Table of Contents

Table of Cases Table of Legislation List of Abbreviation		xxiii xliii lxv
1. Introduction:	EU Pre-border Controls and Protection Seeker Flows	1
1. Backgroun	d and subject matter	1
2. Objectives	,	5
3. Structure		9
PART I. TH	IE EU SYSTEM OF PRE-BORDER CONTRO	L
AN	D ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR ACCESS	
TO INTE	ERNATIONAL PROTECTION IN EUROPE	
2 Chronology as	nd Concentualization of Integrated Pandar	
	nd Conceptualization of 'Integrated Border The 'Embodied Border' Paradigm	13
	on: The ideal of borderless Europe and competing	13
rationales	and the ideal of bolderiess Europe and competing	13
	f incremental integration: Towards 'integrated border	10
managemei		14
	ome to Maastricht: The exploratory phase	15
	intergovernmental cooperation within and without	
	unity structures	19
2.2.1 S	chengen: The security drive gains force	19
	Maastricht's Third Pillar: A purpose-less, fruit-less cooperation? dam: The <i>communautarisation</i> of the Schengen <i>acquis</i> and	21
Title IV		23
2.4 'Integra	ted border management': The emergence of a new concept	27
	ckholm, and the post-Stockholm landscape:	
Consolidati		33
	ing' IBM: The digitalization of controls	35
	ning' IBM: The militarization of controls	38
	alizing' IBM: The extraterritorialization of controls	39
	overall, irregular migration, and refugee flows:	41
	narks: The 'embodied border' and the EU fundamental	41
rights acqui		44
118110 1114111		77
3. The Schengen	Borders Code: Securitized Admission Criteria as the	
	f Integrated Border Management—Instilling Ambiguity	47
	n: Codifying Schengen	47
	criteria and related rules before codification	49
2.1 The Sch	engen legacy	49
	he Schengen Agreement and the Schengen Convention	49
2.1.2 T	he Common Manual	52

	2.2 Post-Amsterdam EC measures	53
	2.2.1 Implementing powers: Retaining sovereignty	53
	2.2.2 Facilitating 'legitimate' travel	54
	2.2.3 Increasing control to combat 'illegal immigration'	55
	3. The Code: A 'common corpus of legislation' for IBM	57
	3.1 Travaux préparatoires and outcome of negotiations	58
	3.2 Structure, principles, and scope	59
	3.3 Admission criteria	60
	3.4 Border controls: Checks and surveillance	62
	3.5 Denial of entry	65
	3.6 Internal controls: Police checks and reintroduction of	
	internal borders	66
	4. The impact of the Schengen Borders Code on refugee flows	70
	4.1 Are protection seekers covered by general admission criteria?	70
	4.2 Are protection seekers covered instead by special provisions?	75
	5. Closing remarks: Schengen criteria, protection seekers,	
	and legal (un-)certainty	78
1 .	Common Visa Policy: Bordering from Abroad—Applying Admission	
	Criteria before Departure	81
	1. Introduction: Visas as pre-border controls	81
	2. Visas and Security: History, format, and the VIS	82
	2.1 The evolution of visa powers under the Treaties	82
	2.2 Visa format and the VIS	84
	3. Visa requirements: The 'black' lists	87
	3.1 The pre-Amsterdam heritage: EC and Schengen visa lists	87
	3.2 The Visa Regulation: Blacklisting criteria and outcomes	89
	4. Visa issuing procedure: The Community Code on Visas	94
	4.1 Pre-codification instruments	94
	4.2 Community Code on Visas: Definitions, principles,	
	and scope	95
	4.3 Application criteria and issuing process	96
	4.4 Decisions and appeal rights	99
	5. The impact of visas on refugee flows	100
	5.1 Visa requirements of protection seekers	100
	5.1.1 Visa Regulation provisions	100
	5.1.2 Airport Transit Visa requirements	101
	5.1.3 Other hidden lists?	103
	5.2 Visa issuing procedure for international protection purposes	106
	5.2.1 Limited territorial validity visas as 'asylum visas'?	106
	5.2.2 Additional procedural obstacles?	109
	5.3 Access to visas in practice	111
	6. Closing remarks: Visas, protection seekers, and the rule of law	114
5.	Carrier Sanctions and ILOs: Anticipated Enforcement of Visa	
	Requirements through 'Imperfect Delegation'—Diverting Flows,	
	Entrenching Unsafety	117
	1. Introduction: Privatizing border control, thwarting irregular	
	movement	117

