

European Competition Law Review

2014 Volume 35 Issue 4

ISSN: 0144-3054

Table of Contents

Articles

AVIRUP BOSE

Lessons to be Learned from India's Latest High Profile Merger Review: The Jet-Etihad Deal 151

The CCI recently approved the largest investment in the Indian aviation sector. The decision provides guidance on future competitive assessment of airline mergers in India, besides being a rare instance where the Commission has analysed collaborative ventures and the concept of "joint control" under Indian competition law. The decision is also the first to have been challenged in appeal (on merits).

FRANZ HOFFET AND GERALD BREI

The first second generation competition enforcement co-operation treaty: Free flow of information between Switzerland and the European Union? 157

The planned Agreement between Switzerland and the EU concerning Co-operation on the Application of their Competition Laws is a pilot project and goes one step further than previous co-operation agreements by allowing the European Commission and the Swiss Competition Commission to transmit confidential information if certain requirements are met. If the Agreement is ratified and enters into force, it will most likely contribute to the effective enforcement of competition laws through co-operation and co-ordination as intended by the competition authorities. In practice, however, the Agreement may affect the general willingness to submit leniency applications or conclude settlements. A number of unresolved issues will most likely lead to an increased need to obtain legal advice in the course of the practical application of the Agreement.

CHRISTIAN KOVACS

Fiat Lux...? — The European Commission's updated Explanatory Note on Dawn Raids 162

The article introduces the latest changes to the European Commission's Explanatory Note on Dawn Raids, focusing on the inspection of electronic data. The object and methods of E-Dawn Raids and the powers of the European Commission are discussed and criticised against the background of the Union's Courts case law.

DUNCAN SINCLAIR

"Undertakings" in Competition Law at the Public-Private Interface — An Unhealthy Situation 167

This article focuses on the current state of the law in relation to bodies acting at the public-private interface. It is suggested that the law has not been left in a satisfactory position: there are conflicting lines of case law which lead to competition authorities giving guidance that each case turns on its facts. The absence of any clear legal test makes it hard for both those operating at the interface and potential complainants to properly assess their rights and duties. A prime example of this interface is in the provision of healthcare services. The position in the United Kingdom is examined in particular detail, but the problems arise throughout the European Union. A simplified approach is proposed.

SOPHIA A. VANDERGRIFF AND
JOSSELIN LUCAS

The GE/Honeywell Saga? Ehh, What's Up, Doc? A comparative approach between US and EU merger control proceedings almost 15 years after 172

Almost 15 years ago, the EU Commission decided to prohibit General Electric's acquisition of Honeywell, despite US approval of the transaction. Although US and EU merger control proceedings remain distinct, dialogue between the agencies has come a long way. Enhancing cross-border co-operation grows ever more critical with the emergence of powerful Chinese and Indian merger control regimes.

JOAN DE SOLÀ-MORALES AND JAN
PETER VAN DER VEER

Cartel Damages and More than Full "Pass-On": Who Pays What? 179

The discussion of "pass-on" usually assumes that an overcharge may have been passed on "either entirely or in part"; for example, this is assumed in the context of the recent initiatives put forward by the European Commission to facilitate the involvement of indirect purchasers of a cartelised good in damage compensation cases. However, both economic theory and empirical evidence indicate that, in some cases, the degree of "pass-on" can be above 100 per cent. In the context of a cartel damages case where both the direct and indirect purchaser of the cartelised good ultimately claim damages, the existence of more than full "pass-on" can give rise to non-trivial difficulties concerning who has to pay what.

European Commission finally publishes measures to facilitate competition law private actions in the European Union 185

This article discusses the publication by the European Commission in June 2013 of a draft Directive on competition law private enforcement (together with guidance on quantification of damages and a Recommendation on collective address). It discusses the background to the proposals and the key measures proposed. The article assessing the likely impact of the measures, and compares these to changes to the system of private enforcement in the UK currently making their way through the UK legislative process.

Comment

JAN BLOCKX

Silence is Golden: Belgian Competition Council imposes fine for abuse of standard setting process 194

On August 30, 2013, the Belgian Competition Council imposed fines on three cement producers, their trade association and one of the Belgian standard setting bodies for concrete. The Council considered that they had abused the process of standard setting in an attempt to delay the acceptance of ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBS) as a substitute of cement in concrete production.

ALLA POZDNAKOVA

Competition infringements in the port sector: a case commentary 196

This article examines a decision of the EFTA Surveillance Authority to impose a fine on the carrier Color Line for concluding an anti-competitive agreement with the Strömstad harbour authority in contravention of art.53 EEA and art.54 EEA. The agreement granted exclusive harbor access to Color Line and precluded competing companies from operating in the harbour.

BILL BATCHELOR AND MELISSA
HEALY

Overview of EU Commission Decision in respect of J&J/Novartis Co-promotion Agreement 200

Though commonly entered into between potential competitors, co-promotion agreements are generally pro-competitive—allowing pharmaceutical companies to scale rapidly and outsource resource intensive detailing activity. The *J&J/Novartis* case is the first EU challenge to a co-promotion arrangement. The EU takes a hardline approach. The agreement was presumed illegal—without examination of market context or its benefits—and branded as equivalent to a market sharing agreement, alongside alleged “pay-for-delay” agreements. Though the facts may be sui generis, the case raises the profile of co-promotion agreements and the need to ensure that they receive appropriate antitrust review, especially where entered into around loss-of-exclusivity.

Book Review

TIMO MINSEN

Pharmaceutical Innovation, Competition and Patent Law - A Trilateral Perspective 202

National Reports

Germany

PROCEDURE

Cement cartels N-27

Portugal

GENERAL

Enforcement priorities N-29

Slovenia

MERGERS

Retailing N-29

Sweden

PROCEDURE

Penalties N-30

UK

MERGERS

Transport N-31

UK

PROCEDURE

CMA guidance N-32

UK

GENERAL

CMA guidance N-33