

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF TABLES	14
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	15
1. MOTIVATION	18
2. INTRODUCTION	20
3. STATE-OF-THE-ART OF CEMENTITIOUS COMPOSITES	22
3.1 Hydration.....	23
3.2 Experimental methods.....	23
3.3 Modeling	24
3.4 Production of cement.....	25
3.5 Raw components	26
3.6 Utilization and applications of cement based composites	26
3.7 Chemical processes, hydration	27
3.7.1 Tricalcium silicate ($3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2$ abbreviation C_3S).....	28
3.7.2 Dicalcium silicate ($2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2$, abbreviation C_2S).....	29
3.7.3 Tricalcium aluminate ($3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$, abbreviation C_3A).....	29
3.7.4 Calcium aluminoferrite	30
3.7.5 Hydration of Portland cement.....	30
3.7.6 Kinetics of the hydration process.....	33
3.8 Microstructure of cement paste and phases on microlevel	33
3.8.1 Pores in hydrated cement paste.....	33
3.8.2 Factors that affect porosity.....	36
3.8.3 State of water in hardened Portland cement pastes.....	38
3.8.4 C-S-H gel	40
3.8.5 Portlandite	42
3.8.6 Other constituents	42
3.9 Composites materials.....	42
3.9.1 Engineered Cementitious Composite (ECC)	43
3.9.2 Geopolymers	44

4. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	47
4.1 Methods on the macrolevel	47
4.2 Methods on the microlevel	47
4.2.1 Electron microscopy	47
4.2.2 Porosimetry	52
4.2.2.1 Mercury intrusion porosimetry	53
4.2.3 Image analysis.....	55
4.2.3.1 Implementation	60
4.2.3.2 Program „Phases“	61
4.2.3.3 Analysis of phase proportions of cement paste using program “Phases”	64
4.2.3.4 Program „Distribution“	65
4.2.3.5 Analysis of pore size distribution of cement paste using program “Distribution”	68
4.2.3.6 Program „Shape“	73
4.2.3.7 Analysis of pore shapes using program “Shapes”	75
4.2.3.8 Comparison of pore size distribution from mercury intrusion porosimetry and from image analysis	79
4.2.4 Atomic force microscopy.....	87
4.2.4.1 AFM principle.....	89
4.2.4.2 Forces between the tip and the sample	89
4.2.4.3 Contact mode	90
4.2.4.4 Non-contact mode.....	90
4.2.4.5. Tapping mode	91
4.2.4.6 AFM microscope construction.....	91
4.2.4.7 AFM Image Artifacts and measurement mistakes.....	92
4.2.4.8 Demands put on the sample and the measurement	94
4.2.4.9 Surface characteristics	94
4.2.4.10 Determination of the surface roughness of the cement paste using AFM.....	96
4.2.4.11 Application of AFM for the estimation of contact area of the indenter tip	101
4.2.5 Nanoindentation.....	103
4.2.5.1 Delayed deformation recovery after nanoindentation of cement paste	105
5. COMPUTATIONAL METHODS ON THE MICROLEVEL.....	109
5.1. Models describing the chemical composition of hydrated cement paste	109
5.1.1. Powers’ model of hydration.....	109
5.1.2 HYMOSTRUC	111
5.1.3 CEMHYD3D	111
5.2 Homogenization for cement based materials, effective elastic properties	114
5.3 Reconstruction of microstructure	116
5.3.1 Basic data – images of microstructure from ESEM.....	116
5.3.2 Reconstruction in 2-D using interchange of pixels.....	118
5.3.3 Results of 2-D reconstruction	120

