## **Contents**

Acknowled Introductio		ts n Rosén	ix xiii
PART I	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE EUROPEAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK		
	1.	Herman Cohen Jehoram (1994), 'The EC Copyright Directives, Economics and Authors' Rights', <i>International Review of</i> <i>Industrial Property and Copyright Law</i> , <b>25</b> (6), 821–39	3
	2.	Claes Granmar (2011), 'Intellectual Property Rights and the Single Market', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd</i> , Issue 4, 321–51	22
	3.	Reto M. Hilty (2012), 'Individual, Multiple and Collective Ownership: What Impact on Competition?', in Jan Rosén (ed.), <i>Individualism and Collectiveness in Intellectual Property Law</i> , Chapter 1, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward	
	4.	Elgar Publishing, 3–44 Annette Kur and Dietmar Harhoff (2014), 'Great Data, Nice Tale, but What's the Message? The OHIM/EPO Study on the Economic Relevance of IP-Intensive Industries in the EU', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>45</b> (6), 617–20	53 95
	5.	Lydia Lundstedt (2012), 'Transborder Patent Entitlement and Ownership Disputes: Which Forum has Jurisdiction?', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd</i> , Issue 1, 6–25	99
	6.	Christophe Geiger (2010), 'The Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement and Criminal Enforcement of Intellectual Property: What Consequences for the European Union?', in Jan Rosén (ed.), Intellectual Property at the Crossroads of Trade, Chapter 8, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar	
		Publishing, 167–81	119
PART II	PAT	TENTS	
	7.	Miłosz Malaga (2014), 'The European Patent with Unitary Effect: Incentive to Dominate? A Look From the EU Competition Law Viewpoint', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>45</b> (6), 621–47	137

	8.	Application Requirement for Biotech Inventions in Light of Recent EPO and UK Case Law: A Plausible Approach or a Mere "Hunting Licence"?", <i>European Intellectual Property Review</i> , <b>34</b> (10), 689–703	164
	9.	Joseph Straus (2014), 'The Bolar Exemption and the Supply of Patented Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients to Generic Drug Producers: An Attempt to Interpret Article 10(6) of Directive 2004/27', Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, 9 (11),	150
	10.	895–908 Geertrui Van Overwalle (2012), 'Individualism, Collectivism and Openness in Patent Law: From Exclusion to Inclusion through Licensing', in Jan Rosén (ed.), <i>Individualism and Collectiveness in Intellectual Property Law</i> , Chapter 4, Cheltenham, UK and	179
	11.	Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing, 71–114 Perttu Virtanen (2013), 'Software Patents and "Technology Specific" Exclusion in Article 52 (3) EPC. A Legal Chimera?',	193
	12.	Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd, Issue 6, 620–32 Alessandro Steinfl (2000), 'The Doctrine of Equivalents through the Eyes of the European Patent Convention', Center for Advanced Study and Research on Intellectual Property Publication Series,	237
		No. 6, July, 114–24	250
PART III	TRA	ADE MARKS	
PART III	TRA 13.	ADE MARKS  Annette Kur (2013), 'Not Prior in Time, But Superior in Right – How Trademark Registrations Can be Affected by Third-Party Interests in a Sign', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property</i>	263
PART III		ADE MARKS  Annette Kur (2013), 'Not Prior in Time, But Superior in Right — How Trademark Registrations Can be Affected by Third-Party Interests in a Sign', International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 44 (7), 790–814 Alison Firth (2008), 'Signs, Surfaces, Shapes and Structures — The Protection of Product Design under Trade Mark Law', in Graeme B. Dinwoodie and Mark D. Janis (eds), Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research, Chapter 19, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing,	
PART III	13.	Annette Kur (2013), 'Not Prior in Time, But Superior in Right – How Trademark Registrations Can be Affected by Third-Party Interests in a Sign', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>44</b> (7), 790–814 Alison Firth (2008), 'Signs, Surfaces, Shapes and Structures – The Protection of Product Design under Trade Mark Law', in Graeme B. Dinwoodie and Mark D. Janis (eds), <i>Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research</i> , Chapter 19, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing, 498–522 Nina Barzey (2010), 'Functions of a Trademark – A Way of Seeing Life? The Advertising Function and the Relation between Double Identity and Extended Protection', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt</i>	288
PART III	<ul><li>13.</li><li>14.</li><li>15.</li></ul>	Annette Kur (2013), 'Not Prior in Time, But Superior in Right – How Trademark Registrations Can be Affected by Third-Party Interests in a Sign', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>44</b> (7), 790–814 Alison Firth (2008), 'Signs, Surfaces, Shapes and Structures – The Protection of Product Design under Trade Mark Law', in Graeme B. Dinwoodie and Mark D. Janis (eds), <i>Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research</i> , Chapter 19, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing, 498–522 Nina Barzey (2010), 'Functions of a Trademark – A Way of Seeing Life? The Advertising Function and the Relation between Double Identity and Extended Protection', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd</i> , Issue 4, 324–38	
PART III	13. 14.	Annette Kur (2013), 'Not Prior in Time, But Superior in Right – How Trademark Registrations Can be Affected by Third-Party Interests in a Sign', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>44</b> (7), 790–814 Alison Firth (2008), 'Signs, Surfaces, Shapes and Structures – The Protection of Product Design under Trade Mark Law', in Graeme B. Dinwoodie and Mark D. Janis (eds), <i>Trademark Law and Theory: A Handbook of Contemporary Research</i> , Chapter 19, Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing, 498–522 Nina Barzey (2010), 'Functions of a Trademark – A Way of Seeing Life? The Advertising Function and the Relation between Double Identity and Extended Protection', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt</i>	288

