2017 Vol.28 Issue 6 ISSN: 0959-3799

Entertainment Law Review

Table of Contents

Opinion

ALICIA MENDONCA

When Celebrity Relationships Break Down: Protecting Your Privacy and Reputation in the Aftermath of a Breakup 189

For high-profile individuals, a breakup or divorce is not only a time of emotional and financial strain. It is also poses a threat to your privacy and reputation. Knowing how to prevent and respond to these risks is essential.

Articles

CHRISTINE KENNEDY AND ANDY PHIPPEN

Sexting and Sexting Behaviour—"Oh you're all children, children do silly things. You'll be fine. Get over it!" | 191

With increasing pressure from the media and celebrity culture to be sexualised from a young age, it is essential to examine what measures are in place to tackle the rising levels of sexual harassment amongst young people. This article examines the case for Relationships and Sex Education to be made compulsory in all schools across the UK to tackle issues of online safety, consent, the influence of the media and pornography as well as forming healthy relationships.

SAVERIO CAVALCANTI

Digital Terrestrial Television Channels Line-Up (LCN): The Italian Regulatory Framework and Upcoming Scenarios 198

This article is aimed at describing the main regulatory issues and case law concerning the Italian DTT channels line-up (LCN). The analysis is carried out highlighting the differences between the LCN plan currently in force (adopted in 2013) and the first LCN plan (adopted in 2010), focusing—inter alia—on the definition of "semi-generalist" channels. The LCN-related litigation is summarised giving evidence of the conflicts arisen among Italian courts. The possible upcoming scenarios regarding the re-assignment of LCN positions by the public authorities are also drawn up.

LISA LOGAN

Brexit Threat to the UK's Place at the Heart of International Broadcasting in the EU 201

What is the potential impact of Brexit on UK-based international broadcasters? The House of Commons European Scrutiny Committee is concerned that the UK broadcasting sector will be highly exposed by the UK's non-participation in the regulatory framework under the AVMS Directive, with the Council of Europe Convention on Transfrontier Television presenting an unsatisfactory alternative.

Comments

JO VALE

Hourani v Thomson—Single Meaning in the Digital Age 204

This article considers the trial in the defamation and harassment claim *Hourani v Thomson* in which Warby J considered the question of how to find a single defamatory meaning across websites and social media and "reasonableness" in the public interest defence and awarded £80,000 in damages.

GILLIE ABBOTTS-JONES AND CRIS MANUEL HUGHES

Rudy, A Message to You (About Genuine Use) 206

This article considers the recent ruling of the EU General Court in Windrush Aka LLP v EUIPO Case T-336/15 which concerned a revocation action concerning genuine use of the trade mark THE SPECIALS owned by former Specials keyboard player Jerry Dammers.

PETER SMITH

Brevan Howard Asset Management LLP v Reuters Ltd—High court Grants Interim Non-Disclosure Order Against a Prominent News Agency Restraining Use of Confidential Business Information 208

This article considers the decision of the High Court in Brevan Howard Asset Management LLP v Reuters Ltd to grant an interim non-disclosure order against a prominent news agency, a journalist working for the agency, and an unknown person alleged to have leaked the documents or the information, restraining both the use of confidential documents provided by a hedge fund manager and the information contained within them.

SUNNIVA HASSON

End of Play: The CJEU's Judgment in the Filmspeler Case 210

This article examines the ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union in the Filmspeler case. The court held that the sale of a multimedia player containing add-ons with links to unauthorised copyright content amounts to a "communication to the public" under art.3(1) of the Copyright Directive (2001/29). The CJEU also held that acts of temporary reproduction by users, on such a multimedia player, in the course of streaming a copyright work from an unauthorised third party website do not fall within the temporary copying exception under art.5(1) of the Directive.

LUXI RAJANAYAGAM

London's Zuma Restaurant Says Paws Off Our Brand to Pet Food Company 212

In a dispute between a high quality, high-end restaurant and a high quality dog and cat food company, the Intellectual Property Enterprise Court has found that an "inherent tension" exists between human food and dog food. A third party's use of a sign identical or similar to a trade mark registered for human food can tarnish and dilute that mark if used for dog food and therefore be held liable for trade mark infringement.

EILEEN WEINERT

Rolf "a real hash-junkie" Pihl v Sweden: Liability of intermediaries for Anonymous Comments 215

A chamber of seven judges in the ECtHR has ruled inadmissible as manifestly ill-founded Rolf Pihl's complaint that Sweden infringed his art.8 rights by failing to hold a website liable for an anonymous comment accusing him of being a "hash-junkie". But the confusion surrounding liability of intermediaries for user comments persists as does the ECtHR backlog of applications, which threatens the very right of petition under the Convention.

JENNIFER AGATE

Data Protection and Prior Restraint: Stunt v Associated Newspapers Ltd 218

This case comment looks at the decision in *Stunt v Associated Newspapers Ltd*, in which the High Court considered s.32(4) of the Data Protection Act 1998, which allows for data protection proceedings to be stayed where the data concerned is held for future journalistic purposes.

TOM IVERSON

Premier Blocking Order for Streaming Servers 220

The High Court has granted the Football Association Premier League, in effect, a prototype blocking order against the six main UK internet service providers. The order required the ISPs to block access to servers that deliver infringing live streams of Premier League footage to UK consumers. All the requirements of s.97A of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 were held to be satisfied, including that the users and operators of the servers infringed related copyrights. The order, which was confined to live match windows and to the remainder of the 2016/17 season, was also found to be proportionate.