5.3.4 Reconstruction in 3-D using Gaussian noise	124
5.3.5 Results of 3-D reconstruction	126

8. CONCLUSIONS137

BIBLIOGRAPHY139

Fig. 1 - Dimensional range of solids and pores in hydrated cement paste	34
Fig. 2 - The Feldman - Seriz model of structure of the C-S-H gel in Portland cement paste	36
Fig. 3 - (a) Influence of capillary porosity on strength and permeability of cement paste; (b) Capillary porosity derived from a consideration of wet ratio and degree of hydration	37
Fig. 4 - Schematic representation of the volumetric expansion in cement paste before and during hydration	39
Fig. 5 - Influence of the water/cement ratio (a) and curing (b) on the distribution of pore size in hydrated cement paste	40
Fig. 6 - Schematic illustration of the formation of spherical hydration shells, over time according to grain size	41
Fig. 7 - The beam electron probe: the variety of signals as they interact with solid systems	48
Fig. 8 - Origin of secondary (a) and back-scattered electrons (b)	49
Fig. 9 - Images of cement paste from electron microscope - (a) image of secondary electrons - morphology of surface; (b) image of back-scattered electrons - mineral content	50
Fig. 10 - Output of EDX analysis - spectrum of percent occurrence of separate elements in selected point	51
Fig. 11 - Schematic picture in electron microscope	52
Fig. 12 - (a) Backscattered SEM image showing representative area of a 3 months-old wet 0.10 Portland cement paste; (b) the same image with threshold binary segmentation to show the pore space pixel as black and the solid mineral pixels as fully white	54
Fig. 13 - BSE images give a two-dimensional image of a three-dimensional microstructure	57
Fig. 14 - ESEM image of cement paste with $w/c = 0.40$; (a) segmented image without processing; (b) segmented image with processing	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1 – Reaction of tricalcium silicate with water	29
Fig. 2 – Hydration kinetics of an ordinary Portland cement	32
Fig. 3 – Hydration heat evolution of an ordinary Portland cement	32
Fig. 4 – Dimensional range of solids and pores in hydrated cement paste	34
Fig. 5 –The Feldman – Sereda model of structure of the C-S-H gel of Portland cement paste.	36
Fig. 6 – (a) Influence of capillary porosity on strength and permeability of cement paste. (b) Capillary porosity derived from a combination of w/c ratio and degree of hydration	37
Fig. 7 – Schematic representation of the volumetric proportions in cement paste before and during hydration	39
Fig. 8 – Influence of the water/cement ratio (a) and curing (b) on the distribution of pore size in hydrated cement paste	40
Fig. 9 – Schematic illustration of the formation of separated hydration shells over time according to grain size.	41
Fig. 10 – The beam electrons generate the variety of signals as they interact with sample atoms.....	48
Fig. 11 – Origin of secondary (a) and back-scattered electrons (b)	49
Fig. 12 – Images of cement paste from electron microscope - a) image of secondary electrons – morphology of surface, b) image of backscattered electrons – material contrast.....	50
Fig. 13 – Output of EDX analysis– spectrum of percent occurrence of separate elements at selected point.	51
Fig. 14 – Schematic picture of electron microscope	51
Fig. 15 – (a) Backscatter SEM image showing representative area of a 3-months-old w/c 0.40 Portland cement paste, (b) the same image after undergoing binary segmentation to show the pore space pixel as black and the solid material pixels as fully white.....	54
Fig. 16 – BSE images give a two-dimensional image of a three-dimensional microstructure.	57
Fig. 17 – ESEM image of cement paste with w/c = 0.40, a) segmented image without preprocessing, b) segmented image with preprocessing.....	58

Fig. 18 – A) BSE image of cement paste – Sample A - from ESEM, b) transformed image – blue color refers to pores, red color refers to unhydrated clinkers, white color refers to products of hydration, c) histogram of the BSE image – 0 match to black color (represents pores), 255 match to white color and represents unhydrated clinkers minerals.	59
Fig. 19 – Examples of threshold levels – a) BSE image of cement paste, b) threshold level = 70, c) threshold level =110. It is evident from the histogram that the threshold level = 110 yields to overestimation of hydration products compared to pores.	60
Fig. 20 – BSE image of cement paste. Objects marked in blue are not included to calculation, which yields wrong results (LUCIA software).	61
Fig. 21 – Program “Phases” for the calculation of phase ratio – Output of the program – there are results for the phases in image in bottom line – for instance unhydrated clinkers minerals – 24.92%, pores 4.40 % and products of hydration – 70.68%.	62
Fig. 22 – Algorithm for the program „Phases“.	63
Fig. 23 – Cement paste of water/cement ratio $w/c=0.40$ – Samples A; a) BSE image b) resulting image from the program “Phases”	64
Fig. 24 – Binary image of pore in cement paste (a) unfilled pore (b) filled pore with black.	66
Fig. 25 – Algorithm of the program „Distribution“.	67
Fig. 26 – BSE image of cement paste, $w/c = 0.25$ (samples B). a) real image from ESEM, black areas are pores b) binary image with segmented areas of interest c) histogram of pore size distribution d) cumulative porosity.	69
Fig. 27 – BSE image of cement paste, $w/c = 0.40$ (samples B). a) real image from ESEM, black areas are pores b) binary image with segmented areas of interest c) histogram of pore size distribution d) cumulative porosity.	70
Fig. 28 – BSE image of cement paste, $w/c = 0.50$ (samples B). a) real image from ESEM, black areas are pores b) binary image with segmented areas of interest c) histogram of pore size distribution d) cumulative porosity.	72
Fig. 29 – Results of pore size distribution of cement paste - Samples B– blue line stands for $w/c = 0.25$, green line stands for $w/c = 0.40$, red line stands for $w/c = 0.50$	72
Fig. 30 – Algorithm for the program „Shape“.	74
Fig. 31 – Artificial image analyzed by the “Shape” algorithm.	75
Fig. 32 – BSE image of ECC – magnification 100x – the small pores are not visible, the long objects are the fiber, which are a compound of cement.	75

