

# Contents

|                                                                |      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| <i>Acknowledgments</i> .....                                   | v    |
| <i>Table of Cases</i> .....                                    | xiii |
| 1. Introduction: Highest Courts in Flux .....                  | 1    |
| I. The Trend of Judicial Internationalisation .....            | 2    |
| II. Why Do Judges Cite Foreign Law? .....                      | 3    |
| III. Learning from the Views of Judges .....                   | 6    |
| IV. Scope of the Research .....                                | 8    |
| V. Outline of the Book .....                                   | 10   |
| 2. Understanding the Development of Highest Courts' Practices: |      |
| A Constitutional-Theoretical Approach .....                    | 14   |
| I. Constitutional Theory and Legal Evolution .....             | 16   |
| A. Understanding Legal Evolution through                       |      |
| Constitutional Theory .....                                    | 16   |
| B. Concept of 'Constitutional (In-)Flexibility' .....          | 18   |
| II. Procedural Aspects of Legal Evolution .....                | 20   |
| A. Detail of Constitutional Norms .....                        | 20   |
| B. Modalities for Revision of the Constitution .....           | 21   |
| C. Approach of the Interpreter of the Constitution .....       | 24   |
| D. Impact of International Law in the Domestic                 |      |
| Legal System .....                                             | 26   |
| III. Substantive Aspects of Legal Evolution .....              | 28   |
| A. Democratic Justification of Judicial Decisions .....        | 28   |
| (i) Authority of the Consulted Sources .....                   | 29   |
| (ii) Nature of the Judicial Competence .....                   | 31   |
| B. Legal Tradition .....                                       | 32   |
| C. Nature of Cases .....                                       | 34   |
| D. Effectiveness and Efficiency of Judicial                    |      |
| Decision-Making .....                                          | 34   |
| IV. Conclusion .....                                           | 35   |
| 3. Introducing the Comparative and Empirical Analysis .....    | 36   |
| I. Anglo-Saxon Model: A Single Highest Court                   |      |
| (United Kingdom, Canada, United States) .....                  | 36   |
| A. Competences of Review .....                                 | 37   |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                     | 37   |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                             | 37   |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                   | 38   |

|                                                                                                                 |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| B. Composition: Judges and Staff.....                                                                           | 39 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                                                                       | 39 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada.....                                                                               | 40 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                                                                     | 41 |
| C. Caseload .....                                                                                               | 42 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                                                                       | 42 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada.....                                                                               | 43 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                                                                     | 44 |
| II. French Model: Multiple Highest Courts<br>(France, the Netherlands).....                                     | 45 |
| A. Competences of Review .....                                                                                  | 46 |
| (i) Courts of Cassation.....                                                                                    | 46 |
| (ii) Supreme Administrative Courts.....                                                                         | 47 |
| (iii) Conseil Constitutionnel.....                                                                              | 49 |
| B. Composition: Judges and Staff.....                                                                           | 51 |
| (i) Courts of Cassation.....                                                                                    | 51 |
| (ii) Supreme Administrative Courts.....                                                                         | 52 |
| (iii) Conseil Constitutionnel.....                                                                              | 54 |
| C. Caseload .....                                                                                               | 55 |
| (i) Courts of Cassation.....                                                                                    | 55 |
| (ii) Supreme Administrative Courts.....                                                                         | 57 |
| (iii) Conseil Constitutionnel.....                                                                              | 58 |
| III. Comparing the Courts .....                                                                                 | 59 |
| A. Competences of Review .....                                                                                  | 59 |
| B. Composition .....                                                                                            | 61 |
| C. Caseload .....                                                                                               | 61 |
| IV. An Empirical Analysis.....                                                                                  | 62 |
| A. Interviewed Judges.....                                                                                      | 62 |
| B. The Interviews .....                                                                                         | 64 |
| C. Other Sources: Case Law, Speeches and<br>Articles.....                                                       | 66 |
| V. Conclusion .....                                                                                             | 67 |
| 4. Incorporating the Transnational: Judicial Roles, Relations<br>and Working Methods in a Globalised World..... | 68 |
| I. Judicial Roles in a Globalised World.....                                                                    | 69 |
| A. Guardian of the Law.....                                                                                     | 69 |
| (i) Ensuring the Uniform Application of the<br>Law .....                                                        | 69 |
| (ii) Ensuring the Protection of Fundamental<br>Rights.....                                                      | 76 |
| B. A Developer of the Law.....                                                                                  | 78 |
| (i) Judicial Authority and Autonomy<br>in a Globalised World.....                                               | 78 |

