

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xli

Towards a More Comprehensive Approach in International Investment Law	1
<i>Steffen Hindelang and Markus Krajewski</i>	

PART I

I. Investment Protection and Sustainable Development: Key Issues	19
<i>Giorgio Sacerdoti</i>	
I. Introduction: The Role of Foreign Direct Investment in Promoting Development	19
II. The Concept of 'Sustainable Development': Economic Background	23
III. Content and Legal Definitions of 'Sustainable Development'	25
IV. Foreign Investment: International Protection and Regulation	28
V. The Evolution of BITs: From Just Protecting Investors to Safeguarding also the Rights of Host States to pursue their General Interest	32
VI. The International Protection of Foreign Investment and Sustainable Development: Interference and Support	37
II. Negotiating New Generation International Investment Agreements: New Sustainable Development Oriented Initiatives	41
<i>Peter Muchlinski</i>	
I. Introduction	41
II. Sustainable Development and IIA Reform	43
III. Treaty Design and Sustainable Development	46
a) Preamble	46
b) Pre-establishment rights	47
IV. Resolving Controversial Interpretations of Existing Provisions	48
a) Fair and equitable treatment (FET)	48
b) Regulatory takings	50

c) Most-favoured-nation (MFN) treatment	53
d) National treatment	54
e) Scope and definition	54
V. The Inclusion of New Sustainable Development Oriented Provisions	56
a) General exceptions	56
b) Investor responsibilities	57
c) State obligations and institutional structures	59
d) Special and differential treatment provisions	60
e) Sustainability assessment	60
VI. Reform of Investor-State Dispute Settlement	61
VII. Concluding Remarks	63

PART II

Section 1

III. Revising Treatment Standards—Fair and Equitable Treatment in Light of Sustainable Development	65
<i>Roland Kläger</i>	
I. Introduction	65
II. What is Fair and Equitable Treatment and What are the Problems?	65
III. Policy Options in the IPFSD	68
a) Unqualified commitment of fair and equitable treatment	68
b) Reference to international law/minimum standard	70
c) Exhaustive list of fair and equitable treatment obligations	74
d) Interpretative guidance to arbitral tribunals	75
e) Omit fair and equitable treatment clause	77
IV. Conclusion	79
IV. Expropriation in the Light of the UNCTAD Investment Policy Framework for Sustainable Development	81
<i>Lukas Stifter and August Reinisch</i>	
I. Introduction	81
II. The Role of Sustainable Development in the Context of Expropriation	84
a) Pre-modern (indirect) expropriation clauses	85
aa) The sole effects doctrine	86
bb) The police powers doctrine	88
b) Modern expropriation clauses	90
III. Conclusion	96

Section 2

V. Investment Arbitration: Learning from Experience	97
<i>Jonathan Ketcheson</i>	
I. Introduction	97

II.	ISDS and Sustainable Development	98
III.	Should there be Adjudication of Investment Disputes?	101
IV.	Is Arbitration Ill Suited for Investment Disputes?	104
V.	The Need for Transparency and Public Participation	110
VI.	The Need for an Appellate Body?	115
VII.	A Reintroduction of the Local Remedies Rule?	121
VIII.	Conclusion	126
VI.	The European Commission and UNCTAD Reform Agendas: Do They Ensure Independence, Openness, and Fairness in Investor-State Arbitration?	128
	<i>Gus Van Harten</i>	
I.	Introduction	128
II.	The Approaches to Reform	130
III.	Independence and Impartiality	131
IV.	Openness	136
V.	Procedural Fairness	139
VI.	Conclusion	140
	Section 3	
VII.	Sustainable Development Provisions in International Trade Treaties: What Lessons for International Investment Agreements?	142
	<i>J Anthony VanDuzer</i>	
I.	Introduction	142
II.	Sustainable Development	144
III.	Survey of Sustainable Development Provisions in International Trade and Investment Treaties Related to Labour Standards and the Environment	145
	a) A taxonomy of sustainable development provisions—focusing on environmental protection and labour rights	145
	b) Environmental and labour rights protection provisions in PTIAs and BITs	147
IV.	Summary of Survey of Labour Rights and Environmental Protection Provisions in PTIAs and BITs	171
V.	Factors Affecting the Likelihood that PTIA Provisions Regarding Labour Rights and Environmental Protection will be Adopted in BITs	172
	a) Introduction	172
	b) Factors encouraging the adoption of labour rights and environmental protection provisions in BITs	172
	c) Factors discouraging the adoption of labour rights and environmental protection provisions in BITs	175
VI.	Conclusion	175