		Table of Contents	xvii
	2.	Carrier sanctions: The rise of anticipated enforcement	
		of admission rules	120
		2.1 General framework: Outline of delegated coercion	121
		2.2 Specific rules on airlines: EU law as a 'shaper' of international	
		standards—legitimizing pre-emptive enforcement	
		of entry criteria	125
		2.3 Special norms on shipping companies: The (limited) impact	
		of international rules on EU standards	129
	3.	Immigration liaison officers: Reinforcing delegation arrangements	12)
	٠.	of 'remote border coercion'	133
		3.1 Pre-EU arrangements: The secrecy of Schengen ILOs	135
		3.2 Post-Schengen experience: Opacity continued	137
	4		
	4.	The impact of carrier sanctions and ILOs on refugee flows 4.1 Carrier sanctions and forced migrants: The effects of	142
		'imperfect delegation'	143
		4.2 ILOs and protection seekers: The effects of 'hidden coercion'	147
	5.	Closing remarks: Structural incompatibility of delegated coercion	
		with minimum legal guarantees?	150
		with minimum regar guarantees.	1)0
6.	Fr	ontex: Joint Maritime Interdiction of Undifferentiated Flows—	
	0	perationalizing Pre-emptive Controls	153
		Introduction: Institutionalizing 'border security'	153
		Origins, structure, and mission	155
	2.	2.1 The genesis of Frontex	155
		2.2 Mandate and attributions	158
		2.3 Organization, composition, and accountability channels	160
	2		
	٥.	Tasks and powers: Competing or complementary rationales? 3.1 Risk analysis: Anticipating threats (discounting	165
		fundamental rights?)	166
		3.2 Training: Reintroducing fundamental rights?	169
		3.3 Research: Establishing and operating EUROSUR	171
		3.4 Cooperation with third parties: Promoting external collaboration,	
		diffusing responsibilities?	173
		3.4.1 Cooperation with third countries	173
		3.4.2 Cooperation with other EU bodies and international	
		organizations	178
	4.	Joint operations and European Border and Coast Guard Teams	
		(EBCGTs)	180
		4.1 Mission planning	181
		4.2 Deployment	182
		4.3 Conclusion, early termination, and evaluation	185
		4.4 EBCGTs and rapid interventions	186
	5.	Maritime surveillance and protection seekers	188
	,	5.1 Hera	190
		5.2 Hermes	191
		5.3 Nautilus	193
		5.4 Triton and Triton 'Plus'	193
	6	Closing remarks: Pre-emptive interdiction and non-access	1)1
		to refuge	197

PART II. THE RIGHTS OF PROTECTION SEEKERS UNDER EU LAW AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR PRE-BORDER CONTROLS

