Contents

List of Figures ix
List of Tables xi
List of Contributors xv

Introduction 1
Marina Costa Lobo and John Curtice

Section 1. Political or Not? Where Do Leader Evaluations Come From?

1. Leader Evaluations and Partisan Stereotypes—A Comparative Analysis 17
Amanda Bittner

2. What Comes First, Character Traits or Political Assessments? An Experimental Study 38
Sascha Huber

Section 2. Systematic or Not? When Do Leader Evaluations Matter?

3. The Impact of Leaders in Parliamentary and Presidential Regimes 63
John Curtice and Marco Lisi

4. Personality Politics in Single-Party and Coalition Governments 87
Solidea Formichelli

5. Leader Effects and Party Polarization 105
Romain Lachat

Section 3. Sophisticates or Uninformed Electors? Who Takes Leader Evaluations into Account?

6. Political Sophistication and Media Consumption as Factors of Personalization 127
Guillem Rico

7. Party Dealignment and Leader Effects 148
Marina Costa Lobo

Section 4. Competence or Character? What about Leaders Matters?

8. Between Leadership and Charisma, the Importance of Leaders 169
Michael Lewis-Beck and Richard Nadeau

9. Determining the Components of Leader Effects in a Post-Communist Context 191
Andrei Gheorghiță

10. Emotions Towards Leaders and Voting Behaviour 215
Tatjana Rudi

Conclusion 241
Marina Costa Lobo and John Curtice

Index 249

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Summary Statistics: Evaluations of Three Main Party Leaders' Competence and Character 23

Figure 1.2. Evaluations of Leaders' Character and Competence: Comparing Leaders of Three Main Parties to Average of All Leaders 26

Figure 1.3. Summary Statistics: Evaluation of Leaders of Three Main Parties' Character and Competence, by Level of Political Sophistication 31

Figure 2.1. Inferring Character Traits from the Political Issue Positions of Candidates 47

Figure 2.2. Inferring the Political Issue Positions of Candidates from Character Traits 48

Figure 2.3. Percentages of Overall Judgements about Candidates in the Various Conditions 49

Figure 3.1. Marginal Effects of Party, Leader Evaluations, and Ideological Distance on Vote Choice for Presidential and Parliamentary Elections 72

Figure 4.1. Leader's Evaluation and Coalition Government Effect on Probability to Vote 98

Figure 5.1. Propensity to Support the SP, by Ideological Distance and Level of Political Sophistication 114

Figure 5.2. Propensity to Support the SP, by Sympathy for the Party Leader and Level of Political Sophistication 114

Figure 5.3. Effect of Party System Fragmentation on the Strength of Ideological Voting (Model 1) and Leader Voting (Model 3) 117

Figure 5.4. Effect of Electoral System Disproportionality on the Strength of Ideological Voting (Model 2) and Leader Voting (Model 4) 118

Figure 6.1. Predicted Effects of Leader Evaluations on Vote Choice, by Measures of Political Knowledge 137

Figure 6.2. Predicted Effects of Trait Perceptions on Leader Evaluations, by Measures of Political Knowledge 139

Figure 6.3. Predicted Effects of Leader Evaluations on Vote Choice, by Measures of Media Exposure 140

Figure 6.4. Predicted Effects of Trait Perceptions on Leader Evaluations, by Measures of Media Exposure 142
Figure 7.1. An Index of Dealignment in Italy, Portugal, and Spain 156
Figure 7.2. Predicted Probabilities of Voting for the Incumbent Party by Strength of Party Identification (a Fully Comprehensive Model) 159
Figure 7.3. Predicted Probabilities of Voting for the Incumbent Party by Time of Voting Decision (a Fully Comprehensive Model) 160
Figure 7.4. Predicted Probabilities of Voting for the Incumbent Party by Type of Voter (a Fully Comprehensive Model) 161
Figure 7.5. Predicted Probabilities of Voting for the Incumbent by Dealignment (a Fully Comprehensive Model) 162
Figure 9.1. Defining Expectations Regarding the Personalization of Voting Decisions in Romania 198

