

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	xv
Prologue	xvii
Notes on the Text and Its Modes of Reference	xix
1 Method and Direction	1
1.1 Research hypothesis	1
1.2 Research design	8
1.3 Selection of sources	14
2 Theologians and Contract Law: Contextual Elements	21
2.1 Theologians and the <i>ius commune</i>	22
2.1.1 Law and theology?	22
2.1.2 The <i>ius commune</i> in Spain and its theological status	28
2.1.3 A syncretic legal culture	40
2.2 From manuals for confessors to systematic legal treatises	44
2.2.1 Symbiosis <i>versus</i> separation of law and morality	44
2.2.2 The Dominicans at Salamanca and the renewal of the Catholic tradition	49
2.2.3 The Jesuits and the reinforcement of the symbiosis	55
2.3 Moral jurisprudence and the court of conscience	69
2.3.1 A court for the soul and the truth	69
2.3.2 A minimalistic concept of morality	73
2.3.3 A plurality of legal sources	82
2.4 Enforcement mechanisms	86
2.4.1 Norms and force	86
2.4.2 Evangelical denunciation and the power of the keys	88
2.4.3 Secret compensation	101
3 Toward a General Law of Contract	105
3.1 Introduction	105
3.2 The long roads to consensualism	107
3.2.1 Haunted by the Romans	107
3.2.1.1 The civilian tradition	109
3.2.1.2 Classical convulsions	115

3.2.2	The refreshing spirit of canon law	121
3.2.2.1	<i>Pacta quantumcumque nuda servanda</i>	122
3.2.2.2	<i>Causa</i>	130
3.2.3	A new world: the victory of consensualism	142
3.2.3.1	Natural law	143
3.2.3.2	<i>In utroque foro hodie ex pacto nudo habebimus ius agendi</i>	153
3.3	The making of contractual obligation	162
3.3.1	Liberty and the will	163
3.3.1.1	<i>Contrahebitibus libertas restituta</i>	163
3.3.1.2	<i>Voluntas libertatem possidens</i>	166
3.3.1.3	<i>De contractibus in genere</i>	170
3.3.2	All accepted promises are binding	178
3.3.2.1	First requirement: <i>animus obligandi</i>	178
3.3.2.2	Second requirement: <i>promissio externa</i>	182
3.3.2.3	Third requirement: <i>promissio acceptata</i>	187
3.3.3	The interpretation of contractual obligation	192
3.3.3.1	Fictitious and doubtful promises	192
3.3.3.2	Legally <i>vs</i> morally binding promises	197
3.3.3.3	Implied conditions and changed circumstances	202
3.4	Grotius	208
3.5	Conclusion	212
4	Natural Limitations on 'Freedom of Contract'	215
4.1	Introduction	215
4.2	Duress (<i>metus</i>)	216
4.2.1	Foundations	216
4.2.1.1	Romano-canon law	216
4.2.1.2	The Aristotelian-Thomistic tradition	219
4.2.1.3	Soto: the virtues of constancy and courage	224
4.2.1.4	Covarruvias at the confluence of scholasticism and humanism	225
4.2.1.5	Molina: duress makes contracts void <i>ab initio</i>	231
4.2.2	Tomás Sánchez's doctrine of duress	234
4.2.2.1	Duress and the law of marriage	234
4.2.2.2	The constant man test of coercion	236