PART III

VIII. Reconciling Investment Protection and Sustainable Development: A Plea for an Interpretative U-Turn	177
<i>Katharina Berner</i>	
I. Introduction	177
II. The Vienna Rules as a Gateway for Sustainable Development	178
a) Applicability of the Vienna rules	179
b) Meaning and legal status of sustainable development	181
c) The Vienna rules' potential to reconcile investment protection and sustainable development concerns	183
aa) Interpretation and conflicts of norms	183
bb) The Vienna rules and international investment agreements	185
III. Putting Theory into Practice: A Critical Review of Arbitral Jurisprudence	188
a) No single combined operation for sustainable development	189
b) Inadequate consideration of contextual arguments	195
c) The prominent role of de facto precedents	200
IV. Conclusion	202
IX. Investment Protection and Sustainable Development: What Role for the Law of State Responsibility?	204
<i>Helmut Philipp Aust</i>	
I. Remedies and Regulatory Space: The UNCTAD Suggestions for Sustainable Development	204
II. Legal Consequences in General International Law	207
III. Legal Consequences of Treaty Breaches in International Investment Law	210
a) Applicability of the ASR remedies	210
b) The choice between restitution and compensation in the practice of investment arbitration	213
c) Analysis	216
IV. Rethinking the Role of State Responsibility in the Context of Investment Protection and Sustainable Development	220
a) Restitution—an undue infringement of State sovereignty?	220
b) State responsibility as a set of secondary rules	222
c) State sovereignty and the development of international law	224
V. Conclusion	225
X. Termination and Renegotiation of International Investment Agreements	227
<i>Karsten Nowrot</i>	
I. Introduction	227
II. Reasons for the Current Importance of Termination and Renegotiation of Investment Agreements	229
a) Current shift from 'second generation' to 'third generation' investment agreements	230

b) The rise of regionalism in international investment treaty-making	235
III. 'Termination without Renegotiation': Legal Issues Arising from the Denunciation of Investment Agreements	238
a) Investment treaty provisions stipulating requirements of a lawful termination	239
b) 'Survival clauses': treaty provisions addressing the consequences arising from denunciations	241
IV. 'Termination as a Result of Renegotiation': Normative Implications of Investment Treaty Termination by Mutual Consent	245
a) Mutually agreed terminations in accordance with the relevant treaty provisions	245
b) Terminations by mutual consent in disregard of applicable treaty provisions	247
aa) Doctrinal and practical relevance	247
bb) A closer look at Article 54 (b) VCLT and its normative limitations	249
cc) 'Starting all over again': on the past and present scope of application of termination clauses	254
V. 'Renegotiation without (simultaneous) Termination': Treaty Amendments and Suspensions in Light of Termination Clauses	260
a) 'Ordinary' treaty amendments and authoritative interpretations	260
b) Treaty practice aimed at 'circumventing' termination clauses	263
VI. Conclusion	264

PART IV

XI. The Emergence of a New Approach to Investment Protection in South Africa	266
<i>Sean Woolfrey</i>	
I. Introduction	266
II. South Africa and BITs	267
III. South Africa Faces International Investment Arbitration under its BITs	270
IV. South Africa Reviews its BIT Policy Framework	272
a) The main findings of the Review	272
b) The 2010 Cabinet Decision	276
V. The Emergence of a New Approach to Investment Protection in South Africa	276
VI. The Draft Promotion and Protection of Investment Bill	278
a) Interpretation	278
b) Security of investment	279
c) Expropriation	280
d) Dispute settlement	282
e) Sovereign right to regulate in the public interest	282

