

Table of Contents

<i>About the Book</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxix
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xxxix
<i>Selected Abbreviations</i>	xxxiii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Our Current Territoriality-Focused Paradigm	4
1.2 The Need for Broad Reform	5
1.3 Fundamental Assumptions	7
2. The Tyranny of Territoriality	13
2.1 The Influence of Colliding Steamships	15
2.1.1 The Majority Opinion	16
2.1.2 Dissenting Opinions	20
2.1.3 Concluding Observations as to <i>Lotus</i>	22
2.2 The Influence of the 'Harvard Draft'	24
2.2.1 What the 'Harvard Draft' Set Out to Do	26
2.2.2 What the 'Harvard Draft' Actually Did	26
2.3 The Problems with Territoriality	29
2.3.1 The Territoriality Principle Cannot Alone Be the Jurisprudential Core of Jurisdiction	30
2.3.2 A Strict Application of Territoriality is Destructive	33
2.3.3 State Practice Has Already Moved Beyond Territoriality, Territorial Sovereignty, and the 'Harvard Draft' Principles	34
2.3.4 Territorial versus Extraterritorial	40
2.3.5 Territoriality as a Component of a Dysfunctional System	46
2.3.6 Not Just an Online Issue	52
2.4 Concluding Remarks Regarding Territoriality	53
3. A New Jurisprudential Framework for Jurisdiction	57
3.1 The Method: From Proxy Principles to Core Principles	57
3.2 The New Paradigm	59
3.2.1 Substantial Connection	62
3.2.2 Legitimate Interest	64

3.2.3 Interest Balancing	65
3.3 The Role of the New Framework	71
3.4 Pre-empting (Some of) the Potential Objections	75
3.5 Merging Public and Private International Law	84
3.6 Concluding Remarks Regarding the Proposed Framework	88
4. A Very Brief History of Internet Jurisdiction	91
4.1 In the Beginning There Was Nothing (pre-1991)	91
4.2 The Wild Wild Web (1991–99)	92
4.3 The First Phase of Over-regulation (2000–09)	96
4.4 A Degree of Under-regulation (2010–14)	100
4.5 An Era of Hyper-regulation (2015–?)	105
4.6 Concluding Remarks as to the History of Internet Jurisdiction	111
5. Jurisdictional Interoperability—the Path Forward (for Now)	113
5.1 Jurisdictional Interoperability as a Part of Legal Interoperability	117
5.2 Features of Jurisdictional Interoperability	119
5.3 Concluding Remarks Regarding Jurisdictional Interoperability	120
6. Understanding the Functions of Jurisdictional Law	123
6.1 What Is Law?	125
6.2 Law, Facts, and Interest Balancing—the ‘Tools of the Trade’	126
6.3 The Triple Role of Law	129
6.4 Bite versus Bark Jurisdiction	132
6.4.1 When is Bark Jurisdiction Appropriate?	137
6.4.2 Bark Jurisdiction and the Right to an Effective Remedy	139
6.5 Market Sovereignty Based on Market Destroying Measures	141
6.5.1 Can Market Destroying Measures Delineate Market Sovereignty?	144
6.5.2 When is the Exercise of Market Sovereignty Appropriate?	147
6.6 Concluding Remarks Regarding Functions of Jurisdictional Law	148
7. The Vagueness of the Law and the Importance of its Interpretation	151
7.1 Why Interpretations Vary	152
7.2 Principles to Govern Interpretation	153
7.3 A Common, and Particularly Unhelpful, Approach to Interpretation	156
7.4 Concluding Remarks Regarding Interpretation	157

8. The Impact of our Categorisation of Types of Jurisdiction	159
8.1 Investigative Jurisdiction	165
8.2 Jurisdiction over the Matter versus Jurisdiction over the Data	168
8.3 Concluding Remarks Regarding the Impact of our Categorisation of Types of Jurisdiction	169
9. Scope of (Remedial) Jurisdiction	171
9.1 An Important Battleground	173
9.1.1 The Aftermath of <i>Google Spain</i> (<i>González</i>)	174
9.1.2 <i>Google Canada</i> (<i>Equustek</i>)	178
9.2 A Coherent Framework for Scope of (Remedial) Jurisdiction	180
9.2.1 The Strength of the Connection to the Forum	181
9.2.2 The Connection between the Party and the Dispute	184
9.2.3 The Impact on Other Countries and Persons in Other Countries	184
9.2.4 The Type and Effectiveness of the Order Compared to Alternatives	185
9.2.5 The Level of Fault of the Party	187
9.3 Concluding Remarks Regarding Scope of (Remedial) Jurisdiction	188
10. A Layered Approach to Jurisdiction	191
10.1 The Layers	192
10.2 Setting Thresholds for the Layers	194
10.3 A Model Article	197
10.4 Concluding Remarks Regarding the Layered Approach	199
11. The Role of Geo-location Technologies	201
11.1 Briefly about what Geo-location Technologies Are, and Are Not	203
11.1.1 Terminology	204
11.1.2 Accuracy	205
11.2 How Geo-location Technologies May Help Us with Internet Jurisdiction	206
11.3 Misguided Fear of Geo-location Technologies	207
11.4 The Real Threat of Geo-location Technologies, and Why We Still Need Them	210
11.5 Will Courts Allow Geo-location Technologies?	212
11.6 Concluding Remarks—Retiring from the Ranks of the Doomsday Prophets	213

12. A Doctrine of Selective Legal Compliance	215
12.1 To Comply or not to Comply? That Can Never Be the Question	216
12.2 Features of a Doctrine of Selective Legal Compliance	219
12.3 Concluding Remarks Regarding Selective Legal Compliance	223
13. Final Remarks	225
<i>Bibliography</i>	231
<i>Index</i>	243