European Competition Law Review

2014 Volume 35 Issue 8 ISSN: 0144-3054

Table of Contents

Articles

PROF. DR. HANS JÜRGEN MEYER-LINDEMANN, CLEMENS GRAF YORK VON WARTENBURG AND ANDREAS KAFETZOPOULOS

Private Equity investors are not immune to antitrust risks in the European Union 367

This article highlights the importance for private equity investors of the European Commission's recent decision in the Power Cables cartel case. The decision is a stark reminder that private equity investors can, under certain circumstances, be targeted by the European Commission when it comes to imputing liability for anti-competitive behaviour of their portfolio companies.

GORDON DOWNIE AND MARIANNE CHARRIER

UK and EU developments in collective action regimes for competition law breaches 369

In this article, the authors examine recent developments in the United Kingdom and the European Union with regard to collective redress mechanisms for private actions in competition law. The article focuses on the UK legislative proposals which intend to introduce new collective action and settlement regimes in respect of UK private actions, touching also on how these proposals tie in with the recommendations on collective redress at EU level and the potential impact of the EU draft Directive on antitrust damages

DR MATEUSZ BŁACHUCKI

Public Interest Considerations in Merger Control Assessment 380

The article briefly discusses the issue of application of public interest considerations in merger control. Only selected jurisdictions allow public interest to play a role in merger control enforcement. However, the enforcement models and applicable procedures differ considerably among countries. More coherence between jurisdictions may be observed in relation to types of public interest considerations present in national statutes and case law.

ANDREA USAI

The Intel Case: Between Tomra Systems ASA, the Commission's Guidance on Enforcement Priorities, and the alleged infringement of procedural requirements — no fat left on the bone 387

This article analyses the European Commission's approach to loyalty rebates in the Intel decision. The analysis follows the main structure of the action brought by Intel against the Commission and argues that, should Intel ever go on appeal before the ECJ, there does not seem to be any fat left on the bone.

Analysis

JACOB WESTIN, MELISSA HEALY AND BILL BATCHELOR

Pharmaceutical Co-Promotion, Co-Marketing and Antitrust 402

Co-promotion and co-marketing arrangements pervade the pharmaceutical sector. Yet there is almost no case law or decisional practice to guide practitioners on their numerous pitfalls. Against the background of the first fine on pharmaceutical companies for a co-promotion deal, this article reviews the antitrust analysis of these collaborations, and argues that they are generally pro-competitive in nature. But post J&J/Novartis, greater scrutiny is required to ensure the underlying rationale and business case is legitimate.

Book Reviews

DR ARNDT CHRISTIANSEN

The International Handbook of Competition, 2nd edn 413

DR ALEXANDR SVETLICINII

Competition Law in China: Laws, Regulations, and Cases 415

CHRISTOPHER STOTHERS

The Law and Economics of Article 102 TFEU, 2nd edn 417

National Reports

Ireland

PROCEDURE Air travel tax N-63

Malta

ANTI-COMPETITIVE PRACTICES Sector inquiry N-66

Slovenia

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Ski passes N-67

Sweden

STATE AID

Data centre N-68

UK

MERGERS

Air transport N-68

US

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

E-books N-70

US

PROCEDURE

Antitrust violations N-71

US

MONOPOLISATION

Enterprise telephone systems N-72