Contents

| CHAPTER | I | General Introduction | |
|---------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | I.I | Arbitration and EC law: Ne'er the twain shall meet? | 3 |
| | I.I.I | Arbitration and state courts' adjudication | 3 |
| | I.I.2 | Arbitration perception of EC law | 9 |
| | I.I.3 | Specific characteristics of EC law and arbitration | 12 |
| | I.2 | The present research: Questions addressed | 16 |
| Part I | | EC Law: Objectives Pursued and Requirements Impose | d |
| CHAPTER | 2 | EC Law as an Integral Part of National Legal Order | |
| | 2.I | Introduction | 27 |
| | 2.2 | Supremacy | 29 |
| | 2.3 | Direct effect of EC law | 32 |
| | 2.3.I | Direct effect of EC law: Conditions | 32 |
| | 2.3.2 | Directives and their effects in national legal order | 38 |
| | 2.3.2.I | Direct effect of directives | 38 |
| | 2.3.2.2 | Consistent interpretation | 46 |
| | 2.3.2.3 | Incidental direct effect | 53 |
| | 2.3.2.4 | Some recent developments in the private context | 59 |
| | 2.3.2.5 | Conclusions | 67 |
| | 2.4 | Some preliminary reflections on the relevance of the | |
| | | preceding discussions to the present research | 70 |
| CHAPTER | 3 | The Impact of EC Law on Procedural Matters: National | |
| | 9.03 | Procedural Autonomy v. Community Requirements | |
| | 3.I | Introduction | 77 |
| | 3.2 | Case law on various time-limits and other procedural | |
| | | limitations | 82 |
| | 3.2.I | Taking particular circumstances into account: The Member | |
| | | State's fault and access to judicial proceedings | 83 |
| | 3.2.2 | Distancing from Emmott: Limits on retrospective claims | 85 |
| | 3.2.3 | Recurrence of Emmott: The influence of fault | 86 |
| | 3.2.4 | Rationalising the Court's approach | 89 |
| | 3.2.5 | Giving substance to the 'equivalence' test | 90 |
| | 3.3 | Van Schijndel and Peterbroeck: The procedural 'rule of | |
| | | reason' test | 92 |
| | 3.3.I | Legitimate aims of national procedural restrictions and the | |
| | | requirement of effectiveness: The development of | |
| | | parameters of a balancing exercise | 93 |
| | 3.3.2 | The 'rule of reason' test: Clarifying or complicating? | 96 |

xi

| | 3.3.3 | The 'otherness' of <i>Peterbroeck</i> : A further refinement or | |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | limits of Van Schijndel? | 97 |
| | 3.4 | National remedies and the requirement of effective | |
| | | judicial protection | 101 |
| | 3.4.I | Requirements as to the national remedy of judicial review: | |
| | | The development of the self-standing test of effective | |
| | | judicial protection | 103 |
| | 3.4.2 | Type of remedy to be granted: Stretching the existing | |
| | | remedy beyond its original limits? | 107 |
| | 3.5 | Conclusions | 112 |
| CHAPTER | 4 | Application of the Principles Formulated by the Court | |
| | | with Regard to National Procedures and Remedies in | |
| | | the Context of Arbitration | |
| | 4.I | Introduction: Eco Swiss | 119 |
| | 4.2 | EC competition rules as public policy rules | 123 |
| | 4.3 | Public policy in the context of proceedings for setting | |
| | | aside of arbitral awards | 127 |
| | 4.4 | Requirements regarding judicial review in matters of | |
| | | arbitration | 131 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusions | 140 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Part II | | Interaction between Arbitration and EC Law | |
| Part II | | Interaction between Arbitration and EC Law An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community | |
| | | | |
| | | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community | 147 |
| | 5 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues | |
| | 5 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction | |
| | 5 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law | 147 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks | 147 147 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes | 147 147 150 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities | 147 147 150 150 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims | 147 147 150 150 151 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues | 147 147 150 150 151 154 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties As the legal basis of their claim | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties As the legal basis of their claim As a defensive device (substantive and | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 155 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties As the legal basis of their claim As a defensive device (substantive and jurisdictional defence) | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 155 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties As the legal basis of their claim As a defensive device (substantive and jurisdictional defence) Application of EC law by arbitrators | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 155 155 |
| | 5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 | An Overview of Arbitration Cases Raising Community Law Issues Introduction An overview of arbitration cases raising Community law issues: Preliminary remarks Characteristics of the disputes Parties' nationalities Types of claims Circumstances of invocation of EC law issues EC law as the governing law agreed on by the parties Invocation of EC law by the parties As the legal basis of their claim As a defensive device (substantive and jurisdictional defence) Application of EC law by arbitrators Admissibility of invocation of EC law | 147 147 150 150 151 154 154 155 155 157 159 159 |