Fig. 33 – Results of pore shape for ECC material, magnification 1000x – a) BSE image from ESEM, b) binary image with segmented area of interest, c) pores in cement paste grouped by their diameter, d) eccentricity of all pores, e) eccentricity of capillary pores f) eccentricity of macropores.....	76
Fig. 34 – Results of pore shape for sample A – a) BSE image from ESEM, b) binary image with segmented area of interest, c) pores in cement paste grouped by their diameter d) eccentricity of all pores, e) eccentricity of capillary pores f) eccentricity of macropores.....	77
Fig. 35 – Results of pore shape for geopolymers (Samples C)– a) BSE image from ESEM, b) binary image with segmented area of interest, c) pores in geopolymer grouped by their diameter, d) eccentricity of all pores, e) eccentricity of capillary pores f) eccentricity of macropores.....	78
Fig. 36 – Pore size distribution of Portland white cement paste CEM I - 52.5 N Rohožník measured by mercury intrusion porosimetry, blue line stands for w/c = 0.45, green line stands for w/c=0.40, red line stands for w/c=0.35	80
Fig. 37 – Pore size distribution of Portland cement paste CEM I - 42.5 Radotin measured by mercury intrusion porosimetry, blue line is for w/c = 0.45, green line is for w/c=0.40, red line is for w/c=0.35.....	80
Fig. 38 – Processed BSE images of cement paste (pores in red)– a) magnification 400x, b) magnification 800x, c) magnification 3200x.....	82
Fig. 39 – BSE images of cement paste, magnification 400x, and threshold level 40 – a) without preprocessing, b) with preprocessing (smoothing).....	83
Fig. 40 – Cumulative porosity of cement paste measured using image analysis - various magnifications, images with or without preprocessing.....	84
Fig. 41 – BSE image of cement paste – various setting of threshold level – a) threshold level = 40, b) threshold level = 80.	85
Fig. 42 – Dependence of porosity on the threshold value.....	85
Fig. 43 – Comparison of mercury intrusion porosimetry and image analysis.	86
Fig. 44 – Atomic Force Microscope DME, laboratory of Faculty of Civil Engineering of Czech Technical University in Prague.	88
Fig. 45 – Dependence of van der Waals force on the distance of atoms	89
Fig. 46 – Schematic depiction of the surface with a drop of water in (a) contact and (b) non-contact mode: 1 – sample, 2 – drop of water, 3 – image from contact mode, 4 – image from non-contact mode	91
Fig. 47 – AFM image artifacts – probe artifacts: 1 – AFM image, 2 – profile with the artifact, 3 – real profile (without artifact).	93
Fig. 48 –AFM image artifacts - creep (A) and hysteresis (B): 1 – AFM image, 2 –	

profile with artifact, 3 – real profile (without artifact).....	93
Fig. 49 – Example of a) wrong and b) right image processing. Left image is the initial image from AFM, right is the processed image. In the case a) the data are distorted and the processed image do not correspond the reality.	94
Fig. 50 – AFM images of cement paste, hand polishing – a) $w/c = 0,40$, b) $w/c = 0,50$ – with large pore areas.	98
Fig. 51 – AFM image of sample surface of cement paste $w/c=0.40$ - various surface preparations (a) diamond saw cut - DSC, (b) machine polishing - MP, (c) hand polishing – HP.....	99
Fig. 52 – Profile of heights in the cross-section perpendicular to the surface for sample $w/c=0.40$ and different surface preparations (DSC in red, MP in green, HP in blue).	99
Fig. 53 – Modulus of elasticity of hydrated cement paste measured by nanoindentation for different water/cement ratios (surface preparation HP). ...	100
Fig. 54 – A typically 3-D image of indenter tip – image from AFM.	101
Fig. 55 – A profile of indenter tip scanned by AFM – tip curvature $143,3^\circ$	101
Fig. 56 – Algorithm for calculation of tip area from cuts of AFM image.	102
Fig. 57 – Area vs. depth diagram – red curve is measured and calculated from AFM, blue curve is calculated by inverse analyze from Oliver-Pharr method, green curve is the ideal curve, when the tip is an ideal pyramid.	103
Fig. 58 – Nanoindenter Micro Materials UK.....	104
Fig. 59 – AFM image of indenter tip (Berkovich).....	104
Fig. 60 – Typical load-depth diagram.....	105
Fig. 61 – The dependence of the elastic modulus on the variance of the contact area.	105
Fig. 62 – Load vs. depth diagram from nanoindentation on cement paste, fused silica, copper and polyethylene.	107
Fig. 63 – SPM image of the imprint in time= 146 s (a) and in time= 4772 s after load removal (b) measured on cement sample.....	108
Fig. 64 – Comparison of the delayed deformation recovery for fused silica, copper, cement paste and polyethylene after load removal.	108
Fig. 65 – Multiscale nature of concrete.	114
Fig. 66 – Backscattered image of cement paste from electron microscope – A – brightest area of unreacted clinker phase, B –hydration products, C – pores....	117