7.	The Fundamental Rights Acquis: An 'Integrative Approach'	
	to Interpretation—The 'Aggregate Standards' Model	203
	1. Introduction: Tracing the bases of the 'integrative approach'	
	to fundamental rights	203
	2. Human rights as international law	206
	2.1 Human rights as treaty law	207
	2.1.1 Direct effect	208
	2.1.2 Indirect effect	209
	2.2 Human rights treaties and the succession principle	210
	2.3 Human rights as international customary law	212
	2.4 'Substantive borrowing' and 'internal embedment': Transforming	
	human rights into 'fundamental rights' within the EU legal order	214
	3. Fundamental rights as general principles of EU law	217
	3.1 Sources of general principles	218
	3.2 Functions of general principles	222
	4. Fundamental rights in primary law	223
	4.1 Treaty provisions before Lisbon	224
	4.2 Treaty provisions after Lisbon	225
	5. The EU Charter of Fundamental Rights: Origins and evolution	226
	6. Determining the Charter standard of protection: The 'integrative	
	interpretation' method	229
	6.1 The Charter and the ECHR: Call for consistency	230
	6.2 The Charter and the Treaties: Call for uniformity	233
	6.3 The Charter and General Principles: Call for complementarity	234
	6.4 The Charter and domestic traditions: From deference	225
	to 'Europeanization'?	235
	6.5 Limitations: Proportionality and the effectiveness of rights	236
	6.6 Organizing coexisting commitments: The 'aggregate standards' model6.7 Article 53 CFR: Autonomy as isolation?	238 241
	7. Closing remarks: Defining features of the 'integrative approach'	241
	to EU fundamental rights	245
	to DO fundamental rights	245
8.	EU Non-Refoulement: (The Irrelevance of) Territoriality	
٠.	and Pre-Border Controls	247
		21/
	1. Introduction: Extraterritorial borders, refugees,	- /-
	and non-refoulement	247
	2. Non-refoulement under the 1951 Convention on	
	the Status of Refugees	249
	2.1 Personal scope of application	250
	2.2 Material scope of application	251
	2.3 Territorial scope of application	253
	2.3.1 Article 33 of the Refugee Convention	253
	2.3.2 Lessons from international human rights law	259
	2.3.2.1 The Human Rights Committee2.3.2.2 The Committee Against Torture	259 262
	2.3.3 Article 33 of the Refugee Convention in light	202
	of international human rights law	263
		200

	Table of Contents	xix
3.	Non-refoulement under the European Convention on Human Rights	266
0.	3.1 Personal scope of application	268
	3.2 Material scope of application	269
	3.3 Territorial scope of application	272
	3.3.1 The role of the 'territorial clause' and the 'espace juridique'	2,2
	restriction	272
	3.3.2 Jurisdiction as a threshold criterion and extraterritoriality as an	2,2
	exception	273
	3.3.3 Models of extraterritorial jurisdiction: Control over an area	_, _
	or over persons abroad	275
	3.3.3.1 The <i>Al-Skeini</i> taxonomy: The physical model	
	of 'effective control' and the importance	
	of <i>de facto</i> power	276
	3.3.3.2 The <i>Hirsi</i> categorization: The juridical paradigm	
	of 'official authority' and the role of <i>de jure</i> control	280
4.	Non-refoulement under EU Law	281
	4.1 Sources and material content of EU non-refoulement	282
	4.1.1 Non-refoulement in primary law	282
	4.1.1.1 Non-refoulement as part of the right to asylum:	
	Article 18 CFR	282
	4.1.1.2 Non-refoulement and protection from exposure	
	to ill-treatment: Articles 4 and 19(2) CFR	283
	4.1.2 Non-refoulement in secondary law	286
	4.2 Personal scope of application	289
	4.3 Territorial scope of application	289
	4.3.1 Territorial scope of the Charter?	290
	4.3.1.1 Article 51 CFR: 'Implementing EU law'	290
	4.3.1.2 The irrelevance of 'jurisdiction' (and territoriality)	292
	4.3.2 Territorial scope of EU law?	294
	4.3.3 Territorial scope of IBM (secondary law) rules?	296
5.	The implications of <i>non-refoulement</i> for extraterritorial	
	entry controls	298
	5.1 Non-refoulement and visas	298
	5.1.1 Visas and refugees as a matter of diplomatic asylum	298
	5.1.1.1 The <i>Soering</i> standard and State representations abroad	298
	5.1.1.2 Qualifying <i>Soering</i> in the name of PIL	300
	5.1.1.3 Strasbourg's take on the 'diplomatic exception'	205
	and the related 'conflict of obligations' scenario	305
	5.1.1.4 Post-Al-Saadoon developments: The duty of care	307
	5.1.2 Visas and refugees as a matter of access to territorial asylum	308 309
	5.1.2.1 Individual visa refusals	
	5.1.2.2 'Black listing' and general visa requirements	311 313
	5.2 Non-refoulement and refusal of boarding	313
	5.2.1 Carriers as 'entities exercising elements of governmental authority'	313
	5.2.2 Carriers as 'directed or controlled' by States (through ILOs)	316
	5.2.3 A separate requirement of 'jurisdiction'?	318
	5.2.4 Imperfect delegation, asymmetric penalization, and composite	010
	wrongful acts	319
	5.3 Non-refoulement and maritime interdiction	320
	5.3.1 Matters of jurisdiction	320
	5.3.1.1 <i>De jure</i> jurisdiction: The implications of legal	
	competence abroad	320

