

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	xv
<i>Table of cases</i>	xvii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxvii
 OPENING REMARKS	 1
1 A Relatively New Debate in the Maritime Field	1
1.1 The Wrong Assumptions	1
1.2 New Developments	1
1.2.1 The Operation of the Shipping Industry Revisited	1
1.2.2 The Idea of the "General Average" in Insolvency Proceedings	3
1.2.3 Emphasis on the Rescue of the Debtor	5
1.2.3.1 Pre-Insolvency Proceedings	5
1.2.3.2 Insolvency Proceedings	6
2 Subject, Methodology and Structure of the Present Study	7
2.1 Subject Covered	7
2.2 Methodology	8
2.3 Structure	10
2.4 Terminology	11
 PART I SYSTEMIC CONFLICT BETWEEN THE RULES OF MARITIME AND INSOLVENCY LAW	
 CHAPTER 1 INSOLVENCY MECHANISMS AND THE OPERATION OF SHIPPING COMPANIES: FINANCIAL ASPECTS	 15
1 Shipping Dependence on Bank Loans	15
1.1 Evolving Bank Lending Practices	15
1.1.1 Features	15
1.1.1.1 Macro Features of the Industry Seeking for Finance	15
(i) Capital Intensity	15
(ii) Market Cycles	16
1.1.1.2 Micro Characteristics of Borrowers	18
1.1.1.3 Characteristics of Lenders, With Particular Reference to Syndicated Loans	19
1.1.1.4 Features of the Loan Relationship	21

CONTENTS

1.1.2	The Ship as the Main Security	23
1.1.2.1	Securities In Rem Against a Ship	23
(i)	Ship Mortgage	23
(a)	Function	23
(b)	Weaknesses	25
(ii)	Ownership-Based Collaterals	26
(a)	Ownership as a Form of Security in Shipping Finance	26
(b)	Transfer of Ownership as Security for a Debt	27
1	The Statutory Example of the Greek CPML	27
2	Lease-Back	28
(c)	Lease Finance with Bareboat Charter	29
1.1.2.2	Additional Collaterals	32
(i)	Other Forms of Real Security	32
(ii)	Collaterals in Personam	33
1.2	Limited Recourse to Other Sources of Funding	34
1.2.1	Raising Equity on Public Markets	34
1.2.2	Risk Management through Freight Derivative Products	36
1.2.2.1	The Economic Importance of Freight Derivatives	36
1.2.2.2	Freight Futures/Forward Contracts	38
1.2.2.3	Freight Options	39
2	The Relationship Between Finance and Insolvency in the Shipping Sector	40
2.1	Finance as the Cause of Insolvency	40
2.1.1	Distortion in the Financing of New Capacity	40
2.1.2	Distortion in the Conditions of Bank Lending	42
2.2	Insolvency Mechanisms at the Service of Finance	46
2.2.1	At the Service of Finance in General	46
2.2.2	At the Service of Ship Finance in Particular	48
2.2.2.1	Preventive Mechanisms	48
(i)	Creditworthiness Criteria	48
(ii)	Creditworthiness Control or "Negative Pledge" Clauses	49
2.2.2.2	Access to Funding	52
(i)	Tighter Loan Terms and Securitization	52
(ii)	Private Placement	53
(iii)	Assignment of Shipping Loans	54
CHAPTER 2 LEGAL INTERACTION BETWEEN INSOLVENCY AND MARITIME LAW		55
1	The Progressive Internationalization of Insolvency Law	55
1.1	Territoriality v Universalism	55
1.1.1	The Theory of Territoriality and Plurality	55
1.1.2	The Theory of Universalism and Unity	57
1.1.3	Modified Universalism as a Legislative Choice	58
1.2	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency	59
1.2.1	Structural Features	59