Fig. 67 – Algorithm for 2-D reconstruction.....	120
Fig. 68 – 2-D reconstruction- a) reference system, b) initial image, c) intermediate image after 3 000 steps, d) final image (after 10 000 steps). Size of image – 42*47 pixels, tolerance 0.005, time of calculation 0.5 hour.	121
Fig. 69 – 2-D reconstruction – final images after reconstruction using various tolerance constants. a) tolerance constant 0.05, b) tolerance constant 0.015, c) tolerance constant 0.015, d) tolerance constant 0.012.	121
Fig. 70 – 2-D reconstruction – final image after a) 300 000 steps (norm=0.0149) b) after 440 000 steps (norm=0.0129) c) after round 500 000 steps (norm=0.0128).	122
Fig. 71 – Evolution of the norm of difference of autocorrelation function.	122
Fig. 72 – 2-D reconstruction – (a) real BSE image of cement paste, size of image – 712*484 pixels, (b) – binary image with black pores, (c) final image after reconstruction (tolerance constant = 0.005, time of calculation 314 hours).....	123
Fig. 73 – Pore size distribution of initial binary image and of reconstructed image shown in Fig. 72 the reconstructed image has larger porosity than the initial image, because of filling the gaps between single pixels.	123
Fig. 74 – 2-D reconstruction – (a) real BSE image of cement paste, size of image – 712*484 pixels, (b) binary image with pores, (c) final image after reconstruction tolerance constant = 0.005, time of calculation 290 hours.	123
Fig. 75 – 2-D reconstruction – (a) real BSE image of cement paste, size of image – 155*144 pixels, (b) binary image with pores, (c) final image after reconstruction (tolerance constant = 0.005, time of calculation 2.5 hours).....	124
Fig. 76 – Evolution of the norm of autocorrelation function for 2-D example from Fig. 75.....	124
Fig. 77 – Algorithm for 3-D reconstruction.....	126
Fig. 78 – 3-D reconstruction: a) real 2-D image of cement paste from ESEM, 155x144 pixels, porosity 18.4% b) reconstructed 3-D microstructure 25x25x25 μm , c) reconstructed 3-D microstructure 100x100x100 μm , d) reconstructed 3-D microstructure 200x200x200 μm , e) section of RVE = 100x100x100 μm , f) autocorrelation functions.	128
Fig. 79 – 3-D reconstruction: a) real 2-D image of cement paste from ESEM, 258x175 pixels, porosity 33.66% b) resulting 3-D microstructure 25x25x25 μm , c) resulting 3-D microstructure 100x100x100 μm , d) resulting 3-D microstructure 200x200x200 μm , e) RVE = 100x100x100 μm , section, f) autocorrelation functions.....	130
Fig. 80 – 3-D reconstruction: a) real 2-D image of cement paste from ESEM, 712x484 pixels, w/c = 0.30, porosity = 4.32% b) resulting 3-D microstructure	

25x25x25 μm , c) resulting 3-D microstructure 100x100x100 μm , d) resulting 3-D microstructure 200x200x200 μm , e) RVE = 100x100x100 μm , sections, f) autocorrelation functions.	132
--	-----

Fig. 81 – 3-D reconstruction: a) real 2-D image of geopolymer from ESEM, 254x192 pixels, porosity = 14.65% b) result 3-D microstructure 25x25x25 μm , c) result 3-D microstructure 100x100x100 μm , d) result 3-D microstructure 200x200x200 μm , e) result 3-D microstructure RVE = 100x100x100 μm , section, f) autocorrelation function computed from 3-D microstructure.	134
---	-----

Fig. 82 – 3-D reconstruction: a) real 2-D image of ECC from ESEM, 712x484 pixels, porosity = 3.53% b) resulting 3-D microstructure 25x25x25 μm , c) resulting 3-D microstructure 100x100x100 μm , d) resulting 3-D microstructure 200x200x200 μm , e) resulting 3-D microstructure RVE = 100x100x100 μm , section, f) autocorrelation function computed from 3-D microstructure.	136
---	-----