VII.	A South African Model BIT	283
VIII.	A Dual Investment Protection Regime?	285
IX.	Conclusion	286
	a) Balancing investment protection and sustainable development in South Africa	287
	b) What does replacing BITs with the PPI Bill mean?	287
	c) A dual approach to investment protection	289
	d) South Africa and the shifting paradigm in international investment law	289
XII.	Reliance on Alternative Methods for Investment Protection through National Laws, Investment Contracts, and Regional Institutions in Latin America	291
	<i>María José Luque Macías</i>	
I.	Introduction	291
II.	Recent Developments in Investment Treaty and Contractual Practice in Latin America	292
III.	Investment Contracts and National Laws as Legal Means for the Protection and Regulation of Foreign Investment in Latin America	295
	a) Investment laws and dispute settlement through arbitration	295
	b) Stabilization clauses	298
	c) Addressing non-economic concerns	300
	d) The future of IIAs	302
IV.	Regional Initiatives in Latin America for Challenging the Status Quo of ISDS	303
	a) The UNASUR Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes	304
	aa) Background	304
	bb) Structure and basic principles	306
	cc) Potential scope of jurisdiction	308
	dd) Relationship between inter-State and investor-state disputes	310
	ee) Outlook	312
	b) Observatory on Transnational Corporations	313
V.	Conclusion	314
XIII.	Jumping Back and Forth between Domestic Courts and ISDS: Mixed Signals from the Asia-Pacific Region	316
	<i>Leon E. Trakman and Kunal Sharma</i>	
I.	Introduction	316
II.	The Practical Value of ISDS	318
III.	Domestic Policies—Australia and the Asia Pacific	320
	a) Australian Labor Party's 2011 trade policy	320
	b) The Coalition Government's current policy	322
	c) Australia's regional investment interests	323
	d) Australia not alone—Indonesia's apparent aversion to ISDS	327
	e) Regional concerns—the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement	328
IV.	ISDS or Domestic Courts	330

V.	The Future of ISDS for Australia	334
VI.	Conclusion	336
XIV.	The 'Generalization' of International Investment Law in Constitutional Perspective	339
	<i>Peter-Tobias Stoll and Till Patrik Holterhus</i>	
I.	Introduction	339
II.	From Splendid Isolation to Centre Stage	340
	a) Splendid isolation and reform	340
	b) Interlinkages to other areas of international economic law and general international law	340
	c) Recent experiences of the application of international investment law	341
	d) Generalization: CETA, TTIP, and others	342
III.	A 'Constitutional' View	343
IV.	Aspects of Sovereignty, Democracy, and Legitimation	345
V.	Review of the Exercise of Public Authority— by an Arbitral Body?	346
	a) The Autonomy of the EU Legal Order	346
	b) The Arbitration Procedure	347
VI.	A Fast Track to Compensation?	349
VII.	'Reverse discrimination' as an Aspect of Non-discrimination	351
VIII.	International Constitutional Dimensions	353
	a) Investors' rights as a substitute for a human right to property—or the other way round?	353
	b) A proper role for the individual in an international economic law for the global marketplace	355
IX.	Outlook	356
XV.	The Contribution of EU Trade Agreements to the Development of International Investment Law	357
	<i>Frank Hoffmeister</i>	
I.	Introduction	357
II.	The Concept of Sustainable Development in EU Agreements	359
	a) Definition of sustainable development	359
	aa) UNCTAD Report 2012	359
	bb) The Brussels Consensus	360
	b) The EU sustainable development chapter	361
	aa) Free Trade Agreements (FTAs)	361
	bb) Investment Protection Agreements	363
	c) Further EU reforms	365
	aa) Fair and equitable treatment	366
	bb) Expropriation	367
III.	Importance of International Law	369

	371
IV. Enforcement	372
a) Mediation	373
b) Domestic Remedies	375
c) Improved Enforcement Mechanism	375
V. Conclusion	
Conclusion and Outlook:	
Whither International Investment Law?	377
<i>Steffen Hindelang and Markus Krajewski</i>	377
I. Where Do We Stand?	379
a) More balanced	382
b) More diversified	384
c) Less isolated?	386
II. Where Do We Go from Here?	386
a) The protection of the State's ability to regulate	387
b) Reform of the dispute settlement system	
c) Relationship between investment protection	389
and domestic legal remedies	
	393
<i>Bibliography</i>	435
<i>Index</i>	