	5.3.1.2 De facto control: Towards 'cause-and-effect' jurisdiction	322
	5.3.1.3 The situation under EU law: A 'functional' approach	324
	5.3.2 Issues of attribution and responsibility	326
	5.3.2.1 Cooperation through a common organ	327
	5.3.2.2 Cooperation with third countries	329
	5.3.3 The extent of positive obligations at sea	332
	6. Concluding remarks: A functional approach to non-refoulement	333
9.	The EU Right to Asylum: An Individual Entitlement	
	to (Access) International Protection	337
	1. Introduction: Only a right of asylum?	337
	2. Access to asylum and the 1951 Refugee Convention:	
	The aggregate 'right to leave to seek asylum' from persecution	340
		341
	2.1 Refugees and the 'right to flee'2.1.1 The right to leave every country including one's own	341
	2.1.2 Permissible limitations and interdiction measures	343
		010
	2.1.3 The 'opposability' of the right to leave vis-à-vis every	345
	Contracting Party	348
	2.2 The right to leave as a precondition for access to asylum2.2.1 The right to leave and its intersection with other (refugee) rights	348
	2.2.2 Access to international protection under the 1951 Convention	350
	2.2.3 The principle of non-penalization for unauthorized entry and admission to refuge	352
	3. Access to asylum and the European Convention on Human Rights:	2=/
	A right to enter a procedure for determining protection needs	354
	3.1 The (qualified) right to leave in the ECHR	355
	3.2 Permissible limitations and immigration control: A right to leave	257
	but only if pre-authorized?	357
	3.3 Refugees, restrictions on exit/entry and the right to gain access	260
	to asylum procedures	360
	4. Access to asylum under EU Law: A subjective entitlement	
	to (seek and be granted) international protection	365
	4.1 Asylum in Europe: From a right of States to (also) a right of	
	the individual	365
	4.2 The evolution at EU level: Towards Article 18 CFR	368
	4.3 The right to asylum in the Charter of Fundamental Rights	371
	4.3.1 Personal scope of application	373
	4.3.2 Material scope of application	375
	4.3.2.1 The right to be granted territorial protection	377
	4.3.2.2 The right to access an asylum procedure	379
	4.3.3 Territorial scope of application	380
	5. The implications of the right to asylum for extraterritorial	
	entry controls	384
	5.1 Pre-border regulations and Article 18 CFR: The status quo	385
	5.2 Compatibility check: Proportionality requirements of the	
	fundamental rights acquis	387
	5.3 What about entry? An (implicit) right to gain effective access	
	to (territorial) asylum	389
	5.4 Links to non-refoulement: The right to (leave to seek) asylum as	
	an absolute entitlement	391
	6. Concluding remarks: An EU 'right to flee'	393
	0	