|                                                                            |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| (ii) Horizontal Dialogue: Leadership amongst<br>One's Peers .....          | 80  |
| (iii) Vertical Dialogue: Leadership vis-à-vis the<br>European Courts ..... | 80  |
| C. Conclusion .....                                                        | 82  |
| II. International Relations of the Highest Courts .....                    | 83  |
| A. Judicial Networks and Exchanges .....                                   | 83  |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                                 | 84  |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                                         | 85  |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                               | 88  |
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                                           | 90  |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                                             | 95  |
| B. Individual Views Concerning Globalisation .....                         | 98  |
| (i) Involvement in Debate About Globalisation .....                        | 99  |
| (ii) Influence of 'Globalist' and 'Localist'<br>Mind-Sets of Judges .....  | 102 |
| (iii) Judicial Politics .....                                              | 106 |
| (iv) Personal Background .....                                             | 107 |
| C. National Judges in International Courts .....                           | 109 |
| D. Conclusion .....                                                        | 112 |
| III. Working Methods in a Globalised World .....                           | 113 |
| A. Internal Research of International and<br>Comparative Law .....         | 114 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                                 | 114 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                                         | 115 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                               | 116 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                                           | 116 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                                             | 117 |
| B. Role of Counsel, <i>Amici Curiae</i> and Intervenors .....              | 120 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                                 | 120 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                                         | 121 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                               | 122 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                                           | 123 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                                             | 123 |
| C. Deliberations .....                                                     | 124 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                                 | 124 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                                         | 125 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                               | 126 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                                           | 127 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                                             | 128 |
| D. Published Judgments .....                                               | 129 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court .....                                                 | 129 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                                         | 130 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                               | 131 |

|                                                             |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                            | 132 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                              | 135 |
| E. Conclusion.....                                          | 136 |
| IV. Conclusion .....                                        | 137 |
| 5. The Use of Foreign Law in Judicial Decision-Making ..... | 139 |
| I. Status of Foreign Law .....                              | 140 |
| A. Impact of International Law .....                        | 141 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                   | 141 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                          | 144 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court .....                                | 145 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts .....                            | 146 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts.....                               | 148 |
| B. Role of Comparative Law .....                            | 150 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                   | 150 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                          | 151 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                 | 154 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts.....                             | 158 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts.....                               | 160 |
| C. Conclusion .....                                         | 161 |
| II. Use of Foreign Law: Examples from Case Law.....         | 163 |
| A. Use of International Law .....                           | 163 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                   | 163 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                          | 166 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                 | 169 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts.....                             | 171 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts.....                               | 173 |
| B. Use of Comparative Law .....                             | 178 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                   | 178 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                          | 181 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                 | 187 |
| (iv) French Highest Courts.....                             | 190 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                              | 194 |
| C. Conclusion .....                                         | 197 |
| III. Justification of Developed Practices .....             | 198 |
| A. Judicial Argumentation and the Style of Judgments.....   | 198 |
| (i) Citation of Foreign Legal Materials.....                | 199 |
| (ii) Why Judges Cite Foreign Law .....                      | 200 |
| (iii) Methodological Challenges.....                        | 203 |
| B. Selection of Comparative Legal Materials:                |     |
| Tradition, Language and Prestige .....                      | 206 |
| (i) UK Supreme Court.....                                   | 206 |
| (ii) Supreme Court of Canada .....                          | 206 |
| (iii) US Supreme Court.....                                 | 209 |

|                                                                                                                                                    |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| (iv) French Highest Courts.....                                                                                                                    | 211 |
| (v) Dutch Highest Courts .....                                                                                                                     | 212 |
| C. Conclusion.....                                                                                                                                 | 213 |
| IV. Conclusion .....                                                                                                                               | 213 |
| 6. Conclusion: Assessing the Development of Highest Courts’<br>Practices .....                                                                     | 217 |
| I. Constitutional (In-)Flexibility in Action: Procedural<br>and Substantive Explanations for the Development<br>of Highest Courts’ Practices ..... | 217 |
| A. Procedural Explanations .....                                                                                                                   | 218 |
| (i) Accommodating Change Through Constitutional<br>Norms .....                                                                                     | 218 |
| (ii) Accommodating Change Through Constitutional<br>Interpretation .....                                                                           | 223 |
| B. Substantive Explanations .....                                                                                                                  | 227 |
| (i) Democratic Justification of Judicial Decisions .....                                                                                           | 227 |
| (ii) Legal Tradition and the Nature of Cases:<br>Contextual Factors for the Use of Foreign<br>Law .....                                            | 230 |
| (iii) Effectiveness and Efficiency of Judicial<br>Decision-Making .....                                                                            | 232 |
| C. Conclusion.....                                                                                                                                 | 233 |
| II. Epilogue: The Future of Judicial Internationalisation.....                                                                                     | 234 |
| A. Judges’ View of the Future.....                                                                                                                 | 234 |
| B. Possible Scenarios for the Future.....                                                                                                          | 237 |
| <i>Annex: Interview Design</i> .....                                                                                                               | 240 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> .....                                                                                                                          | 243 |
| <i>Index</i> .....                                                                                                                                 | 257 |