PART IV	<b>COPYRIGHT</b>	AND	RELATED	<b>RIGHTS</b>
---------	------------------	-----	---------	---------------

	18.	Silke von Lewinski (2010) 'Introduction: Analysis: Sections 1–5', in Michel M. Walter and Silke von Lewinski (eds), <i>European Copyright Law: A Commentary</i> , New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press, 6–38	453
	19.	Henrik Bengtsson (2012), 'EU Harmonisation of the Copyright	486
	20.	Originality Criterion', <i>World Services Group</i> , June, 1–8 Ana Ramalho (2014), 'Conceptualising the European Union's Competence in Copyright – What Can the EU Do?', <i>International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law</i> , <b>45</b> (2), 178–200	494
	21.	Petteri Günther (2014), 'The Principle of Exhaustion and the Resale of Digital Music in Europe: A Comparative Analysis of the UsedSoft GmbH v. Oracle International Corp. and Capitol Records, LLC v. ReDigi, Inc. Cases', <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd</i> , Issue	
		3, 205–29	517
	22.	Johan Axhamn (2014), 'Internet Linking and the Notion of "New Public", <i>Nordiskt Immateriellt Rättsskydd</i> , Issue 2, 110–32	542
PART V	GE	HER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS: OGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS, INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS, ADE SECRETS AND DATABASES	
	23.	Uma Suthersanen (2011), 'Function, Art and Fashion: Do We Need the EU Design Law?', Queen Mary, University of London, School	
	24.	of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper No. 88/2011, 1–22 Gill Grassie (2014), 'Trade Secrets: The New EU Enforcement Regime', Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, 9 (8),	567
		677–83	589
	25.	Charlotte Waelde (2007), 'Database Copyright: The Story of BHB', in Paul Torremans (ed.), <i>Copyright Law: A Handbook of Contemporary Research</i> , Chapter 5, Cheltenham, UK and	
		Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing, 109–32	596
	26.	Matthew J. Rippon (2014), 'What is the Geography of Geographical Indications? Place, Production Methods and Protected	(20
	27.	Framework for the Protection of Utility Models: Unmatched	620
		Flexibility for Domestic Experimentation?', World Intellectual Property Organization Journal, 4 (2), 175–90	629