

---

# Table of contents

---

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>1</b> |
| I. <i>Methodological foundations</i>                                  | 3        |
| The starting point: Max Weber's critique of Stammler                  | 3        |
| The ideal-typical method  | 4        |
| Rationality as a fundamental category of Weberian sociology           | 5        |
| II. <i>Rationalisation of law</i>                                     | 5        |
| Normative coherence of law  | 5        |
| Empirical validity  | 6        |
| Legitimacy  | 6        |
| III. <i>Weberian sociology and contemporary law: some key aspects</i> | 7        |
| Sociology of law and jurisprudence                                    | 7        |
| Legal pluralism   | 8        |
| Substantive rationalisation of law                                    | 9        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>PART I</b>   |           |
| <b>Methodological foundations</b>   | <b>11</b> |
| <b>I   The starting point: Max Weber's critique of Stammler</b>             | <b>13</b> |
| 1. <i>Rudolf Stammler's concept of social life, the economy and the law</i> | 15        |
| 1.1 Social monism   | 17        |
| 1.2 The social ideal  | 18        |
| 1.3 Just law  | 19        |
| 2. <i>The importance of the critique of Stammler for Weber</i>              | 19        |
| 2.1 The formal preconditions of knowledge                                   | 19        |
| 2.2 The problem of causality in the social sciences                         | 21        |
| 2.3 The interplay of law and the economy                                    | 22        |

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
|     | 3. <i>How accurate is Weber's methodological critique of Stammerl? Karl Diehl's and Hugo Sinzheimer's assessments</i> | 26 |
| II  | <b>The ideal-typical method</b>   | 30 |
|     | 1. <i>John R. Commons' critique of the ideal type</i>   | 31 |
|     | 2. <i>Talcott Parsons and the Weberian ideal type</i>   | 37 |
|     | 2.1 Modern capitalism: contrasting Sombart's and Weber's analysis   | 37 |
|     | 2.2 The ideal-typical method in light of the theory of action   | 40 |
|     | 2.3 Analytical sociology and Weber's 'unsystematic' theorising  | 42 |
|     | 3. <i>Synthesis</i>   | 47 |
| III | <b>Rationality as a fundamental category of Weberian sociology</b>  | 50 |
|     | 1. <i>Rationality and modernity</i>   | 50 |
|     | 1.1 Epistemological presuppositions   | 51 |
|     | 1.2 Levels of rationality analysis  | 54 |
|     | 2. <i>Rationality and sociology of religion</i>   | 57 |
|     | 2.1 Logical consistency of religious representations  | 58 |
|     | 2.2 Rationalisation of the religious sphere and empirical activity: religion and the economy                          | 64 |
|     | 2.3 Ethical rationalisation and methodical command of conduct   | 66 |
|     | 3. <i>Rationality and sociology of law</i>  | 69 |
|     | 3.1 Weber's conceptualisation of legal rationality: introductory comments   | 70 |
|     | 3.1.1 The <i>formal/formelle</i> distinction  | 70 |
|     | 3.1.2 Formal-rational law   | 72 |
|     | 3.1.3 Substantive law   | 74 |
|     | 3.1.4 Irrational law  | 76 |
|     | 3.2 The dimensions of rationality in the sociology of law   | 78 |
|     | 3.2.1 Consistency in representations  | 78 |
|     | 3.2.2 Empirical activity: the influence of law on the economy   | 81 |
|     | 3.2.3 Methodical ethos of conduct and legitimacy  | 82 |

