

<i>Contents</i>	vii
<i>About the Authors</i>	xiv

CHAPTER I	The ReNEUAL Codification Project – Book III	
	Jens-Peter Schneider	1
A.	The ReNEUAL Project: Background, Objectives, Concept and Overall Structure	2
B.	Book I and its Relevance for Book III	5
C.	Book III on Single Case Decision-Making	7
I.	Scope of Book III	7
II.	Book III: Structure and Overview	9
I.	Chapter 2: Initiation	9
2.	Chapter 3: Information gathering	9
3.	Chapter 4: Hearings and consultations	11
4.	Chapter 5: Conclusion of the procedure	11
5.	Chapter 6: Rectification and withdrawal of decisions	12
III.	Book III and composite procedures	13
IV.	Book III and multipolar administrative procedures	14
D.	Final Remarks	15
CHAPTER 2	The Heritage of Otto Mayer: <i>Actes Administratifs Unilatéraux</i> and <i>Verwaltungsakte</i> in a Franco-German Comparison	
	Pascale Gonod	17
A.	Edouard Laferrière and Otto Mayer	18
B.	<i>Verwaltungsakt</i>	22
C.	Self-Limitation of States	23
D.	Defining the Concept of Administrative Act	24
	The Heritage of Otto Mayer: <i>Actes Administratifs Unilatéraux</i> and <i>Verwaltungsakte</i> in a Franco-German Comparison, Comment by Thomas Groß	28
CHAPTER 3	Unilateral Single Case Decisions: A UK Perspective	
	Paul Craig	31
A.	The Fundamentals of Procedural Due Process: Historic Roots	32
B.	Mini-Codes: Tribunal Rules of Procedure	39
C.	ReNEUAL Book III: A Common Law Perspective	43
D.	ReNEUAL Book III: Application to Member State Action that falls within the Scope of EU Law	43
E.	Conclusion	45

	Unilateral Single Case Decision Making: Some Comparative Remarks, Comment by Athanasios Gromitsaris	46
A.	Paths to Administrative Procedure	46
B.	What Is a Unilateral Single Case Decision?	47
I.	Declaration of intent, administrative act, individualised decision, adjudication	47
II.	Classification of unilateral single case decisions	48
III.	Separation of powers	49
IV.	Judicial model and administrative action	49
V.	Judicial intervention	51
VI.	Administrative refusals and omissions	51
VII.	Consequences of the classification of a decision as a "Unilateral Single Case Decision"	52
I.	Validity	52
2.	Coupling and de-coupling the concept of unilateral single case decision with/from the types of legal remedies	54
VIII.	Features of a unilateral single-case decision	56
I.	Public authority	57
2.	Sovereign act: prerogatives of public authority	58
3.	Unilateral act	61
4.	Individual case	61
5.	Legal Regulation	62
6.	Direct external legal effects	63
C.	Conclusion	67
CHAPTER 4	The Book III of the ReNEUAL Model Rules from a Spanish Perspective; When Procedural Law Becomes Substantive	
	Oriol Mir	69
A.	Introduction	70
B.	Main Similarities Between Book III of the ReNEUAL Model Rules and the Spanish Administrative Procedure Law	72
I.	The importance given to the codification of administrative procedure and the relationship with specific procedural legislation	72
II.	The general conception, structure and functions of administrative procedure	74
III.	The main procedural rights	74
IV.	The high degree of convergence achieved within the European Administrative Space	75
C.	Main Differences Between Book III of the ReNEUAL Model Rules and the Spanish Administrative Procedure Law	75

I.	The definition of the central notions of “decision”, “party” and “public authority”	75
II.	The duty to appoint a responsible official	77
III.	Reinforced information rights of the parties	78
IV.	Explicit consideration of competitive award procedures	78
V.	Deeper regulation of the gathering of information by the public authority	79
VI.	More detailed and substantive regulation of the right to access the file, to be heard and of the duty to give reasons	79
VII.	Reluctance regarding implied decisions	80
VIII.	A better regulation of the rectification and withdrawal of decisions	80
D.	The Substantive Approach of the ReNEUAL Model Rules to Administrative Procedure	81
CHAPTER 5	Inspection and Evidence in Preparation of Unilateral Decisions	
	Roberto Caranta	85
A.	Introduction	86
B.	The Model Rules	86
I.	The general rules	87
II.	The specific rules on inspections	90
C.	An Assessment	92
D.	Conclusions	95
	Codifying the Rules in European Administrative Law and National Administrative Law: A Brief Comparison, Comment by Jacques Petit	97
A.	Similarities	97
B.	Differences	98
CHAPTER 6	Inspection and Evidence in European Cooperative Decision-Making Process	
	Francisco Velasco	103
A.	Introduction	104
B.	Reference Framework: the Administrative European Union	108
C.	Duties to Inform in Good Time	111
I.	By request or mandatory order for information and interview	111
II.	Duty to inform in good time of an inspection	113
III.	Duty to assist incumbent on a Member State during inspections conducted by EU authorities	113
I.	Introduction	113