CONTENTS

1.2.2	Right of Access	61
1.2.2.1	Of Foreign Representatives	61
1.2.2.2	Of Foreign Creditors	63
1.2.3	Recognition of Foreign Proceedings	64
1.2.3.1	Criteria for Recognition	64
	(i) “Main” and “Non-Main” Proceedings	64
	(ii) The Public Policy Reservation	66
1.2.3.2	Effects of Recognition	67
	(i) Provisional Relief Upon Application for Recognition	67
	(ii) Automatic Effects of the Recognition of a Foreign Main Proceeding	68
	(iii) Discretionary Effects Upon Recognition	69
	(iv) Safety Valves	70
1.2.4	Coordination of Proceedings	71
1.2.4.1	Cooperation Between Courts and Representatives	71
1.2.4.2	Coordination of Concurrent Proceedings	72
1.2.5	The United States Enactment: Chapter 15	74
1.3	Regulation 2015/848 on Insolvency Proceedings	76
1.3.1	Basic Differences Between an UNCITRAL and a European Cross-Border Insolvency	77
1.3.1.1	Scope of Application	77
1.3.1.2	Regulatory Content	79
1.3.2	Jurisdiction to Open Insolvency Proceedings: EU Autonomous Criteria	79
1.3.3	Consequences	82
1.3.3.1	Automatic and Immediate Recognition	82
	(i) Extension of the Effects of Main Proceedings	82
	(ii) Fractured Universalism and Territorial Proceedings	84
	(iii) Coordination	86
1.3.3.2	Uniform Conflict-of-Laws Rules	87
2	Systemic Divergences and Coordination Efforts	88
2.1	Hierarchy of Rules	88
2.1.1	International, But Not Similar Regimes	88
2.1.1.1	Evolving Nature of Cross-Border Insolvency Law	89
2.1.1.2	Qualitative Characteristics of International Maritime Law	91
	(i) Substantive Uniformity	91
	(ii) A High Level of Maturity	92
	(iii) Potential Interaction of Multiple Regimes	94
2.1.2	Coexistence of EU Insolvency Law and International Maritime Conventions	95
2.1.2.1	The Principle of the Pre-Eminence of EU Law	95
2.1.2.2	Self-Sufficiency of Maritime Conventions Under the Case-Law of the CJEU	99

CONTENTS

2.2	Mitigating Substantive Divergences: Proposed Guidelines	102
2.2.1	Maritime Claims v Insolvency Claims	102
2.2.1.1	The “Maritime Claim” Lacks Definition	102
(i)	Common Minimum Characteristics	102
(ii)	Diversity of Maritime Claims	104
(iii)	The First Guideline	106
2.2.1.2	Substantive Protection of Maritime Claims	106
(i)	Preferred Maritime Creditors	106
(ii)	The Substantive Attachment of the Claim to the Ship: Maritime Liens	108
(a)	From the Perspective of Civil Law Systems	108
(b)	From the Perspective of English Law	110
(iii)	Safeguarding Maritime Security Mechanisms Within Insolvency Procedures	111
(iv)	Second Guideline	112
2.2.2	Universality of Insolvency Estate v Specificity of Maritime Property	112
2.2.2.1	Procedural Mechanisms Connecting the Vessel to the Maritime Claim	112
(i)	Arrest Under the 1952 Convention	113
(ii)	Actions In Rem and the Personification of the Ship	114
(a)	Under the English Version	114
(b)	Under the US Version	116
(iii)	Treatment of Specific Maritime Procedures in the Context of Cross-Border Insolvencies	117
(iv)	Third Guideline	120
2.2.2.2	Setting up a Special Purpose Property for Maritime Claims Subject to Limitation of Liability	120
(i)	Exclusive Purpose of the Limitation Fund	120
(a)	Fragmentation of the International Regime	120
(b)	Common Characteristics	121
1	Legal Nature: Assets Belonging to the Debtor	121
2	Exclusive Use for Specific Maritime Creditors	123
(ii)	The “Immunity” of Limitation Funds	125
(a)	Immunity as an Outcome of Interpretation	125
(b)	Immunity as a <i>De Lege Ferenda</i> Demand	127
(iii)	The Fourth Guideline	128

PART II AREAS OF CONFLICT BETWEEN MARITIME AND INSOLVENCY LAW

CHAPTER 3	OPENING OF CROSS-BORDER INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS	131
1	Determining the Centre of Main Interests of Shipping Companies	131