| CHAPTER | 6 | The General Problem of the Relationship between | |
|---------|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | Arbitration and Public Policy | |
| | 6.1 | Introduction | 171 |
| | 6.2 | Terminology in different legal contexts: More than | |
| | | semantic differences | 172 |
| | 6.2.1 | French/Civil law v. Common law traditions | 172 |
| | 6.2.2 | The Rome Convention and the Brussels Convention | 173 |
| | 6.2.3 | European Community public policy | 174 |
| | 6.2.4 | Truly international public policy | 179 |
| | 6.2.5 | Terminology in the present research | 180 |
| | 6.3 | Public policy before state courts | 181 |
| | 6.3.1 | Domestic (internal) situations. Domestic mandatory rules | 181 |
| | 6.3.2 | In the private international law context | 185 |
| | 6.3.2.1 | Choice of laws (conflict of laws) process | 185 |
| | | Public policy exception | 185 |
| | | Lois de police / international mandatory rules | 188 |
| | | Public policy v. rules of public policy and lois de police: | |
| | | The critique | 193 |
| | | Conclusions | 197 |
| | 6.3.2.2 | Recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments | 198 |
| | | Illustration | 200 |
| | | Judgments – the Brussels Convention and the follow up | |
| | 3 | Regulation 44/2001 | 201 |
| | | Public policy in arbitration-related court proceedings | 206 |
| | 6.4 | Conclusions | 209 |
| CHAPTER | 7 | EC Competition Law as a System of Rules Expressing Public Policy Considerations | |
| | 7.I | Introduction | 213 |
| | 7.2 | The overall values and goals of competition law | 214 |
| | 7.2.1 | Underlying public policy objectives of EC competition law | 219 |
| | 7.2.2 | Preliminary conclusions | 228 |
| | 7.3 | Competition law on the international plane | 229 |
| | 7.4 | Features and characteristics of EC competition rules | 233 |
| | 7.4.1 | Competition rules as mandatory rules expressing domestic | |
| | | public policy | 233 |
| | 7.4.2 | Competition rules as lois de police (rules of immediate | - |
| | | application) | 233 |
| | 7.4.3 | Competition rules as public policy rules: The critique | 234 |
| | 7.5 | Conclusions | 237 |

| Part III | | Grounds of Application of EC Law in Arbitration | |
|----------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| CHAPTER | 8 | Arbitrability | |
| | 8.1 | Introduction | 245 |
| | 8.2 | Arbitrability in general | 246 |
| | 8.3 | Methods of determination of arbitrability and their | |
| | | application to the arbitrability of EC competition matters | 247 |
| | 8.4 | Obstacles to arbitrability in EC competition law | 254 |
| | 8.5 | Conclusions | 256 |
| CHAPTER | 9 | Application of EC Law by Arbitrators | |
| | 9.1 | Introduction | 261 |
| | 9.2 | Choice of applicable law by arbitrators: A comparative | |
| | | survey of arbitration acts, treaties, arbitration rules and | |
| | | arbitration practice | 264 |
| | 9.2.1 | Respect of party autonomy by arbitrators – a cornerstone | |
| | | principle | 264 |
| | 9.2.2 | The powers of arbitrators to determine the applicable law | |
| | | in the absence of the parties' choice | 266 |
| | 9.3 | Grounds of application of EC law: The perspective of private | |
| | | international law and arbitration – a special case for EC | |
| | | mandatory rules? | 273 |
| | 9.3.I | Application of EC law as part of the <i>lex contractus</i> | |
| | | (lex causae) | 275 |
| | | Selected by the parties | 276 |
| | 9.3.1.2 | Selected by arbitrators | 281 |
| | 9.3.2 | | 282 |
| | 9.3.2.1 | Reasons and justifications for application of lois de police of | |
| | | third states | 283 |
| | | Theories of lois de police of third states in arbitration | 283 |
| | 9.4 | Conclusions | 291 |
| | 9.4.I | Party autonomy and arbitrators' discretion as grounds of | |
| | | application of EC law: Privatisation of law through | |
| | | arbitration – converting mandatory rules into default rules | 291 |
| | 9.4.2 | The exceptional 'lois de police' ground of application of | |
| | | EC law | 294 |
| | 9.4.3 | Breaking away from the trodden path | 295 |
| CHAPTER | 10 | The European Law Perspective of the Possible Grounds Application of Community Law in Arbitration | of |
| | 10.I | Introduction | 202 |
| | 10.1 | A critical overview of the European approach and its | 301 |
| | 10.2 | underlying methodology | 202 |
| | | and and the methodology | 302 |

