

# European Competition Law Review

2018 Volume 39 Issue 6  
ISSN: 0144-3054

## Table of Contents

### Articles

CENTO VELJANOVSKI

#### **European cartel fines in 2017** 243

The European Commission concluded five decisions and re-adopted two further decisions in 2017 imposing €1.95 billion in fines on 29 firms. The fines were considerably less than the €3.7 billion imposed in 2016. All cartels except one were in the automotive sector.

PEDRO CALLOL

#### **Syndicated financial instruments and antitrust: the recent price-fixing investigation related to derivative products in project-finance transactions in Spain** 246

Syndicated financial instruments have been in place in financial markets for a long time, under contractual frameworks where co-operation between competitors has hitherto been accepted naturally. In recent times some have started to question particular aspects of this co-operation. In the commented decision, the national competition authority explores issues of pricing and information asymmetries between banks and customers and concludes with a finding that the financial entities have acted co-ordinately in contravention of art.101 TFEU.

WERNER BERG AND MIKLOS  
MUDRONY

#### **Innovation and Procedure—EU Merger Control 2017** 250

In 2017 innovation became the most discussed theory of harm in merger control. Whilst the intervention rate remained stable, the failure rate increased and procedural issues including gun jumping gained more prominence than usual. Behavioural commitments were accepted to remedy certain vertical concerns, but the overall tendency towards upfront buyer requirements was confirmed in 2017 which even saw a fix-it-first remedy.

SCOTT CAMPBELL AND LUKE  
GRIMES

#### **Extraterritoriality—the Court of Appeal takes an expansive view of article 101 TFEU's scope** 266

Whether art.101 TFEU allows claimants to pursue follow-on damages for anti-competitive conduct originating from outside of the EEA, has been the subject of much debate. In a favourable decision to the claimant bar, a recent Court of Appeal judgment has confirmed the wide territorial scope of art.101 TFEU.

AURELIEN PORTUESE

#### **From Non-Disclosure Agreements to trade secrets: antitrust implications** 274

This article aims at deciphering the complex relationship between non-disclosure agreements in general, and trade secrets in particular, with antitrust law. We argue that non-disclosure agreements should be more fiercely enforced with the enhanced deterring effect of breaching provided by the grant of punitive damages. However, we resort to the theory of efficient breach for the grant of no damages when there are net pro-competitive effects of the breach of trade secrets. We conclude, on the basis of a comparative analysis of the regulatory frameworks provided in Europe and in the US, that there is a need for further regulatory convergence with respect to the antitrust implications of trade secrets and non-disclosure agreements.

### Book Review

DR BRUCE WARDHAUGH

#### **Vertical Agreements in EU Competition Law, 3rd edn** 290

### National Reports

Canada

#### **GENERAL**

**Discussion paper responses** N-73

CJEU

#### **ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS**

**Preliminary Reference** N-73

Denmark

#### **ABUSE OF A DOMINANT POSITION**

**Pharmaceutical sector** N-75

<b>Denmark</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS</b> <b>Transport N-75</b>
<b>France</b>	<b>PROCEDURE</b> <b>Dawn raids N-76</b>
<b>France</b>	<b>PROCEDURE</b> <b>Anonymous statement N-77</b>
<b>Ireland</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENT</b> <b>Cartels N-78</b>
<b>Portugal</b>	<b>MERGER</b> <b>Telecommunications and multimedia N-79</b>
<b>Romania</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENT</b> <b>Public procurement N-80</b>
<b>Spain</b>	<b>RESTRICTIVE AGREEMENTS</b> <b>Low and medium voltage cables N-81</b>
<b>Spain</b>	<b>ABUSE OF A DOMINANT POSITION</b> <b>Horse breeding N-82</b>
<b>Spain</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE CONDUCT</b> <b>Goods transport N-83</b>
<b>Spain</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS</b> <b>Banking sector N-83</b>
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS</b> <b>Broadband services N-84</b>
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>ABUSE OF A DOMINANT POSITION</b> <b>Broadband services N-85</b>
<b>Sweden</b>	<b>GENERAL</b> <b>Report on competition in Sweden N-85</b>
<b>Turkey</b>	<b>PROCEDURE</b> <b>Request to access the case file N-86</b>
<b>UK</b>	<b>ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS</b> <b>Damages claims N-88</b>