2.	The scope of the duty of assistance: a plurality of regulatory standards	115
IV.	How fundamental rights are binding on coercive Member State assistance	119
I.	The right to home privacy	119
2.	Right to an effective remedy	123
V.	Liability arising from assistance activity	126
VI.	Duties in the shared or joint inspections	126
I.	Participation of national authorities in EU inspections	127
2.	Participation of EU authorities in Member State inspections	129
3.	Horizontal participation	130
VII.	Duties to inform on an 'ex post' basis	131
 CHAPTER 7	 Investigation and Responsibility - Legal Aspects of Common Interest	
	Gunilla Edelstam	133
 CHAPTER 8	 Third Parties Protection in Administrative Procedure Law	
	Jacques Ziller	141
A.	By Way of Introduction: Third Parties as the Parent Pauvre of Administrative Procedural Law?	142
B.	Third Parties in the ReNEUAL Model Rules	144
C.	Third Parties in Book III of the ReNEUAL Model Rules	147
D.	Third Parties in Books II as Well as IV, V and VI of the ReNEUAL Model Rules	151
E.	Protection of Third Parties Through Rules of Administrative Procedure and Organisational Design	154
	Comment by Andrew Le Sueur	157
A.	Craigs's Argument	157
B.	Constitutional Politics	157
C.	Legal Culture	158
 CHAPTER 9	 Expert Influence in Unilateral Single Decision Making	
	Jean-François Lafaix	161
A.	The Diversity Of Expertise	163
I.	Expertise and science	163
II.	Expert participation	164
I.	Judicial expertise: common versus civil law tradition	164

2.	Administrative expertise: embedded versus independent third-party experts	165
3.	Timing of expertise	166
B.	Expertise Issues	166
I.	Political science	166
II.	Legal science	167
C.	The Expert's Influence on the Rule-Making Process	167
I.	Expertise in the legislative process	167
II.	Normative dimension of expertise	169
D.	The Expert's Influence on Statutory Interpretation	169
I.	Interpretation of technical or scientific information	170
II.	Expert opinion as legitimation resource	170
E.	The Expert's Influence on the Determination of Facts	171
I.	Establishing the facts	171
II.	Characterization of the facts	172
F.	The Expert's Influence on the Content of the Decision	173
I.	Legally binding influence	173
II.	Other forms of influence	174
I.	Binary choice	174
2.	Choice of options	174
III.	A cautious approach	175
G.	Conclusion	176
I.	The role of an expert	177
II.	Decision to involve an expert	177
I.	Power to request an expert opinion	178
2.	Selection of an expert	178
3.	Legal status of experts	179

Expert Influence in Unilateral Single Decision Making, Comment by Wolfgang Hoffmann-Riem	181
---	-----

CHAPTER 10	Decision-Making and Information Management	
	Diana-Urania Galetta	185
A.	The Reasons for the Inclusion of Rules on Information Management in the Renewal Model Rules	186
B.	The Scope of Application of Book VI and its Meaning	187
C.	The Structure of Book VI: Rules on Structural Issues Combined with Data Protection Aspects of Information Law	188
D.	Book VI: No Information Management Activity Without a Basic Act, Yet with "A Touch Of Flexibility"	189

E.	Different Regulatory Standards Applying to Different Types of Information Management Activities	190
E.	The Principles Ruling Information Management According to Book VI	191
F.	Conclusions	192
CHAPTER II	Conclusions	
	Eberhard Schmidt-Aßmann	195
A.	Do the Model Rules Follow a Convincing Concept of Law?	196
I.	The single case decision	196
II.	Gathering of information	197
B.	Are The Model Rules Based on a Realistic Conception of the European Administration and its Special Features?	198
C.	What is Innovative in the Model Rules?	200