4.1	Frequency and Areas of Use	287
	1.4.2	Reasons to (not) Issue a Unilateral Declaration	288
	1.4.3	The Human Rights Condition and other Criteria	289
	1.4.4	Extra Message from the Court	295
	1.4.5	Decision or Judgment; Award of Costs;	
		Restoral of Application	296
1.5	Articl	e 37(1)(c) Strike-out Decisions	297
	1.5.1	Frequency and Areas of Use	297
	1.5.2	The Human Rights Condition	299
	1.5.3	Decision or Judgment; Award of Costs;	
		Restoral of an Application	300
1.6	Heari	ngs	300

~		
On	tan	tc
Con	wii	u

		1.6.1	Methodology	301
		1.6.2	Frequency and Areas of Use	301
		1.6.3	Initiative	304
		1.6.4	Reasons for Holding a Hearing	304
		1.6.5	The Court's Questions	306
		1.6.6	The Respondent State's Observations	307
		1.6.7	Third-parties' Observations	309
	1.7	Third-	-party Interventions	311
		1.7.1	Methodology	311
		1.7.2	State Article 36(1) Interventions	311
		1.7.3	State Article 36(2) Interventions	313
		1.7.4	The Commissioner's Interventions	318
		1.7.5	The Assembly's Interventions	319
	1.8	Judgn	nents	320
		1.8.1	Reliance on Others for the Facts	320
		1.8.2	Reliance on Others for the Merits	322
		1.8.3	Reasoning	330
		1.8.4	Scope	336
		1.8.5	Separate Opinions	339
	1.9	Refer	ral	344
			Frequency and Areas of Use	344
		1.9.2	Reasons for Submitting a Request	345
		1.9.3	Reasons for (not) Accepting a Request	346
		1.9.4	Scope of a Case before the Grand Chamber	349
		1.9.5	Chamber and Grand Chamber Judgments Compared	350
2	Applying the Indicators of Dialogue			354
	2.1	1.1 Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All		
		Relev	ant Interlocutors	355
	2.2	Indica	tor 2: Sharing Responsibilities	359
	2.3	Indica	tor 3: Mutual Understanding	361
	2.4	Indica	tor 4: Balanced Decision-making	363
	2.5	Indica	tor 5: Reason-giving	365
	2.6	Indica	tor 6: Room for a Response	367
	2.7	Indica	tor 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	369
3	Con	clusion:	The Dialogicness of Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	370
Cł	ıapteı	·X		
Th	e Dia	logicne	ss of Procedures in the Execution Phase	
1	Intro	duction	to the Practice of the Execution	375
	1.1	The S	ecretariat	375
	1.2	The E	xecution Department	376
	1.3		ne of Certain Aspects of the Supervisory Process	378
		1.3.1	Procedure	378
		1.3.2	Grouping Cases Together	379

			Standards for Evaluation	380
		-	Sources for Evaluation	380
2	The		ning of the Procedures in Practice	383
	2.1	Articl	e 46-indications	383
		2.1.1	Frequency and Areas of Use	385
		2.1.2	Reasons to Make an Article 46-indication	387
		2.1.3	Content	389
		2.1.4	Place of the Indication	391
		2.1.5	Effects of the Indication	392
	2.2	Action	n Plans/Reports	393
		2.2.1	Methodology	393
		2.2.2	Submissions	394
		2.2.3	Content	395
		2.2.4	Quality	398
	2.3	DH M	leetings	399
		2.3.1	Frequency	399
		2.3.2	Preparation	399
		2.3.3	Selection	400
		2.3.4	Attendees	402
		2.3.5	The Meeting	403
	2.4	Bi- an	d Multilateral Meetings	410
		2.4.1	Frequency	410
		2.4.2	Preparation	411
		2.4.3	Attendees	411
		2.4.4	Bilateral Meetings	412
		2.4.5	Multilateral Meetings	413
	2.5	Reque	ests for Interpretation	413
	2.6			414
	2.7	Assen	nbly Questions and Recommendations	416
		2.7.1	Methodology	419
		2.7.2	Frequency	419
		2.7.3	Content of the Questions	420
			The Committee's Reply to Questions	421
		2.7.5	Influence of the Questions	422
		2.7.6	Content of the Recommendations	423
		2.7.7	Content of the Reports	425
			The Committee's Reply to Recommendations	427
			Influence of the Recommendations	428
	2.8	Comn	nissioner Country Visits and Reports	429
		2.8.1	Frequency and Areas of use	429
		2.8.2		431
	2.9		ions and Interim Resolutions	435
			Methodology	435
			Frequency	435