4.2.2.2.1	Promoting virtue, protecting the weak	236
4.2.2.2.2	The constant man, his relatives, and his friends	240
4.2.2.2.3	The constant man, his property, and his profits	243
4.2.2.3	Pressure and flattery	247
4.2.2.4	Reverential fear	250
4.2.2.5	Void vs voidable contracts	254
4.2.3	The Jesuit moral theologians and the casuistry of duress	259
4.2.3.1	Duress and general contract doctrine	259
4.2.3.2	Contract as a means of escaping a threat ...	261
4.2.3.3	The use and abuse of litigation rights	263
4.2.3.4	Minor fear	267
4.2.3.5	Void vs voidable contracts	268
4.2.4	A brief synthesis of the scholastic tradition on duress (Grotius)	272
4.3	Mistake (<i>dolus/error</i>)	274
4.3.1	Foundations	274
4.3.1.1	Romano-canon law	274
4.3.1.2	Aristotelian-Thomistic tradition	280
4.3.2	Nullity <i>ipso facto</i> and the <i>bonae fidei / stricti iuris</i> distinction	283
4.3.2.1	Is mistake a vice of the will?	283
4.3.2.2	A humanist scholastic canon lawyer on good faith vs strict law	290
4.3.2.3	Molina: mistake makes contracts void <i>ab initio</i>	297
4.3.2.4	Sánchez: delictual and criminal liability	303
4.3.2.5	A swansong to nullity <i>ab initio</i>	305
4.3.3	Voidability and the end of the <i>bonae fidei/stricti</i> <i>iuris</i> distinction	308
4.3.3.1	The format of Lessius' revolution	309
4.3.3.2	General application of voidability	311
4.3.3.3	General application of the tacit condition ...	315
4.3.3.4	Voidability without tacit condition	318
4.3.4	The impossible synthesis of the scholastic tradition on mistake (Grotius)	321
4.4	Conclusion	325

5	Formal Limitations on 'Freedom of Contract'	329
5.1	Introduction	329
5.2	The post-glossators and insolemn testaments	331
5.2.1	Natural equity	331
5.2.2	Substantial formalities	333
5.3	The decretalists and the consensualist turn	337
5.3.1	Contracts, elections, and last wills	337
5.3.2	Formalities against fraud and deceit	339
5.3.3	A general principle of consensualism	342
5.3.4	Teleological interpretations of positive law	344
5.3.5	The triumph of equity and conscience	346
5.4	Theologians for formalism I: the absolutistic version	352
5.4.1	Property, contracts, and restitution	353
5.4.2	Individual property and the State	358
5.4.3	The moral enforcement of State regulation	362
5.4.4	Against teleological interpretation	365
5.4.5	The politics of conscience	366
5.5	Theologians for formalism II: the diplomatic version	369
5.5.1	Property, exchange, and the common good	369
5.5.2	Technical nuances and academic courtesy	374
5.5.3	The absoluteness of positive law	376
5.5.4	The equation of legal and spiritual security	380
5.5.5	Contracts and last wills <i>vs</i> marriage and election	381
5.6	Early modern canon law and the imperatives of the State ...	384
5.6.1	Contracts for third-party beneficiaries	385
5.6.2	Moral <i>vs</i> legal natural debt	387
5.6.3	Resisting, assisting or tolerating natural obligation	390
5.6.4	The triumph of Spanish statutory law	393
5.6.5	Defective testaments: naturally binding, but not in conscience	398
5.7	Theologians and formalism III: the critical approach	399
5.7.1	The disjunction of the debates on testaments and contracts	399
5.7.2	Moderate formalism in contracts and the resurgence of equity	400
5.7.3	Restoring the primacy of the will in testaments	403
5.7.4	Formalities, the political contract, and <i>leges irritatoriae</i>	406
5.7.5	Lessius against Covarruvias	410
5.8	Conclusion	416

6	Substantive Limitations on 'Freedom of Contract'	419
6.1	Introduction	419
6.2	Sex, theologians and contract law	420
6.2.1	Immoral object <i>vs</i> immoral motive	420
6.2.2	Roman canon law and the nullity of immoral contracts	422
6.3	Prostitution and the law of restitution	425
6.3.1	Illicit acquisition <i>vs</i> acquisition by virtue of an illicit cause	425
6.3.2	Leasing a right of use over your body	429
6.3.3	The Saint, the sinner, and the Digest	430
6.3.4	Sex for sale	432
6.3.5	The <i>domina</i> 's (quasi-)contractual claim to a wage ...	433
6.4	Moralists, realists, theologians and canonists	437
6.4.1	The rigorist approach	437
6.4.1.1	Sex outside wedlock is mortal sin	437
6.4.1.2	Medina I: radical Augustinianism	439
6.4.1.3	Medina II: waiting for the liberal fornicator	443
6.4.2	The pragmatic approach	447
6.4.2.1	Soto on the moral limits to 'freedom of contract'	447
6.4.2.2	The market price for sex	449
6.4.2.3	Theological psychoanalysis	452
6.4.3	Thomistic canon law	455
6.4.3.1	The prostitute's right to remuneration	455
6.4.3.2	Unjust enrichment and secret prostitutes ...	457
6.4.4	A moralizing and experienced teacher	460
6.4.4.1	Envy in the canon law faculty	460
6.4.4.2	Moral worthlessness and lack of economic and juridical force	464
6.4.4.3	College freshmen and the plea against tolerating prostitution	470
6.5	The Jesuits and a general doctrine of immoral promises ...	472
6.5.1	The market price for immoral services	473
6.5.2	Immoral and impossible conditions	478
6.5.3	Immoral promises invalid as a matter of natural law	482