10.	Re	emedies, Procedural Guarantees (and the Unavoidability	
		Admission to Territory)	395
	1.	Introduction: The effectiveness of rights	395
		Remedies under the 1951 Convention on the Status of Refugees	396
		2.1 Procedural guarantees implicit in Article 33(1) CSR51	396
		2.2 The meaning of 'access to courts' in Article 16 CSR51	400
		2.3 Human rights parallels: Due process under Article 14(1) ICCPR2.4 Implicit procedural safeguards against <i>refoulement</i>: Articles 7	403
		ICCPR and 3 CAT	405
		2.5 Appeal rights: Remedies against negative decisions at first instance	406
		2.6 Suspensive effect as <i>sine qua non</i> of effective remedies	407
	3.	Remedies under the European Convention on Human Rights	409
		3.1 The right to a fair trial: Article 6 ECHR	410
		3.1.1 The determination of 'civil rights and obligations'	410
		3.1.2 Access to court as the foremost guarantee: The right to institute	/11
		proceedings	411
		3.1.3 Ancillary safeguards: Ensuring the fairness of procedures	413 413
		3.1.3.1 Equality of arms and adversarial proceedings	414
		3.1.3.2 Public hearing in one's presence3.1.3.3 The duty of proper examination and to deliver	414
		reasoned decisions	415
		3.1.3.4 Institutional guarantees: The right to an	11)
		'independent and impartial tribunal'	416
		3.1.4 Appeal rights	417
		3.1.5 The exclusion of immigration proceedings from the ambit	
		of Article 6 ECHR	417
		3.2 Procedural safeguards in immigration proceedings: Article 13 ECHR	418
		3.2.1 Key features of an 'effective remedy': Reintroducing fair trial guarantees?	418
		3.2.2 Material scope of Article 13 ECHR: The notion of	
		'arguable claim'	419
		3.2.3 Article 3 together with Article 13 ECHR: Positive duties	
		ensuing from non-refoulement	420
		3.2.3.1 Ex antelex post investigation duties	421
		3.2.3.2 A preventative approach: Obligation to instate dedicated	
		(and accessible) procedures	421
		3.2.3.3 Independent, individual, and rigorous scrutiny	(22
		of complaints	423
		3.2.3.4 Ancillary safeguards: Guaranteeing the exercisability of remedies	423
			423
		3.2.3.5 Evidentiary rules: Burden and level of proof 3.2.3.6 Appeal rights	427
		3.2.3.7 (Automatic) suspensive effect	428
	4	Remedies under EU Law	429
	т.	4.1 National procedural autonomy: Equivalence, effectiveness, and	12)
		the 'no-new-remedies' rule	430
		4.2 The principle of effective judicial protection	433
		4.2.1 National procedural requirements v. the effectiveness of EU rights	433
		4.2.2 Judicial review of administrative action by	
		a 'competent court'	435
		4.2.3 The 'exhaustive examination of all facts and circumstances'	
		and interim relief	436

	4.3 The invokability of judicial protection as an individual right:	
	Article 47 CFR	
	4.3.1 The input of Article 13 ECHR	
	4.3.2 The contribution of Article 6(1) ECHR	
	4.3.3 Appeals and suspensive effect	
	4.4 Overlapping protection: Article 41 CFR and the right to good	
	administration	
	4.4.1 The right to be heard	
	4.4.2 Access to one's file	
	4.4.3 The duty to provide reasons	
5.	The implications of the right to judicial protection	
	for extraterritorial entry controls	
	5.1 The (Un-)importance of harmonized (international protection)	
	procedures in the context of pre-border controls	
	5.2 The limits of national procedural autonomy when protection seekers	
	are involved	
	5.3 The (inadequate) approach of the EU legislator	
	5.3.1 Procedural guarantees inscribed in Frontex legislation	
	5.3.2 Procedural safeguards provided in Schengen visa regulations	
	5.3.3 Procedural protections regarding ILOs' and carriers'	
	interventions	
6.	Concluding remarks: The inescapability of granting access	
	to territory	
_		
Concl	usions: Taking EU Protection Seeker Rights Seriously	
1.	The Sein	
	1.1 The starting point: An open area of freedom security and justice	
	1.2 The pre-eminence of security and the projection of the border abroad	
	1.3 The ambiguous regulation of protection-based entry (and pre-entry)	
	1.4 The 'irregularization' of forced movement (towards the EU)	
	1.5 The impact of IBM measures on protection seekers	
	1.5.1 Schengen visas	
	1.5.2 Carrier sanctions and ILOs	
	1.5.3 Maritime interdiction	
2.	The Sollen	
	2.1 The 'integrative interpretation' approach	
	2.2 Member States' (non-absolute) powers of border	
	and migration control	
	2.3 The irrelevance of territory: Jurisdiction, attribution, and the <i>Fransson</i>	
	paradigm	
	2.4 EU Non-refoulement	
	2.5 The right to (leave to seek) asylum in the CFR: The EU 'right to flee'	
	2.6 Effective judicial protection as an individual right	
3	The options left: Adapt or abandon	
٦.	The options left. Adapt of abandon	
	Bibliography	
Index		