CONTENTS

1.1	The COMI as the Connecting Factor	131
1.1.1	The COMI of Legal Persons	131
1.1.1.1	Constitutive Elements	131
	(i) The Function of the COMI under the Insolvency Regulation and the UNCITRAL ML	131
	(ii) Main Interests	132
	(iii) Administration on a Regular Basis	133
	(iv) Objective Ascertainability by Third Parties	134
1.1.1.2	The Presumption of the Registered Office	135
	(i) The Function of the Presumption	135
	(ii) Rebuttal of the Presumption	136
	(iii) The Application of the Presumption Under US Chapter 15	138
1.1.2	The COMI of Groups of Companies	141
1.1.2.1	The Principle: Separate Insolvency Proceedings for Each Legal Entity	141
1.1.2.2	The Exception: One COMI for Integrated Groups of Companies	144
1.1.2.3	The Light Form of Procedural Cooperation	145
1.1.2.4	Group Coordination Proceedings (GCP)	147
1.1.2.5	The Jurisdictional Connection of the “Establishment” in the Context of Group Insolvencies	148
1.2	The COMI of Shipping Companies	151
1.2.1	Choice of the Cross-Border Insolvency Regime	151
1.2.1.1	Selection Criteria: Cross-Border Elements	151
1.2.1.2	Inclusion of Shipping Investment Companies in the Material Scope of the Regulation	153
1.2.2	Corporate Shipping Structure as a Nexus of Cross-Border Elements: The Greek Paradigm	154
1.2.2.1	EU Shipping Companies with Access to the Ship Registries of Other Member States	155
1.2.2.2	Foreign Shipowning/Ship-Management Companies and Ships Registered as Foreign Capital	157
1.2.2.3	Foreign Shipowning/Ship-Management Companies with Ships Flying a Foreign Flag	158
1.2.2.4	Crucial Connecting Factors in Determining the Shipping COMI	159
1.2.3	Localizing the COMI of Shipping Groups	162
1.2.3.1	The Development Strategy of Shipping Groups	162
1.2.3.2	“Related” Insolvencies in the Context of Shipping Groups	163
	(i) A Single Group COMI	163
	(ii) Coordination Issues	166
2	The Effects on the Shipping Company of the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings	167
2.1	Under the UNCITRAL Regime	167

CONTENTS

2.1.1	Allocation of Jurisdiction: The US Example	167
2.1.1.1	Allocation of Jurisdiction Between Bankruptcy and Admiralty Courts	168
2.1.1.2	The Classification of Maritime Disputes as “Core”, “Non-Core” and “Unrelated”	171
2.1.1.3	The Chapter 15 “Gap Period”	175
2.1.2	Determining the Applicable Law	177
2.1.2.1	The Rule	177
2.1.2.2	Choice of Law and Measures to Pierce the Applicable Law	177
	(i) The Limits of Direct and Indirect Choice	177
	(ii) Piercing the Foreign Applicable Law	179
2.2	Under the EU Regime	181
2.2.1	Extension of Jurisdiction: Actions Related to Insolvency Proceedings	181
2.2.1.1	<i>Vis Attractiva Concursus</i>	182
2.2.1.2	Examples of Related and Non-Related Actions	183
2.2.2	Exceptions to the Application of <i>Lex Fori Concursus</i>	187
2.2.2.1	Explicit Exceptions Crucial to Shipping Undertakings	187
2.2.2.2	Implied Exceptions	189
2.2.3	The Effect of Cross-Border Insolvency Proceedings on Maritime Arbitration	191
2.2.3.1	Legal Characterization and Treatment of Pending Arbitrations	191
2.2.3.2	Comparing the EU and UNCITRAL Solutions	195
CHAPTER 4	THE TREATMENT OF MARITIME SECURITIES	199
1	Contractual Securities: Mortgage and Transfer of Title	199
1.1	The Status of (Preferred) Mortgages in Insolvency Proceedings	199
1.1.1	Article 8 of the Insolvency Regulation: Conditions of Application	199
1.1.1.1	The Meaning of the Exception	200
	(i) The Nature of the Provision	200
	(ii) The In Rem Nature of the Ship Mortgage	201
1.1.1.2	Determining the <i>Situs</i> of the Vessel	202
	(i) <i>Situs</i> Based on the Registration Link	203
	(ii) <i>Situs</i> Based on the Physical Location of the Vessel	205
1.1.2	Consequences and Potential Outcomes	207
1.1.2.1	Cases in Which the Exception Applies	207
1.1.2.2	Cases in Which the Exception Does Not Apply	207
	(i) EU Debtor – Intra-Union Proceedings – Third Country Flag State	207
	(ii) EU Debtor – Intra-Union Proceedings – Registration and Proceedings in the Same Member State	208

