

Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvii
<i>Table of National Legislation and European Instruments</i>	xix
<i>Table of Private Rules</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Treaties and Conventions</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxv
 Introduction	 1
 1. Lex Mercatoria—The Debate as it Currently Stands	 6
A. The Deficiencies of the Lex Mercatoria Debate	6
B. The Current Structure of the Lex Mercatoria Debate	12
1. The codification argument	12
2. The 'practical application' argument	17
3. The 'domestic recognition' argument	18
4. The historic argument	24
C. Evaluation	30
 2. The Existing Accounts of the Lex Mercatoria	 31
A. The Views of the 'Founding Fathers'	31
1. Schmitthoff's wide view of the lex mercatoria	32
2. Goldman's narrow view of the lex mercatoria	39
B. Subsequent Accounts of the Lex Mercatoria	46
1. The development of the wide view in a nutshell	47
2. Goode's 'purist' view of the lex mercatoria	49
(a) Clarification of terminology	50
(b) Eliminating the codification argument—conceptual clarifications	52
(c) Analytical approach and remedying the isolation of the debate	54
C. Evaluation	57
 3. Framework for an Analytical Account—Clarifying Terminology	 60
A. Introduction	60
B. The Meaning of the 'International Business Community'	62
1. The members of the 'international business community'	63
2. The norm-creating potential of the international business community	67
C. The Meaning of 'Trade Usage'	70
1. Domestic trade usage and the lex mercatoria debate	71
2. International trade usage and the lex mercatoria	79
D. Evaluation	83
 4. Framework for an Analytical Account—The 'Autonomous' Status of the Lex Mercatoria	 84
A. Introduction	84

B. The Current Arguments about the Lex Mercatoria as a 'Legal System'	85
1. The criteria of a 'legal system' in the debate	87
2. Methodological deficiencies in current writings	90
C. The Autonomy of the Lex Mercatoria under the Purist View	93
1. A search for 'a-national' validity criteria of trade usages	95
2. <i>De facto</i> and <i>de jure</i> autonomy of the lex mercatoria	99
3. The 'internal' and 'external' aspect of the lex mercatoria	101
D. Evaluation	103
 5. A Rule of the Lex Mercatoria—Opening the Debate to Public International Law	 105
A. Introduction	105
B. The Purist View and the Public International Law Parallel	107
1. The circularity paradox of <i>opinio juris</i>	107
2. Solutions to the paradox considered under the purist view	109
(a) The solution of the ILA Report and the ILC Reports	111
(b) Goode's solution to the paradox	115
(c) The <i>Libyan Bank</i> case	116
C. <i>Opinio Juris</i> in Public International Law	120
1. The nature of the customary international law debate	120
2. The constitutive and the declaratory theory of custom	123
3. The two theories and the circularity paradox	126
4. The search for a modified <i>opinio juris</i>	128
D. Evaluation	136
 6. A Rule of the Lex Mercatoria—Opening the Debate to Jurisprudence	 138
A. Introduction	138
B. Conduct-Based Rules Distinguished from Written Rules	139
1. Fundamental characteristics of written rules	139
2. Fundamental characteristics of conduct-based rules	141
(a) Validity versus efficacy of customary rules	141
(b) Constitutive versus declarative dimension of customary rules	143
(c) The empirical paradox: a necessary feature of customary rules	145
(d) 'Is' and 'ought' and 'feeling of compulsion'	147
C. The Notion of 'Social Rules' in Hart's Concept	148
1. Mere habits versus rules within a group	149
2. Hart's 'internal aspect' of rules and <i>opinio juris</i>	152
3. The 'critical reflective attitude' as 'constitutive <i>opinio juris</i> '	154
D. The 'Critical Reflective Attitude' in Commercial Practice	157
E. Evaluation	159
 7. The Lex Mercatoria as a Legal System	 161
A. Introduction	161
B. An Overview of Hart's Concept of a Legal System	163
1. Hart's move away from the Austinian model of law	163

