

European Competition Law Review

2015 Volume 36 Issue

11

ISSN: 0144-3054

Table of Contents

Articles

JOHN KWAN

The Damages Directive: end of England's eminence? 455

This article discusses the adverse impact of the Damages Directive on England's appeal as a forum for antitrust damages actions. It argues that the failure of the Directive to close the gap between England and other Member States means that a disproportionate number of claims arising out of European Commission decisions will continue to be brought in England.

SUNNY S.H. CHAN

InnoLux Corp v European Commission: Establishment of the effects doctrine in extra-territoriality of EU competition law? 463

This article argues that the judgment of the Court of Justice in *InnoLux Corp v European Commission* has (i) subjected foreign vertically-integrated undertakings to a greater penalty for captive sales; and (ii) extended the extra-territorial reach of EU competition law in a manner that is akin to the effects doctrine.

MEERA NAZER

Regulatory and policy concerns in the real estate sector in India 470

At present, the Competition Commission of India focuses on the abuse of dominant position and does not address the problem of unfair trade practices as evidenced by the *Belaire Owners* case. The article focuses on the wide policy gap existing in meeting unfair trade practices in the real estate market.

MAXWELL BREANA OBESI AND
CHRISPAS NYOMBI

Recognition of antisuit injunctions in civil and common law jurisdictions 473

This article relies on a comparative approach to analyse laws and institutional practices in England, US and Germany in relation to antisuit injunctions. The article concludes that antisuit injunctions are commonly used in both common and civil law jurisdictions and are an effective means of enforcing exclusive jurisdiction or forum selection clauses. However, due to legislative intervention in this field, their effectiveness has been challenged and it is fast eroding. In the end, we reach a circumspect conclusion on the future of antisuit injunctions in the three jurisdictions.

PIET JAN SLOT

The application of the EU Merger Control Rules to State Owned Enterprises 484

How are the EU competition rules and in particular the merger control rules applied to SOEs? In the decisions taken so far the Commission has laid down the relevant tests for such mergers. At the same time, it has been able to leave the hard questions unanswered by applying a worst case scenario.

Book Review

GABRIELA DA COSTA

Competition on the Internet 493

National Reports

Canada

MERGERS

Medicines N-135

Channel Islands

TRANSPORT

Special sector regulation N-135

Denmark

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Bidding consortium N-136

Denmark

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Construction N-137

Denmark

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Insulation N-137

Germany

PROCEDURE

Successor liability N-138

Poland

PROCEDURE

Statements of objection N-140

Portugal

ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS

Construction N-140

Portugal

PROCEDURE

Information requests N-141

Sweden

MERGERS

Weight-loss products N-141

Switzerland

MERGERS

Notification thresholds N-142