CONTENTS

1.1.2.3	The Insolvency Regulation Does Not Apply	208
(i)	<i>De Facto</i>	208
(ii)	<i>De Jure</i> : Activation of the UNCITRAL Regime	209
1.1.2.4	Case Study: Greek Law as the Law of the Ship's Register	211
(i)	No Secondary Proceedings in the State of the Ship's Register	212
(ii)	Secondary Proceedings Are Opened: Application of the <i>Lex Concursus Secundarii</i>	212
(iii)	The Right to Assume Operation of the Ship	216
1.2	Title-Based Security in Insolvency Proceedings	218
1.2.1	The Advantage: Exception from the Insolvency Estate	218
1.2.1.1	The Limited Scope of Art. 10 of the Insolvency Regulation: Reservation of Title	218
1.2.1.2	Right of Repossession of the Legal Owner	220
1.2.2	Disadvantages	223
1.2.2.1	Risk of Legal Recharacterization	223
1.2.2.2	Risks Related to Operation at Sea	224
2	<i>Ex Lege Liens</i>	225
2.1	The Preliminary Issue of the Existence of the Maritime Lien	225
2.1.1	Conceptual and Regulatory Diversity	225
2.1.2	Proposed Rules of Conflict	228
2.1.2.1	<i>Lex Causae</i>	229
(i)	In its Original Form	229
(ii)	Corrective Interventions: The Law Appropriate to Maritime Liens	231
2.1.2.2	<i>Lex Fori</i>	232
2.1.2.3	<i>Lex Navis</i>	234
2.2	The Satisfaction of Maritime Liens in Insolvency Proceedings	236
2.2.1	The Law Applicable to Ranking: Return to <i>Lex Fori</i>	237
2.2.1.1	The Rule	237
2.2.1.2	Examples of Application	238
2.2.2	Ranking Issues	239
2.2.2.1	Preservation of the Status of Maritime Liens in the Context of Insolvency	239
2.2.2.2	The Difficulty of Distinguishing Between Pre-Insolvency and Post-Insolvency Liens	243
2.2.2.3	Treatment of Liens in the Context of Liquidation: Greek Law	245
2.2.2.4	Examples	247
2.3	The Right to Arrest the Ship	248
2.3.1	Partial Neutralization of the Right to Arrest	248
2.3.1.1	The 1952 Arrest Convention Does Not Establish a Right In Rem	248
2.3.1.2	Release and Security in the Context of Insolvency	250
(i)	Release of the Vessel	250
(ii)	Security to Release the Ship from Arrest	252

CONTENTS

2.3.1.3	The Status of the Proceedings on the Merits in Light of Art. 18 of the Regulation	254
2.3.2	"In Rem Claims" Under Common Law and Insolvency: The Crucial Time Factor	256
2.3.2.1	A Previously Filed Action In Rem	256
(i)	Treatment in the Context of the Insolvency Regulation	256
(ii)	Treatment Under the UNCITRAL Regime	258
2.3.2.2	Actions In Rem Subsequent to Insolvency Proceedings	261
2.3.2.3	Assessment of Statutory Claims In Rem by a Foreign Court	263
CHAPTER 5 INSOLVENCY AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITY FOR MARITIME CLAIMS		266
1	Invoking Limitation of Liability	267
1.1	The Applicable Law	267
1.2	Substantive Solutions	267
1.2.1	Prior to Insolvency Proceedings: Limitation of Liability Without the Constitution of a Fund	267
1.2.2	Following the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings	269
2	Constitution of the Limitation Fund	270
2.1	Prior to Insolvency Proceedings	270
2.1.1	Constitution of the Fund by the Debtor-Shipowner	270
2.1.1.1	Parallel Application of the LLMC 1976/96 and the Insolvency Regulation	270
(i)	The Issue from the Perspective of the LLMC	270
(ii)	The Issue from the Perspective of the EU Insolvency Regulation	272
(iii)	Parallel Application of the CLC 1992 and the Insolvency Regulation	274
2.1.1.2	Parallel Application of an International Convention on Limitation and the UNCITRAL ML	275
2.1.2	Constitution of the Fund by the Insurer	278
2.2	Overturning the Limitation Fund	278
2.2.1	Constitution of the Fund During the Suspect Period	279
2.2.1.1	The Conflict-of-Laws Rule	279
2.2.1.2	The Substantive Solution	280
2.2.2	Conduct Barring Limitation	282
2.3	Following the Opening of Insolvency Proceedings	284
	<i>Postface</i>	287
	<i>Bibliography</i>	291
	<i>Index</i>	305