6.5.4	Immoral promises invalid as a matter of positive law	485
6.5.5	The politics of good morals (<i>boni mores</i>)	486
6.5.6	Morality and the final motivating cause	490
6.5.7	Sex as a luxury good and the <i>stylus aulae</i>	492
6.6	Grotius enjoying scholastic wisdom	494
6.7	Concluding observations on sex and the early modern theologians	496
6.7.1	Classification and analysis of the opinions	496
6.7.2	Suárez and the protection of 'freedom of contract' ...	499
6.7.3	Invalidity versus immorality and illegality	501
6.7.4	Contract law and unjust enrichment	503
7	Fairness in Exchange	507
7.1	Introduction	507
7.2	The point of gravity: just pricing	509
7.2.1	<i>Justitia commutativa</i>	509
7.2.1.1	Enriching contracts	509
7.2.1.2	Restitution	514
7.2.2	<i>Justum pretium</i>	519
7.2.2.1	Demystifying the just price	519
7.2.2.2	Utility and necessity	522
7.2.3	<i>Laesio enormis</i>	529
7.2.3.1	C. 4,44,2 and the <i>ius commune</i>	529
7.2.3.2	The Aristotelian-Thomistic tradition	532
7.3	Contractual fairness in early modern scholasticism I	536
7.3.1	A clash between legal and moral principles	537
7.3.1.1	Roman maxims <i>vs</i> Christian morals	537
7.3.1.2	Just pricing <i>vs</i> gift-making	541
7.3.2	A clash between secular and spiritual jurisdictions	544
7.3.2.1	Enforcing contractual equilibrium	545
7.3.2.2	Competing for normative power	549
7.4	Lesion for dummies: the systematic approach	553
7.4.1	The external court	554
7.4.1.1	The renunciability of C. 4,44,2	555
7.4.1.2	Gifts are not presumed	557
7.4.2	The internal court	560
7.4.2.1	Reason of sin <i>vs</i> reason of state	560
7.4.2.2	Unjust enrichment	561
7.4.2.3	Gifts are not presumed	563

7.5	Lesion for the advanced: the critical approach	566
7.5.1	Socio-political foundations	567
7.5.1.1	Individual property and rights	568
7.5.1.2	The do-no-harm principle	569
7.5.2	A humanist critique	572
7.5.2.1	<i>Novum ius</i>	572
7.5.2.2	The myth of <i>dolus re ipsa</i>	573
7.5.2.3	Circumscribing <i>invicem se circumvenire</i>	577
7.5.3	Philology meets equity	581
7.5.3.1	The non-renunciability of C. 4,44,2	582
7.5.3.2	A humanist jurist more Catholic than the theologians?	587
7.6	Contractual fairness in early modern scholasticism II	589
7.6.1	Theory: the moral menace of Roman law	590
7.6.2	Practice: playing the market game	592
7.7	Grotius and the legacy of fairness in exchange	598
7.8	Conclusion	601
8	Theologians and Contract Law: Common Themes	605
8.1	Between freedom and justice	606
8.2	Between Church and State	621
8.3	Between medieval and modern	634
	Bibliography	651
	Index of Names	697
	Index of Terms	703