|   |                |
|---|----------------|
| <b>PART II</b>  |                |
| <b>Rationalisation of law</b>   | <b>85</b>      |
| <br><b>IV Logical coherence</b>   | <br><b>87</b>  |
| 1. <i>The antinomy of form and substance</i>  | 87             |
| 1.1 The formal rationality of law   | 87             |
| 1.2 The substantive rationality of law  | 91             |
| 1.2.1 Princely and theocratic laws  | 91             |
| 1.2.2 Substantive rationality in contemporary Western law                                 | 93             |
| 2. <i>Factors affecting the logical ordering of law</i>                                   | 97             |
| 2.1 Factors internal to the legal sphere  | 97             |
| 2.1.1 Developmental logic of legal representations  | 97             |
| 2.1.2 Specialised carriers of rationalisation: legal professionals                        | 100            |
| 2.2 External factors  | 106            |
| 2.2.1 Political authority   | 106            |
| 2.2.2 Economy and the law   | 111            |
| <br><b>V Empirical validity</b>   | <br><b>114</b> |
| 1. <i>Weber, institutional economics and the law</i>                                      | 116            |
| 1.1 American institutional economics: John R. Commons                                     | 116            |
| 1.2 The German school of 'social law': Karl Diehl   | 121            |
| 2. <i>The 'England problem': legal formalism and the emergence of capitalism</i>          | 131            |
| 2.1 Rationality and calculability of economic action                                      | 132            |
| 2.1.1 Formal rationality of monetary calculation  | 132            |
| 2.1.2 Substantive conditions of monetary calculability                                    | 133            |
| 2.2 Legal preconditions of economic formal rationalisation                                | 134            |
| 2.2.1 Legal foreseeability  | 134            |
| 2.2.1.1 Regarding the sphere of specialised economic activity                             | 135            |
| 2.2.1.2 Regarding the general structure of the legal order                                | 139            |
| 2.2.2 Extension of freedoms and individual rights   | 141            |
| 2.3 Continental law, common law and legal foreseeability                                  | 144            |
| 2.3.1 The theoretical framework: the autonomous logic of rationalisation of value spheres | 144            |

- 2.3.2 The historical perspective: the reception of Roman law as antecedent to the 'England problem' 147
- 2.3.3 The elements of foreseeability in the common law and in Continental law 151

## VI Legitimacy 157

- 1. *The demise of natural law* 159
  - 1.1 The critical theory of knowledge 161
  - 1.2 The differentiation of spheres of action 162
- 2. *The rational-formal legitimacy of law and the state* 167
  - 2.1 Obedience as opposed to docility 167
  - 2.2 Features of legal-rational domination 168
  - 2.3 Identity of law and the state 170
  - 2.4 Limits of legal domination in its bureaucratic form 172
- 3. *Decisionism and legitimacy* 174
  - 3.1 Decision, ethics of conviction and the imperative of responsibility 175
  - 3.2 Carl Schmitt's concept of decision: a logical extension of Weberian themes? 181

## PART III

## Weberian sociology and contemporary law: some key aspects 189

## VII Sociology of law and jurisprudence 191

- 1. *Two heterogenous spheres of knowledge: the controversy with Hermann Kantorowicz* 192
- 2. *Three bridges between sociology of law and jurisprudence* 199
  - 2.1 The social facts of law 199
  - 2.2 The logic of social research 205
  - 2.3 The ideal types of rational action 208

## VIII Legal pluralism 214

- 1. *Three proponents of legal pluralism: Eugen Ehrlich, Georges Gurvitch and Gunther Teubner* 216
  - 1.1 Eugen Ehrlich: the legal sociology of non-state associations 216
  - 1.2 Georges Gurvitch: the emancipatory potential of social law 221

---

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 1.3 | Gunther Teubner: the plural legal rationalities<br>of social systems                             | 228 |
| 2.  | <i>Max Weber's contribution to legal pluralism</i>   | 234 |
| 2.1 | Max Weber and legal pluralism: an overview   | 234 |
| 2.2 | State and non-state law  | 236 |
| 2.3 | The legal constraint   | 238 |
| 2.4 | The definition of law  | 239 |
| 2.5 | The opposition between the 'is' ( <i>Sein</i> ) and the 'ought'<br>( <i>Sollen</i> ) of law      | 240 |
| IX  | <b>Substantive rationalisation of law</b>  | 242 |
| 1.  | <i>The too-many faces of substantively rational law</i>  | 242 |
| 1.1 | Formal jurisprudence   | 244 |
| 1.2 | Instrumental jurisprudence   | 246 |
| 1.3 | Jurisprudence of values  | 248 |
| 2.  | <i>The global rationalisation of contemporary law: transnational<br/>collective human rights</i> | 250 |
|     | <i>Conclusion</i>  | 263 |
|     | <i>References</i>  | 271 |
|     | <i>Name index</i>  | 291 |
|     | <i>Subject index</i>   | 295 |