| CO | ATT | T | NT | TC |
|----|------|-----|-----------|----|
| UU | IN I | . E | IN | 13 |
| | | | | |

I

0

| | 10.2.1 | Intrinsic features of EC law as grounds for its application in arbitration proceedings (supremacy, direct effect, | |
|---------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | effectiveness, and uniform application) | 302 |
| | | Bringing EC law into focus | 303 |
| | | What is good for courts is good for arbitral tribunals? | 304 |
| | | A universal 'reserve' argument | 307 |
| | 10.2.2 | Public policy character of EC law: Reception and | |
| | | adaptation of private international law and civil law | |
| | | concepts in the search for the grounds of application of | |
| | | EC law in arbitration | 308 |
| | | A duty created by a suspended sword? | 309 |
| | | Illustration | 314 |
| | | Do arbitral awards escape the law of probability? | 315 |
| | 10.3 | Conclusion | 317 |
| CHAPTER | II | Practical Issues of Application of EC Law | |
| | II.I | Introduction | 321 |
| | II.2 | Pleading and proof of EC law: Specificity of arbitral | |
| | | adjudication | 322 |
| | II.2.I | The consensual character of arbitral jurisdiction and the | |
| | | scope of arbitration agreement | 322 |
| | II.2.2 | The principle of judicial passivity of arbitrators and | |
| | | ex officio application of EC law | 324 |
| | | Judicial passivity and freedom of disposition as a | |
| | | hallmark of arbitral adjudication | 324 |
| | | 'Ex officio' without the 'office'? | 326 |
| | 11.2.3 | Proving the content of EC law | 332 |
| | 11.3 | Available remedies | 336 |
| | 11.4 | Interpretation of EC law | 339 |
| | 11.5 | Conclusion | 341 |
| Part IV | | Safeguards of Application of EC Law in Arbitration | |
| HAPTER | 12 | Ex Post Control by the Courts | |
| | 12.1 | Introduction | 347 |
| | 12.2 | Control over arbitral awards by state courts: an overview | 347 |
| | 12.2.1 | Means, meaning, and specific goals of judicial control | 347 |
| | 12.3 | Means and extent of state courts' control | 353 |
| | 12.3.1 | Setting aside proceedings | 354 |
| | 12.3.2 | Refusal of recognition and enforcement | 358 |
| | 12.3.2. | Setting aside and refusal of enforcement compared | 359 |
| | 12.3.3 | Public policy as the most relevant ground for the exercise | |
| | | of the control over compliance with EC law at the setting | |
| | | aside or enforcement stage: A hypothesis | 360 |

xv

| | 12.3.3. | Public policy ground at the setting aside or enforcement | |
|---------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| | | stage: Its meaning | 363 |
| | | Exception of public policy as a bar to the recognition | |
| | | of the legal effects of arbitral awards | 363 |
| | | Lois de police as part of the mechanism of | |
| | | public policy exception? | 364 |
| | 12.3.3. | 2 Principles of the exercise of the ex post control on the | |
| | | public policy ground | 369 |
| | | Two rival views: The dividing line | 369 |
| | | 'Positivist' view | 371 |
| | | Illustration | 377 |
| | | 'Traditional' view | 378 |
| | | Implications | 384 |
| | 12.3.4 | Appeal against the award on the points of law: The | |
| | | traditional English solution | 385 |
| | 12.4 | The role of the ECJ and the procedure of Article 234 EC | |
| | | in the state courts' control over arbitral awards | 387 |
| | 12.5 | Control of arbitral awards by the courts of the EC | |
| | | Member States and outside the Community: A case of | |
| | | double standards? | 392 |
| | 12.6 | Appraisal of the ways in which the application of EC law | |
| | | in arbitration proceedings is safeguarded | 394 |
| CHAPTER | 13 | Assistance from Various National and Community | |
| | -, | Institutions as a Safeguard Mechanism in the | |
| | | Application of European Law in Arbitration | |
| | 13.I | Introduction | 399 |
| | 13.2 | National courts' assistance in the application of EC law via | 555 |
| | -) | traditional mechanisms | 401 |
| | 13.3 | Role of the ECJ and Article 234 EC procedure | 405 |
| | 13.3.1 | Direct reference to the ECJ for preliminary ruling: | 40) |
| | 13.3.1 | The dicta of Nordsee | 411 |
| | | Opinion of AG Reischl: longevity of the | 411 |
| | | well-reasoned arguments | (10 |
| | | The judgment: clear rejection, ambiguous threat? | 412 |
| | | The core of the problem | 416 |
| | | | 418 |
| | 13.3.2 | Indirect reference through the national courts | 419 |
| | 13.3.3 | Assessment of the feasibility and desirability of granting | |
| | | arbitral tribunals direct or indirect access to the | |
| | | mechanism of preliminary procedure of Article 234 EC | 422 |
| | 13.4 | The European Commission | 422 |
| | 13.4.1 | Informal requests for information | 423 |
| | 13.5 | Conclusion | 425 |

CONTENTS

| Part V | Epilogue | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| CHAPTER 14 14 | Broader Implications of the European Approach Broader implications of the European approach | 431 |
| | Bibliography | 439 |
| | Table of Cases | 467 |
| | Abbreviations | 475 |
| | Index | 479 |