		2.9.3 Preparation	436	
		2.9.4 Content	437	
	2.10	Individual Follow-up Cases	441	
		2.10.1 Frequency and Areas of Use	441	
		2.10.2 Conditions	442	
		2.10.3 Content	443	
		2.10.4 Role of the Interlocutors	446	
		2.10.5 The Committee's Reliance on Individual Follow-up		
		Judgments	448	
	2.11	General Follow-up Cases	449	
		2.11.1 Frequency and Areas of Use	452	
		2.11.2 Content	452	
		2.11.3 The Committee's Reliance on General Follow-up Judgments	454	
3	Appl	ying the Indicators of Dialogue	455	
	3.1	Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All		
		Relevant Interlocutors	456	
	3.2	Indicator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	458	
	3.3	Indicator 3: Mutual Understanding	462	
	3.4	Indicator 4: Balanced Decision-making	465	
	3.5	Indicator 5: Reason-giving	466	
	3.6	Indicator 6: Room for a Response	468	
	3.7	Indicator 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	470	
4	Conc	clusion: The Dialogicness of Procedures in the Execution Phase	472	
	apter			
		ogicness of the Pilot-judgment Procedure		
1		Functioning of the Pilot-judgment Procedure in Practice	475	
	1.1	Methodology	475	
	1.2	Frequency and Areas of Use	476	
	1.3	Run-up to the Pilot Judgment	476	
		1.3.1 Initiative	476	
		1.3.2 Views of the Parties	477	
		1.3.3 Conditions for Applying the Pilot-judgment Procedure	479	
	1.4	Content of the Pilot Judgment	482	
		1.4.1 The Nature of the Problem	483	
		1.4.2 Remedial Measures	484	
		1.4.3 Ongoing Reform	489	
		1.4.4 Time Limit	490	
		1.4.5 Just Satisfaction	490	
		1.4.6 Similar Applications	491	
	1.5	After the Pilot Judgment	493	
		1.5.1 The Court's Involvement	493	
		1.5.2 The Committee's Involvement	502 507	
2	Applying the Indicators of Dialogue			

	2.1 Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All				
	2.1		ant Interlocutors	507	
		2.1.1	The Court	507	
		2.1.2	The Respondent State	508	
		2.1.3	The Committee	509	
	2.2	Indica	tor 2: Sharing Responsibilities	510	
		2.2.1	Court – Respondent State	510	
		2.2.2	Court – Committee	511	
	2.3	Indica	tor 3: Mutual Understanding	513	
	2.4	Indica	tor 4: Balanced Decision-making	515	
	2.5	Indica	tor 5: Reason-giving	516	
	2.6	Indica	tor 6: Room for a Response	517	
		2.6.1	The Court	517	
			The Respondent State	518	
	2.7		tor 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	519	
3	Cond	clusion:	The Dialogicness of the Pilot-judgment Procedure	520	
	apter				
Co			he Dialogicness of Convention-related Procedures		
1			Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All Interlocutors	521	
2			Sharing Responsibilities	523	
3			Mutual Understanding	524	
			Balanced Decision-making	525	
5			Reason-giving	525	
6			Room for a Response	526	
7			Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	527	
8	Overview of the Findings per Procedure 52				
		XIII			
			d Recommendations		
1		i Findin	gs	529	
	1.1	Part 1		529	
			A Characterisation of the Convention System	529	
		1.1.2		534	
		1.1.3			
			Convention System	537	
	1.2		and Part 3	539	
2			between Dialogic Potential and Dialogicness in Practice	541	
	2.1		ngs per Procedure Compared	541	
		2.1.1	Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	542	
			Procedures in the Execution Phase	544	
		2.1.3	<i>j</i> 8	546	
	2.2	Findin	ngs per Indicator Compared	547	

		2.2.1	Indicator 1: Procedural Opportunities for Involvement of All	
		222	Relevant Interlocutors	547
		2.2.2	Indicator 2: Sharing Responsibilities	549
		2.2.3	Indicator 3: Mutual Understanding	550
		2.2.4	Indicator 4: Balanced Decision-making	551
		2.2.5	Indicator 5: Reason-giving	552
		2.2.6	Indicator 6: Room for a Response	552
		2.2.7	Indicator 7: Preventing, Mitigating and Ending Conflict	553
_	2.3		ral Observations about the Comparison	553
3		mmeno		555
	3.1	How t	to Give the Convention-related Procedures more Dialogic	
			tial on Paper	556
		3.1.1	Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	556
			Procedures in the Execution Phase	560
	3.2 How to Make the Convention-related Procedures more in Practice		to Make the Convention-related Procedures more Dialogic	
			ctice	561
		3.2.1	Procedures in the (Pre-)Merits Phase	561
		3.2.2	Procedures in the Execution Phase	566
		3.2.3	The Pilot-judgment Procedure	571
Ap	pendix	c I I	nterviewees Research Interviews	575
Ap	pendix	II S	ample of Questionnaire	577
4p	pendix		Full Pilot Judgments	583
Sui	nmary	in Eng	rlish	585
Sui	nmary	in Dut	ch	587
Bil	oliogra	iphy		605
Ina	lex			625
Си	Curriculum Vitae			637