

# European Competition Law Review

2014 Volume 35 Issue 3

ISSN: 0144-3054

## Table of Contents

### Articles

PATRICK SMITH

#### **Gold Circle/Kenilworth Racing, a case of “horizontal” complements 99**

The European Commission has encountered complementarity in many high-profile investigations. In October 2012, the Competition Tribunal of South Africa examined complementarities in detail in its investigation of a horseracing transaction. The transparent process allows a discussion of the nature of complementarities, and of how presumptions that have often been simplistically applied would have failed to identify applicable economic incentives, and would have led to an erroneous conclusion.

MARSELA MACI

#### **The assessment of RPM under EU competition rules: certain inconsistencies based on a non-substantive analysis 103**

The article analyses the current EU competition rules regarding Resale Price Maintenance (RPM) from a system perspective. This means that the article does not deal with the substantive discussion whether RPM in the EU should be subjected to a competitive analysis, similar to that in US antitrust law. Taking the current system of EU competition rules as given, it focuses on the legal inconsistency that exists between the absolute prohibition of RPM in the Block Exemption Regulation 330/2010 on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the RPM assessment under the Guidelines on Vertical Restraints, which provide some (very marginal) room for exemption. The article concludes that the current EU legal framework regarding resale price maintenance, as it stands, lacks the necessary coherence to guarantee fair application of EU competition rules in RPM cases.

AMIT SINGH

#### **Pfleiderer: Assessing its Impact on the Effectiveness of the European Leniency Programme 110**

On June 14, 2011 the European Court of Justice reached its long-awaited decision in *Pfleiderer*. This article will seek to examine the *Pfleiderer* ruling's impact on the effectiveness of the European leniency programme. The article will then consider how the Commission's recent draft Directive for legislative reform deals with the issues raised in *Pfleiderer*.

PROFESSOR DUSAN POPOVIC

#### **Amendments to the Serbian Competition Act: a much-needed “fine tuning” 124**

In October 2013, the Serbian Parliament passed the Amendments to the 2009 Competition Act. The amendments cover the following issues, in particular: (1) criteria for finding of a dominant position; (2) extension of a timeframe for in-depth merger investigation; (3) introduction of commitment decisions; (4) extension of a statutory limitation period; (5) criteria for appointment of members of the Competition Authority Council.

THIBAUT SCHREPEL

#### **Section 5 of the FTC Act through European Guidelines 129**

Section 5 of the FTC Act is broadly formulated. As a major text of American antitrust enforcement, the need for Guidelines is pressing. Guidelines will raise legal certainty and improve consistency in Section 5 applications. Because art.102 of the TFEU has a lot in common with Section 5, European Guidelines should be consulted as models from which American Guidelines may be drawn.

HELENE ANDERSSON

#### **Dawn Raids under Challenge 135**

Dawn raids are a sine qua non for effective competition law enforcement. It is their intrusive character that makes them efficient. At the same time, they constitute a very disruptive experience for the targeted companies, and must be surrounded by adequate procedural safeguards. Recent case law from the General Court suggests that the safeguards available in the EU do not ensure adequate fundamental rights protection. This article addresses the question of prior judicial authorisation of inspection decisions as well as the need of an effective a posteriori review of such decisions and any measures taken on their basis.

ROMINA POLLEY

#### **The FCO's new Fining Guidelines—a “more national approach” undermining uniform application of article 101 TFEU 141**

This article examines the implications of the Federal Cartel Office's new Fining Guidelines for companies subject to cartel investigations and in the broader context of the interplay between German and European competition law.

## Comment

JOHN TERZAKEN

## Antitrust Enforcement Goes Global 148

With more countries targeting anti-competitive behaviour, prosecutions and penalties are at an all-time high. But how much is too much?

## National Reports

### Czech Republic

#### PROCEDURE

Leniency N-17

### Finland

#### PROCEDURE

Asphalt cartel N-18

### Portugal

#### PROCEDURE

Leniency N-19

### Portugal

#### MERGERS

Notifications N-19

### Portugal

#### GENERAL

Guidelines N-19

### Portugal

#### PROCEDURE

NCA decisions N-20

### Portugal

#### LEGISLATION

Unfair Trade Practices N-20

### Portugal

#### ABUSE OF DOMINANT POSITION

Broadcasting N-20

### Portugal

#### ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Flexible polyurethane foam N-21

### Portugal

#### MERGERS

Overview of recent activity N-21

### Portugal

#### MERGERS

Event tickets N-21

### Portugal

#### MERGERS

Broadcasting and telephony N-22

### Portugal

#### MERGERS

Gun-jumping N-22

### Portugal

#### STATE AID

Review of recent activity N-22

### Portugal

#### ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Car park operators N-23

### Portugal

#### ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Lactogal N-24

### Portugal

#### ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Catering services N-24

### Slovenia

#### ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Construction firms N-24