2. The legal system as a combination of primary and secondary rules	165
(a) The deficiencies of a simple form of social control	167
(b) Remedies in the form of secondary rules	168
C. Secondary Rules within the Lex Mercatoria	172
1. A rule of adjudication within the lex mercatoria	172
2. A rule of recognition within the lex mercatoria	177
(a) The rule of recognition and the lex loci arbitri	181
(b) Arbitral recognition of the lex mercatoria	183
3. A rule of change within the lex mercatoria	185
(a) The 'static' character of the lex mercatoria	186
(b) Changing primary rules through party autonomy	188
D. Evaluation	192
8. Conflicts Recognition of the Lex Mercatoria: The Status Quo	195
A. The Lex Mercatoria in Practice—Introduction	195
B. Fundamental Issues of Conflicts Recognition	201
C. Conflicts Rules in De-localized Arbitration	202
1. The antithesis between the lex mercatoria and the conflict of laws	203
2. The evolution of conflicts rules in arbitration—overcoming the antithesis	204
3. Increased arbitral discretion and its burden	206
4. Prevailing views about the application of the lex mercatoria	208
D. Illustration of the Status Quo	210
1. The <i>Lichtenstein</i> case: the sceptics' approach	210
2. The <i>Westinghouse</i> case: the enthusiasts' approach	211
(a) The objective analysis leads to domestic law	211
(b) The 'subjective' analysis leads to the lex mercatoria	212
(c) The tribunal's solution: 'implied negative choice'	213
3. Voie directe: will it lead to the lex mercatoria?	215
(a) The <i>Japanese</i> case: voie directe leads to the lex mercatoria	216
(b) The <i>Ghanaian</i> case: voie directe does not lead to the lex mercatoria	217
E. Assessment of the Status Quo	220
1. The 'war of faith' in practice	220
2. Mustill's identifying criteria	221
(a) The nature of the parties	221
(b) The nature or 'international character' of the transaction	222
(c) The subject matter or complexity of the transaction	222
(d) The parties' implied intention	226
F. Evaluation	226
9. Conflicts Recognition of the Lex Mercatoria: The Proposed Model	229
A. The Objective Solution of Voie Directe	229
1. Avoidance of conflicts rules or a rule in its own right?	229
(a) Voie directe and the duty to give reasons	230
(b) Illustration of voie directe reasoning: the <i>Japanese</i> and <i>Chinese</i> cases	231

2. The emergence of a new connecting factor	233
(a) Voie directe as an autonomous conflicts rule	233
(b) The contours of a new connecting factor	234
B. Membership in the Relevant Business Community as	237
a Connecting Factor	237
1. A de-localized connection	238
2. Applying the new connecting factor in practice	238
(a) Stage one: detaching the transaction from domestic laws	239
(b) Stage two: connecting the transaction to the lex mercatoria	241
3. The flexibility of the new connecting factor	242
C. The 'Subjective' Solution of Implied Negative Choice	242
1. Revisiting implied negative choice	246
2. A 'real' implied choice of the lex mercatoria	249
D. An Express Choice of the Lex Mercatoria	250
E. Evaluation	252
10. Substantive Recognition of the Lex Mercatoria	252
A. Introduction	252
B. Trade Usages in Practice	254
1. Broad acceptance and unsettled status	254
(a) Usages and the lex mercatoria	255
(b) Usages between fact and law	256
(c) Trade usages and general principles	258
2. Trade usages under institutional rules	259
3. Tendencies in commercial practice	259
(a) Reflection of theoretical problems: contractual versus	260
the normative route	261
(b) Absence of clear recognition criteria	263
(c) Appearance of a 'one-element' approach	263
(d) Unhelpful evidence	264
4. The mystery of opinio juris in practice	265
(a) 'Sufficient' evidence—opinion of the adjudicator	266
(b) 'Circular' witness statements—opinion of the parties	267
C. Rule Recognition in Practice—The <i>General Reinsurance</i> Case	267
1. General methodology and overview of the case	267
(a) Why <i>General Reinsurance</i> ?	269
(b) The facts and central issues of the case	270
(c) Background: operation of the relevant market	274
(d) The 'alleged usage' versus 'another usage'	274
2. The first instance judgment: the usage exists	279
(a) The relevant business community	283
(b) Congruent majority conduct	284
3. The appeal judgment: the usage does not exist	284
(a) The relevant business community	284
(b) Congruent majority conduct	290
(c) Critical reflective attitude	

D. The Stages of the Recognition Process	298
1. Transition from fact to law during recognition	298
2. Allegations and defences in each recognition stage	299
(a) Stage of establishment	299
(b) Stage of recognition	301
(c) Stage of violation	302
(d) Stage of sanction	303
E. Evaluation	303
1. Evidentiary threshold of a <i>lex mercatoria</i> rule	303
(a) Relevant business community	303
(b) Congruent majority conduct	304
(c) Critical reflective attitude	305
2. Witness evidence	307
(a) Selection of witnesses	307
(b) Consistency of witness statements	309
3. Further evidence	310
Conclusion	312
<i>Bibliography</i>	315
<i>Index</i>	327