

European Competition Law Review

2014 Volume 35 Issue 7

ISSN: 0144-3054

Table of Contents

Articles

UTE ZINSMEISTER AND MARIA HELD

Under Pressure — when do legal “recommended” resale prices turn into illegal “fixed” and binding resale prices: Resale price maintenance under scrutiny in Germany 317

This article addresses the legal framework of resale price maintenance (RPM) under EU and German competition law. It highlights the circumstances under which legal “recommended” resale prices might amount to illegal “fixed” and binding resale prices. In particular, it will analyse which kind of exertion of pressure may turn a legal “recommended” resale price into an illegal “binding” resale. In its final remarks, the article draws the attention to the fact that RPM is not only an enforcement priority in Germany, but also in a number of EU and non-EU countries where heavy fines were also imposed on a number of companies in diverse industry sectors.

GAVIN MURPHY

Supreme Court of Canada establishes that specific authorisation is needed for computer searches in Canada — will the European Union follow this lead? 322

This article assesses the *R v Vu* decision by the Supreme Court of Canada, and explains the current policy of Canada’s Competition Bureau when searching computers, comparing its role to that of Commission investigators, and asks whether unauthorised computer searches in the European Union could ultimately be challenged under the European Convention on Human Rights.

WOLF SAUTER

Proportionality in EU competition law 327

There are various possible proportionality tests in the European Union which differ in the degree of stringency imposed. This article discusses how they are generally applied to public authorities, the application of proportionality in competition law and regarding state aid, and the similarities and differences between the way the test is applied to public and to private parties.

RACHEL BURGESS AND LEELA
CEJNAR

Following from afar: Malaysia’s Competition Law looks to Europe 333

On January 1, 2012, Malaysia’s first competition law came into force. This article compares its provisions to the UK legislation, notes where EU jurisprudence will be relevant and considers where Malaysia may find assistance from Australia. Finally, it looks at what has been achieved by the Malaysian Competition Commission so far.

NIKHIL SURESH PAREEK AND SOHAM
BANERJEE

Indian Competition Watchdog bites State undertaking: A Case Comment 341

This article aims to dissect the judgment, *M/S Maharashtra State Power Generation Company Ltd and Others v M/s Coal India Ltd and Others*, delivered by the competition regulator and analyse the key issues that have been subject to adjudication. The article assesses how the judgment by the competition commission is in line with the prevailing European jurisprudence, and at what junctures they are dissimilar to each other. This article provides a case analysis, as well as a comparison with the European jurisprudence on matters of abuse of dominance.

LUCA ARNAUDO

The strange case of Dr Lucentis and Mr Avastin: The Italian Competition Authority fines Roche and Novartis for collusion 347

The article aims at retracing the main elements of an antitrust proceedings carried on by the Italian Competition Authority against the Roche and Novartis groups for an alleged infringement of art.101 TFEU. In the antitrust authority’s view, the cartel was set up in order to control the sales for ophthalmic uses of two biotech drugs, Avastin and Lucentis. Further considerations concerning artificial product differentiation, off-label uses and human rights protection are also provided.

BAJAR SCHARAW

Commission proposal for a Directive on antitrust damages and recommendation on principles for collective redress—the road towards “Private Antitrust Enforcement” in the European Union? 352

This article discusses the Commission’s Proposal for a directive on antitrust damages and its Recommendation on principles for collective redress and their impacts on the enforcement of competition laws within the European Union. It refers in particular to the United States, where private antitrust litigation has been an important instrument of antitrust enforcement for many years.

Book Reviews

DR ALEXANDR SVETLICINII

CATALIN S. RUSU

National Reports

Czech Republic

Portugal

Slovenia

Sweden

Sweden

UK

Asian Capitalism and the Regulation of Competition: Towards a Regulatory Geography of Global Competition Law 361

EU Merger Control: A Legal and Economic Analysis 363

MERGERS

Competition Office N-57

LEGISLATION

Postal services N-58

PROCEDURE

Investigations N-59

MERGERS

Estate agents N-59

ANTI-COMPETITIVE CONDUCT

Waste industry N-60

GENERAL

Institutions N-60