List of Tables

Table 1.1.	Effects of Party Label on Evaluation of Leader's Traits	24
Table 1.2.	Difference in Means on Evaluations of Most Frequently Asked Traits	25
Table 1.3.	Effects of Voters' Partisanship and Leaders' Party on Evaluations of Personality Traits	28
Table 1.4.	Effects of Voters' Partisanship and Leaders' Party on Trait Evaluations, by Level of Political Sophistication	32
Table 2.1.	Candidate Description in the Various Experimental Conditions	44
Table 2.2.	The Influence of the Assessment of Political Positions on Character Evaluation	51
Table 2.3.	The Influence of Character Evaluations on the Political Assessment of Candidates: Perceived Issue-distance	52
Table 2.4.	The Influence of Candidate Character on Voting Behaviour in Different Contexts	53
Table 3.1.	The Role of Leader and Party Evaluations in Presidential and Parliamentary Elections	70
Table 3.2.	The Role of Leader and Party Evaluations in Different Types of Parliamentary Elections	74
Table 3.3.	Parliamentary Elections in Presidential and Parliamentary Systems	75
Table 3.4.	Party System and Larger Parties	77
Table 3.5.	How the Role of Leadership Evaluations Varies by Type of Electorate	79
Appendix A:	Elections Analysed	85
Appendix B:	Coding of Variables	86
Table 4.1.	Data Sources	92
Table 4.2.	Parameter Estimates for the Full Multi-level Model of Socialist and Conservative Vote	96
Table A4.1.	National Parties and Leaders of the Socialist Party Family	102

Table A4.2.	National Parties and Leaders of the Christian Democratic Party Family	103
Table 5.1.	Impact of Left-Right Ideology and Leader Evaluations on the Electoral Utilities, National Level	113
Table 5.2.	Effect of Electoral Competitiveness on Ideological Voting	116
Table 5.3.	Effect of Electoral Competitiveness on Leader Voting	117
Table A5.1.	Descriptive Statistics, Individual-level Variables	123
Table A5.2.	Descriptive Statistics, Contextual-level Variables	123
Table 6.1.	Homogenous Models: Leader Effects and Trait Perception Effects	135
Table 6.2.	Political Sophistication and Leader Effects on Vote Choice	136
Table 6.3.	Political Sophistication and Trait Perception Effects on Leader Evaluations	138
Table 6.4.	Media Exposure and Leader Effects on Vote Choice	139
Table 6.5.	Media Exposure and Trait Perception Effects on Leader Evaluations	141
Table 7.1.	The Dealigned vs. the Aligned in Italy, Portugal, and Spain	154
Table 7.2	Correlations between Strength of Party ID, Swing Voters, and Late Deciders	155
Table 7.3.	The Importance of Leader Effects in Different Types of Electorate (a Simple Model)	157
Table 7.4.	The Importance of Leader Effects in Different Types of Electorate (a Comprehensive Model)	158
Table 7.5.	The Relationship between Dealignment and Political Knowledge	163
Table 8.1.	Correlation of Feeling Thermometers and Leadership Images in Four French Presidential Elections (2007, 2002, 1995, 1988)	172
Table 8.2.	Leader Effects in Four French Presidential Elections (Binomial Logits, Full Specification; 2007, 2002, 1995, 1988)	176
Table 8.3.	Changes in Probabilities: Impact of Feeling Thermometers on the Vote in Four French Presidential Elections (Second Round; 2007, 2002, 1995, 1988)	181
Table 8.4.	Feeling Thermometer Determinants in Four French Presidential Elections (OLS Models, Full Specification; 2007, 2002, 1995, 1988)	182
Table 8.5.	Jean-Marie Le Pen: Leader Effect and Feeling Thermometer Determinants in Four French Presidential Elections (1988, 1995, 2002, 2007)	184
Table 9.1.	Explanatory Models of Voter Evaluation for the Social Democratic Party (PSD) and the 'Justice and Truth' PNL-PD Alliance (ADA). Standardized Beta Coefficients with Standard Errors, OLS Regression	202

Table 9.2.	Explanatory Models of Vote Intention for the National Union PSD+PUR and the 'Justice and Truth' PNL-PD Alliance (ADA). B Coefficients with Standard Errors, exp(b), Binary Logit Regression	203
Table 9.3.	Determinants of Popularity Scores for Adrian Nastase [Refer to the spelling on p. 207] (PSD+PUR) and Traian Basescu [Refer to the spelling on p. 207] (ADA)	207
Appendix:	Explanatory Variables Controlled For in the Regression Models	213
Table 10.1.	Directional Effects of Emotional Reactions to Leaders on Vote Choices	226
Table 10.2.	Directional Effects of Emotional Reactions to Leaders on the Probability of Voting for Different Vote Choices	227
Table 10.3.	Mediating Effects of Anxiety on Vote Choices (Anxious Citizens)	230
Table 10.4.	Mediating Effects of Anxiety on Vote Choices (Non-Anxious Citizens)	230
Table A10.1.	Directional Effects of Aversion on Vote Choices (Without Enthusiasm and Anxiety)	238
Table A10.2.	Directional Effects of Anxiety on Vote Choices (Without Enthusiasm and Aversion)	239
Table A10.3.	Directional Effects of Emotional Reactions to Leaders on Vote Choices (Without Party Evaluations)	240